

From: TPrinty@aol.com
Date: Tue, 31 Dec 2002 13:55:13 EST
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Rendlesham
boundary="part1_12d.1ecd0ec3.2b434211_boundary"

<x-html>

<HTML>

<FONT SIZE=2 FAMILY="SANSERIF"
FACE="Arial" LANG="0">For those of us on this side of the pond who watch FOX-
News, we were entertained last night with a brief discussion of Rendlesham on "The
Factor". After all the tease throughout the show, I sort of expected to see Ian
Ridpath, James Easton, Jenny Randles, David Clarke, or Andy Roberts discussing the
matter. However, the one individual who was presented talking about the
"newly released" documents was Peter Robbins. Needless to say, I couldn't
believe it. Chris Gibson really demonstrated no preparation for the brief
interview and Robbins was able to say anything (stating there were three nights vice
two to include Larry Warren and, of course, plugging the book). If I recall
correctly, Col. Halt stated that Warren was never involved with the incidents in
question. It was too that somebody with a firmer grasp on the case conducted
the interview or had been included in on the debate.

Tim Printy

<!-- |**|begin egp html banner|**| -->

<table border=0 cellpadding=0 cellspacing=2>

<tr bgcolor=#FFFFCC>

<td align=center>Yahoo! Groups
Sponsor</td>

</tr>

<tr bgcolor=#FFFFFF>

<td align=center width=470><table border=0 cellpadding=0 cellspacing=0> <tr> <td
align=center>ADVERTISEMENT
<a
href="http://rd.yahoo.com/M=234081.2814790.4175286.1925585/D=egroupweb/S=1
705082741:HM/A=1327986/R=0/*http://ad.doubleclick.net/clk;4870027;7586687;a?
http://www.ameriquetmortgage.com/welcome.html?ad=Yahoo01"></td></tr></table></td>

</tr>

<tr><td></td></tr>

</table>

<!-- |**|end egp html banner|**| -->

<tt>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.</tt>
</br>

</HTML>

</x-html>

From: "staurt \\\(Weird World\\)" <stuart@weird-world.com>
Date: Sat, 6 Jan 2001 19:00:30 -0000
Subject: [UFORL] SURPRISING HUBBLE STAR FINDINGS
boundary="-----_NextPart_000_002F_01C07812.F0BDF820"

<x-html>
<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
<HTML><HEAD>
<META http-equiv=<META content="MSHTML 5.50.4134.100"
name=GENERATOR>
<STYLE></STYLE>
</HEAD>
<BODY bgColor=#ffffff>

<DIV>
<P align=center><FONT
color=#000000>SURPRISING HUBBLE STAR FINDINGS
</P>
<P>New findings
about how stars were born in the early
universe -- findings that could
overturn current theories if
verified -- will be presented in a Space
Science Update at
11:00 a.m. Pacific Time Tuesday, Jan. 8. </P>
<P>Click Here to read on http://www.weird-
world.com/article-detail.asp?fid=229</P>
<P>Get published on Weird World.com send your articles
to
Stuart@weird-
world.com</P>
<HR>
Coming in march 2002 - 24/7 un
metered internet access for only £9.99 per month (No more Large phone
bills)
Limited members only register to day <FONT
color=#0000ff
size=2>http://www.oceandial.com/unmetered-internet-
access.asp<FONT
size=2> First come first SURFED! (UK
OLNY)

<HR>
</DIV>

<!-- |**|begin egp html banner|**| -->

<table border=0 cellpadding=2>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFCC>
<td align=center>Yahoo! Groups
Sponsor</td>

```
</tr>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFFF>
<td align=center width=470><table border=0 cellpadding=0 cellspacing=0><tr><td
align=center><font face=arial size=-2>ADVERTISEMENT</font><br><a
href="http://rd.yahoo.com/M=216105.1806326.3318126.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1
705082741:HM/A=915130/R=0/O=1/I=brandr-
lrec/postholidaygroup/*http://shopping.yahoo.com/domain?d=holiday&cf=posthol01"
target=_top></a></td></tr></table></td>
</tr>
<tr><td></td></tr>
</table>

<!-- |**|end egp html banner|**| -->
```

```
<br>
<tt>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the <a
href="http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/">Yahoo! Terms of Service</a>.</tt>
</br>
```

```
</BODY></HTML>
```

```
</x-html>
```

From: YoungBob2@aol.com
Date: Tue, 24 Jul 2001 13:18:41 EDT
Subject: Re: [UFORL] report

unsubscribe Youngbob2@aol.com

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~->

Small business owners...

Tell us what you think!

http://promo2.yahoo.com/sbin/Yahoo!_BusinessNewsletter/survey.cgi

<http://us.click.yahoo.com/vO1FAB/txzCAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>

-----~->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "Robinson, Larry" <lrobin@indiana.edu>
Date: Wed, 25 Jul 2001 12:18:47 -0500
Subject: RE: [UFORL] Triangular Aircraft?

Yes, that sounds very familiar, whether the fire balloon has
candles or flares.

---- [o#o]-[o--o]-[o--o]-[o--o]-[o--o]-[o--o]-[o--o]-[]0000oo> ### ----

Larry Robinson S/AP/EIS lrobin@indiana.edu
Indiana University (812) 855-5073 Room 42
Kinesiology Dept http://php.indiana.edu/~lrobin
1025 E 7th St Rm 112 I voted for gridlock.
Bloomington IN 47405-7109

-----Original Message-----

From: TPrinty@aol.com [mailto:TPrinty@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, July 23, 2001 16:13Subject: Re: [UFORL] Triangular Aircraft?

Steve wrote:

"Did you see the video of the one that flew over New Jersey last week?
Another night
sighting but it looked like a large flying triangle."

Steve, I think you are greatly mistaken about this event. All indications
are were that these were more than likely "flare balloons". There is no
indication of the lights being conected to a larger object. They slowly
drifted in the direction of the wind. It was highly localized. Most
important are some of the comments of the witnesses. For instance:

"As they were moving, a light would "drop" a "new" light
from it (less intense than itself) and the "new" light would
fade away. Immediately after that, the light that just
"dropped" the new light would also fade out. This happened
about five times as the collection of lights continued to move."

I am sure Larry Robinson recognizes this description.

Tim Printy

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->

Small business owners...

Tell us what you think!

<http://us.click.yahoo.com/vO1FAB/txzCAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>

-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Thu, 26 Jul 2001 00:50:49 +0100
Subject: [UFORL] July 14-15 Orange Lights over New Jersey

* Posted on behalf of Bob Young (who is still a subscriber) *

Ladies & Gents:

A letter from this past Sunday's Star Ledger (NJ) may shed some real light on the July 14-15 mystery lights which received widespread publicity in the Eastern U.S.

"Logical Explanation"

When I heard about the mysterious lights in Carteret, the first thing I did was call my husband because he works at a data center there. I figured maybe he would have a clue as to what the mystery was about.

Maybe he was the only one with a grip on reality. According to my husband, the lights were nothing more than a group of planes approaching the airport (Newark Airport, one on the 3 big in NYC area-WTH) slowly and quietly. He said the planes flew by while he was smoking a cigarette outside the building. He too was intrigued by the mysterious lights when he first saw them, but when they flew over the data center it became clear the lights were airplanes.

Human imagination is quite amusing. Perhaps some people need drama in their lives. Maybe some lives are just so boring that people need to fabricate stories about UFO's. The evidence is clear. Let's be logical

Carol Giroux, Edison"

I had earlier suggested that the lights may have been New Jersey Army or Air National Guard aircraft dropping flares. This was a Saturday night on a summer weekend. I think that it may be that our old friends, "the Martians" or their colleagues, may be flying some ultralights.

Clear skies,
Bob Young

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Small business owners...
Tell us what you think!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/vO1FAB/txzCAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: TPrinty@aol.com
Date: Wed, 25 Jul 2001 20:49:10 EDT
Subject: Re: [UFORL] July 14-15 Orange Lights over New Jersey
boundary="part1_53.926b457.2890c306_boundary"

<x-html>

<HTML>Bob Young wrote:

"I had earlier suggested that the lights may have been New Jersey

Army or Air National Guard aircraft dropping flares. This was a

Saturday night on a summer weekend. I think that it may be that

our old friends, "the Martians" or their colleagues, may be

flying some ultralights"

I think we/everyone needs to be careful about explaining the events that

night. I do believe the idea of suggesting a military flare drop to be flawed

since of the localized nature of the event. This is not like Arizona where

the flares were dropped some 50 miles away. In this case, the aircraft

dropping the flares would have to have been in the area and we also have the

problem with flares being dropped over a fairly populated area and not on a

practice range (as was the case in Az).

I feel the idea of Ultralights/aircraft lights to be flawed as well when

considering the videos shown. The videos seem to be more like lights
slowly

drifting and not like airplane lights for landing aircraft. There also

appear to be too many lights for a few aircraft.

I find the suggestion that the lights were attached to a darker craft not

supported by the images I have seen. For that matter why would this
dark

craft have lights? I am not sure how Filer keeps seeing these dark craft
in

the videos. Does anyone else see them?

The general idea seems to be the objects drifted with the wind towards the

east. The data shows that the direction from which the wind was blowing was

around 300 degrees (try the following site:

http://co-ops.nos.noaa.gov/data_res.html - I used Bergen Point West
Reach

and Sandy Hook for the time in question). This means the wind was
blowing

towards the ESE. One witness report suggests that the lights would fade
out

as they "dropped" other lights. This seems very much like "garbage

bag/balloon flare" hypothesis is the best theory for the lights.

Anyone with additional information supporting or against this hypothesis

should present it here. Quality MPEG/AVI files of the videos would be

helpful. This could be a very productive discussion.

Tim Printy

<tt>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.</tt>
</br>

</HTML>

</x-html>

From: "Werner Walter" <CENAP@addcom.de>
Date: Thu, 26 Jul 2001 14:55:36 +0200
Subject: [UFORL] Why can't work Sturrock/Rockefeller, Bigelow/NIDS and Greer/CSETI together?

Hello List,

I'm wondering since few year's why seperated groups like Sturrock/Rockefeller, Bigelow/NIDS und Greer/SCETI not working together. Until today I has hearing absolut nothing about bilateral connections or meetings of this groups which make a great work to get a hard stand in the public and furthermore in the scientific community and in the govermental world.

Has anyone a answer to this curiously situation?

Best wishes

Werner Walter, Germany

Werner Walter, CENAP-Mannheim, URL: <http://www.alien.de/cenap>
UFO-Hotline 0621-701370
Herausgeber des Print-Medium CENAP REPORT

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Small business owners...
Tell us what you think!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/vO1FAB/txzCAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: YoungBob2@aol.com
Date: Thu, 26 Jul 2001 12:06:37 EDT
Subject: Re: [UFORL] July 14-15 Orange Lights over New Jersey

In a message dated 7/25/2001 9:21:04 PM Eastern Daylight Time, TPrinty@aol.com writes:

<<

The general idea seems to be the objects drifted with the wind towards the east. The data shows that the direction from which the wind was blowing was around 300 degrees (try the following site:

http://co-ops.nos.noaa.gov/data_res.html - I used Bergen Point West Reach and Sandy Hook for the time in question). This means the wind was blowing towards the ESE. One witness report suggests that the lights would fade out as they "dropped" other lights. This seems very much like "garbage bag/balloon flare" hypothesis is the best theory for the lights.

Anyone with additional information supporting or against this hypothesis should present it here. Quality MPEG/AVI files of the videos would be helpful. This could be a very productive discussion.

>>

Tim:

You may be right about the answer to this incident. In support of the aircraft flares, the Ft. Dix reservation is 40 to 45 miles to the south, and the night was very clear. Against this is the fact that the lights in the video seem to be closer than on the distant horizon. I also consider the possibility of ultralights, but fire balloons might be more likely.

Clear skies,
Bob Young

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor ----->
Small business owners...
Tell us what you think!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/vO1FAB/txzCAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
----->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: TPrinty@aol.com
Date: Thu, 26 Jul 2001 21:58:16 EDT
Subject: Re: [UFORL] July 14-15 Orange Lights over New Jersey
boundary="part1_79.182358aa.289224b8_boundary"

<x-html>
<HTML>List,

There are some reports on the NIDS website

(http://www.nidsci.org/news/newjersey/intro.html). When placed along
with

the other reports listed in Filer's files and the news media, a picture is

beginning to form.

1. There were 15-30 lights that were independent of each other over
Carteret

NJ at roughly 12:30 AM on the morning of the 15th. They were
independent

from each other since most witnesses do not report seeing the dark shape that

Filer keeps talking about. They also were reported in different

formations/formations that shifted.

2. Direction of travel seems to have been to the East(Some witnesses at
NIDS

seemed confused about their directions). The NIDS interviewers were
not very

good at "fleshing out" the important details such as this. The easterly

direction was in the same direction as the wind.

3. The color of the lights seemed to be amber/yellowish/gold.

4. Almost all witnesses described the lights as simply "fading away" or

"disappearing". Several described small lights leaving the main light
prior

to it disappearing (witness #2 and #7 on NIDS). One witness (#3 on
NIDS)

reports seeing smoke.

5. Duration was about 5-15 minutes for most witnesses.

Compare these remarks to those from the Condon report Case 18.

1. Triangular formations were reported. Others reported a
straight line.

2. Motion was in the direction of the wind.

3. The color of the lights seemed to be orange/redish/gold.

4. Witnesses described the lights as "fading away" or "disappearing".
 Two

of nine saw "sparks" leaving the lights

5. Duration was about 5-15 minutes for most witnesses.

Also note Hendry's remarks concerning prank balloons:

"The important symptom of burning, dripping candle wax and conformance to

wind direction prevail here." (The UFO Handbook 47-8)

Interesting parallels in these cases that should not be ignored. Also

interesting to note is Hendry's remarks about positively identifying the

source:

"Only once did I ever have the luck of finding out exactly who launched one

and then only because the police caught him." (The UFO Handbook 47)

While, the "garbage bag balloon" hypothesis is not proven (and may never be

based on Hendry's remark), it seems to be the most likely source of the

lights that evening.

Tim Printy

<!-- |**|begin egp html banner|**| -->

<table border=0 cellpadding=2>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFCC>
<td align=center>Yahoo! Groups
Sponsor</td>
</tr>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFFF>
<td width=470><table border=0 cellpadding=0 cellspacing=0><tr><td
align=center>ADVERTISEMENT
<a
href="http://rd.yahoo.com/M=210574.1511967.3081913.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1
705082741:HM/A=725669/R=0/*http://ads.track-
star.com/adspace.ts?ts=1;2;217;107_133_105_303"target=_top></td></tr></table></td>
</tr>
<tr><td></td></tr>
</table>

<!-- |**|end egp html banner|**| -->

<tt>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.</tt>
</br>

</HTML>

</x-html>

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Fri, 27 Jul 2001 03:32:16 +0100
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Triangular Aircraft?

Regarding:

>From: "Steve Douglass" <webbfeat@1s.net>
>To: <UFORL@yahoogroups.com>
>Subject: Re: [UFORL] Triangular Aircraft?
>Date: 23 July 2001 18:11

Steve wrote:

>James, although your friend's sighting at close range is one I'd
>wish I had, it does not go without precedent. I witnessed three
>large triangular aircraft hovering (Harrier style) over the end
>of the main runway at Holloman, AFB in 1997 which bear striking
>similarities to the report you just cited.

Steve,

It's exceptionally interesting to hear about this.

>The first thing that brought these aircraft to our attention was
>the deep sub-woofer like rumbling and pressure wave they emitted
>as they circled the area.

Comparatively, one aspect of Julia's claims was a 'downward pressure', to an extent she could hardly focus.

>After monitoring them working the southern bombing ranges they
>returned to Holloman to bed down for the night. We watched
>incredulously when while on final approach the trio suddenly
>stopped in mid-air and hovered.

This is all beginning to make some sense of several 'flying triangle' reports that I considered credible.

>They slowly bobbed up and down for five minutes at the end of
>the runway.

>One by one each aircraft sped up and landed.

Amazing... sounds like an episode of the 'X-Files'!

>I have video that some day soon I'll post on my site.

That would be wonderful to see.

>As for these things just disappearing, the Pentagon has perfected

>day-time active camouflage. Check out the article I wrote on
>this for Popular Science on my web page.

I didn't consider the _apparent_ 'cloaking' and 'decloaking' as far-fetched. I was aware of the overall history mentioned in your article.

Still, if this was day-time camouflage and effective at such close range in 1993/94, that was impressive and we can only speculate how much more advanced it would be by now.

For information, the article by Steve and Bill Sweetman can be read at:

<http://www.projectblack.net/pops2.html>

>Since your friend lives near Edwards I wouldn't doubt for a
>second she saw something secret.

It was never an issue that Julia believed the craft was from outer space, indeed, as she noted, "I'm used to seeing the stealth planes, even when they denied the existence of them. Those looked like giant bats in the distant sky. This triangular craft was the same color as the stealth planes, which leads me to believe they are experimental military planes".

Could well be that she was spot on!

Overall, a fascinating development to learn about your own, similar 'UFO' sighting.

I'll pass details on to Julia and presuming her account is genuine and factually reliable - as with any anecdotal testimony that must be taken on trust, plus an assessment of the likely credibility - I'm sure she will be pleased to finally have at least the basis of an explanation for her 'close encounter'.

>Wish she had a camera!

This engages an intriguing facet of 'black project' research.

If Julia had obtained a clear, detailed photograph of the 'aircraft' and both you and I had a copy, what would you do with it?

I would expect to be so concerned about compromising, if not betraying, a conceivably vital military advantage of the 'free world', that I doubt a million dollars would secure publication

of my photograph.

I can't say for certain, without someone actually offering a million dollars versus my conscience.

The inherent question which has always been of interest is where does any 'black project' revelation draw that proverbial line?

As a complete aside, there were a couple of USAF 'patches' which Joel Carpenter kindly made available on this list's predecessor and they can be seen at:

<http://www.ufoworld.co.uk/ftp/patch1.jpg>

<http://www.ufoworld.co.uk/ftp/patch2.jpg>

Have you come across them before?

James Easton.

E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk

www.ufoworld.co.uk

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->

Small business owners...

Tell us what you think!

<http://us.click.yahoo.com/vO1FAB/txzCAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>

-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: Kenny Young <ufo@fuse.net>
Date: Thu, 26 Jul 2001 22:47:37 -0400
Subject: Re: [UFORL] July 14-15 Orange Lights over New Jersey

TPrinty@aol.com wrote:

>
> List,
>
>
> 1. There were 15-30 lights that were independent of each other
> over Carteret
> NJ at roughly 12:30 AM on the morning of the 15th.
>

snip

> "Only once did I ever have the luck of finding out exactly who launched one and
then only because the police caught him." (The UFO Handbook 47)
>
> While, the "garbage bag balloon" hypothesis is not proven (and may never be based
on Hendry's remark), it seems to be the most likely source of the lights that evening.
>
> Tim Printy

Tim;

Thanks for the analysis. But I hesitate with concern when the
number of "15 to 30" objects as you suggest and then conclude
them to be garbage bag balloons. 15 to 30 of them is a fair
amount of work to launch. Do you know if there has been any
previous test to show the feasibility of one person putting these
things up there?

Please recall a similar event in Illinois recently and I had
wondered then about a team of hoaxers conspiring to pull off a
stunt of this sort, thinking an affair of this magnitude "too
involved" for one routine trickster. But if this event is taking
place in other localities, it would rule out the 'regional team'
and seem to suggest a nationwide network of tricksters.
Conspiratorial, I suppose, but not impossible.

I say again, putting one of these things up there is fairly
involved. And further, I don't think a simple 'candle in a bag'
would show up as vividly as seen in the videotapes from New
Jersey. These things are glaring with a brightness comparable (at
least) to an average streetlight to be picked up with consumer
videocameras. Distant garbage bag balloons floating about would
mostly give off a faint, spooky glow that should not generally be
visible to the routine consumer videocamera.

Further, I am not aware of any reports indicating the lights 'ascending' upwards - a trait that should be noted after the fire balloons are launched. Further still, wouldn't they seem to 'congeal' from a certain launch point, rather than be staggered about? Granted this could also be complicated by wind conditions, observation locality, etc., but one would surmise that the balloons would all be sort of 'centralized' around one sloppy area. The video suggests otherwise.

In Middletown, Ohio during the summer of '96, a trickster put up a roadflare suspended underneath an elaborate balloon contraption. No smoke was seen on this one and the brilliant red-colored flare was seen for miles, police chased it - much action. But a privately owned airplane was instructed to investigate by a tower operator at the airport nearby and he approached it close, seeing the flare suspended from underneath some sort of sophisticated balloon contraption. So it was a hoax (identified by the pilot), but the idea could have been a part of or the inspiration behind all these other things going on, but I doubt it's a garbage bag balloon. More likely a series of burning flares suspended from balloons. But I still opt for the 'military flare' theory at this point...

Take care,
KENNY YOUNG

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Small business owners...
Tell us what you think!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/vO1FAB/txzCAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: TPrinty@aol.com
Date: Thu, 26 Jul 2001 23:42:27 EDT
Subject: Re: [UFORL] July 14-15 Orange Lights over New Jersey
boundary="part1_3a.1852ddca.28923d23_boundary"

<x-html>
<HTML>Kenny wrote:

"15 to 30 of them is a fair amount of work to launch. Do you know if there

has been any previous test to show the feasibility of one person putting these

things up there? "

Would it have to be just one person? 3-5 individuals may be able to
handle

the number. The numbers varied between individuals. 30 seemed
to be the

maximum number and 15 the low end.

"I say again, putting one of these things up there is fairly

involved. And further, I don't think a simple 'candle in a bag'

would show up as vividly as seen in the videotapes from New

Jersey. "

Agreed that this is a problem with the "garbage bag balloon" idea.

" Further, I am not aware of any reports indicating the lights

'ascending' upwards - a trait that should be noted after the fire

balloons are launched"

Perhaps long fuses on the flares would make this possible. I recall
reading

a case that used long fuses (I think it was P. Klass's book UFOs Explained).

"Granted this could also be complicated by wind conditions,

observation locality, etc., but one would surmise that the

balloons would all be sort of 'centralized' around one sloppy

area. The video suggests otherwise."

Based on the witness reports it was very localized. Nobody outside of
the

local area seems to have noticed the event. The video (and I really
would

like to see all of it) seems to suggest the lights drifting slowly to the

left. What is it in the video that suggests something else? I would
like to

hear your analysis on this.

"but I doubt it's a garbage bag balloon. More likely a series of burning

flares suspended from balloons. But I still opt for the 'military

flare' theory at this point..."

I agree that 15-30 balloons being launched is something that would have had

some logistical problems. However, the military flare theory fails in that

the planes had to drop near/above town since the events appear highly

localized (at least this is what some of the witnesses indicate and no

reports outside of the local area seem to have materialized) . They would

have been seen and, of course, the problem of dropping over the area would

have had some serious legal issues that the military would not want to be

involved with. The possibility that they were balloons with flares
attached

are a better possibility than the garbage bags and I am willing to accept

that as a possible cause.

Thanks for the good points on the matter.

Tim Printy

<!-- |**|begin egp html banner|**| -->

```
<table border=0 cellspacing=0 cellpadding=2>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFCC>
<td align=center><font size="-1" color=#003399><b>Yahoo! Groups
Sponsor</b></font></td>
</tr>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFFF>
<td width=470><table border=0 cellpadding=0 cellspacing=0><tr><td
align=center><font face=arial size=-2>ADVERTISEMENT</font><br><a
href="http://rd.yahoo.com/M=210574.1511967.3081913.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1
705082741:HM/A=725668/R=0/*http://ads.track-
star.com/adspace.ts?ts=1;2;217;107_132_105_304 "target=_top></a></td></tr></table></td>
</tr>
<tr><td></td></tr>
</table>
```

<!-- |**|end egp html banner|**| -->

<tt>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.</tt>
</br>

</HTML>

</x-html>

From: Kenny Young <ufo@fuse.net>
Date: Fri, 27 Jul 2001 00:36:22 -0400
Subject: Re: [UFORL] July 14-15 Orange Lights over New Jersey

TPrinty@aol.com wrote:

>

What is it in the video that suggests something else? I
> would like to
> hear your analysis on this.

I say again that much of this is depending upon observation-position and wind conditions, but I am trying to imagine a hypothetical situation involving 3 to 5 men in two vans parked on the side of the road (or from a back porch in a neighborhood somewhere) sending these things up. It would seem as though the objects would perhaps 'cluster' together while ascending, despite being independent and unattached. There seems to be no funnel-shaped 'spread' pattern in the video that I have seen indicating a central source of launch. One still-frame that I looked at showed the lights just randomly staggered out with no sort of 'originating' focal point that I could discern. I could be wrong in my expectation of ballistic conduct in this matter of course, not being familiar with the various elements contributing to all this (such as observation angle, rate of climb, wind, etc.)

> I agree that 15-30 balloons being launched is something that
> would have had
> some logistical problems. However, the military flare theory
> fails in that
> the planes had to drop near/above town since the events appear
> highly
> localized

Possibly irrelevant. I have documented cases of time restriction and MOA boundary violations for flare deployment, two notable cases being Evansville, Indiana in '98 and Wilmington, Ohio in '97, both over residential areas. There could always be a reason behind such activity -- rookie pilots or 'hotshots' dogfighting. Perhaps even trying to generate UFO reports. In a certain way, this sort of thing could be likened to the 'mystery booms' that occasionally break windows. People try to figure out what caused the noise and the AF denies any jets breaking the sound barrier. In effect, they simply don't want to get bogged down in lawsuits for property damage because of the hotshot pilots giving it full throttle. Likewise, as in Ohio (in '96 and '97 with the A.N.G.) and Arizona with the Maryland A.N.G., the flare-spewing culprits

are a little hesitant about stepping forward and don't usually do so without a fight.

KY

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~->
Small business owners...
Tell us what you think!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/vO1FAB/txzCAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: Jouko Forsström <jouko.forsstrom@kolumbus.fi>
Date: Fri, 27 Jul 2001 12:41:44 +0300
Subject: Vs: [UFORL] Why can't work Sturrock/Rockefeller, Bigelow/NIDS and Greer/CSETI together?

> Hello List,
>
> I'm wondering since few year's why seperated groups like Sturrock/Rockefeller,
> Bigelow/NIDS und Greer/SCETI not working together. Until today I has hearing
> absolut nothing about bilateral connections or meetings of this groups which
> make a great work to get a hard stand in the public and furthermore in the
> scientific community and in the govermental world.
>
> Has anyone a answer to this curriously situation?
>
> Best wishes
>
> Werner Walter, Germany
>
> Werner Walter, CENAP-Mannheim, URL: <http://www.alien.de/cenap>
> UFO-Hotline 0621-701370
> Herausgeber des Print-Medium CENAP REPORT

Personal chemistry. People just can't stand other's opinions, not even the same ones.
Besides, people tend to be jealous. In Finland we formerly had one bigger UFO-group.
It split in two a couple of years ago. Some members still don't want to talk to each
other. The has been much talk about co-operation, between the two and also with
smaller groups. Still talking...
In business co-op is common, but there is one unifying thing above all others: money.
That's why it works there.

Happy hunting,

Jouko Forsstrom

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Small business owners...
Tell us what you think!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/vO1FAB/txzCAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: joel carpenter <ufx1947@home.com>
Date: Fri, 27 Jul 2001 12:29:26 -0400
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Triangular Aircraft?

>> As for these things just disappearing, the Pentagon has perfected
>> day-time active camouflage. Check out the article I wrote on
>> this for Popular Science on my web page.
>
> I didn't consider the _apparent_ 'cloaking' and 'decloaking' as
> far-fetched. I was aware of the overall history mentioned in your
> article.
>
> Still, if this was day-time camouflage and effective at such
> close range in 1993/94, that was impressive and we can only
> speculate how much more advanced it would be by now.
>
> For information, the article by Steve and Bill Sweetman can be
> read at:
>
> <http://www.projectblack.net/pops2.html>

This stuff --- requires as much skeptical attention as any UFO account IMO. I could believe that underside lighting effects could be useful camouflage under certain conditions (to help reduce shadows), but this business of covering the whole _top_ of an aircraft with EL material that somehow mimics the terrain below??? Come on, for the amount of weight and power consumption this would require, could they justify such a thing as a countermeasure for planes _above_ it? How often would an adversary be looking down at these things under daylight conditions anyway? Could it possibly be bright enough under real-world conditions to truly blend into the background as it moves? If you think about this for about ten seconds you'll see how ludicrous the idea is -- if the upper surface of the plane is replicating the terrain directly below it, then an adversary behind and above would see something different from the terrain behind the aircraft from his POV -- and an adversary at another angle might see a piece of "aircraft-shaped terrain" flying against a _sky_ background -- verdict: typical "black airplane watcher" crap, along with Flying Artichokes, Antigravity B-2s, Donuts on Ropes, and Aurora. But it makes great cover articles in "Popular Pseudoscience" and "Hardwood Decks Illustrated," doesn't it?

Can the Black Airplane people pony up one single instance of an accurate description of a real secret aircraft that's been declassified besides the F-117?

Joel Carpenter

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->

Small business owners...

Tell us what you think!

<http://us.click.yahoo.com/vO1FAB/txzCAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>

-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: busko@stsci.edu
Date: Fri, 27 Jul 2001 13:50:50 -0400 (EDT)
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Triangular Aircraft?

> >> As for these things just disappearing, the Pentagon has perfected
> >> day-time active camouflage. Check out the article I wrote on
> >> this for Popular Science on my web page.
> >
> > I didn't consider the _apparent_ 'cloaking' and 'decloaking' as
> > far-fetched. I was aware of the overall history mentioned in your
> > article.
> >
> > Still, if this was day-time camouflage and effective at such
> > close range in 1993/94, that was impressive and we can only
> > speculate how much more advanced it would be by now.
> >
> > For information, the article by Steve and Bill Sweetman can be
> > read at:
> >
> > <http://www.projectblack.net/pops2.html>
>
>
>
> This stuff --- requires as much skeptical attention as any UFO account IMO.
> I could believe that underside lighting effects could be useful camouflage
> under certain conditions (to help reduce shadows), but this business of
> covering the whole _top_ of an aircraft with EL material that somehow mimics
> the terrain below??? Come on, for the amount of weight and power consumption
> this would require, could they justify such a thing as a countermeasure for
> planes _above_ it? How often would an adversary be looking down at these
> things under daylight conditions anyway? Could it possibly be bright enough
> under real-world conditions to truly blend into the background as it moves?
> If you think about this for about ten seconds you'll see how ludicrous the
> idea is -- if the upper surface of the plane is replicating the terrain
> directly below it, then an adversary behind and above would see something
> different from the terrain behind the aircraft from his POV -- and an
> adversary at another angle might see a piece of "aircraft-shaped terrain"
> flying against a _sky_ background -- verdict: typical "black airplane
> watcher" crap, along with Flying Artichokes, Antigravity B-2s, Donuts on
> Ropes, and Aurora. But it makes great cover articles in "Popular
> Pseudoscience" and "Hardwood Decks Illustrated," doesn't it?
>
> Can the Black Airplane people pony up one single instance of an accurate
> description of a real secret aircraft that's been declassified besides the
> F-117?
>
> Joel Carpenter

Absolutely. Military airplanes and their foes at ground or sea battle stations do not have to _see_ each other to successfully engage, since

they use a device called _radar_ that was perfected many years ago...
To me, all this thing about "invisible" craft is just b*s*. Aside from
the impossibility of designing and building anything that actually works,
as Joel pointed out, the military gain that such technology would bring
would be nill. This reminds me about the crap related to the infamous
"Philadelphia Experiment" where an entire battle ship was supposedly made
"invisible". The story seems to be actually built upon a real experiment
in which strong magnetic fields where created around the ship in order
to prevent it from tripping magnetic mines. The ship was made invisible,
yes, but just to the mines...

-Ivo Busko

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~->
Small business owners...
Tell us what you think!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/vO1FAB/txzCAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: TPrinty@aol.com
Date: Fri, 27 Jul 2001 15:27:17 EDT
Subject: Re: [UFORL] July 14-15 Orange Lights over New Jersey
boundary="part1_119.24edea2.28931a95_boundary"

<x-html>
<HTML>Kenny wrote:

"It would seem as though the objects would perhaps 'cluster' together while

ascending, despite being independent and unattached."

If launched at once, this may be the case but if launched some time apart

they would have been spread out as they appear in the video. You
already

stated there would be somewhat of a logistic problem to launch them together.

 If launched a few minutes apart, you would have a series of clusters

drifting eastward with the wind.

"Possibly irrelevant. I have documented cases of time restriction

and MOA boundary violations for flare deployment, two notable

cases being Evansville, Indiana in '98 and Wilmington, Ohio in

'97, both over residential areas. "

Where is the area that was in use on the night of July 14? In your
MOA

violations, how far were they off the range?

I find it interesting that only people in Carteret reported the event. The

communities of Linden, Rahway, Perth Amboy, and Staten Island are
devoid of

any reports. Even Newark airport did not report the lights (or their

associated aircraft). This means that your "hotdog" pilots would had to have

flown over the town dropping their flares at low altitude. In fact, nobody

reports seeing or hearing any military aircraft. This is not irrelevant as

you suggest.

"Likewise, as in Ohio (in '96 and '97 with the A.N.G.)

and Arizona with the Maryland A.N.G., the flare-spewing culprits

are a little hesitant about stepping forward and don't usually do

so without a fight."

In the case of Arizona, the reports were wide spread all over town (including

the airport). This was because of the distance from Phoenix and altitude
of

the flares. Motion was barely perceptible in these videos.
 However, in the

ABC website the NJ Lights motion is very easy to see. In the Ohio 97
case,

you stated on your website that almost all witnesses saw the aircraft

involved and that it was seen over a wide area.

From: "Robinson, Larry" <lrobin@indiana.edu>
Date: Fri, 27 Jul 2001 15:09:41 -0500
Subject: RE: [UFORL] Triangular Aircraft?

That couldn't work, at least not from all angles. Any display that cloaks for viewers from one angle would not be right for viewers from another. They would have to have the ability to direct different colors in different directions from the same point on the plane.

---- -X =@ o_ = X<= <o> o-< <-I=- -> <O-O-O< <-88 ----
Larry Robinson S/AP/EIS lrobin@indiana.edu
Indiana University (812) 855-5073 Room 42
Kinesiology Dept http://php.indiana.edu/~lrobin
1025 E 7th St Rm 112 HDTV and taxes killed RCA.
Bloomington IN 47405-7109

@ -----Original Message-----

@ From: joel carpenter [mailto:ufx1947@home.com]

@ Sent: Friday, July 27, 2001 11:29

@ To: UFORL@yahoogroups.com

@ Subject: Re: [UFORL] Triangular Aircraft?

@

@

@

@

@ >> As for these things just disappearing, the Pentagon has perfected

@ >> day-time active camouflage. Check out the article I wrote on

@ >> this for Popular Science on my web page.

@ >

@ > I didn't consider the _apparent_ 'cloaking' and 'decloaking' as

@ > far-fetched. I was aware of the overall history mentioned in your

@ > article.

@ >

@ > Still, if this was day-time camouflage and effective at such

@ > close range in 1993/94, that was impressive and we can only

@ > speculate how much more advanced it would be by now.

@ >

@ > For information, the article by Steve and Bill Sweetman can be

@ > read at:

@ >

@ > <http://www.projectblack.net/popsci2.html>

@

@

@

@ This stuff --- requires as much skeptical attention as any

@ UFO account IMO.

@ I could believe that underside lighting effects could be

@ useful camouflage

@ under certain conditions (to help reduce shadows), but this
@ business of
@ covering the whole _top_ of an aircraft with EL material that
@ somehow mimics
@ the terrain below??? Come on, for the amount of weight and
@ power consumption
@ this would require, could they justify such a thing as a
@ countermeasure for
@ planes _above_ it? How often would an adversary be looking
@ down at these
@ things under daylight conditions anyway? Could it possibly be
@ bright enough
@ under real-world conditions to truly blend into the
@ background as it moves?
@ If you think about this for about ten seconds you'll see how
@ ludicrous the
@ idea is -- if the upper surface of the plane is replicating
@ the terrain
@ directly below it, then an adversary behind and above would
@ see something
@ different from the terrain behind the aircraft from his POV -- and an
@ adversary at another angle might see a piece of
@ "aircraft-shaped terrain"
@ flying against a _sky_ background -- verdict: typical "black airplane
@ watcher" crap, along with Flying Artichokes, Antigravity
@ B-2s, Donuts on
@ Ropes, and Aurora. But it makes great cover articles in "Popular
@ Pseudoscience" and "Hardwood Decks Illustrated," doesn't it?
@
@ Can the Black Airplane people pony up one single instance of
@ an accurate
@ description of a real secret aircraft that's been
@ declassified besides the
@ F-117?
@
@ Joel Carpenter
@
@
@
@ ----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
@ -----~-->
@ Small business owners...
@ Tell us what you think!
@ <http://us.click.yahoo.com/vO1FAB/txzCAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
@ -----
@ -----~-->
@
@
@
@ Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to

@ <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

@

@

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->

Small business owners...

Tell us what you think!

<http://us.click.yahoo.com/vO1FAB/txzCAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>

-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: Kenny Young <ufo@fuse.net>
Date: Fri, 27 Jul 2001 21:35:41 -0400
Subject: Re: [UFORL] July 14-15 Orange Lights over New Jersey

TPrinty@aol.com wrote:

- >
- > "Possibly irrelevant. I have documented cases of time
- > restriction
- > and MOA boundary violations for flare deployment, two notable
- > cases being Evansville, Indiana in '98 and Wilmington, Ohio in
- > '97, both over residential areas. "
- >
- > Where is the area that was in use on the night of July 14? In
- > your MOA
- > violations, how far were they off the range?
- >

I haven't a clue as to where the MOA's are near the Carteret site, but in the Ohio case from '97, low-flying jets and brilliant flares were seen around the Wilmington Airport (and residential areas) in Clinton County, Ohio, possibly 60 to 75-miles northwest of the Buckeye MOA, centered around eastern Brown and Adams County (Peebles). If my understanding is correct, any jet can quickly cross this distance in remarkably short time.

- > I find it interesting that only people in Carteret reported the
- > event. The
- > communities of Linden, Rahway, Perth Amboy, and Staten Island
- > are devoid of
- > any reports.

Perhaps such a flare deployment (if a viable explanation) was localized above Carteret, not as dramatic a spectacle from the other communities. Further, just because sighting claims aren't generated from a region doesn't constitute 'invisibility' from certain vantage points. It might be simpler to assume that those people just weren't particularly moved to advise others of the sighting, for whatever reason. Perhaps less dramatic or otherwise easily explainable from that vantage point.

- Even Newark airport did not report the lights (or
- > their
 - > associated aircraft). This means that your "hotdog" pilots
 - > would had to have
 - > flown over the town dropping their flares at low altitude. In
 - > fact, nobody
 - > reports seeing or hearing any military aircraft.

This might perhaps be a speculative commentary, assuming that those who 'did' see or hear military aircraft would have been compelled to involve themselves by announcing such. It might be probable that most people who would see or hear a military (or other) aircraft wouldn't think twice about it and go on their way, and when hearing about a mass-UFO sighting later in the evening (perhaps from a friend or in the news), might not even equate the two.

Have a good one,
KENN6

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Small business owners...
Tell us what you think!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/vO1FAB/txzCAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: TPrinty@aol.com
Date: Fri, 27 Jul 2001 22:30:30 EDT
Subject: Re: [UFORL] July 14-15 Orange Lights over New Jersey
boundary="part1_a2.17764174.28937dc6_boundary"

<x-html>
<HTML>Kenny Young wrote:

"I haven't a clue as to where the MOA's are near the Carteret

site, but in the Ohio case from '97, low-flying jets and

brilliant flares were seen around the Wilmington Airport (and

residential areas) in Clinton County, Ohio, possibly 60 to

75-miles northwest of the Buckeye MOA, centered around eastern

Brown and Adams County (Peebles). If my understanding is correct,

any jet can quickly cross this distance in remarkably short time."

Hmmm..assuming they are not breaking the sound barrier, a jet traveling at

600mph would take at least 6 minutes to go 60 miles. That is a bit longer

than a short time in my book and eternity for most jet pilots to stray from

their operations area (of course we are discussing ANG pilots who have less

flying time than most USAF pilots). Are you sure they were using this MOA
and

not someplace else?

"Perhaps such a flare deployment (if a viable explanation) was

localized above Carteret, not as dramatic a spectacle from the

other communities."

Hmmm...Again, you seem to make the suggestion that the flares would have
been

dropped locally. This would be the reason such observations would be

considered insignificant from more distant locations. As I stated before,

you mentioned that in the 97 Ohio case, most of the witnesses saw the

aircraft. In the Az case, the flares were dropped some distance from
Phoenix

on the bombing range (actually as the planes were leaving the range).
 The

lack of any sighting of nearby military craft would make such a flare drop

hypothesis unlikely. Had anyone seen a military jet, I am sure they
would

have mentioned it. More than likely associating the UFO being pursued by the

jet or the jet being pursued by the UFO.

"Further, just because sighting claims aren't

generated from a region doesn't constitute 'invisibility' from

certain vantage points. It might be simpler to assume that those

people just weren't particularly moved to advise others of the

sighting, for whatever reason. Perhaps less dramatic or otherwise

easily explainable from that vantage point."

Considering the lights were moving towards Staten Island, I would think the

lights would have caused a stir there. Again, the localization of the lights

means they were low. Another reason that the military flare drop theory
is

not likely.

"This might perhaps be a speculative commentary, assuming that

those who 'did' see or hear military aircraft would have been

compelled to involve themselves by announcing such. It might be

probable that most people who would see or hear a military (or

other) aircraft wouldn't think twice about it and go on their

way, and when hearing about a mass-UFO sighting later in the

evening (perhaps from a friend or in the news), might not even

equate the two."

The witnesses of the lights should have seen the source of military flares.

How often do military aircraft buzz Carteret? I would bet the frequency
of

such events is very rare.

"Have a good one"

Of course. You too.

Tim Printy

</XMP><FONT COLOR="#0f0f0f" SIZE=2
FAMILY="SANSSERIF" FACE="Arial" LANG="0">

<!-- |**|begin egp html banner|**| -->

<table border=0 cellspacing=0 cellpadding=2>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFCC>
<td align=center>Yahoo! Groups
Sponsor</td>
</tr>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFFF>
<td width=470><table border=0 cellpadding=0 cellspacing=0><tr><td
align=center>ADVERTISEMENT
<a
href="http://rd.yahoo.com/M=210574.1511967.3081913.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1


```
705082741:HM/A=725669/R=0/*http://ads.track-
star.com/adspace.ts?ts=1;2;217;107_133_105_303"target=_top></a></td></tr></table></td>
</tr>
<tr><td></td></tr>
</table>

<!-- **|end egg html banner**| -->
```

```
<br>
<tt>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the <a
href="http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/">Yahoo! Terms of Service</a>.</tt>
</br>
```

```
</HTML>
```

```
</x-html>
```

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Sat, 28 Jul 2001 04:16:39 +0100
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Triangular Aircraft?

Regarding:

>From: "joel carpenter" <ufx1947@home.com>
>To: <UFORL@yahoogroups.com>
>Subject: Re: [UFORL] Triangular Aircraft?
>Date: 27 July 2001 18:35

Joel wrote:

>This stuff --- requires as much skeptical attention as any UFO
>account IMO.

Joel,

Absolutely...

>Can the Black Airplane people pony up one single instance of an
>accurate description of a real secret aircraft that's been
>declassified besides the F-117?

How do we reconcile Chris Gibson's detailed observation - Chris
of course being an aircraft recognition expert - of that
triangular aircraft which has never been declassified?

For those who aren't familiar with Chris's sighting report - see:

http://www.ufoworld.co.uk/chris_g.txt

As seemingly credible, related evidence, there's also that
possible 'faux pas' which occurred in the UK documentary 'Billion
Dollar Secrets', broadcast in 1999.

To recap; the documentary featured some research into US 'black
budget' programmes which was undertaken by Nick Cook, a British
aviation journalist and correspondent for 'Jane's Defence
Weekly'.

This included interviews with Jack Gordon, CEO of the 'Skunk-
Works' and Boyd Bushman, who works with 'advanced concepts' for
LockMart.

Perhaps the most significant and exceptional interview was with
Lt. Gen. George Muellner, who acknowledged that 'black projects'
are kept that way until they 'mature'.

The following is a transcript of discussions between Nick Cook

(NC) and 'General' Muellner (GM):

NC: General, I've just spent a couple of days in Southern Colorado, where a lot of apparently credible witnesses are reporting sightings of apparently unconventional aircraft, and I mean things like huge black triangles, silent helicopters, all kinds of extraordinary craft.

Should we be looking to the USAF for whatever is going on in this place?

GM: Well, the only programmes that I'm aware of that we have operating out in that environment... obviously are 117s operate out of Holloman... they're triangular shaped, obviously they're black and they operate at night quite frequently and so on.

All of the rest of the aeroplanes we have operating down in the Holloman area in particular are all conventional airplanes.

So, I don't know of any other development programmes or anything else that's going on down in that area or in southern Colorado in general, or for that matter in the New Mexico area.

We don't have anything of significance operating there.

I can tell you as an aviator though, quite frequently it's very, very difficult to discern what a platform is. B-2 for instance, if you're looking near co-altitude with it, it just seems to disappear into the background.

NC: But again, these people are describing things that literally hover over them sometimes, seemingly unmistakable sightings of these things.

GM: Well, I'll tell you, if they can get me the name of the contractor and whosoever operating, I'd love to put them under contract to develop some of these things.
[END]

When this program was subsequently shown in the US, someone made an intriguing observation:

"I was watching a TLC program today called 'Billion Dollar Secret' and something very interesting caught my eye.

Jane's Nick Cook was interviewing the head of the Air Force when I began looking at the collection of models on the shelves behind his head. Notice anything funny? On the left side of the screen

was an unidentifiable aircraft that looked remarkably like the stealth swing wing 'switch blade' that I've been chasing for the last few years.

Take a look at the attached frame grabbed stills and tell me what you think?"

I couldn't recall who had spotted that conceivable clue, however, when I, eventually, located my archived copy, it was no surprise to find this originated from Steve Douglass.

I've also found a copy of that 'frame grab' and uploaded it to:

<http://www.ufoworld.co.uk/ftp/swing.jpg>

If I'm not mistaken, Chris commented that the 'non existent aircraft' depicted was a close resemblance to what he had observed.

I suppose the point is - what other developments have never been revealed?

I do however share your due skepticism and still find it difficult to accept that Julia's report - albeit 'straightforward' and seemingly credible - is evidence of an overall remarkable technology which remains comprehensively concealed.

Conversely... we have Steve's reported observation of those 'verging on unbelievable' 1997 Holloman, AFB 'black' aircraft.

Adding some proverbial fuel to the fire, Nick Cook states, "But again, these people are describing things that literally hover over them sometimes, seemingly unmistakable sightings of these things".

And he wasn't even aware of Julia's account!

As a result of this discussion, I've been reminded of Muellner's, quite fundamental, remarks:

"Well, I'll tell you, if they can get me the name of the contractor and whosoever operating, I'd love to put them under contract to develop some of these things".

It's a pity Nick Cook couldn't have asked... "that stealth swing-wing 'switch blade'... who developed this aircraft, has its

existence been disclosed and is it operational?".

Muellner's response to _that_ would have been worth seeing!

I'm sure we can all agree on what must be an evident conclusion;
the truth is out there, and someone's not conveying it.

James Easton.

E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk

www.ufoworld.co.uk

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->

Small business owners...

Tell us what you think!

<http://us.click.yahoo.com/vO1FAB/txzCAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>

-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Sat, 28 Jul 2001 04:55:08 +0100
Subject: [UFORL] 'Saucer Expansion'

There's an interesting, as always, article by Martin Kottmeyer in the July 2001 edition of 'REALL News', published by the 'Rational Examination Association of Lincoln Land'.

Entitled 'Saucer Expansion', Martin examines historical 'flying saucer' data from a study by Ed Stewart.

The entire publication is available as an Acrobat PDF file from:

<http://www.reall.org/index.html>

James Easton.
E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk
www.ufoworld.co.uk

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Small business owners...
Tell us what you think!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/vO1FAB/txzCAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: Ed Stewart <ufoindex@lanset.com>
Date: Fri, 27 Jul 2001 22:41:16 -0700
Subject: Re: [UFORL] 'Saucer Expansion'

James,

Thank you for bringing Kottmeyer's article and insights to the attention of this list.

For anyone interested in my referenced studies that some of Kottmeyer's article is based on, please don't use the posting that was made to UFOupdates. That posting was butchered and taken out of context by the list owner without my knowledge or approval and do not reflect the full study postings I posted initially to the UFO Skeptics, CANUFO and Project-1947 mailing lists. If one is interested in the original study use the following public and accessible links:

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/canufo/message/1648>
or
http://debunk.listbot.com/cgi-bin/subscriber?Act=view_message&list_id=debunk&msg_num=386&start_num=392

Also, a corollary posting (centered on media handling of the UFO wave of 1947) can be found at:

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/canufo/message/1649>
or
http://debunk.listbot.com/cgi-bin/subscriber?Act=view_message&list_id=debunk&msg_num=396&start_num=412

I used the Canadian newsclippings because they provided a good cross-section from coast to coast with almost 2/3 of the newsclippings being wire stories that also ran in each and every State in the US. Only a third of the Canadian clippings appeared to be locally generated. Thus, I felt that the Canadian newsclippings would be a good sample to also reflect what was being reported in the US press at the time.

Regards,

Ed Stewart

James Easton wrote:

- > There's an interesting, as always, article by Martin Kottmeyer in
- > the July 2001 edition of 'REALL News', published by the 'Rational
- > Examination Association of Lincoln Land'.
- >
- > Entitled 'Saucer Expansion', Martin examines historical 'flying
- > saucer' data from a study by Ed Stewart.

>
> The entire publication is available as an Acrobat PDF file from:
>
> <http://www.reall.org/index.html>
>
> James Easton.
> E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk
> www.ufoworld.co.uk

--

Ed Stewart | So Man, who here seems principal alone,
ufoindex@lanset.com | Perhaps acts second to some sphere unknown.
,>'?'<, | Touches some wheel, or verges to some goal,
(O O) | 'Tis but a part we see, and not a whole.
-----ooOO-()-OOoo----- Alexander Pope, Essay on Man -----

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Small business owners...
Tell us what you think!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/vO1FAB/txzCAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: Kenny Young <ufo@fuse.net>
Date: Sat, 28 Jul 2001 03:03:57 -0400
Subject: Re: [UFORL] July 14-15 Orange Lights over New Jersey

TPrinty@aol.com wrote:

>

> Hmmm...assuming they are not breaking the sound barrier, a jet
> traveling at
> 600mph would take at least 6 minutes to go 60 miles. That is a
> bit longer
> than a short time in my book and eternity for most jet pilots
> to stray from
> their operations area (of course we are discussing ANG pilots
> who have less
> flying time than most USAF pilots). Are you sure they were
> using this MOA and
> not someplace else?

Tim,

In the Ohio case from '97, the jets were deploying flares from a line stretching from Wilmington, Ohio to Maysville, Kentucky. The Buckeye MOA is midway between these two points, their dogfighting this particular evening clearly extended beyond the permitted zone where they are authorized to conduct these practice maneuvers. Now do we know why the overlap? That will always be speculative.

> Hmmm...Again, you seem to make the suggestion that the flares
> would have been
> dropped locally. This would be the reason such observations
> would be
> considered insignificant from more distant locations. As I
> stated before,
> you mentioned that in the 97 Ohio case, most of the witnesses
> saw the
> aircraft. In the Az case, the flares were dropped some
> distance from Phoenix
> on the bombing range (actually as the planes were leaving the
> range). The
> lack of any sighting of nearby military craft would make such a
> flare drop
> hypothesis unlikely.

Not necessarily and again speculative. Keep in mind the high visibility of a flare vs. routine aircraft headlighting (compounded further still by direction of travel vs. observation angle), also keep in mind the likeliness of jet aircraft

departing the scene as the flare begins to burn brightly.
Especially so in a 'localized' issue.

Had anyone seen a military jet, I am sure
> they would
> have mentioned it.

In the Arizona case you mention above, I do recall hearing testimony of someone observing jets, possibly through a spotting scope or something like that. But again, if anyone is likely to see and identify any routine jet, why report it? And it would be speculative to surmise that those who do would immediately associate their 'obviously a jet' sighting with all those other people reporting strange lights.

>
> Considering the lights were moving towards Staten Island, I
> would think the
> lights would have caused a stir there. Again, the localization
> of the lights
> means they were low. Another reason that the military flare
> drop theory is
> not likely.

I don't think so. In Wilmington, Ohio in '97, the witness I interviewed described the situation as an outright disturbance. The jets, he said, were nearly at treetop level. He said the jets would project the flares and move off, and he expressed no great surprise that others who would see the flares would mistake them for UFOs. Localization and 'low level' does not necessarily obfuscate the flare explanation. Everything is localized somewhere and gravity dictates it coming down low in the long run.

> The witnesses of the lights should have seen the source of
> military flares.

Assuming that the jets 'stick around' or hover in place after flare deployment. Also assuming that the headlighting on these hovering planes are just as clearly visible as the flares from all angles to the ground observer.

> How often do military aircraft buzz Carteret? I would bet the
> frequency of
> such events is very rare.

Of course, that is why people mistake these maneuvers for UFO sightings.

Thanks again, I enjoy the discussion

KENNY

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~->

Small business owners...

Tell us what you think!

<http://us.click.yahoo.com/vO1FAB/txzCAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>

-----~->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: YoungBob2@aol.com
Date: Sat, 28 Jul 2001 09:59:18 EDT
Subject: Re: [UFORL] July 14-15 Orange Lights over New Jersey

In a message dated 7/27/2001 10:13:38 PM Eastern Daylight Time, ufo@fuse.net writes:

<<

I haven't a clue as to where the MOA's are near the Carteret site, but in the Ohio case from '97, low-flying jets and brilliant flares were seen around the Wilmington Airport (and residential areas) in Clinton County, Ohio, possibly 60 to 75-miles northwest of the Buckeye MOA, centered around eastern Brown and Adams County (Peebles). If my understanding is correct, any jet can quickly cross this distance in remarkably short time.

>>

Kenny, Tim:

The Fort Dix military reservation, with McGuire AFB at its western end and Lakehurst Naval Air Station near its eastern end, is 40-45 miles to the south of Carteret, NJ. The night was very clear. In the very short video clip I have seen, from the web, the lights seem to be widely spread, however, who knows how much the image was enlarged? Or even the direction or location.

Clear skies,
Bob Young

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Small business owners...
Tell us what you think!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/vO1FAB/txzCAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: TPrinty@aol.com

Date: Sat, 28 Jul 2001 15:15:07 EDT

Subject: Re: [UFORL] July 14-15 Orange Lights over New Jersey
boundary="part1_d.182ee0f6.2894693b_boundary"

<x-html>

<HTML>Kenny wrote:

"In the Ohio case from '97, the jets were deploying flares from a

line stretching from Wilmington, Ohio to Maysville, Kentucky. The

Buckeye MOA is midway between these two points, their dogfighting

this particular evening clearly extended beyond the permitted

zone where they are authorized to conduct these practice

maneuvers. Now do we know why the overlap? That will always be

speculative."

Kenny, curious that you should state the aircrafts MOA is between these two

points. Do you have conclusive proof they were outside the MOA? It
seems

that the two locations could see the aircraft inside the MOA from each

location if they were high enough. Since your website is down (at least
the

particulars), perhaps you could enlighten me (and the rest of the list) of

how you were able to determine they were outside the MOA and by how much?

"Not necessarily and again speculative. Keep in mind the high

visibility of a flare vs. routine aircraft headlighting

(compounded further still by direction of travel vs. observation

angle), also keep in mind the likeliness of jet aircraft

departing the scene as the flare begins to burn brightly.

Especially so in a 'localized' issue."

The point being that some individuals saw the lights travel over them or to

the south of them and others saw them to the east. What you seem to be

suggesting is that the aircraft that dropped these flares managed to fly

overhead without anybody noticing. Given that the number of supposed
flares

is 15-30, this would require more than one craft since the compliment of most

aircraft would be less than this number. As for the exact number, I am not

sure how many but one aircraft dropping flares for kicks just does not make

sense. Two aircraft in practice night interceptions might be involved but

then they would have done many maneuvers over the area, which also would
not

go unnoticed since they would be performing military maneuvers and not just

passing by.

"In the Arizona case you mention above, I do recall hearing

testimony of someone observing jets, possibly through a spotting

scope or something like that. But again, if anyone is likely to

see and identify any routine jet, why report it? And it would be

speculative to surmise that those who do would immediately

associate their 'obviously a jet' sighting with all those other

people reporting strange lights."

This is the Stanley observation which goes a long way to explaining the

8-8:30 PM event and not the flare 10PM event. You seem to think that a

military jet overflying an area dumping flares would go unnoticed.

 Newark

does not have military jets frequenting the area. It would not be
"routine".

"I don't think so. In Wilmington, Ohio in '97, the witness I

interviewed described the situation as an outright disturbance.

The jets, he said, were nearly at treetop level. He said the jets

would project the flares and move off, and he expressed no great

surprise that others who would see the flares would mistake them

for UFOs. Localization and 'low level' does not necessarily

obfuscate the flare explanation. Everything is localized

somewhere and gravity dictates it coming down low in the long

run"

So, even in areas where military jets were frequently seen, people did not

"ignore" the aircraft. The low altitude of the NJ lights would imply the

drop was made at low altitude and the witnesses in Carteret would have

seen/heard the jet(s) passing by.

"Assuming that the jets 'stick around' or hover in place after

flare deployment. Also assuming that the headlighting on these

hovering planes are just as clearly visible as the flares from

all angles to the ground observer"

Military aircraft dropping flares would have made a lot of noise alerting

individuals to their presence. Are you suggesting such aircraft are silent

or were simply cruising by and felt like dropping flares for no reason?

"Thanks again, I enjoy the discussion"

Of course. Do not misinterpret my tone in these discussions. I
respect your

opinions on the matter.

Tim Printy

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->

<FONT COLOR="#000099" SIZE=2 FAMILY="SANSSERIF"
FACE="Arial" LANG="0">Small business owners...

Tell us what you think!

Click
Here!

-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

</XMP><FONT COLOR="#0f0f0f" SIZE=2
FAMILY="SANSSERIF" FACE="Arial" LANG="0">

----- Headers -----

Return-Path: <sentto-3596669-44-996339341-
TPrinty=aol.com@returns.onelist.com>

Received: from rly-zc05.mx.aol.com (rly-zc05.mail.aol.com [172.31.33.5]) by

air-zc01.mail.aol.com (v79.27) with ESMTP id MAILINZC16-0728125647;
Sat, 28

Jul 2001 12:56:47 -0400

Received: from n32.groups.yahoo.com (n32.groups.yahoo.com
[216.115.96.82])

by rly-zc05.mx.aol.com (v79.20) with ESMTP id MAILRELAYINZC55-
0728125610;

Sat, 28 Jul 2001 12:56:10 -0400

sentto-3596669-44-996339341-TPrinty=aol.com@returns.onelist.com

Received: from [10.1.4.53] by hn.egroups.com with NNFMP; 28 Jul 2001
16:55:42

-0000

Received: (qmail 14226 invoked from network); 28 Jul 2001 16:55:41 -0000

Received: from unknown (10.1.10.26) by l7.egroups.com with QMQP; 28 Jul
2001

16:55:41 -0000

Received: from unknown (HELO n23.groups.yahoo.com) (10.1.2.83) by mta1
with

SMTP; 28 Jul 2001 16:55:41 -0000

Received: from [10.1.10.93] by ck.egroups.com with NNFMP; 28 Jul
2001

16:55:40 -0000

-0000

X-Apparently-To: UFORL@yahoogroups.com

Received: (EGP: mail-7_2_0); 28 Jul 2001 07:08:28 -0000

Received: (qmail 32309 invoked from network); 28 Jul 2001 07:08:28 -0000

Received: from unknown (10.1.10.142) by l8.egroups.com with QMQP; 28 Jul
2001

07:08:28 -0000

Received: from unknown (HELO mta03.fuse.net) (216.68.1.123) by mta3 with

SMTP; 28 Jul 2001 07:08:28 -0000

Received: from fuse.net ([216.68.41.91]) by mta03.fuse.net (InterMail

vM.5.01.02.00 201-253-122-103-20001017) with ESMTP id <

20010728070846.BVAS24408.mta03.fuse.net@fuse.net> for
<UFORL@yahoogroups.com>

; Sat, 28 Jul 2001 03:08:46 -0400

Message-ID: <3B6263DD.BCC440AD@fuse.net>

X-Accept-Language: en

To: UFORL@yahoogroups.com

References: <a2.17764174.28937dc6@aol.com>

From: Kenny Young <ufo@fuse.net>

Date: Sat, 28 Jul 2001 03:03:57 -0400

Subject: Re: [UFORL] July 14-15 Orange Lights over New Jersey

<!-- |**|begin egg html banner|**| -->

```
<table border=0 cellspacing=0 cellpadding=2>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFCC>
<td align=center><font size="-1" color=#003399><b>Yahoo! Groups
Sponsor</b></font></td>
</tr>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFFF>
<td width=470><table border=0 cellpadding=0 cellspacing=0><tr><td
align=center><font face=arial size=-2>ADVERTISEMENT</font><br><a
href="http://rd.yahoo.com/M=210574.1511967.3081913.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1
705082741:HM/A=725669/R=0/*http://ads.track-
star.com/adspace.ts?ts=1;2;217;107_133_105_303"target=_top></a></td></tr></table></td>
</tr>
<tr><td></td></tr>
</table>
```

<!-- |**|end egg html banner|**| -->

<tt>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.</tt>
</br>

</HTML>

</x-html>

From: TPrinty@aol.com

Date: Sat, 28 Jul 2001 15:36:00 EDT

Subject: Re: [UFORL] July 14-15 Orange Lights over New Jersey
boundary="part1_e3.1830c9a9.28946e20_boundary"

<x-html>

<HTML>Bob Young wrote:

"The Fort Dix military reservation, with McGuire AFB at its western

end and Lakehurst Naval Air Station near its eastern end, is 40-45

miles to the south of Carteret, NJ. The night was very clear. In the

very short video clip I have seen, from the web, the lights seem to be

widely spread, however, who knows how much the image was

enlarged? Or even the direction or location."

From: YoungBob2@aol.com
Date: Sun, 29 Jul 2001 00:29:06 EDT
Subject: Re: [UFORL] July 14-15 Orange Lights over New Jersey

In a message dated 7/28/2001 7:19:04 PM Eastern Daylight Time,
TPrinty@aol.com writes:

<< I was just looking at the meteor analysis for the daylight fireball a few days ago. In this case the interviewers were able to obtain data that was usable and the track of the meteor seems pretty well known. In the case of NIDS, a supposedly scientific research group, the interviewer did not "flesh out" the details like definite directions and angles of elevation as well as he should have. It did not seem to important to him based on the transcript I have read. With 8 witnesses available (the 9th seems totally unrelated), even if only four could give good values of direction and elevation, the actual trajectory of the lights could be determined. Based on the sketchy data, it seems the lights moved from just north or east of exit 12 and then ESE towards Staten island. This is based on really minimal information in these eyewitness reports and Filer's files. When more precise data is posted by those collecting the data, we may be able to get a better fix.
>>

Tim:

The surface winds were from close to WNW
http://weather.unisys.com/archive/sfc_map/0107/01071500.gif

The 850 mb winds, at about 5,000 feet, were from the NW
The 300 mb winds, at about 30,000 feet, were also from the NW
http://weather.unisys.com/archive/eta_init/0107/01071500.gif

Clear skies,
Bob Young

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Small business owners...
Tell us what you think!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/vO1FAB/txzCAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: Kenny Young <ufo@fuse.net>
Date: Sun, 29 Jul 2001 01:04:31 -0400
Subject: Re: [UFORL] July 14-15 Orange Lights over New Jersey

TPrinty@aol.com wrote:

- >
- > Kenny, curious that you should state the aircrafts MOA is
- > between these two
- > points. Do you have conclusive proof they were outside the MOA?

In the Ohio '97 case, flares and jets were directly overhead in Wilmington, Ohio at low=level. This, as stated earlier, is roughly 50 miles or more to the northeast of the Buckeye MOA. Maysville, Kentucky is also not in a MOA and flares were reported in this immediate area also. Evansville, Indiana from '98 is another occasion.

- > What you seem to be suggesting is that the aircraft that
- > dropped these flares managed to fly
- > overhead without anybody noticing.

I see no reason to require anyone to 'notice' the plane sounds given the many variables such as elevation and how sound would carry from a certain distance and certain pre-existing ambient noise from their observation locality. I also see no reason to require anyone to 'notice' the planes visibly from their distant location as opposed to their movement, elevation and brightness vs. the relatively stationary (w/slight descending barely perceptible) motion of bright flares.

- > Given that the number of supposed flares is 15-30, this
- > would require more than one craft since the compliment of most
- > aircraft would be less than this number. As for the exact
- > number, I am not sure how many but one aircraft dropping
- > flares for kicks just does not make sense.

I'm not with you on this one. I can't see anything that defies reason to suppose three or four jets could have been out there randomly maneuvering around and putting out flares. Counter=arguments seem to presuppose things without taking into account basic elements such as distance, angle of observation plus the ever-present 'witness misperception' potential.

- > This is the Stanley observation which goes a long way to
- > explaining the
- > 8-8:30 PM event and not the flare 10PM event. You seem to
- > think that a
- > military jet overflying an area dumping flares would go

- > unnoticed. Newark
- > does not have military jets frequenting the area. It would not
- > be "routine".

And once again, this goes to show that a rare sort of event as this one will generate UFO claims.

- > So, even in areas where military jets were frequently seen,
- > people did not
- > "ignore" the aircraft. The low altitude of the NJ lights would
- > imply the
- > drop was made at low altitude and the witnesses in Carteret
- > would have
- > seen/heard the jet(s) passing by.
- >

It wouldn't be practical to require the jets to 'stick around' the flare once its burning, it also isn't necessary for every witness, from their distant vantage point, to take note of the jet by its running lights or hear its engine. The few cases where they are observed in conjunction with the flares establishes precedent for the viability of these operations but once again, I see no reason to require the positive identification of jets in conjunction with the flares they leave behind on every occasion.

Best regards,
KENNY YOUNG

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Small business owners...
Tell us what you think!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/vO1FAB/txzCAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: Chris Gibson <cgibson@skomer.u-net.com>

Date: Sun, 29 Jul 2001 08:40:34 +0000

Subject: Re: Re: [UFORL] Triangular Aircraft?

1) Tacit Blue, Joel? The general descriptions from the rumour mill were fairly accurate prior to it's being pulled into the USAF Museum.

2) Detailed? It was black and triangular. That was the problem!

3) "Muellner's response to _that_ would have been worth seeing!"

Possibly similar to the reaction of a Royal Navy admiral on being asked about "Zircon" by Duncan Campbell in the 1987 TV programme, "Secret Society". I pissed myself laughing.

I have to agree with Joel on the amount of rubbish talked about black aircraft. IMO the subject has been flogged to death and has queered the pitch for other work on related subjects.

Chris

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->

Small business owners...

Tell us what you think!

<http://us.click.yahoo.com/vO1FAB/txzCAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>

-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>


```
<tr><td></td></tr>
</table>
```

```
<!-- |**|end egp html banner|**| -->
```

```
<br>
<tt>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the <a
href="http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/">Yahoo! Terms of Service</a>.</tt>
</br>
```

```
</HTML>
```

```
</x-html>
```


From: TPrinty@aol.com
Date: Sun, 29 Jul 2001 12:42:32 EDT
Subject: Re: [UFORL] July 14-15 Orange Lights over New Jersey
boundary="part1_2d.f1873ad.289596f8_boundary"

<x-html>
<HTML>Kenny wrote:

"I'm not with you on this one. I can't see anything that defies

reason to suppose three or four jets could have been out there

randomly maneuvering around and putting out flares.

Counter=arguments seem to presuppose things without taking into

account basic elements such as distance, angle of observation

plus the ever-present 'witness misperception' potential."

I am still trying to figure out how a few military jets maneuvering in the

sky and dropping these flares over Carteret would go unnoticed. The
lights

were all fairly close together which means the drops would have to happen

over the town or just to the NW. They also would have to be low flying
since

the lights were at low altitude. The noise and maneuvers should have
been

noticed by the population/witnesses. Instead nobody refers to any
aircraft

in the vicinity at the time.

"I see no reason to require the positive identification of jets in

conjunction with the flares they leave behind on every occasion."

I see no reason to believe that jets maneuvering over Carteret at low

altitudes would go unnoticed yet the flares (which are silent) would be

noticed.

Just to add to the information already being presented, I found this article

from the July 18 Courier News concerning the events in question:

<FONT COLOR="#000000" SIZE=3 FAMILY="SANS-serif"
FACE="Arial" LANG="0"><I>"The mystery of the flickering lights seen by scores
of people over

the borough early Sunday has yet to be cracked. But what some observers

described as an unidentified flying object wasn't that mysterious to J.C.

Guskind.

Guskind is a driver for B&L Towing in Carteret and a volunteer firefighter
in

Clark. About 12:20 a.m. Sunday, he saw some strange lights, too, floating up

from the back yard of a home opposite the Rising Star Diner on Roosevelt

Avenue.

Those were the lights that drew television crews to the borough on Monday, he

said.

"They were bar-shaped, but brighter than a glow stick," he said. "They all

went up as though they were perfectly timed."

He assumed they were battery-powered lights tied to a string of balloons, but

saw no balloons and no one actually launching them.

He said they floated southwest, which matches the description given by

witnesses of the flickering lights."<FONT COLOR="#000000"
SIZE=2 FAMILY="SANSERIF" FACE="Arial" LANG="0"></I>

So it seems that this could be the launch point. I am suprised that the

police have not inquired. Even the Guskind has reported making a call to
the

police, the police chief seems to have not heard about this information.

However, if we are to believe Guskind, then the lights did not come from the

air but from the ground and rose upwards.

I also notice that NIDS added two more witnesses but the report seems to be

very disjointed. Again, I find it difficult to understand the "scientiific

approach" NIDS supposedly takes. Their efforts to gather pertinent hard
data

are less than admirable. Perhaps it is the problem with phone interviews
but

in the case of the fireball over the NE recently, meteor investigators were

able to gather the data that NIDS seems unable to obtain.

Tim Printy

<!-- |**|begin egg html banner|**| -->

<table border=0 cellpadding=2>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFCC>
<td align=center>Yahoo! Groups
Sponsor</td>
</tr>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFFF>
<td width=470><table border=0 cellpadding=0 cellspacing=0><tr><td
align=center>ADVERTISEMENT
<a
href="http://rd.yahoo.com/M=210574.1511967.3081913.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1
705082741:HM/A=725670/R=0/*http://ads.track-
star.com/adspace.ts?ts=1;2;217;107_128_105_303 "target=_top></td></tr></table></td>
</tr>
<tr><td></td></tr>
</table>

<!-- |**|end egp html banner|**| -->

<tt>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.</tt>
</br>

</HTML>

</x-html>

From: Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos <ballesterolmos@yahoo.es>
Date: Sun, 29 Jul 2001 19:20:34 +0200 (CEST)
Subject: Re: [UFORL] July 14-15 Orange Lights over New Jersey

> Bob Young wrote:
. Quality MPEG/AVI files of the videos would be
> helpful. This could be a very productive discussion.
>
> Tim Printy
>

Hi, list-members, regarding this sighting, can someone provide any references to internet's sites where I can view/record the videos that might have been taken?

Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos
<<http://www.anomalia.org>>

Do You Yahoo!?

Yahoo! Messenger: Comunicación instantánea gratis con tu gente -
<http://messenger.yahoo.es>

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Small business owners...
Tell us what you think!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/vO1FAB/txzCAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: TPrinty@aol.com
Date: Sun, 29 Jul 2001 14:02:10 EDT
Subject: Re: [UFORL] July 14-15 Orange Lights over New Jersey
boundary="part1_10c.368843d.2895a9a2_boundary"

<x-html>
<HTML>Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos wrote:

"Hi, list-members, regarding this sighting, can someone provide any

references to internet's sites where I can view/record the videos that

might have been taken?"

No MPEGS out just yet. I am sure that there will be soon.
 However, there

are a few sights for viewing the videos real time (or at least excerpts).

http://www.news12.com/CDA/Articles/View/0,2049,12-12-16081-38,00.html

http://transfer.go.com/cgi/transfer.pl?goto=http://playlist.broadcast.com/make

stream.asp?ID=1553745&name=WABC_ufos&src=abclocal

http://abcnews.go.com/sections/GMA/popoff/DailyNews/010717ufo_video_popoff/ind

ex.html

Hope these work.

Tim Printy

<!-- |**|begin egp html banner|**| -->

<table border=0 cellpadding=2>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFCC>
<td align=center>Yahoo! Groups
Sponsor</td>
</tr>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFFF>

```
<td width=470><table border=0 cellpadding=0 cellspacing=0><tr><td
align=center><font face=arial size=-2>ADVERTISEMENT</font><br><a
href="http://rd.yahoo.com/M=210574.1511967.3081913.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1
705082741:HM/A=725668/R=0/*http://ads.track-
star.com/adspace.ts?ts=1;2;217;107_132_105_304 "target=_top></a></td></tr></table></td>
</tr>
<tr><td></td></tr>
</table>

<!-- |**|end egp html banner|**| -->
```

```
<br>
<tt>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the <a
href="http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/">Yahoo! Terms of Service</a>.</tt>
</br>
```

```
</HTML>
```

```
</x-html>
```

From: YoungBob2@aol.com
Date: Sun, 29 Jul 2001 16:20:33 EDT
Subject: Re: [UFORL] July 14-15 Orange Lights over New Jersey

In a message dated 7/29/2001 1:51:47 PM Eastern Daylight Time, TPrinty@aol.com writes:

<< Guskind is a driver for B&L Towing in Carteret and a volunteer firefighter in Clark. About 12:20 a.m. Sunday, he saw some strange lights, too, floating up from the back yard of a home opposite the Rising Star Diner on Roosevelt Avenue.

Those were the lights that drew television crews to the borough on Monday, he said.

"They were bar-shaped, but brighter than a glow stick," he said. "They all went up as though they were perfectly timed."

He assumed they were battery-powered lights tied to a string of balloons, but saw no balloons and no one actually launching them.

He said they floated southwest, which matches the description given by witnesses of the flickering lights."
>>

Tim:

Cheers to Mr. Guskind, I'll send him a case of our locally brewed beer.

I've also noted on:
<http://www.ufomind.com/ufo/updates/2001/jul/m18-028.shtml>

an article in the Newark Star-Ledger, wherein:
<http://www.nj.com/news/ledger/index.ssf?/jersey/ledger/13d9abb.html>

"Detective Lt. Daniel Tarrant of the Carteret police was among the dozens who stared into the dark sky around 12:20 a.m. Sunday to catch a glimpse after his daughter -- who was out with friends -- called to tell him to take a look outside.

Tarrant said he saw 16 yellowish-orange lights pass over his house.

'As I watched them coming overhead, some were burning out and breaking into pieces. Three were left burning,' he said.

Tarrant believes it was 'some sort of debris.' "

I agree with you, it was most likely fire balloons.

Clear skies,
Bob Young

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->

Small business owners...

Tell us what you think!

<http://us.click.yahoo.com/vO1FAB/txzCAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>

-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: TPrinty@aol.com
Date: Sun, 29 Jul 2001 17:20:10 EDT
Subject: Re: [UFORL] July 14-15 Orange Lights over New Jersey
boundary="part1_d8.9c2415c.2895d80a_boundary"

<x-html>
<HTML>Intersting point about
Guskind's report is where he saw the lights rising

from. The Rising star diner which is the reference point is located at
1239

Roosevelt Ave, Carteret, NJ 07008 (source:Google). Assuming this is a

correct position, I went to Mapblast and found this to be the west of Exit 12

on the turnpike, where most of the NIDS witnesses were located. The
location

of the priest mentioned in Filer's files is further to the ESE (St. Demetrius

Ukrainian Orthodox Cathedral 645 Roosevelt Ave.

Carteret, NJ 07008). This all seems to match with a launch of fire
balloons

and their drifting towards the ESE with the wind.

Tim Printy

<!-- |**|begin egg html banner|**| -->

<table border=0 cellpadding=0 cellspacing=2>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFCC>
<td align=center>Yahoo! Groups
Sponsor</td>
</tr>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFFF>
<td width=470><table border=0 cellpadding=0 cellspacing=0><tr><td
align=center>ADVERTISEMENT
<a
href="http://rd.yahoo.com/M=210574.1511967.3081913.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1
705082741:HM/A=725673/R=0/*http://ads.track-
star.com/adspace.ts?ts=1;2;217;107_131_105_305 "target=_top></td></tr></table></td>
</tr>
<tr><td></td></tr>
</table>

<!-- |**|end egg html banner|**| -->

<tt>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.</tt>
</br>

</HTML>

</x-html>

From: joel carpenter <ufx1947@home.com>
Date: Mon, 30 Jul 2001 10:19:24 -0400
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Triangular Aircraft?

on 7/29/01 4:40 AM, Chris Gibson at cgibson@skomer.u-net.com wrote:

Chris -

> 1) Tacit Blue, Joel? The general descriptions from the rumour mill were fairly
> accurate prior to it's being pulled into the USAF Museum.

Nope. Strictly, I don't think that should be credited to the Black Airplane Watchers (BAWs). Weren't the rumors that "Shamu" was a "stealthy electronic countermeasures platform" (!?) It wasn't. And as far as appearance, it was described as looking like an old Boeing Stratocruiser. Personally I don't think it does. So no one correctly described either shape or function.

Anyone can put out theories about categories of Black Airplanes that might exist. What I mean is, have the BAWs ever accurately described the _shape_ of a genuine classified airplane that's since been declassified besides the F-117?

(This isn't aimed at you of course).

>
> 2) Detailed? It was black and triangular. That was the problem!
>
> 3) "Muellner's response to _that_ would have been worth seeing!"

Is this Sweetman's comment?

>
> Possibly similar to the reaction of a Royal Navy admiral on being asked about
> "Zircon" by Duncan Campbell in the 1987 TV programme, "Secret Society". I
> pissed myself laughing.
>
> I have to agree with Joel on the amount of rubbish talked about black
> aircraft. IMO the subject has been flogged to death and has queered the pitch
> for other work on related subjects.
>
> Chris

Too much confusion and obfuscation IMO. Contrast the work of BAWs and ufologists with what real world-class civilian analytical experts do, like the Kettering Group. Those guys managed to make real breakthroughs in studying Soviet spacecraft. If only ufologists could do something similar re UFOs. Has either group ever done anything even remotely like this? --

<http://www.users.wineasy.se/svengrahn/histind/ASAT/ASAT.htm>

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~->

Small business owners...

Tell us what you think!

<http://us.click.yahoo.com/vO1FAB/txzCAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>

-----~->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: Ed Stewart <ufoindex@lanset.com>
Date: Mon, 30 Jul 2001 12:05:30 -0700
Subject: [UFORL] Hugo Gernsback and Flying Saucers

Hugo Gernsback wrote a science fiction serial published in his publication The Electrical Experimenter in thirteen illustrated parts from May 1915 to February 1917 entitled "Baron Münchhausen's New Scientific Adventures". Each episode describes the Baron's experiences and observations on the Planet Mars and with Martians. The serial type of story was popular since it was used to increase circulation and it was widely adopted by the growing waves magazines including the pulps. The Electrical Experimenter cannot be described as a pulp since it was printed on high quality paper. In the below episode:

- Electrical Experimenter [v3 #11, March 1916] (large)
- Baron Münchhausen's New Scientific Adventures: 9. The Cities of Mars • Hugo Gernsback • ss

Gernsback described Martian cities. The illustration accompanying the chapter shows a myriad of flying saucers over and around the Martian city. Gernsback was to use flying saucers in both cover and internal story illustrations throughout his publishing career which expanded through the 1960s.

But this is not the earliest known use of a flying saucer in a Gernsback publication. Jacques Sadoul's Histoire de La Science Fiction Moderne shows a flying saucer on the cover of Gernsback's Modern Electrics December 1911 issue. This same design was later used in the Amazing Stories Quarterly January 1929 issue and credited to illustrator Frank Paul who had an enduring association with Gernsback's as his main cover and story artist throughout his publications. Most early flying saucer illustrations (pre-1947) I have come across are the artistry of Frank Paul and are contained in Hugo Gernsback publications.

Could either/both actually be "The Father of Flying Saucers" in 20th popular culture and thus have had a dominant cultural influence in the lore of flying saucers?

Ed Stewart

--

Ed Stewart | So Man, who here seems principal alone,
ufoindex@lanset.com | Perhaps acts second to some sphere unknown.
,>'<, | Touches some wheel, or verges to some goal,
(O O) | 'Tis but a part we see, and not a whole.
-----ooOO-()-OOoo----- Alexander Pope, Essay on Man -----

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor ----->
The Nissan Sentra

Everything but compact
<http://NissanDriven.com>
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/3vsIKC/txlCAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~>

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: Chris Gibson <cgibson@skomer.u-net.com>
Date: Mon, 30 Jul 2001 23:26:37 +0000
Subject: Re: Re: [UFORL] Triangular Aircraft?

The FAS had the best description of the Black aircraft phenomenon "...an epistological exercise". I think the BAWs had their day back in the mid nineties. Their bandwagon was jumped upon and collapsed like a sumo wrestler's deck chair. The early stuff was good, but IMO last year's Aircraft Illustrated finally killed it dead. I was looking for an Ali-G byline, everyone else seemed to get in on the act. Nobody wants to know any more. I feel sorry for the guys who submitted work for that, I suspect they were under the impression it was for a one-off sprint, rather than a 6 month marathon.

In the early nineties there was some very good work done, it was very tight and well researched. Unfortunately a multitude of Aurora websites sprung up when the web took off in 96, not so tight, not so well researched. This produced instant black aircraft experts (I think long term subscribers know who I'm talking about) and looking more and more like UFOlogy every day. I look at them very occasionally and despair of the rubbish I read.

The main BAWs (unfortunate acronym, eh James?) from the early nineties have left the scene, leaving it to the new generation. The chaps in the van now are the specialists, who like yourself Joel, are researching particular aspects of the scene, there is no smoke without fire and some of the best research is looking at the kindling for these fires.

I came to the conclusion that black aircraft are like Schrodinger's cat. Until the lid is lifted, we won't know what's going on. So why get excited about it?

I know what Joel is on about, ie when black aircraft do finally get revealed, they'll look nothing like the pundits say. It is like building a jigsaw without the picture on the box, the various pieces being gleaned from witness description and open sources for technical aspects like powerplants or aerodynamics.

Shamu is interesting, no sooner had Curtis Peebles said it was a load of bollocks when, ping! Up it popped, out of the black. Made my day.

Where did the description on Shamu come from? When I saw Tacit Blue I could see where the Stratocruiser analogy originated. People describe unfamiliar aircraft with reference to aircraft they know, this guy saw aspects of the Stratocruiser. Not a Stratocruiser with B-2 wings as drawn in "Dark Eagles", but a style of cabin glazing. I suspect a 1945 Luftwaffe pilot on seeing a B-29 would describe it as having a cockpit like an Arado 234.

When it comes to black aircraft a lot of information is sifted to produce descriptions. At the end of the day an editor wants a description and pays an expert to produce this description. That's what they are paid for, to put their head above the parapet (something I won't do). It's easy to sit back years later and pick holes in these

descriptions with 20/20 hindsight. I have a drawing of "Aurora" published in 1990. Its teardrop shape seems to have sunk without trace. Could this be the true shape?

Also, as the situation evolves, so must the descriptions and drawings. That I would suggest is a scientific approach.

I'd still want to kick the tyres.

Chris

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->

Small business owners...

Tell us what you think!

<http://us.click.yahoo.com/vO1FAB/txzCAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>

-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

How much of this should we believe? - Show 1

- 8pm, Thursday, August 16

How much of this should we believe? - Show 2

- 5.30pm, Saturday, August 18

Renowned magician and escape artist, James Randi investigates, disproves and

demystifies paranormal and pseudoscientific phenomenon. As an investigator of

unusual claims he has encountered the full gamut of claims. In 1972 two

scientists from the Stanford Research Institute validated Uri Geller's claims

of paranormal powers. As a result, Geller quickly became an international

celebrity using his "psychic" abilities to bend spoons and move objects. In a

effort to expose the truth about Geller, Randi approached Johnny Carson for

an appearance. Geller's 22 minute appearance was a disaster and he was unable

to perform a single feat. Carson's producers, consulting with Randi, had set

up safeguards against cheating.

Randi has also exposed faith healers and investigated homeopathic water "with

a memory". "Acceptance of nonsense as a harmless aberration can be dangerous

to all of us ... We live in a society that is enlarging the boundaries of

knowledge at an unprecedented rate, and we cannot keep up with much more
than

a small portion of what is made available to us. To mix that knowledge with

childish notions of magic and fantasy is to cripple our perception of the

world around us. We must reach for the truth, not for the ghosts of dead

absurdities."

Together with such luminaries as astronomer, Carl Sagan, Nobel Laureate

physicist, Murray Gell-Mann, psychologist, B.F. Skinner, and noted science

and science-fiction author, Isaac Asimov, Randi is a founding fellow of the

Committee for the Scientific Investigation of Claims of the Paranormal.

A prolific writer, he has written several books and many articles. His books

include The Truth About Uri Geller, The Faith Healers, Flim-Flam!, and An

Encyclopedia of Claims, Frauds, and Hoaxes of the Occult and Supernatural.

His lectures and television appearances have delighted and vexed

audiences around the world. In 1996, the James Randi Education Foundation
was

established to further Randi's work and offers a \$US1,000,000 prize to

bonafide psychics.

He has received numerous awards and recognitions, including a Fellowship
from

the John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation in 1986.

<A

HREF="http://www.ideasatthepowerhouse.com.au/2_program/speakers_bios_g.htm#r


```
<BR>Australian &amp; Asia UFO
<BR>1961-2001 - 40 YEARS OF RESEARCH SERVICE
<BR>=====</FONT>
<br>

<!-- |**|begin egp html banner|**| -->

<table border=0 cellspacing=0 cellpadding=2>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFCC>
<td align=center><font size="-1" color=#003399><b>Yahoo! Groups
Sponsor</b></font></td>
</tr>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFFF>
<td width=470><table border=0 cellpadding=0 cellspacing=0><tr><td
align=center><font face=arial size=-2>ADVERTISEMENT</font><br><a
href="http://rd.yahoo.com/M=210574.1511967.3081913.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1
705082741:HM/A=725669/R=0/*http://ads.track-
star.com/adspace.ts?ts=1;2;217;107_133_105_303"target=_top></a></td></tr></table></td>
</tr>
<tr><td></td></tr>
</table>

<!-- |**|end egp html banner|**| -->

<br>
<tt>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the <a
href="http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/">Yahoo! Terms of Service</a>.</tt>
</br>

</HTML>

</x-html>
```


<!-- |**|end egp html banner|**| -->

<tt>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.</tt>
</br>

</HTML>

</x-html>

So as well as buying a block of land for his dream home, which he says will

be built around the tank, he plans to use his windfall to help his parents

and brother. And he'll cut back his working hours.

"It is a bit surreal. This gives me a lot of choices. All those things you

have ever wanted to do," he says.

"I didn't have a bad life before but this really finishes it off."

Recalling receiving the news of his win, he said: "I got a phone call from

the lotteries people at work, but at first I thought it was (radio station)

Triple M ringing me up for a 'sucked in' joke segment.

"Then it clicked and the hands went up and the legs went down.

"It was a wild moment."

Liverpool newsagent Ken Buong, who sold the winning ticket, yesterday

suggested Peter's winning streak might not be at an end.

"He also won a free ticket in the next jackpot," Mr Buong said.

<A
HREF="http://www.heraldsun.news.com.au/common/story_page/0,5478,2425933%2
55E662,00.html">http://www.heraldsun.news.com.au/common/story_page/0,5478,24
25933%255E662,00.h

tml

=====

Aliens, a tank and footy for the \$6m man

By LISA POWER

25jul01

MOST lottery winners buy dream homes, luxury cars and lavish holidays.

But Sydney's \$6 million man has more eclectic plans.

The multi-millionaire intends to spend his cash following his childhood dream

of playing tank commander in the backyard and trespassing in Area 51, where

an alien space craft is reputed to have crashed in 1947.

A block of land â€“ chosen specifically to display his tank â€“ will be a

practical secondary purchase for Peter, the quirky 33-year-old winner of a

\$6.05 million jackpot.

Yesterday the Liverpool bachelor said the win brought a burden of "enjoying

the win responsibly and spending wisely".

"It is a bit surreal," an excited Peter said.

"I got a phone call from the Lotteries people at work but at first I thought

it was [radio station] Triple M ringing me up for a 'sucked in' joke segment.

"Then it clicked and the hands went up and the legs went down.

"It was a wild moment."

A dedicated seven-year ritual of paying \$5.20 for jackpot tickets paid off

for Peter on Friday. His ticket initially won \$10 before being re-entered

into the draw and scooping the pool.

"I have always wanted to go to Roswell [in New Mexico] and I am interested in

getting a photo on the other side of the fence in the restricted military

area," he said of his dream to visit the purported UFO landing site.

"And ever since I was a kid, I have loved tanks.

"They are just something I like the look of and I have always said I would

love to own one â€“ and now I can.

"It will be an unusual feature in the garden."

Peter's dream house will eventually be built "around the tank", he said.

The unexpected financial freedom will also allow him a cut in work hours and

to buy box seats at all matches of his beloved Canterbury Bulldog football

team.

Peter has also promised to help out his father, mother and brother with his

windfall.

"This gives me a lot of choices," Peter said of the win.

"All those things you have ever wanted to do.

"I didn't have a bad life before but this really finishes it off."

IMAGE

<A
HREF="http://www.dailytelegraph.news.com.au/common/imagedata/0,1658,151110,
00.jpg">http://www.dailytelegraph.news.com.au/common/imagedata/0,1658,151110,
00.jpg

<A
HREF="http://www.dailytelegraph.news.com.au/common/story_page/0,5936,242451
5%255E3163,00.html">http://www.dailytelegraph.news.com.au/common/story_page/
0,5936,2424515%255E316

</table>

<!-- |**|end egp html banner|**| -->

<tt>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.</tt>
</br>

</HTML>

</x-html>

From: praufo@aol.com
Date: Tue, 31 Jul 2001 09:03:30 EDT
Subject: [UFORL] PRA - Ronald D. Story - New Book Print Date.
boundary="part1_a.105df653.289806a2_boundary"

<x-html>
<HTML>31 July 2001

22:35 Local - Melbourne, Australia

Copyright: "Not Confidential" & "Post To Anyone".

To: & & & Lists(all)

cc: & & &

 & & & & &

FROM: & J.W. Auchettl

 & & & & & Director

Hi List,

A date for your diaries & more money out of your back pocket.

"The Encyclopedia of Extraterrestrial Encounters -

A Definitive, Illustrated A - Z Guide to All Things Alien".

By Ronald D. Story

332,000 & Words.

 & & & & 300 & Illustrations.

To be published on Sept, 4th, 2001.

BOOK COVER IMAGE

http://www.ronaldstory.com/
ENCYCL1.JPG

PUBLISHED BY

htt
p://www.penguinputnam.com/static/packages/us/about/adult/nal.htm

Ronald D. Story


```
<tr><td></td></tr>
</table>
```

```
<!-- |**|end egp html banner|**| -->
```

```
<br>
<tt>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the <a
href="http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/">Yahoo! Terms of Service</a>.</tt>
</br>
```

```
</HTML>
```

```
</x-html>
```

From: TPrinty@aol.com
Date: Fri, 3 Aug 2001 20:51:48 EDT
Subject: Re: [UFORL] July 14-15 Orange Lights over New Jersey
boundary="part1_10e.358530f.289ca124_boundary"

<x-html>
<HTML>Kenny wrote:

"Your figures might be more helpful to accurately determine the

distance from the boundary lines seen on a map to the site in

Wilmington locality. "

I gave the lats and longitudes for the corners. I have plotted these on a

map for my use I did not think I would have to post it. If you wish, I will

for your future reference. I would think you would have such a map at
the

ready for your investigations. Are you telling me that you have no real
idea

about where the boundaries of the Buckeye MOA are?

"The 50-mile figure was a generic number

cited to 'wrestle' the distance issue. "

A number that was significantly off by at least a factor of 5 and in the

wrong direction. "Wrestling" distance issues by coming up with inaccurate

values is not what I would consider the proper way to resolve a case.

"Maneuvers are generally

over Adams County, further still to the south west."

Might I suggest you get a new map or at least get the directions correct.

Adams county is in the buckeye MOA (the southern half) and is to the SSE of

Wilmington not SW. For your future reference (if you do not plot the
points

I gave you or get a map from the FAA), the Buckeye MOA includes all or
major

portions of the following counties: Ross, Pike, Adams, Highland.
 They also

include parts of the surrounding counties. The boundary for the MOA
closest

to Wilmington runs about halfway between Hillsboro and Wilmington.

" This still does not diminish the actuality that the residents in the

Wilmington area were subject to military flare maneuvers which

the populace below was not accustomed to observing and many

reported UFOs during the night of the event."

But it does diminish the possibility that aircraft would wander some 50 miles

out of the MOA as you suggest during maneuvers. 10 miles outside the area is

still not acceptable but considering the maneuvers involved, it is reasonable

to see how they might have wandered outside the area.

"I also have a report from someone on Cincinnati's west side, possibly 60

miles or so

to the west, reporting UFOs."

I do believe there are MOAs in Indiana are located 50 miles west of

Cincinnati at the Jefferson Proving grounds near Madison. Have you
checked

these?

" Now go figure. I say again, the

boundary violations happen. Does that mean they are purposeful? I

don't know and anything added to that is speculative."

Yes, they may happen but you have yet to provide conclusive proof that they

did happen (at least not to the extremes of 50 miles which is what you seem

to want to imply). You claimed the witnesses saw aircraft fly overhead.
 In

my experience of talking to inexperienced observers of events in the night

sky, "overhead" or "directly overhead" could easily have been 45 degrees and

up. Depending on the aircraft altitude and location of the witness,
they

may actually have been inside the MOA (if they made a few observational

errors). Did you reenact the situation with the witness at the location for

the observations? However, I am willing to accept that a violation may
have

occurred but, again, not to the far extremes you previously mentioned.

"If I'm not mistaken in the data, the '98 Evansville, Indiana situation dealt

with 'time restriction' violations also."

How far were these violations if they were outside the MOA? 50 miles
or much

less?

"What would be interesting to explore is if there would have been a visiting

A.N.G. or other unit(s) operational over New Jersey during their

recent UFO episode? I think it is reasonable to hold this

explanation in the 'possible' category, given precedent from

these past cases."

The nearest MOAs are too far away from Carteret and your claims of violations

being extremely far away have not been proven. 5-10 miles is not 50.
 These

past cases may actually indicate minor violations of air space but not to the

extremes you suggest as I have shown.

The claims by the witnesses that no aircraft were seen is important but I

feel you are willing to ignore this. Recent information concerning

observations from Staten Island seem to indicate the lights were a bit higher

up (5-15K assuming the reports are accurate) indicating balloons as a

potential source and not garbage bag balloons which usually do not rise quite

this high. Also, we have Guskind's observations of a "launching" of
some

sort for the lights west of exit 12 on the NJ TPK. This was in the upwind

direction of all the reports.

Kenny, I respect your opinions on this subject but I do wish we could clarify

problems such as these. Let's try and stick to what facts we do know and
not

"generalizations". I am perfectly willing to eliminate probable causes but

not without good justification. To suggest aircraft far away from their
MOAs

as the likely cause seems not to be justified based on the information at

hand. I still believe the case for balloons (garbage bag/helium filled or

otherwise) with flares is the most likely cause until we can get witnesses or

information to suggest that aircraft were involved.

Tim Printy

<tt>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.</tt>
</br>

</HTML>

</x-html>

From: Ed Stewart <ufoindex@lanset.com>
Date: Sat, 04 Aug 2001 12:40:33 -0700
Subject: [UFORL] Lindbergh, Jung and Keyhoe

The following is to be found in A. Scott Berg's Lindbergh, copyrighted 1998, a Pulitzer prize winner and New York Times bestseller biography of Charles Lindbergh. The following passage took place according to Charles Lindbergh's wife Anne during a visit of the Lindberghs to Carl G. Jung's home in July 1959:

Jung abruptly changed the subject to flying saucers. "I had expected a fascinating discussion about psychological aspects of the numerous and recurring flying-saucer reports," Lindbergh later recorded. But he found, to his astonishment, that Jung believed all the reports and was no more interested in the psychology of the phenomenon than he was in learning any facts about it. When Lindbergh told him that the United States Air Force had investigated hundreds of reported sightings without finding a shred of evidence of supernatural phenomena, Jung indicated he did not wish to pursue the discussion much further. He referred to a book about flying saucers by Donald Keyhoe, the very pilot who had flown alongside Lindbergh on his forty-eight-state tour of the United States in 1927, which reported numerous sightings of unidentified flying objects. When Lindbergh said he had heard recently that Keyhoe had, in fact, experienced several nervous breakdowns, Jung replied, "I dare say he has."

Lindbergh added that he had discussed with Chief of the United States Air Force General Spaatz the recent flurry of UFO reports. "Slim," Spaatz had said, "don't you suppose that if there was anything true about this flying-saucer business, you and I would have heard about it by this time?" Jung was not impressed. "There are," he said, ending their conversation, "a great many things going on around this earth that you and General Spaatz don't know about."

Can anyone shed some insight regarding the "several nervous breakdowns" that Donald Keyhoe allegedly experienced according to both C.G. Jung and Charles Lindbergh and whether or not they were related to his work with UFOs and NICAP? This is the first time I have read anything that Keyhoe had nervous breakdowns.

Ed Stewart

--

Ed Stewart | So Man, who here seems principal alone,
ufoindex@lanset.com | Perhaps acts second to some sphere unknown.
,>'<, | Touches some wheel, or verges to some goal,
(O O) | 'Tis but a part we see, and not a whole.
-----ooOO-()-OOoo----- Alexander Pope, Essay on Man -----

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~->
Small business owners...
Tell us what you think!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/vO1FAB/txzCAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: Kenny Young <ufo@fuse.net>
Date: Sat, 04 Aug 2001 19:26:07 -0400
Subject: Re: [UFORL] July 14-15 Orange Lights over New Jersey

TPrinty@aol.com wrote:

> I gave the lats and longitudes for the corners. I have plotted
> these on a
> map for my use I did not think I would have to post it. If you
> wish, I will
> for your future reference. I would think you would have such a
> map at the
> ready for your investigations. Are you telling me that you
> have no real idea
> about where the boundaries of the Buckeye MOA are?
>

Tim;

Not at all, it was something I acquired through a FOIA several years back and have it stuffed in my files somewhere. I rifled through my files briefly to find out exactly what I have on it but there is so much stuff that it would take me quite awhile to track it down. What I have are maps that show all the MOAs in the state.

> I do believe there are MOAs in Indiana are located 50 miles
> west of
> Cincinnati at the Jefferson Proving grounds near Madison. Have
> you checked
> these?

The A.N.G. officer(s) I spoke with informed that they were active in the 'Buckeye' M.O.A. during each episode.

> Yes, they may happen but you have yet to provide conclusive
> proof that they
> did happen (at least not to the extremes of 50 miles which is
> what you seem
> to want to imply). You claimed the witnesses saw aircraft fly
> overhead.

While any officer's advisement or comment is not always conclusive proof to everyone's satisfaction and standards, it was a potential explanation that would account for the mass-sighting reports. The A.N.G. operatives did acknowledge running maneuvers

using flares in the BUCKEYE MOA during the UFO sighting reports in '96 and '97 and this, to my satisfaction, provided rational closure to the reported occurrence.

- > These past cases may actually indicate minor violations of
- > air space but not to the extremes you suggest as I have
- > shown.

I would suspect that when the populace responds with the collective concern expressed during these events in '96 and '97, regardless of being inside or outside the MOA, this becomes a significant issue. Those involved in the maneuvers had no regard beforehand or afterward for the collective concern (at least on the night(s) of the UFO reports).

- > The claims by the witnesses that no aircraft were seen is
- > important but I
- > feel you are willing to ignore this.

I hope I have not ignored it, I seem to recall addressing this issue. Please refer to previous messages where I suggest that the visual or audible observation is dependent upon many variables such as distance, angle of observation, etc. This compounded with ever-present misperception, it's a "human" thing. The claims of witnesses recognizing no aircraft were not ignored, but accounted for within this liberal framework of misperception potential. If a passing aircraft deploys a flare, I see no realistic reason for a stunned witness 2 to 5 miles away to take note of the tiny headlight speck of the plane in contrast to the illumination of the flare. And again, I see no reason for the plane to gravitate around the flare. It seems perfectly reasonable to believe that the plane has moved off by the time the witness(es) take note of the burning flare and think it's a UFO.

Recent information

- > concerning
- > observations from Staten Island seem to indicate the lights
- > were a bit higher
- > up (5-15K assuming the reports are accurate) indicating
- > balloons as a
- > potential source and not garbage bag balloons which usually do
- > not rise quite
- > this high. Also, we have Guskind's observations of a
- > "launching" of some
- > sort for the lights west of exit 12 on the NJ TPK. This was in
- > the upwind
- > direction of all the reports.

>

Good debate and good theory. I'll still opt for the flares over all these 'fire balloons' simply because there are too many and it would require a hoax 'too involved.' I could be wrong as always.

> Kenny, I respect your opinions on this subject but I do wish we
> could clarify
> problems such as these. Let's try and stick to what facts we
> do know and not
> "generalizations".

Okay and thanks for holding my feet to the fire.

KY

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Small business owners...
Tell us what you think!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/vO1FAB/txzCAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: TPrinty@aol.com
Date: Sat, 4 Aug 2001 22:40:44 EDT
Subject: Re: [UFORL] July 14-15 Orange Lights over New Jersey
boundary="part1_92.187b0f23.289e0c2c_boundary"

<x-html>
<HTML>Kenny wrote:

"The A.N.G. officer(s) I spoke with informed that they were active

in the 'Buckeye' M.O.A. during each episode."

However, what about another ANG officer (I do believe the Ohio ANG would
not

have contact with they KY, IND, ILL ANG PIO) and did you ask the correct

questions?

As Arizona showed, it is a matter of asking the correct questions on the

matter to get the information you request. The Proving Grounds have an
MOA

that seems to fit the area in question.

"I would suspect that when the populace responds with the

collective concern expressed during these events in '96 and '97,

regardless of being inside or outside the MOA, this becomes a

significant issue. Those involved in the maneuvers had no regard

beforehand or afterward for the collective concern (at least on

the night(s) of the UFO reports)."

As they probably should not. This is the job of the PAO (PIO depends
on what

branch). The units are more interested in doing their job, which is

conducting the exercise. Considering that the MOA is public knowledge
I

would think that most witnesses probably saw and understood what happened.
It

is the isolated individuals, who are not so familiar with the events, that

get "concerned". Of course, with the media, the squeaky wheel gets the

grease.

"I hope I have not ignored it, I seem to recall addressing this

issue. Please refer to previous messages where I suggest that the

visual or audible observation is dependent upon many variables

such as distance, angle of observation, etc."

However, in this case, not one witness has stated they saw any aircraft (esp

military) in the area. This would not have gone ignored because of the
lack

of any military operations normally occurring over Carteret.

"If a passing aircraft deploys a flare, I see no realistic reason for

a stunned witness 2 to 5 miles away to take note of the tiny

headlight speck of the plane in contrast to the illumination of

the flare. And again, I see no reason for the plane to gravitate

around the flare. It seems perfectly reasonable to believe that

the plane has moved off by the time the witness(es) take note of

the burning flare and think it's a UFO."

The problem is that the flares were not dropped some 2-5 miles away but

directly over a densely populated area at pretty low altitude. The audible

nature of the aircraft would have been obvious to even untrained observers

even if they could not see it. We also must take note (as I previously

stated) that it would not be one craft but several based on the quantities of

lights involved. The lack of any witnesses noticing jets passing by to

associate with the event is something that should not be ignored. Most

witnesses would key on this anomaly to state the military was being pursued

by the UFOs or trying to corral them.

I also note the lack of any MOA nearby as another key factor in why military

aircraft would not be dropping flares in the area. Of course, the question

is why would military aircraft drop flares over Carteret some distance from

the Operating areas at Fort Dix/McGuire AFB and off in the Atlantic? It does

not pass the common sense test. I find the probability for this one being

very low.

"I'll still opt for the flares over all these 'fire balloons' simply because

there are too many and it would require a hoax 'too involved.' "

I don't think it could be as involved as you think. Launching 15-30
balloons

over a few minutes would not be "too involved" for a few individuals. If

they were helium filled prior to the event (Just like you see in stores and

at fairs), it would be just a matter of lighting the flare (or whatever

produced the light) and letting go. It would also not need to be

somebody

from outside the area. Again, I point to the Guskind observation as
seeing

the lights being "launched" from behind a house indicating somebody local or

somebody who used a friend's backyard for the exercise. Those doing on
site

investigations would be seriously remiss if they do not look into this.

Tim Printy

<tt>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.</tt>
</br>

</HTML>

</x-html>

From: dominicbunnell@mail.com
Date: Sun, 05 Aug 2001 13:36:09 -0000
Subject: [UFORL] The slow moving black triangles are Hang Gliders!

There is a possibility that a very small minority of "black triangles" are hang gliders. A couple of weeks ago i saw a black slow moving triangular shaped object go behind some clouds. I was puzzled about this for a while until it came out of the clouds and i could see that it was in fact a hang glider. I could only hear the noise of the hang glider when it was pretty much directly overhead. When i first saw the hang glider in the distance it looked very strange. The sun was shining on the hang glider and on the clouds in such a way that it made it look quite unusual.

The point that i am trying to make here is that it is possible that if someone were to see a black triangular hang glider in the distance for a very short time before it went behind some clouds then they may believe that they have seen a UFO.

I would imagine that the wind would have to be blowing in a certain direction so that they couldn't hear the engine as well but it is possible.

When i first saw the object i didn't really have any idea of the size or distance of it. Only when it came closer and i recognised what it was could i figure out the distance.

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Small business owners...
Tell us what you think!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/vO1FAB/txzCAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: YoungBob2@aol.com
Date: Sun, 5 Aug 2001 12:48:40 EDT
Subject: Re: [UFORL] July 14-15 Orange Lights over New Jersey

In a message dated 8/5/2001 9:50:30 AM Eastern Daylight Time, TPrinty@aol.com writes:

<< However, what about another ANG officer (I do believe the Ohio ANG would not have contact with they KY, IND, ILL ANG PIO) and did you ask the correct questions?
As Arizona showed, it is a matter of asking the correct questions on the matter to get the information you request. The Proving Grounds have an MOA that seems to fit the area in question. >>

Kenny, Tim:

Tim is so right, here. I was investigating some strange lights reports near Indiantown Gap Military Reservation, here in Pa, about 15 years ago. I had been in the ANG artillery and had fired at the "Gap" many times. My thoughts were that what the witness had drawn looked like flares. But my inquiries (I was with the State Museum planetarium) about arty or maybe infantry mortar flares came up empty from the base PIO office when they supposedly checked to see who was on post that night. It turned out later that Marine reservists were dropping flares from helicopters while practicing dropping infantry from lines at night. I just didn't ask the right question, or perhaps the PIO didn't ask the right question from someone who should have known.

Clear skies,
Bob Young

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Small business owners...
Tell us what you think!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/vO1FAB/txzCAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: YoungBob2@aol.com
Date: Sun, 5 Aug 2001 13:01:57 EDT
Subject: Re: [UFORL] The slow moving black triangles are Hang Gliders!

In a message dated 8/5/2001 9:50:43 AM Eastern Daylight Time,
dominicbunnell@mail.com writes:

<<

The point that i am trying to make here is that it is possible that
if someone were to see a black triangular hang glider in the distance
for a very short time before it went behind some clouds then they may
believe that they have seen a UFO.

I would imagine that the wind would have to be blowing in a certain
direction so that they couldn't hear the engine as well but it is
possible.

>>

Dominic:

With a motor, it was may have been classified as an Ultralight.

Clear skies,
Bob Young

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Small business owners...
Tell us what you think!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/vO1FAB/txzCAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "Robert McLean" <robert.mclean2@virgin.net>
Date: Sun, 5 Aug 2001 22:20:11 +0100
Subject: Re: [UFORL] 'Rendlesham' (and Col Halt's 2 lighthouses)

James

I think you're right that the drawing on page 141 of Sky Crash originates from John Burrough's sketch, and so it has nothing to do with the explosion of light seen by Col Halt.

However, I am sure that the explosion of light and the disappearance of the UFO seen by Col Halt, really did occur. Before I explain why, just consider how likely it is that Col Halt would have related the "explosion" story, where the UFO appeared to have dispersed into five "white" lights (he never claims he saw the actual dispersion), if he really had seen that same UFO again in the second farmer's field. If he had seen that same UFO again in the second farmer's field, wouldn't he also have related the even more amazing story of how the previously "exploded" UFO appeared somehow to have re-assembled itself?

At the beginning of the UFO chase, there are three separate references on the Halt tape to a light seen at about 110 or 120 degrees.

At the end of the UFO chase, Col Halt says on the tape:

HALT: At two forty-four [2:44 am], we're at the far side of the farmer's . . . the second farmer's field and made sighting again about 110 degrees. This looks like it's clear off to the coast. It's right on the horizon. Moves about a bit and flashes from time to time. Still steady or red in color.

In between these points on the Halt tape, the UFO appeared to explode, although this is not documented on the tape.

Understanding what actually happened has been stymied for two reasons:

- i) The location of the true landing site was incorrectly identified, in particular by Col Halt to Brenda Butler on her OS map (Sky Crash p131). I have seen this map on which Col Halt wrote an "X" in pen, and he placed it in the area where the Orfordness lighthouse can be seen, although about two hundred metres further west (i.e. further from the eastern edge of the forest) than generally accepted.
- ii) The location of the second farmer's field was never positively identified. Some people thought it might be at Butley Abbey, in low lying ground where no lighthouse/ships can be seen.

When I studied an OS map looking for a likely location for the second farmer's field where the Orfordness lighthouse might have been seen, my first guess was Burrow Hill. I went to Burrow Hill at night, but couldn't

find any place where the lighthouse was visible. So I looked for another place, and located a farmer's field a bit further south, just east of Boyton, where there is a clear view of both Orfordness lighthouse, and the location of the former Shipwash lightship. This turned out to be a big mistake. I had fallen into the same trap as everyone else (except Steuart Campbell) in assuming that it was the Orfordness lighthouse that Halt initially followed at a compass heading of 110 to 120 degrees.

When I explained my theory to Brenda Butler she explained that it couldn't be right because the second farmer's field was Burrow Hill. She said that Col Halt had described to her the view in the second farmer's field as the UFO in the south moved towards them at high speed. He described seeing the line of a river in the moonlight stretching away to the south, with the UFO following the line of that river. Col Halt did not know it was Burrow Hill, or show it to her on a map, but Brenda realised that the description matched Burrow Hill, which it surely does. Butley River runs past the east side of Burrow Hill, and the hill is high enough so that river can be seen clearly by moonlight. Halt's description did not match my initial identification of the second farmer's field, and I realised I had to re-think things.

I suspected that the true landing site was not where Col Halt had placed it on the map. In summary, the reasons for this are:

1. There was no plausible route from the accepted location to the second farmer's field. A direct route involves crossing no fewer than eight drainage ditches over a 2 km distance in the Butley and Stonebridge marshes (1.5 m below sea level), some of which you would have to swim across.
2. The trees at the accepted location were far too tall to have had branches at 15 feet height, as described on the Halt tape.
3. Aerial photos show that in 1971 there was no clearing in the trees as described by Burroughs and Penniston.
4. Col Halt described the path he took through the first farmer's field as being on the left hand side of the field, and bearing towards the left edge of the field (see *You Can't Tell the People* pages 271/2). Assuming he was in the field just to the west of Capel Green, this path would have been at a magnetic heading of about 70 degrees, not 110 or 120 degrees.
5. In a TV documentary, Col Halt says "The light was about, oh, 15 or 20 degrees off to our left, almost directly in front of a farmer's field." This agrees with the description mentioned above from YCTTP. However, in the field just to the west of Capel Green, Orfordness lighthouse is 5 degrees to the right (assuming a baseline along the boundary between field and forest).
6. If we accept Halt's description of his path in the first farmer's field (again see YCTTP pages 271/2) then the farmhouse on the left side of

this field is almost completely obscured by trees, and is also on the wrong side of the road {

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk> Sent: Saturday, August 04, 2001 12:15 AM
Subject: [UFORL] 'Rendlesham'

- > In 'Skycrash' [page 141], Jenny Randles first book written about
- > the 'Rendlesham forest' case, Jenny published a sketch, provided
- > by someone who couldn't then be named and which was, "based on
- > the contents of the files of Col. Halt".
- >
- > It reportedly, "describes his view of the light explosion prior
- > to the arrival of the craft moving around the forest".
- >
- > What appears to be another version of the same sketch, missing
- > some significant detail, featured in '

From: TPrinty@aol.com
Date: Sun, 5 Aug 2001 21:45:27 EDT
Subject: Re: [UFORL] July 14-15 Orange Lights over New Jersey
boundary="part1_32.18dcf95a.289f50b7_boundary"

<x-html>
<HTML>Bob wrote:

"I just didn't ask the right question, or perhaps the PIO didn't ask the right

question from someone who should have known."

I think the biggest confusion by many investigators is to who they are

dealing with. I spent 20 plus years in the Navy and the PIO for the ships
I

served on were usually officers with this as a secondary duty. Their
primary

duties were being division officers or department heads. They had to get

their divisions and departments ready for the next exercise/deployment.
 This

is active duty. Now let's examine the ANG. No offense to my
brethren in the

NG but they are "weekend warriors" and do not do the job full time. I
am not

exactly familiar with their PIOs duties but if it is anything similar to my

experience in the Navy, these individuals also have other responsibilities

and the PIO association is their secondary duty.

During the Phoenix event, I saw UFOlogist quoting enlisted men as Air Force

officials and not doing the correct legwork to clarify the situation.
 These

enlisted personnel, who were quoted, worked in the office and probably not

well informed as shown by some of the conflicting statements which were

recorded by the media during the time period. On March 16, 1997 the Prescott

paper quoted SGT Suttmiller stated there were no phone calls about UFOs

coming into the base the evening of the 13th. However, on March 18,
1997 the

following appeared in the same paper:

"But Luke spokeswoman Sgt. Michelle DeMers said calls did come in on
Friday

asking if the objects were jets from the base. But, she added, any calls

reporting UFOs on Thursday night would have been referred elsewhere"

Suttmiller, probably was unaware of what had transpired the night before and

when asked responded incorrectly. Yet UFOlogists make the bold
statement

that there was some form of cover-up about the phone calls based on the first

statement and not the correction that occurred only two days later. This

appeared in the Discovery channel show. Bill Hamilton in his
MUFON report

wrote:

"It seems like the official statements made to members of the press and

public by those representing our Air Force are, to put it delicately, on a

course deviation from the truth"

It seems that Hamilton would rather amplify a statement that was made in

error than make the correct assessment of what happened.

The point of this whole rant is that one has to be careful how you interpret

these statements made by a PIO. They are often not as informed as
UFOlogists

think they are and, unless the correct questions are asked to the right

individual, the answer may not be satisfactory or even inaccurate. The
PIO

does not sit at a desk waiting for people to call. They may write the

occasional press release and answer questions by individuals who inquire but

they may also have other duties that take a considerable part of their time.

Tim Printy

<tt>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.</tt>
</br>

</HTML>

</x-html>

From: dominicbunnell@mail.com
Date: Mon, 06 Aug 2001 10:59:52 -0000
Subject: [UFORL] Re: The slow moving black triangles are Hang Gliders!

Yes sorry i meant Hang Gliders and other similar aerial vehicles.
These things may account for an extremely small number of slow moving
black triangle reports.

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Small business owners...
Tell us what you think!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/vO1FAB/txzCAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: YoungBob2@aol.com
Date: Mon, 6 Aug 2001 21:16:10 EDT
Subject: Re: [UFORL] July 14-15 Orange Lights over New Jersey

In a message dated 8/6/2001 11:45:08 AM Eastern Daylight Time,
TPrinty@aol.com writes:

<<

The point of this whole rant is that one has to be careful how you interpret these statements made by a PIO. They are often not as informed as UFOlogists think they are and, unless the correct questions are asked to the right individual, the answer may not be satisfactory or even inaccurate. The PIO does not sit at a desk waiting for people to call. They may write the occassional press release and answer questions by individuals who inquire but they may also have other duties that take a considerable part of their time.

>>

Tim, All:

This is pretty much the picture. The incident I was speaking of was when Indiantown Gap was a regular Army post as well as center for NG and Reserve training, and the person I spoke to could have been any one of these. But Tim's point is well made. Often, these people are about as confused about the situation as we are, until all of the facts are in. After all, they may have been off duty at 5 when the PI office closed but somebody else was the duty personnel late at night when the sighting occurs. Or the people who known what happened are some reserve troops out in the field in tents or back home the next day.

Isn't it interesting, conflicting statements by anybody with a uniform are accepted as gospel proof that, "The Government, or Air Force, or whomever, is lying." Of course this often comes from the same crowd who thinks it's all a perfectly orchestrated conspiracy with black choopers over everybody's houses, etc. and somebody, someplace nearby coordinating it all. Hell, the radios don't work half the time, but the Truth is out there and they know what it is without asking, right?

Clear skies,
Bob Young

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor ----->
Small business owners...
Tell us what you think!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/vO1FAB/txzCAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
----->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: joel carpenter <ufx1947@home.com>
Date: Fri, 10 Aug 2001 08:28:24 -0400
Subject: [UFORL] Small rocket takeoff/landing video
boundary="MS_Mac_OE_3080276904_4597949_MIME_Part"

For comparison to Socorro - a new Japanese reusable rocket prototype in
test flight. 600 Kb Quicktime video w/sound -

<http://www.isas.ac.jp/dtc/saisiyo/0625rvt6-42.mov>

The hydrogen flame is surprisingly orange.

Japanese agency website:

<http://www.isas.ac.jp/e/new/release/index.html>

Joel Carpenter

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor ----->
Small business owners...
Tell us what you think!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/vO1FAB/txzCAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
----->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

Attachment Converted: "C:\Programmi\EUDORA\attach\06_01.jpg"

From: James Easton <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Mon, 13 Aug 2001 23:38:39 +0100 (BST)
Subject: Re: [UFORL] 'Rendlesham' (and Col Halt's 2 lighthouses)

Regarding:

>From: "Robert McLean" <robert.mclean2@virgin.net>
>To: <UFORL@yahoogroups.com>
>Subject: Re: [UFORL] 'Rendlesham'
>Date: 05 August 2001 22:21

Robert wrote:

>I think you're right that the drawing on page 141 of Sky Crash
>originates from John Burroughs' sketch, and so it has nothing to
>do with the explosion of light seen by Col Halt.

Robert,

Yes, together with an off-list response from Jenny, I'm satisfied that's resolved.

>However, I am sure that the explosion of light and the
>disappearance of the UFO seen by Col Halt, really did occur.
>Before I explain why, just consider how likely it is that Col
>Halt would have related the "explosion" story, where the UFO
>appeared to have dispersed into five "white" lights (he never
>claims he saw the actual dispersion), if he really had seen that
>same UFO again in the second farmer's field.

Thanks for raising a number of helpful points.

As we know, there's no mention in Halt's microcassette recording of the light initially seen within Rendlesham forest 'exploding'.

Furthermore, it seems obvious that wasn't the actual perception at all and only later 'recalled' as such.

From: Todd Lemire <tlemire@home.com>
Date: Mon, 13 Aug 2001 19:04:05 -0400
Subject: [UFORL] Airship Research and Update Announcement

Greetings,

MIMUFON members have launched an ongoing investigation into the events of March, April and May of 1897, regarding the "Airship of 1897". MIMUFON's Chief Investigator and webmaster of "Michigan UFO Central", Todd Lemire, and Fred R. Varner, Field Investigator Trainee, have gathered and arranged in chronological order, over one hundred associated "Airship" reports and related articles from the period.

The report on this work is available at "Michigan UFO Central", and contains referenced "Airship" data, complete articles about the "Airship" from multiple news sources, illustrations and a data spreadsheet derived from the reports.

These can be viewed at
<http://members.home.net/tlemire/airships.html>

Thank You,
Ray Varner
Todd Lemire
Michigan UFO CENTRAL -
<http://members.home.net/tlemire/UFOCENTRAL.html>
Michigan MUFON - <http://www.mimufon.org>

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk
Date: Thu, 16 Aug 2001 22:46:12 -0000
Subject: [UFORL] 'UFOs and Classified Aircraft'

There's an article at space.com which might be of interest - see:

http://www.space.com/business technology/technology/classified_aircraft_010815-1.html

It features some of the issues we have discussed.

James Easton.
E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk
www.ufoworld.co.uk

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: James Easton <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Fri, 17 Aug 2001 11:39:04 +0100 (BST)
Subject: [UFORL] 'Planet Hunt Pays Off'

A news item which may be of interest:

"A solar system much like our own could be sitting on our cosmic doorstep".

See:

http://news.bbc.co.uk/hi/english/sci/tech/newsid_1494000/1494864.stm

James Easton.
E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk
www.ufoworld.co.uk

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: joel carpenter <ufx1947@home.com>
Date: Fri, 17 Aug 2001 11:44:11 -0400
Subject: Re: [UFORL] 'UFOs and Classified Aircraft'

Here's an oddity -

<http://msnbc.com/news/613369.asp>

"An experimental NASA spacecraft could well be the harbinger for a small armada of billion-dollar space bombers -- 'space operations vehicles' that could be launched from a U.S. base and fire weapons at almost any target on Earth, all within 90 minutes of a presidential order."

Included is what appears to be a 1998 PowerPoint slide, from an Air Force presentation which claims this advantage for the space bomber:

"American people will be too busy enjoying increased prosperity from hypersonic transportation to [be] overly concerned about 'military spin-offs in space'"

"Technology just as mature as JSF, V-22, CV-X"

? It is?

Joel Carpenter

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "Kevin O'Crean" <privateer@bigfoot.com>

Date: Fri, 17 Aug 2001 18:55:56 +0100

Subject: [UFORL] Crop Circles to order

Foot-and-mouth disease seems to have affected the number of mysterious crop circles appearing through the summer, according to a Wiltshire farmer. Tim Carson had only one new circle appear on his land this year - until rural access restrictions were lifted a month ago.

He said: "I had a phone call to ask if the footpaths were open round our way.

"I said 'Yes', and that night a crop circle appeared. Read into that what you will."

http://news.bbc.co.uk/hi/english/sci/tech/newsid_1496000/1496296.stm

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: John Lundberg <john@circlemakers.org>

Date: Fri, 17 Aug 2001 23:50:13 +0100

Subject: Re: [UFORL] Crop Circles to order

>Foot-and-mouth disease seems to have affected the number of mysterious crop
>circles appearing through the summer

The premise of the article is entirely wrong, 78 crop circles have already been discovered here in the UK so far this summer. But at least it mentions the recent jaw dropper at Milk Hill which consists of over 400 (yup over 400!!) circles and spans approx 800ft.

Check it out: <http://www.circlemakers.org/totc2001.html>

Later,
John

--

circlemakers.org - england's crop circle makers

strangeattractor.co.uk - we are the voices in your head

jonronson.com - the official ronson site

q: there is no art without risk / jean cocteau

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: TPrinty@aol.com
Date: Fri, 17 Aug 2001 20:04:44 EDT
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Crop Circles to order
boundary="part1_145.1191b3.28af0b1c_boundary"

<x-html>
<HTML>John wrote:

"78 crop circles have already been discovered here in the UK so far this

summer"

How does this compare with previous years numbers?

Tim Printy

<!-- |**|begin egg html banner|**| -->

<table border=0 cellpadding=2>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFCC>
<td align=center>Yahoo! Groups
Sponsor</td>
</tr>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFFF>
<td align=center width=470><table border=0 cellpadding=0 cellspacing=0><tr><td
align=center>ADVERTISEMENT
<a
href="http://rd.yahoo.com/M=194081.1566666.3122752.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1
705082741:HM/A=766942/R=0/*http://www.ediets.com/start.cfm?code=3225"target
t=_top></td></tr></table></td>
</tr>
<tr><td></td></tr>
</table>

<!-- |**|end egg html banner|**| -->

<tt>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.</tt>
</br>

</HTML>

</x-html>

From: John Lundberg <john@circlemakers.org>

Date: Sat, 18 Aug 2001 11:26:16 +0100

Subject: Re: [UFORL] Crop Circles to order

>"78 crop circles have already been discovered here in the UK so far this
>summer"

>How does this compare with previous years numbers?

Well it's not consistent, but the number of circles formations in the UK these days usually hover around the 100 mark, so seeing as there are still a couple of weeks left of this years season and not all circles reports are in yet, we're well on target.

The CCCS used to count each circle as apposed to each separate formation, so if we go that route the amount of circles this year would be in the 1000s!

Later,
John

--

circlemakers.org - england's crop circle makers

strangeattractor.co.uk - we are the voices in your head

jonronson.com - the official ronson site

q: there is no art without risk / jean cocteau

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: John Lundberg <john@circlemakers.org>
Date: Sat, 18 Aug 2001 17:13:54 +0100
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Crop Circles to order

>>"78 crop circles have already been discovered here in the UK

Actually, according to the database at cropcircleresearch.com 89 formations have been discovered so far this year in the UK.

<http://www.cropcircleresearch.com/cgi-bin/CCdb?d=x&y=2001&m=any&c=UK&l=&k=>

Previous years:

2000: 134
1999: 150
1998: 100
1997: 96
1996: 83
1995: 121
1994: 168
1993: 84
1992: 204
1991: 52
1990: 48
1989: 13
1988: 9

Also, check out coverage of the Milk Hill monster formation at ananova.com:

http://www.ananova.com/news/story/sm_376756.html

HTV did a news item with some great footage at:

<http://www.htvwest.com/news/data/3/video.rpm>

Later,
John

--

circlemakers.org - england's crop circle makers
strangeattractor.co.uk - we are the voices in your head
jonronson.com - the official ronson site

q: there is no art without risk / jean cocteau

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos <ballesterolmos@yahoo.es>
Date: Sat, 18 Aug 2001 19:42:19 +0200 (CEST)
Subject: [UFORL] A Call to UK ufologists/Photocase

Hi, eurocolleagues. Back in 1967 a young Englishman had an interesting UFO sighting while in Spain. He took a number of photographs. Although I met and interviewed him in my early years of researcher (a paper published in Spanish is available), I was not able to see the photos, therefore no analysis was ever performed.

I have a precise address of the witnesses in Reigate, Surrey, England. Is some British researcher interested in trying to locate him with the purpose to see and study the photos? If so, please reply to me privately, thanks.

Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos

Do You Yahoo!?

Yahoo! Messenger: Comunicación instantánea gratis con tu gente -
<http://messenger.yahoo.es>

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "Kevin O'Crean" <privateer@bigfoot.com>
Date: Sat, 18 Aug 2001 19:22:37 +0100
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Crop Circles to order

> The premise of the article is entirely wrong, 78 crop circles have
> already been discovered here in the UK so far this summer. But at
> least it mentions the recent jaw dropper at Milk Hill which consists
> of over 400 (yup over 400!!) circles and spans approx 800ft.
>

I hope that isn't a qualification for 'alien' or 'paranormal' effect.

Milk Hill is very impressive, I am sure the Farmer knew "nothing" about it and it is likely that his insurance company is not impressed by this feat of human artistry. Has the farmer complained to the police?

Note the tractor tracks through the corn at regular intervals. These are made by the farmer as he sprays the crop at least twice during the year before harvest, using the same track each time and long booms reaching halfway between each track.

Note that each circular feature crosses these spraying tracks therefore giving disguised access, also note that it would not be such a gymnastical feat to jump from an established circle area into another area to begin another circle.

A beautiful piece of work, well surveyed and planned out well in advance. It is marvellous what a large, well disciplined dedicated team can do with portable radios, laptops with GPS and a lot of tramping.

Crop circles are now a keenly competitive pastime, until the insurance companies catch on.

Kevin O'Crean

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: James Easton <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Sat, 18 Aug 2001 20:33:10 +0100 (BST)
Subject: [UFORL] THE RENDLESHAM FOREST INCIDENT - WORLD
EXCLUSIVE

THE RENDLESHAM FOREST INCIDENT - WORLD EXCLUSIVE

For over 20 years speculation has surrounded the British Ministry of Defence's investigation of the spectacular UFO incidents reported in Rendlesham Forest, near the RAF Bentwaters-Woodbridge air base in Suffolk, England in 1980.

In May 2001 the MOD released the contents of their formerly secret file on the case to UFO historians David Clarke and Andy Roberts, with-holding just 2 documents on the grounds of national security and 3 on the grounds they contain confidential briefings to Government ministers.

The release of the file is a ground-breaking development in our understanding of what happened in Rendlesham Forest and is sure to set "alarm bells ringing" in high places! The 155 page file contains evidence that the MOD **did** investigate the incident, explains why they decided it was "of no defence significance" and provides unique insights into the internal policy that underpinned MOD UFO policy in the 1980s.

You can now directly access the file contents, view samples of the documents and read exclusive commentaries provided by Rendlesham experts Jenny Randles and James Easton, by pointing your web browser at Clarke and Robert's new fully illustrated URL:

www.flyingsaucery.com

Our new site has been launched to showcase our ongoing, in-depth historical research into classic UFO incidents reported in Britain.

It will also be the home of a new, electronic version of the much-missed magazine UFO Brigantia.

Become the first UFOlogist in your solar system to read the full contents of the "Holy Grail" of the Rendlesham mystery.

When it comes to the latest news in British UFOlogy, Clarke and Roberts insist that 'We CAN Tell the People' !

Happy trails,

Dave Clarke & Andy Roberts

NOTE: Use Internet Explorer to access the web site.

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: Mendoza <Horseman@onetel.net.uk>
Date: Sun, 19 Aug 2001 10:14:41 +0100
Subject: [UFORL] Inchon, Korea & USAF

Compliments of the Duke of Mendoza:

This isn't a ufological query but there are enough ex-mil and historian types around here that I'm hoping will suffice to answer it.

I'm just doing research for a spot of debunking of which I'd imagine everyone on these lists would approve -- another nutter (like Bill English, but worse), who claims to have won the MoH at Inchon, 1950, flying for the USAF. Needless to say he didn't -- and the lie insults everyone involved at Inchon as well as the few USAF pilots who, all posthumously, did receive the MoH for their gallantry & valour in Korea.

I am bookless on Korea. I have discovered that the Inchon *plan* did not involve the USAF -- air support was to come from carrier-based USN and USMC planes. Can anyone confirm or deny for sure that no USAF aircraft were involved at Inchon, including the two-day aerial bombardment prior to the landings? And did *any* US military aviation use napalm here, or elsewhere in Korea, ever?

Assistance much appreciated.

best wishes
Peter B

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: TPrinty@aol.com
Date: Sun, 19 Aug 2001 09:32:48 EDT
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Inchon, Korea & USAF
boundary="part1_123.368f094.28b11a00_boundary"

<x-html>
<HTML>Peter,

I recall reading that this was out of range for the fighters available at the

time. At the time of the landings, the only air bases were in the small

Pusan pocket on the SE tip of Korea and all the USAF planes were flying

mostly from Japan. The distance involved resulting in the planes
carrying

light bombs vice the "Heavy Stuff" (I remember reading that Navy pilots gave

USAF pilots a hard time about their light bomb loads). The USAF did fly

interdiction and air support over the perimeter of the Pusan pocket but, as

best I can tell, not at Inchon. Inchon was much farther up the coast and it

was the USN/Marines (it also appears there was some help from our British

cousins and one of their light carriers) that provided the support. You
can

read a lot on the subject at:

<http://www.history.navy.mil/wars/assault.html>

and

<http://www.army.mil/cmh-pg/books/korea/20-2-1/sn25.htm>

There is reference to the use of Napalm by the Marine Air Forces at Inchon.

Sorry I can not be more helpful but the books on the subject are located at

my Father's house (a Korean war veteran with the USN) and I am working
mainly

from what I remember reading.

Tim Printy

<!-- |**|begin egp html banner|**| -->

<table border=0 cellpadding=2>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFCC>
<td align=center>Yahoo! Groups
Sponsor</td>
</tr>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFFF>
<td align=center width=470><table border=0 cellpadding=0 cellspacing=0><tr><td
align=center>ADVERTISEMENT
<a
href="http://rd.yahoo.com/M=211550.1562866.3121829.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1
705082741:HM/A=763352/R=0/*http://www.classmates.com/index.tf?s=5085"
target=_top><img

src="http://us.a1.yimg.com/us.yimg.com/a/cl/classmates_com2/bll_lrec1.gif" alt=""
width="300" height="250" border="0"></td></tr></table></td>
</tr>
<tr><td></td></tr>
</table>

<!-- |**|end egp html banner|**| -->

<tt>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.</tt>
</br>

</HTML>

</x-html>

From: Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos <ballesterolmos@yahoo.es>
Date: Sun, 19 Aug 2001 19:02:48 +0200 (CEST)
Subject: [UFORL] Links to Anomaly Foundation Web Site

Dear UFO colleague:

The "Anomaly Foundation" is a not-for-profit organization, based in Spain (Europe) and approved 1997 by the Spanish Ministry of Education and Culture. Aimed to perform, encourage and fund scientific-oriented UFO research, it collects financial donations from individuals and companies in order to achieve its objectives. The Anomaly Foundation provides grants, scholarships and prizes (one international in scope) and it regularly publishes two journals ("Cuadernos de Ufología" and "@nomalía"), as well as books under its "Camille Flammarion UFO Library". The Foundation's Board is formed by a large group of experienced, dedicated UFO investigators from all over Spain who have created a lot of synergy by combining their forces, talent and resources towards a common goal.

Our web site <<http://www.anomalia.org>> is in Spanish language but you will find relevant papers and information in English in the following links:

"Modern-Day Ufology Faces the New Millennium":
<<http://www.anomalia.org/prizes99.htm>>

"Anomaly Foundation Solves the Manises UFO Case":
<<http://www.anomalia.org/manisen.htm>>

"UFOs (Ballistic Missiles) in the Canary Islands":
<<http://www.anomalia.org/canen.htm>>

"Best UFO Books in English": <<http://www.anomalia.org/bibliog3.htm>>

"Declassification! Military UFO Records Released: The Spanish Experience",
by V.J. Ballester Olmos: <<http://www.anomalia.org/declass.htm>>

"The V.J. Ballester Olmos UFO Bibliography, 1965-2000":
<<http://www.anomalia.org/bibliog1.pdf>>

"The International Zurich UFO Prize By-Laws":
<<http://www.anomalia.org/premien.htm>>

In addition to the English section, the Spanish-speaking readers will also benefit from plenty of other interesting information in the web site.

You are very much encouraged to assist to the development of our objectives with donations aimed to promote and support our UFO research. Your material help will be very much appreciated.

Any cheques (payable to "Anomaly Foundation") can be mailed to:

ANOMALY FOUNDATION
APARTADO 5041
39080 SANTANDER
SPAIN

Yours sincerely,

Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos
Research Director, Anomaly Foundation
<ballesterolmos@yahoo.es>

Do You Yahoo!?

Yahoo! Messenger: Comunicación instantánea gratis con tu gente -
<http://messenger.yahoo.es>

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "Luis R. González Manso" <lrgm@arrakis.es>
Date: Sun, 19 Aug 2001 19:18:23 +0200
Subject: [UFORL] The first abduction

My name is Luis R. González from Spain.

I am preparing a short essay on abductions and would appreciate your help.

I would like to know several "first" in your countries:

- +The first conscious recalled abduction chronologically reported, no backdated.
- +The first employ of hipnosis to investigate an abduction consciously recalled.
- +The first abduction obtained under hipnosis but without conscious recall of a UFO.
- +The first abduction at home.

Thanks in advance for your help

LuisR

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: John Burtenshaw <jburtens@bournemouth.ac.uk>

Date: Mon, 20 Aug 2001 08:32:44 +0100

Subject: Re: [UFORL] Crop Circles to order

At 23:50 17/08/2001 +0100, you wrote:

>>Foot-and-mouth disease seems to have affected the number of mysterious crop

>>circles appearing through the summer

>

>The premise of the article is entirely wrong, 78 crop circles have

>already been discovered here in the UK so far this summer. But at

>least it mentions the recent jaw dropper at Milk Hill which consists

>of over 400 (yup over 400!!) circles and spans approx 800ft.

Totally amazing!. Assuming that it was not made by Aliens ;-) How many people were involved and over what time span. I assume it was done at night without the farmer's knowledge or did the farmer give permission for it to be made over several sessions?

Regards

John

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: John Burtenshaw <jburtens@bournemouth.ac.uk>
Date: Mon, 20 Aug 2001 08:39:56 +0100
Subject: [UFORL] Black triangles and Salisbury Plain

Hi All

On Discovery last night in the UK there was a programme called the Uninvited about Alien abductions. It mentioned that a group of British Army soldiers were on a night exercise on Salisbury Plain when a "Black Triangle" approached them and shone a white light. One of them was just outside the beam and he was the one who was being quoted as the witness (off camera BTW). He said he saw his colleagues paralyzed and then out of the trees ran a man in US military uniform who spoke to him with an American accent. This man swore at the witness and pushed him into the beam at which point he could not remember anything else. Sometime later the soldiers "awoke" to find themselves some distance away from the point of the alleged incident.

This is the first I have heard of this. Can anyone throw any light on it (pardon the pun)?

Regards

John

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: chris aubeck <caubeck@yahoo.com>
Date: Mon, 20 Aug 2001 11:17:45 +0100 (BST)
Subject: Re: [UFORL] The first abduction

Hi Luis,

What criteria are you basing this on? Depending on the nature of your request I could give you provide you with cases dating to the seventeenth century (in which a UFO, hybrid children and most of the usual indications in the Hopkins-Jacobs-Mack version of the phenomenon would be present), or earlier.

Un abrazo,

Chris Aubeck

--- "Luis_R._González_Mansó" <lrgm@arrakis.es> wrote:
> My name is Luis R. González from Spain.
>
> I am preparing a short essay on abductions and would
> appreciate your help.
>
> I would like to know several "first" in your
> countries:
>
> +The first conscious recalled abduction
> chronologically reported, no
> backdated.
> +The first employ of hipnosis to investigate an
> abduction consciously
> recalled.
> +The first abduction obtained under hipnosis but
> without conscious recall of
> a UFO.
> +The first abduction at home.
>
> Thanks in advance for your help
>
> LuisR
>
>
>
>

Do You Yahoo!?

Get your free @yahoo.co.uk address at <http://mail.yahoo.co.uk>
or your free @yahoo.ie address at <http://mail.yahoo.ie>

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "Luis R. González Manso" <lrgm@arrakis.es>
Date: Mon, 20 Aug 2001 18:18:39 +0200
Subject: RE: [UFORL] The first abduction

Dear Chris

I am looking for recent abductions, described as such by ufologists, not to re-readings of fairies, incubos, etc.

Nevertheless, I will appreciate to know about the case you mention (I suppose it is NOT the Woolpit Children).

LuisR

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: chris aubeck <caubeck@yahoo.com>
Date: Mon, 20 Aug 2001 21:21:15 +0100 (BST)
Subject: RE: [UFORL] The first abduction

Dear Luis,

The Woolpit children??

No, there are some Banjos I don't play.

I will send you some information in a separate mail.

Regards,

Chris

PS If by 'recent abductions' you mean modern ones, I guess you are referring to post-1954 or thereabouts?

Do You Yahoo!?

Get your free @yahoo.co.uk address at <http://mail.yahoo.co.uk>
or your free @yahoo.ie address at <http://mail.yahoo.ie>

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: chris aubeck <caubeck@yahoo.com>
Date: Mon, 20 Aug 2001 21:45:20 +0100 (BST)
Subject: [UFORL] Help needed on French UFO case 1826

Dear List Members,

Could someone please check their files to see if they have information about this interesting incident? I have two sources that contradict each other in some small details. Surprisingly, neither Bougard nor Vallee mention this case.

Thank you,

Chris Aubeck

Source A: Internet page dealing with miracles. My source's source: "Mgr. de Bouille published an account of this apparition and later received two briefs from Pope Leo XII."

Text of source A:

"On December 17, 1826, after sunset, approximately three thousand people gathered for a jubilee ceremony at Migne, in the jurisdiction of Poitiers, France. All three thousand witnessed a magnificent luminous object shining "brighter than the sun" and "clearly not of this world" in the open sky above them. Records of this event indicate that the heavenly object resembled a cross, but by its description it hardly seems likely. The length of the celestial "cross" measured forty feet and its cross-member measured about four feet. The crowd was seized with excitement, and a general feeling of brotherhood and unity prevailed even long after the spectacle had vanished. Some wept, some raised exclamations of wonder, some lifted their hands to heaven, some studied and measured and scrutinized and others simply took note. The reason for this phenomenon has never been ascertained, as no one among the witnesses has ever offered a single reasonable insight."

Source B: "Les OVNI du Passé," by Christiane Piens (Bibliothèque Marabout, Belgium 1977, p.86).

Text of source B: (I don't consider it necessary to reproduce it here. See the following notes)

Piens' source: F. Largarde et le groupement Lumières dans la nuit, "Mystérieuses soucoupes volantes," Albatros, 1973 p. 283.

Notes on sources A and B:

Piens has "Migne-Auxances (near Poitiers)" for "Migne, in the jurisdiction of Poitiers." Which is more correct?

Piens has "80 feet" instead of "forty feet and its cross-member measured about four feet." Which was the correct measurement?

Piens adds that 200 people provided a (written? oral?) testimony. Are these available?

Piens' source: F. Largarde et le groupement Lumières dans la nuit, "Mystérieuses soucoupes volantes," Albatros, 1973 p. 283. Can someone consult this for me?

Do You Yahoo!?

Get your free @yahoo.co.uk address at <http://mail.yahoo.co.uk>
or your free @yahoo.ie address at <http://mail.yahoo.ie>

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "King, Jeff" <jeff.king@apachecorp.com>
Date: Mon, 20 Aug 2001 17:05:39 -0500
Subject: RE: [UFORL] Inchon, Korea & USAF

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Mendoza [mailto:Horseman@onetel.net.uk]
> Sent: Sunday, August 19, 2001 4:15 AM
> To: UFORL@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [UFORL] Inchon, Korea & USAF

>

>

>

>

> Compliments of the Duke of Mendoza:

>

[snip]

> I'm just doing research for a spot of debunking of which
> I'd imagine everyone on these lists would approve -- another
> nutter (like Bill English, but worse), who claims to have
> won the MoH at Inchon, 1950, flying for the USAF. Needless
> to say he didn't -- and the lie insults everyone involved at
> Inchon as well as the few USAF pilots who, all posthumously,
> did receive the MoH for their gallantry & valour in Korea.

Your Grace,

Glad to see the horses haven't eaten you yet.

You've obviously got a good source for the Medal of Honor awardees, but fyi for the list, the U.S. Army maintains a web site that purports to name all MoH recipients, organized by conflict. The address is : <http://www.army.mil/cmh-pg/moh1.htm>. A handy source to have available since all sorts of fantasists and con men seem to like the added thrill of the MoH on their resumes.

Jeff King

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: Chris Gibson <cgibson@skomer.u-net.com>
Date: Mon, 20 Aug 2001 22:22:36 +0000
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Inchon, Korea & USAF

From: Chris Gibson <cgibson@skomer.u-net.com>
Date: Tue, 21 Aug 2001 06:58:47 +0000
Subject: Re: Re: [UFORL] Crop Circles to order

Did the first instances of crop circles coincide with the first use of the tractor mounted sprayer? Do crop circles appear in fields sprayed by aircraft?

Just wondering, since this is the silly season.

Chris

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: chris aubeck <caubeck@yahoo.com>

Date: Tue, 21 Aug 2001 08:51:25 +0100 (BST)

Subject: [UFORL] Reference from FSR vol. 21, no. 3-4, Nov. 1975, p. 54 needed.

Dear list members,

In 1767 a strange object was seen in Scotland. The exact source is unknown to me but here we can reproduce a letter from the most recent finder of the account, as published on the research site Filer's Files (#34, August 28, 2000 www.filersfiles.com):

Ilias Chdssochoidis writes, "As a doctoral student in the Humanities [at Stanford University], I've been spending much time reading 18th-century British periodicals. In one of them I have found a report of an unexplained phenomenon. I leave it to your judgment and experience to decide its merits as a UFO sighting. Extract of a letter from Edinburgh, Sept. 8, 1767 follows:

"We hear from Perthshire, that an uncommon phaenomenon was observed on the water of Isla, near Cupor Angus, preceded by a thick dark smoke, which soon dispelled, and discovered a large luminous body, like a house on fire, but presently after took a form something pyramidal, and rolled forwards with impetuosity till it came to the water of Erick, up which river it took its direction, with great rapidity, and disappeared a little above Blairgowrie. The effects were as extraordinary as the appearance.

"In its passage, it carried a large cart many yards over a field of grass; a man riding along the high road was carried from his horse, and so stunned with the fall, as to remain senseless a considerable time. It destroyed one half of a house, and left the other behind, undermined and destroyed an arch of the new bridge building at Blairgowrie, immediately after which it disappeared.

"As few appearances of this kind ever were attended with like consequences, various conjectures have been formed concerning it."

This incident, in slightly varying versions, has been described in three different books with no reference to the name of the journal where it first appeared. I would like to know the title and date of that journal. A French source has provided me with the reference FSR vol. 21, no. 3-4, Nov. 1975, p. 54. Unfortunately I do

not have this issue. Could someone look it up for me?
Unless the above-quoted text differs from that
published in FSR all I require is the original
bibliographical reference.

Thank you,

Chris Aubeck

Do You Yahoo!?

Get your free @yahoo.co.uk address at <http://mail.yahoo.co.uk>
or your free @yahoo.ie address at <http://mail.yahoo.ie>

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "Kevin O'Crean" <privateer@bigfoot.com>
Date: Tue, 21 Aug 2001 12:24:22 +0100
Subject: Re: Re: [UFORL] Crop Circles to order

----- Original Message -----

From: "Chris Gibson" <cgibson@skomer.u-net.com> Sent: Tuesday, August 21, 2001 7:58 AM
Subject: Re: Re: [UFORL] Crop Circles to order

> Did the first instances of crop circles coincide with the first use of the tractor mounted sprayer? Do crop circles appear in fields sprayed by aircraft?
>
> Just wondering, since this is the silly season.

That point did occur to me, but they have been tractor spraying for quite a long time now as Crop spraying by aircraft (usually Piper Pawnees) is now banned in the UK and has been for quite some time. Even when it did occur it was never on a vast scale.

There will always be someone who will show that circles can occur without spray tracks, but it is also possible with a great deal of care to walk slowly through corn with flattening it. Once you are at your site, then you are home and dry.

Hoaxers have shown how it can be done and that is good enough for me. I bet with some good forensic detective work there would be human 'traces' all the over the site. But nobody ever looks for that sort of thing, they look for alien influence *instead*.

There is one born every minute. Typical Fortean-type crap.

Best wishes,

Kevin O'Crean

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: John Burtenshaw <jburtens@bournemouth.ac.uk>
Date: Tue, 21 Aug 2001 12:56:17 +0100
Subject: Re: Re: [UFORL] Crop Circles to order

At 12:24 21/08/2001 +0100, you wrote:

>----- Original Message -----

>From: "Chris Gibson" <cgibson@skomer.u-net.com>

>To: "John Burtenshaw" <jburtens@bournemouth.ac.uk>;
<UFORL@yahoogroups.com>

>Sent: Tuesday, August 21, 2001 7:58 AM

>Subject: Re: Re: [UFORL] Crop Circles to order

>

>

>> Did the first instances of crop circles coincide with the first use of the
>tractor mounted sprayer? Do crop circles appear in fields sprayed by
>aircraft?

>>

>> Just wondering, since this is the silly season.

>

>That point did occur to me, but they have been tractor spraying for quite a
>long time now as Crop spraying by aircraft (usually Piper Pawnees) is now
>banned in the UK and has been for quite some time.

I saw spraying by helicopter two weeks ago in Wales. It was over the hills
and very far from human habitation. Any idea what they were doing. could it
connected to Foot and Mouth?

John

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: cgibson@skomer.u-net.com
Date: Tue, 21 Aug 2001 14:54:39 GMT
Subject: [UFORL] Re: Crop Circles to order

Nah, Chemtrails, mate, Low altitude chemtrails. Obvious, innit.

Chris

> and very far from human habitation. Any idea what they were doing. could it
> connected to Foot and Mouth?
>
> John
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>
>
>
>

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: John Rimmer <jrimmer@magonia.demon.co.uk>
Date: Wed, 22 Aug 2001 08:38:42 +0100
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Reference from FSR vol. 21, no. 3-4, Nov. 1975, p. 54 needed.

In message <20010821075125.63340.qmail@web9905.mail.yahoo.com>, chris aubeck <caubeck@yahoo.com> writes

>
>
>Dear list members,
>
>In 1767 a strange object was seen in Scotland. The
>exact source is unknown to me but here we can
>reproduce a letter from the most recent finder of the
>account, as published on the research site Filers
>Files (#34, August 28, 2000 www.filersfiles.com):
>
>
>This incident, in slightly varying versions, has been
>described in three different books with no reference
>to the name of the journal where it first appeared. I
>would like to know the title and date of that journal.
>A French source has provided me with the reference FSR
>vol. 21, no. 3-4, Nov. 1975, p. 54. Unfortunately I do
>not have this issue. Could someone look it up for me?
>Unless the above-quoted text differs from that
>published in FSR all I require is the original
>bibliographical reference.
>
>Thank you,
>
>Chris Aubeck

The reference given in FSR is to The Annual Register. No other details are given.

--

John Rimmer
Magonia Magazine
www.magonia.demon.co.uk

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Get your FREE credit report with a FREE CreditCheck
Monitoring Service trial
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/M8mxkD/bQ8CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "Luis R. González Manso" <lrgm@arrakis.es>
Date: Wed, 22 Aug 2001 12:07:47 +0200
Subject: RE: [UFORL] The first abduction

For the purpose of my analysis, an abduction is defined by 3/4 features:

- + the witness is brought inside a strange UFO, "room", etc. against his will, or at least involuntarily, not a "contactee".
- + there is some kind of physical examination.
- + This examination is performed by strange beings (even if their appearance is human).

+++++

Preliminar data: The first abduction known cronologically appear to be (by countries):

Spain: Tendilla (January 1978). Miguel Herrero Sierra..... Hoax
(Ballester, "Alleged Experiences Inside UFOS", JSE 8:1)

Italy: (Widely known) Marzano di Torriglia (December 6, 1978).
Zanfretta.....

(Only hypnosis) Lago d'Idro (July 27, 1973, but hypnotized 4 years later)
(Fiorino, "Abduction in Italy")

France: Canal du Nord (1921) ---- Catalogue MAGONIA #43 (Reported in 1954)
Chatuzange (June 11, 1976). Hellene Guiliana
(Widely Known) Cergy-Pontoise (November 26, 1979).....Hoax
(Maugé, "A Provisional List of Alleged UFO Abductions....")

Australia: Rye (1972). Maureen Puddy (psychic abd).

According to Basterfield in 1976/77 there were no
abductions known in Australia. But in 1979, Chalker had already found 3
¿which ones?

(Basterfield, "Abducciones: Investigación, informes y
presencia en los
medios de comunicación australianos).

The situation in Argentina and Brazil is complex. I will try to resume it in
another e-mail.

LuisR

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor ----->
Get your FREE credit report with a FREE CreditCheck

Monitoring Service trial

<http://us.click.yahoo.com/M8mxkD/bQ8CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>

-----~>

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "James Easton" <editor@voyagemag.com>
Date: Wed, 22 Aug 2001 18:25:15 +0100
Subject: [UFORL] Voyager Newsletter No. 20

Voyager Newsletter No. 20

1. Official Documents Demolish 'UFO Cover Up' Absurdity.
2. Nick Pope and A Number of Contradictory Tales.
3. Disclosure Exposure.
4. Has Sensational New Evidence Identified the Rendlesham 'UFO'?
5. The UFO Research List.

OOO~OOO~OOO~OOO

1. Official Documents Demolish 'UFO Cover Up' Absurdity.

Congratulations to Dr David Clarke on his acquisition of previously unreleased Ministry of Defence [MoD] documentation

Dr Clarke has successfully obtained what seems to effectively be the MoD's, apparently non-existent, 'Rendlesham forest UFOs' file.

The contents are a landmark disclosure.

A highly commended new web site has been set up with freely available copies of the main documents, at:

<http://www.flyingsaucery.com/>

Some have proclaimed the Ministry was involved in a 'UFO cover up', part of that supposed nefarious and grandiose, global, clandestine conspiracy.

However, it has long been evident to more objective researchers of this most famous, British 'UFO' case, a pillar of such beliefs world-wide, why its perceived 'UFO' evidence was fundamentally flawed from the outset.

That fact is exemplified by the contents of this historic, official 'UFO' file. All we find exposed here, within over 150 'secret' documents, is the specious foundation for any 'UFO cover

up' declarations.

It's now confirmed how the MoD did spend considerable time investigating those purported 'Rendlesham forest UFOs'.

The forest separated RAF Bentwaters and RAF Woodbridge, a twin-base complex, operated by the USAF. Deputy Base Commander, Lt. Col. Charles Halt. had written to the Ministry regarding the 'UFO' events and their investigations centred on that memorandum.

There were two distinct 'UFO incidents' and it's comprehensively established that Halt's memo contained the wrong dates.

The incidents occurred at circa 0300 on the morning of 26 December and the night/early morning of 27/28 December. Halt erroneously reports the dates as 27 and 29/30 December respectively.

The MoD file confirms this astonishing faux pas was a major factor why their investigations focused on spurious data.

Incredibly, the MoD papers confirm it was 1983, when science writer Ian Ridpath wrote to them, before they were even aware of the true situation.

How could Halt have been so mistaken.

It's a question which I may be the only person ever to have raised directly. During 1997, it was publicised that American journalist Salley Rayl was to interview Halt and I enquired if she would please ask some questions on my behalf.

The 13 May, 1997 transcript records:

RAYL: We received an e-mail earlier in the day from a fellow by the name of James Easton who lives in Scotland and apparently has been researching this case during recent months.

[...]

One thing that he mentioned and a question that I would like to pass on to you is why have there been variances in the dates given for both incidents? Your memo claims that the dates were on the evening, early morning of the 26th, 27th and 28th, 29th of December, respectively. But elsewhere, you know, the dates have been given as 25 to 26 and 29 to 30, he says. So why, why are there differences in those dates?

HALT: Well, I tried to go back and recover the police blotter and the security blotter think I mentioned to you earlier to re-affirm the dates. Keep in mind, I wrote the memo several weeks later. And it was not a really important memo. The date was not critical. The critical portion was, you know, what happened and are you interested? And how about getting involved and let's investigate this. It's possible that I, I put the date down wrong. But I don't believe so. I tried to verify later and the police blotters had been taken from repository, probably by a, how shall I say, curiosity seeker...
[END]

Halt's memo was dated 13 January and the relevant background is perhaps best explained in Halt's own words, from that same interview:

RAYL: Okay. Now, two weeks later on January 13, 1981 you issued a memorandum to the British Ministry of Defense.

HALT: That's correct.

RAYL: And why did you do that?

HALT: At the request of the R.A.F. Liaison Officer or the R.A.F. Base Commander, as we called him, Don Moreland.

RAYL: Okay.

HALT: I went and approached him, and I said, "You know, this happened off base." Well, I did discuss this through our channels and the real answer from our channels was, "Hey, we don't want to touch this with a pole. This was a British incident. It happened off the installation. Let them handle it." So, I contacted him. In fact, I contacted him earlier and the only reason the memo was dated that late was that he was on vacation and I wasn't able to find out what he wanted and how much detail he wanted and what he wanted to do with the information. When I finally caught up with him on the, about the 10th or the 12th, he said, "Well, write a brief memo. Kind of sanitize it and we'll send it to London. We'll see what happens." So, that's what I did.

RAYL: That memo is probably one of the most amazing documents that's been released to the UFO community, I would say within the last 20 years or so and while you never use the term UFO in it, it reads like science fiction. When you were writing that, did you get that sense at all, that what you're writing here may be not taken entirely seriously?

HALT: Well, there was no intent for that to be released publicly.

That was, you know, not a classified document, as some people have alluded to. It was given to Don Moreland to forward through his channels which was that Liaison Officer, Third Air Force, Air Force Headquarters in England, to the M.O.D. and see what their interest was. I really expected somebody to pop up in a day or two and want more details. To this day, it hasn't happened.

[...]

RAYL: ...You had the copy of the micro-cassette recording that you made that night and that also found its way into the UFO community when the story broke publicly with the release of the memo... somebody said that the micro-cassette recording was actually two hours long and it's always been my understanding from you that it was closer to twenty minutes.

HALT: It's closer to twenty minutes. I've never timed it.

RAYL: Okay. So, there...

HALT: Because I turn the machine off when I wasn't actually talking...

RAYL: Right.

HALT: ...In fact, some times I talked and I didn't record. You know, I only recorded what I thought was pertinent.

RAYL: Okay. So when you had something to say, you'd hit the record button and speak into it.

HALT: Keep in mind, this is the recorder I use when I traveled around the base to record things I saw that needed [to be] fixed or whatever, and I would normally just when I went around in a staff car you know, make a recording. Talk from time to time. Flick it on and off and then go back and thread the tape to the secretary and say, "Here, put it on your dictating machine. Type it up and we'll mark it for who the pertinent staff agency is that should take action on these items." That's basically what I did.

RAYL: Okay.

HALT: It was very common to carry the tape recorder with me. Sure beats taking notes.

RAYL: Sure does. And how did that get released into the UFO community? I think a lot of people would be interested in that.

HALT: I made a copy for my boss then, Ted Conrad. He wanted a

copy of it so I made a copy and when he rotated and was re-assigned, he left it in the desk unbeknownst to me, and Sam Morgan came in to replace him. Sam Morgan found it and asked me what it was. I played it for him and he thought it was hilarious and he gave a copy to a British solicitor in the UFO community named Harry Harris who, in turn, sold, distributed, gave away, etc., copies until they're all over the world.

[...]

HALT: The Wing Commander at that time was not present. ...He and I discussed this incident the day after, which was a day or two after which was a Saturday morning. I remember running into him in the hallway of the building we shared a common office building and I told him about it. He knew a little bit, but I told him some details and told him I made the tape and he was very interested and asked to hear the tape. I gave him the tape and played it for him and he said, "May I take this to the Third Air Force, to the staff meeting next Tuesday or Wednesday?" I said, "Certainly." Well, I couldn't tell him, no. And he took it down and played it to the staff and the General looked at the staff and said, "First, the Wing Commander said, 'Is he a credible witness?' and the answer was, 'Yes.' So he turned to the staff and said, 'What do we do now?'" And nobody knew what to do. So there was some chuckling in the room and I understand the comment was, "Well, it's a British affair. Let's give it to them."

RAYL: And that's what you did?

HALT: And that's what everybody did. And I was told when he came back, he gave me the tape back and thanked me and said, "Get with Don Moreland. Let the British handle this."
[END OF EXTRACT]

Crucially, Halt clarifies that a senior General attended the staff meeting. It seems a reasonable deduction this was General Charles A. Gabriel, who was at that time Chief of Staff of the US Air Staff and Commander in Chief of US Air Forces in Europe at Ramstein AFB, Germany.

It would correlate with a letter contained within the MoD's papers, when on 16 February 1981, Squadron Leader Badcock wrote to them:

"I have spoken with Sqn Ldr Moreland at Bentwaters and he considered the Deputy Base Commander [Halt] a sound source. I asked if the incident had been reported on the USAF net and I was advised that tape recordings of the evidence had been handed to Gen Gabriel who happened to be visiting the station. Perhaps it

would be reasonable to ask if we could have tape recordings as well."

I have a FOIA request that has been with Ramstein AFB for some time now and which seeks to clarify a number of related issues.

Halt didn't take any witness statements until a full week afterwards and states this delay was due to the holiday period.

Apparently, written testimonies were only requested from those who had participated in the first night's events. Presumably, as Halt was directly involved in the second incident, he considered there was no need to document those proceedings, plus he had the verbal notes from his microcassette recording.

Halt confirmed to Sally Rayl, "Around New Year's Eve, I took statements and interviewed the men who had taken part in the initial incident".

These were the statements I acquired in 1998 and the existence of copies was first revealed in 'Rendlesham Unravelling' - see:

<http://www.ufoworld.co.uk/rendlsh.htm>

As four of the five statements record the date of our initial 'UFO' scare and verify it as 26 December, there should never have been any confusion.

If, as Halt stated in response to my question, he attempted to substantiate the correct dates before sending his memo, why not refer to these testimonies he had personally obtained from the witnesses?

Halt informed the Ministry that following a sighting of "unusual lights outside the back gate at RAF Woodbridge" a patrol was permitted to investigate, even though the forest was outwith USAF jurisdictions. He wrote:

"Thinking an aircraft might have crashed or been forced down, they called for permission to go outside the gate to investigate. The on-duty flight chief responded and allowed three patrolmen to proceed on foot. The individuals reported seeing a strange glowing object in the forest".

It seems the 'strange glowing object' reported by them turned out to be a farmhouse, as confirmed in the statement of one participant, Airman Ed Cabansag:

"We figured the lights were coming from past the forest, since nothing was visible as we passed through the woody forest. We could see a glowing near the beacon light, but as we got closer we found it to be a lit-up farmhouse. After we had passed through the forest, we thought it had to be an aircraft accident. So did CSC as well. But we ran and walked a good 2 miles past our vehicle, until we got to a vantage point where we could determine that what we were chasing was only a beacon light off in the distance. Our route through the forest and field was a direct one, straight towards the light".

Indeed, it was the same 'glowing farmhouse', at Capel Green, which so alarmed Halt during the second 'UFO' incident, when he ventured into Rendlesham forest in search of the 'UFO' which had reportedly been seen again. As he recalled in the interview with Salley Rayl:

"Just prior to that, we had also noticed that the farmer's house appeared to be glowing, as though there were a fire inside. All the windows were bright red and sort of flickering and I was quite concerned for the occupants of the house".

During the past year, local researcher Robert McLean has on more than one occasion followed the route documented on Halt's microcassette recording and, easily locating the same farmhouse, was able to see for himself the "house on fire effect", which is, "quite an effective illusion".

It's only a result of local lighting conditions.

Halt's memo continues:

"The object was described as being metallic in appearance and triangular in shape, approximately two to three meters across the base and approximately two meters high. It illuminated the entire forest with a white light. The object itself had a pulsing red light on top and a bank(s) of blue lights underneath. The object was hovering or on legs. As the patrolmen approached the object, it maneuvered through the trees and disappeared. At this time the animals on a nearby farm went into a frenzy.

The object was briefly sighted approximately an hour later near the back gate".

Not one of the three Security Police depicted a 'triangular-shaped' object in their statements. Staff-Sergeant Jim Penniston

was the only participant who claimed to have seen what he believed to be a metallic object, associated with the 'lights'. His original written account describes how he was never able to get closer than 50 meters to the illusive source, which his accompanying sketch clearly showed to be 'box-shaped' - see:

<http://www.ufoworld.co.uk/ftp/penn6.gif>

This story was to be changed radically, especially after Penniston underwent 'regressive hypnosis'. Contrary to his initial testimony, at some point, the 'object' did then become 'triangular-shaped'.

However, the final member of that patrol, Airman First Class John Burroughs, was adamant they never observed a 'craft', merely some lights which implied an object was there. On 20 September, 1991, Jim Speiser published on ParaNet the transcript of a brief series of questions which Burroughs had answered. Amongst these was the following dialogue concerning the 'Unsolved Mysteries' documentary, broadcast on 18 September:

POWELL: Regarding one of the last clips shown, which depicted one or two airmen prone as a very nearby and clearly craft-like object rose from a landing position and took off, do you know if there were any ground traces of this?

BURROUGHS: First of all, we did not see a structured 'craft' as was depicted. All we saw were lights that seemed to imply a structure of some kind. Later inspection showed three round depressions at that spot, forming an equilateral triangle. The British Police explained these as 'rabbit holes'.

During a 1993 interview for 'Fate' magazine [Fate 46, No 9, September 1993, pp 70-71], Burroughs also told Antonio Huneus, "I never saw anything metallic or anything hard".

Cabansag's statement refers only to some unfamiliar lights, the origin of which was never resolved, except for the "beacon light".

This is not exactly the overall scenario outlined in Halt's memo.

I know that John Burroughs still maintains how only some anomalous lights were seen, as I've been in touch with him. In due course, it's anticipated that the informative, first-hand account which Burroughs has confided will be published.

Neither did the lights 'manoeuvre through the trees and disappear' as portrayed. Ultimately, the lights were seen next to

a farmhouse, before being lost from sight, as explained in Burroughs' statement:

"We got up to a fence that separated the trees from the open field and you could see the lights down by a farmers house. We climbed over the fence and started heading towards the red and blue lights and they just disappeared. Once we reached the farmer's house we could see a beacon going around so we went towards it. We followed it for about 2 miles before we could see it was coming from a lighthouse.

We had just crossed a creek and were told to come back when we saw a blue light to our left in the trees. It was only there for a min and it just streaked away. After that, we didn't see anything so we returned to the truck".

Conspicuously absent in Halt's memo is the story of how Orfordness lighthouse played a role in those first and formative 'UFO' perceptions.

That 'blue light' which seemed to 'streak away' is the sole basis for Halt's contention, "The object was briefly sighted approximately an hour later near the back gate".

There was never any 'object' observed again.

And so it goes...

If the Ministry had also been advised by Halt that the tiny, (confirmed by Halt to Sally Rayl), pulsating light he personally observed within Rendlesham forest, as further detailed in the memo, was seen again "clear off to the coast" (documented in his microcassette recording), the entire context of these 'UFO' alarms might have become more evident.

One additional factor which Halt drew attention to was 'radiation readings', taken at what was considered to be the first night's 'UFO landing site'. We can see from the MoD's file that they also wasted time on this.

It's long been demonstrated that the readings were minuscule - see for example:

<http://www.ufoworld.co.uk/newslet1.htm>

The bottom line is, as detailed therein, is that incidental 'radiation readings' taken from a nearby farmer's field were actually higher than most of the 'landing site' readings!

It's welcome that the MoD have finally released copies of the various correspondence which Halt's memo generated and it's a telling insight.

If the Ministry had realised what was contained within the original witness statements, it's questionable if they would even have bothered investigating whether radar tracking evidence existed.

Although there have been claims a 'UFO' was tracked on radar, prior to the first incident, it was documented that the radar tower were contacted and could offer no assistance.

Halt also requested a statement from Flight Controller Fred Buran, who was stationed at RAF Bentwaters Central Security Control and had directed operations.

He testified:

"I monitored their progress (Burroughs, Cabansag and Penniston) as they entered the wooded area".

"Due to the colors they had reported, I alerted them to the fact that they may have been approaching a light aircraft crash scene. I directed SSgt Coffey to check with the tower to see if they could throw some light on the subject. They could not help".

What's unveiled by the MoD's own internal documents could not be much further removed from a 'cover up'.

It merely serves to once more evidence the extraordinary misperceptions and fallacious claims which have been inherent to the 'Rendlesham forest UFOs' and that when further unravelled, the facts reveal infinitely more about human nature, than not telling the people ET was here.

Also now set in context are previous comments by Nick Pope, who worked for the MoD. In order to clarify Pope's exact duties, I queried this with the Ministry and on 29 September 1999, Gaynor South, from 'Secretariat(Air Staff)2a' replied:

"Mr Pope was employed as an Executive Officer in Secretariat (Air Staff) 2. His post was designated Sec(AS)2a.

The main duties of the post concern non-operational RAF activities overseas and diplomatic clearance policy for military

flights abroad.

A small percentage of time is spent dealing with reports from the public about alleged 'UFO' sightings and associated public correspondence".

On 5 May, 1996, Pope was interviewed by 'UFO Magazine' [UK] and spoke about the Ministry's 'Rendlesham' file, or to be more precise, its absence:

UFO: In 1980 one of the most extraordinary incidents involving a UFO occurred in Suffolk, just a few hundred yards from the joint USAF/RAF bases at Bentwaters and Woodbridge. DS8 was the name of the MoD's UFO department at that time who handled the case. Your thoughts on this one?

POPE: Rendlesham is the 'Holy Grail' of British Ufology isn't it?

UFO: It was bigger than DS8 could handle - isn't that true?

POPE: I believe Rendlesham has an extraterrestrial explanation. I am convinced on the first night of the activity a small remote craft was seen by the guard patrol. As we all know, trace evidence was found. Colonel Halt wrote his memorandum to Whitehall...

UFO: On this insistence of a British officer?

POPE: Yes, but on each joint USAF/RAF base there is an RAF Commander; but it's really only a nominal title; these facilities are basically USAF bases. I believe for legal reasons they must have an RAF Commander who acts as the conduit between the USAF and the Ministry of Defence. My best assessment of what happened next is - absolutely nothing! The report was written on the 13th January and when it arrived at Whitehall, whoever was doing the job didn't have the faintest idea what to do with it, probably took one look at it and said, what am I supposed to do? I am afraid to say it simply ended up in a file.
[END OF EXTRACT]

I'm sure Nick Pope will be interested to see the file which Dr Clarke and others are now familiar with!

The interview continued:

POPE: The standard line on Rendlesham Forest is that the incident was looked into, and nothing of defence significance was uncovered. The challenge, as it were, to the department, is that we have never seen the analysis.

UFO: But people want to know: who did this analysis? And on what basis did it conclude there was no threat?

POPE: These are questions you might want to pose to Sec(AS)2a!

UFO: Does this not indicate that DS8 wasn't even a minor player in the events that followed next. Bentwaters was on full alert anyway due to the problems in Europe?

POPE: I believe we had just had the situation in Poland with the trade union Solidarity, and this heightened international tension.

[...]

UFO: Would it not have been different given such an incident had occurred whilst you headed Sec(AS)2a? Would you have launched an investigation?

POPE: I would have been on the first bloody train to Bentwaters!

UFO: What kind of support would you have given?

POPE: I would have taken soil samples, and taken those to the Defence Radiological Protection Service. I would have immediately impounded the radar tapes and had them sent to me, and immediately called RAF defence experts in, we would have sat down, looked at the tapes and analysed the content to see if they showed structured craft or spurious returns. Interview statements would have followed...

UFO: But this allegedly did not happen in 1980?

POPE: At the end of the day this did not happen, so far as I am aware.

UFO: Perhaps DS8 did these things and there was a general clamp down of information?

POPE: If that had been the case it would have inevitably leaked out.

[END OF EXTRACT]

Pope concluded:

UFO: Was there a cover-up?

POPE: No. Not in the MoD - I think the MoD's response was just

ineffective.

UFO: That's a very big statement?

POPE: Yes, but if something of that magnitude was reported and simply placed on file, then what else can you say?

[END OF EXTRACT]

We can now say that Pope was evidently oblivious to many key facts.

Yet, the clues were there, as I emphasised in the first 'Voyager' newsletter published, during September 1998:

Contrary to Nick's conjecture that Col Halt's memo "simply ended up in a file", is the information provided to myself last year by Gaynor South at Secretariat(Air Staff)2a1a.

She wrote:

"You have questioned whether the Ministry of Defence thoroughly investigated the events which are alleged to have taken place at RAF Woodbridge/Rendlesham Forest.

From: "Robinson, Larry" <lrobin@indiana.edu>
Date: Wed, 22 Aug 2001 12:52:15 -0500
Subject: RE: [UFORL] The first abduction

The first abduction I know of in the US is the Betty and Barney Hill case in New Hampshire on 9/19/1961. It was revealed by hypnosis.

John Fuller's book "The Interrupted Journey" has the transcripts of the hypnosis sessions.

Of course, since this was the first such case, the hypnotist did not know that it was possible for his own actions and questions to edit the event in the minds of the witnesses. There are several places in the transcript where the hypnotist asked leading questions, and that can cause the witness to make up details to please the hypnotist.

The description of the visible object recalled by the witnesses before the hypnotic sessions is consistent with a night advertizing plane.

---- -X =@ o_ = X<= <o> o-< <-I=- -> <O-O-O< <-88 ----
Larry Robinson S/AP/EIS lrobin@indiana.edu
Indiana University (812) 855-5073 Room 42
Kinesiology Dept http://php.indiana.edu/~lrobin
1025 E 7th St Rm 112 HDTV and taxes killed RCA.
Bloomington IN 47405-7109

@ -----Original Message-----

@ From: Luis R. González Manso [mailto:lrgm@arrakis.es]

@ Sent: Wednesday, August 22, 2001 05:08

@ To: UFORL@yahoogroups.com

@ Subject: RE: [UFORL] The first abduction

@

@

@ For the purpose of my analysis, an abduction is defined by
@ 3/4 features:

@

@ + the witness is brought inside a strange UFO, "room", etc.

@ against his

@ will, or at least involuntarily, not a "contactee".

@

@ + there is some kind of physical examination.

@

@ + This examination is performed by strange beings (even if

@ their appearance

@ is human).

@

@ ++++++++
 @
 @ Preliminary data: The first abduction known chronologically
 @ appear to be (by
 @ countries):
 @
 @ Spain: Tendilla (January 1978). Miguel Herrero Sierra..... Hoax
 @ (Ballester, "Alleged Experiences Inside UFOS", JSE 8:1)
 @
 @ Italy: (Widely known) Marzano di Torriglia (December 6, 1978).
 @ Zanfretta.....
 @ (Only hypnosis) Lago d'Idro (July 27, 1973, but
 @ hypnotized 4 years
 @ later)
 @ (Fiorino, "Abduction in Italy")
 @
 @ France: Canal du Nord (1921) ---- Catalogue MAGONIA #43
 @ (Reported in 1954)
 @ Chatuzange (June 11, 1976). Hellene Guiliana
 @ (Widely Known) Cergy-Pontoise (November 26,
 @ 1979).....Hoax
 @ (Maugé, "A Provisional List of Alleged UFO
 @ Abductions....")
 @
 @ Australia: Rye (1972). Maureen Puddy (psychic abd).
 @ According to Basterfield in 1976/77 there were no
 @ abductions known in Australia. But in 1979, Chalker had
 @ already found 3
 @ ¿which ones?
 @ (Basterfield, "Abducciones: Investigación, informes y
 @ presencia en los
 @ medios de comunicación australianos).
 @
 @ The situation in Argentina and Brazil is complex. I will try
 @ to resume it in
 @ another e-mail.
 @
 @ LuisR
 @
 @
 @
 @ ----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
 @ -----~-->
 @ Get your FREE credit report with a FREE CreditCheck
 @ Monitoring Service trial
 @ <http://us.click.yahoo.com/M8mxkD/bQ8CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
 @ -----
 @ -----~-->
 @
 @

@

@ Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to

@ <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

@

@

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->

Get your FREE credit report with a FREE CreditCheck

Monitoring Service trial

<http://us.click.yahoo.com/M8mxkD/bQ8CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>

-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Thu, 23 Aug 2001 03:52:41 +0100
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Reference from FSR...

Regarding:

>From: "chris aubeck" <caubeck@yahoo.com>
>To: <UFORL@yahoogroups.com>
>Subject: [UFORL] Reference from FSR...
>Date: 21 August 2001 10:06

Chris wrote:

>In 1767 a strange object was seen in Scotland. The exact source
>is unknown to me...

[...]

>I leave it to your judgment and experience to decide its merits
>as a UFO sighting. Extract of a letter from Edinburgh, Sept. 8,
>1767 follows:
>
>"We hear from Perthshire, that an uncommon phaenomenon was
>observed on the water of Isla, near Cupor Angus, preceded by a
>thick dark smoke, which soon dispelled, and discovered a large
>luminous body, like a house on fire, but presently after took a
>form something pyramidal, and rolled forwards with impetuosity
>till it came to the water of Erick, up which river it took its
>direction, with great rapidity, and disappeared a little above
>Blairgowrie.

Chris,

There's a helpful on-line map which sets the claims in context -
see:

<http://uk8.multimap.com/map/browse.cgi?scale=200000&X=310000&Y=740000&gride=&gridn=&width=700&height=400&client=M6&db=hcgaz&overviewmap=&coordsys=gb&right.x=12&right.y=21>

This should of course be entered as a one-line URL.

The river winding just above Coupar is the Isla and north-east we
can see where it meets the Erich.

Your source refers to the town of Cupor and the river Erick.

As you may know, I live in Scotland and I can't locate any
evidence that Coupar was known as Cupor in 1767 or earlier,
however, according to 'The Gazetteer of Scotland' by W. Chalmers,

published in nearby Dundee during 1803:

"Coupar of Angus or Cupar is a considerable town and parish in the valley of Strathmore, and though designated in Angus, by far the greater part is situated in the county of Perth. The town is situated on the Isla, and is divided by a rivulet into two parts; that part which lies south of that rivulet being all that belongs to the county of Angus".

I wonder if 'Cupor' is a typo, during various translations, and should perhaps be 'Cupar'?

If the 'phaenomenon' [apparently a spelling used in that era] originated on the river Isla "and rolled forwards with impetuosity till it came to the water of Erick, up which river it took its direction, with great rapidity", we seem to have an unusual occurrence, whatever the explanation.

However, if said artefact "disappeared a little above Blairgowrie" - which would be about 3 miles or so distant - it's evidently impossible that the same observer could have witnessed this.

Isn't our anecdote therefore a collection of different accounts?

As it's allegedly a Scottish chronicle, I would be interested to pursue any related records and I'll make due enquiries. There should be a local historical society who can provide assistance.

"In its passage, it carried a large cart many yards over a field of grass; a man riding along the high road was carried from his horse, and so stunned with the fall, as to remain senseless a considerable time. It destroyed one half of a house, and left the other behind, undermined and destroyed an arch of the new bridge building at Blairgowrie, immediately after which it disappeared".

If the source was indeed "a form something pyramidal", could this [presuming it actually happened at all!] have been a 'whirlwind' or small tornado?

Although thankfully rare in the UK, they do occur - see:

<http://www.torro.org.uk/>

One prospect for 'hard evidence' is the claim that "an arch of the new bridge building at Blairgowrie" had been destroyed.

Given that constructing a bridge in 1767 was a notable and

arduous undertaking, we might reasonably assume any sudden destruction of such endeavours would result in a documented account somewhere.

If the report has any basis in fact, then it's surely possible to identify which 'Blairgowrie' bridge was [half] built circa 1767.

Intriguing if there was a contemporary construction - does it exhibit any evidence which indicates the 'legend' is true?

The original story is perhaps worth following up and let's see where that leads.

James Easton.

E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk

www.ufoworld.co.uk

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Get your FREE credit report with a FREE CreditCheck
Monitoring Service trial
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/M8mxkD/bQ8CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "Luis R. González Manso" <lrgm@arrakis.es>
Date: Thu, 23 Aug 2001 09:39:53 +0200
Subject: [UFORL] The first abduction (LONG)

According to Bullard ("The Measure of a Mystery") the first abduction took place in 1957 in Austria, but was reported in Canada. Peter Rogerson in MAGONIA 46 gave more data:

"This story first appeared in the obscurity of the 11 december 1957 issue of the Prince George Citizen (British Columbia), subsequently in Ralph Sandbach's "Ufology News" and the May 1958 edition of Ray Palmer's "Flying Saucers". It was a poorly crafted story in which an American serviceman in Salzburg was captured by a bug-eyed monster and taken on a trip to Mars on board a flyinf saucer. The alien was described as being smaller than the witness, with a high _cylindrical_ forehead, large eyes _with smaller eyes in them like an insect's_, two holes for a nose, a slit mouth, holes for ears and a white skull; the torso was round like a tin can. It had no neck, proportionate legs but short arms which terminated in three-fingered hands. The story contained one major error, that the narrator and his captor flipped over as they reached the zone of gravitational neutrality between the earth and the moon (...)

What is more significant, however, is that this was the first abduction to be published in a book which circulated beyond the narrow world of ufology. This was W. Gordon Allen's "Spacecrafts from beyond Three Dimensions", published in 1959. Now one must concede that it was a turgid work of pseudoscience, not your first choice for a riveting head, but UFO books were in short supply in, say, 1962 and this book was in bookshops and libraries (...) Even if Bettyr Hill hadn't encountered it in her library haul, Allen's pseudoscientific speculations about ether and the "vortex theory of atoms", and Nicholas Tesla, were, it strikes me, PRECISELY the sort of thins to appeal to two of the original investigators of the Hill case, Robert Hohmann and C.D. Jackson, who were responsible for suggesting to the Hills that they had experienced "missing time". Even more interesting is that Allen, in this better-publicised version, edited out references to the cylindrical forehead and the bug eyes; instead he described the abductor as having "a high forehead, big eyes, a slit for a mounth, two holes for a nose, white skin, large skull, no external skin aroun his earhole openings" -much closer to the Grey, isn't it?"

Any comments?

I would like to judge by myself, so can any of you supply me with copies of the initial report or the Allen's version?

Continuing the search up to 1973, in David Webb's "1973-Year of the Humanoids", he mentions only 6 cases:

13C October 1973 Columbus (conf.) Has there been any report on this case?

17	11 Oct 1973	Pascagoula
20B	16 Oct 1973	Lehi (Patty Price/Roach) Doubtful (Randles' abd. book)
24	17 Oct 1973	nr Loxley
37A	25 Oct 1973	Buena Park (B. Scott). Hoax (according to Lawson)
39	28 Oct 1973	Bahia Blanca (Llanca) Hoax (according to Banchs and CIU)

Pascagoula is a very strange case that, at least, do not conform to the standard version. Cases 13C, 20B and 37A were known later directly by investigators, so it seems that the only other US abduction case divulged at the time in the media was the case of Clarence Patterson. Is that correct?

Llanca's case reached all around Latin countries (they even mentioned it in my local paper in a town in Spain) but I do not know if it was mentioned in the USA at the time.

Clarence Patterson and his pickup truck were sucked up into a huge, cigar-shaped object with a green light. He was pulled out of the truck by about six robot-like beings who seemed to read his mind. The next thing he remembered, he was back on the highway going about 90 mph in the truck. He may have been on board for up to 30 minutes. A sulphury smell was noted during the sighting. First hand investigation.

Has there been any report on this case? I seem to remember a cover illustration in a CUFOS investigator newsletter....

Thanks in advance,

Luis R. González.

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor ----->
 Get your FREE credit report with a FREE CreditCheck
 Monitoring Service trial
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/M8mxkD/bQ8CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
 ----->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: chris aubeck <caubeck@yahoo.com>
Date: Thu, 23 Aug 2001 11:18:03 +0100 (BST)
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Reference from FSR vol. 21, no. 3-4, Nov. 1975, p. 54 needed.

Thanks John,

Now I have something to go on, at least!

Best wishes,

Chris

--- John Rimmer <jrimmer@magonia.demon.co.uk> wrote: >
In message
> <20010821075125.63340.qmail@web9905.mail.yahoo.com>,
> chris
> aubeck <caubeck@yahoo.com> writes
> >
> >
> >Dear list members,
> >
> >In 1767 a strange object was seen in Scotland. The
> >exact source is unknown to me but here we can
> >reproduce a letter from the most recent finder of
> the
> >account, as published on the research site Filers
> >Files (#34, August 28, 2000 www.filersfiles.com):
> >
> >
> >This incident, in slightly varying versions, has
> been
> >described in three different books with no
> reference
> >to the name of the journal where it first appeared.
> I
> >would like to know the title and date of that
> journal.
> >A French source has provided me with the reference
> FSR
> >vol. 21, no. 3-4, Nov. 1975, p. 54. Unfortunately I
> do
> >not have this issue. Could someone look it up for
> me?
> >Unless the above-quoted text differs from that
> >published in FSR all I require is the original
> >bibliographical reference.
> >
> >Thank you,

> >
> >Chris Aubeck
>
> The reference given in FSR is to The Annual
> Register. No other details
> are given.
> --
> John Rimmer
> Magonia Magazine
> www.magonia.demon.co.uk
>
>

Do You Yahoo!?

Get your free @yahoo.co.uk address at <http://mail.yahoo.co.uk>
or your free @yahoo.ie address at <http://mail.yahoo.ie>

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Get your FREE credit report with a FREE CreditCheck
Monitoring Service trial
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/M8mxkD/bQ8CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: chris aubeck <caubeck@yahoo.com>
Date: Thu, 23 Aug 2001 12:04:46 +0100 (BST)
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Reference from FSR...

Dear James,

Thank you for pointing out the confusion in names. I shall have to check it in FSR after all, unless Mr. Rimmer can look it up for us once again...:)

As far as spelling is concerned, nothing would surprise me from that period.

As for the nature of the phenomenon, I've been collecting 17th, 18th and 19th C. reports of pyramid-shaped UFOs for some time. Many of them were apparently stationary in the sky for up to 3 hours at a time. Although some have been natural phenomena (and perhaps all of them, who can tell?), I'll need to compile more cases before I can reach a personal conclusion. It was a good time for UFO-spotting in general (if the term 'UFO' can be applied to cylinders, spheres, discs, strange rising things and whacky leaping things without prejudice).

If you can find the original source it would be a fantastic help, as it's one of those curious incidents that will emerge again and again and needs to be reassessed.

Chris

--- James Easton <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk> wrote: >
Regarding:

>
> >From: "chris aubeck" <caubeck@yahoo.com>
> >To: <UFORL@yahoogroups.com>
> >Subject: [UFORL] Reference from FSR...
> >Date: 21 August 2001 10:06
>
> Chris wrote:
>
> >In 1767 a strange object was seen in Scotland. The
> exact source
> >is unknown to me...
>
> [...]
>

> >I leave it to your judgment and experience to
> decide its merits
> >as a UFO sighting. Extract of a letter from
> Edinburgh, Sept. 8,
> >1767 follows:
> >
> >"We hear from Perthshire, that an uncommon
> phaenomenon was
> >observed on the water of Isla, near Cupor Angus,
> preceded by a
> >thick dark smoke, which soon dispelled, and
> discovered a large
> >luminous body, like a house on fire, but presently
> after took a
> >form something pyramidal, and rolled forwards with
> impetuosity
> >till it came to the water of Erick, up which river
> it took its
> >direction, with great rapidity, and disappeared a
> little above
> >Blairgowrie.
>
> Chris,
>
> There's a helpful on-line map which sets the claims
> in context -
> see:
>
>
> [http://uk8.multimap.com/map/browse.cgi?scale=200000&X=310000&Y=740000&gride=&gridn=&width=700&height=400&client=M6&db=hcgaz&overvi](http://uk8.multimap.com/map/browse.cgi?scale=200000&X=310000&Y=740000&gride=&gridn=&width=700&height=400&client=M6&db=hcgaz&overviewmap=&coordsys=gb&right.x=12&right.y=21)
> ewmap=&coordsys=gb&right.x=12&right.y=21
>
> This should of course be entered as a one-line URL.
>
> The river winding just above Coupar is the Isla and
> north-east we
> can see where it meets the Ericht.
>
> Your source refers to the town of Cupor and the
> river Erick.
>
> As you may know, I live in Scotland and I can't
> locate any
> evidence that Coupar was known as Cupor in 1767 or
> earlier,
> however, according to 'The Gazetteer of Scotland' by
> W. Chalmers,
> published in nearby Dundee during 1803:

>
> "Coupar of Angus or Cupar is a considerable town and
> parish in
> the valley of Strathmore, and though designated in
> Angus, by far
> the greater part is situated in the county of Perth.
> The town is
> situated on the Isla, and is divided by a rivulet
> into two parts;
> that part which lies south of that rivulet being all
> that belongs
> to the county of Angus".
>
> I wonder if 'Cupor' is a typo, during various
> translations, and
> should perhaps be 'Cupar'?
>
>
> If the 'phaenomenon' [apparently a spelling used in
> that era]
> originated on the river Isla "and rolled forwards
> with
> impetuosity till it came to the water of Erick, up
> which river it
> took its direction, with great rapidity", we seem to
> have an
> unusual occurrence, whatever the explanation.
>
> However, if said artefact "disappeared a little
> above
> Blairgowrie" - which would be about 3 miles or so
> distant - it's
> evidently impossible that the same observer could
> have witnessed
> this.
>
> Isn't our anecdote therefore a collection of
> different accounts?
>
> As it's allegedly a Scottish chronicle, I would be
> interested to
> pursue any related records and I'll make due
> enquiries. There
> should be a local historical society who can provide
> assistance.
>
> "In its passage, it carried a large cart many yards
> over a field
> of grass; a man riding along the high road was
> carried from his

> horse, and so stunned with the fall, as to remain
> senseless a
> considerable time. It destroyed one half of a house,
> and left the
> other behind, undermined and destroyed an arch of
> the new bridge
> building at Blairgowrie, immediately after which it
> disappeared".
>
> If the source was indeed "a form something
> pyramidal", could this
> [presuming it actually happened at all!] have been a
> 'whirlwind'
> or small tornado?
>
> Although thankfully rare in the UK, they do occur -
> see:
>
> <http://www.torro.org.uk/>
>
>
> One prospect for 'hard evidence' is the claim that
> "an arch of
> the new bridge building at Blairgowrie" had been
> destroyed.
>
> Given that constructing a bridge in 1767 was a
> notable and
> arduous undertaking, we might reasonably assume any
> sudden
> destruction of such endeavours would result in a
> documented
> account somewhere.
>
> If the report has any basis in fact, then it's
> surely possible to
> identify which 'Blairgowrie' bridge was [half] built
> circa 1767.
>
> Intriguing if there was a contemporary construction
> - does it
> exhibit any evidence which indicates the 'legend' is
> true?
>
> The original story is perhaps worth following up and
> let's see
> where that leads.
>
>
> James Easton.

> E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk
> www.ufoworld.co.uk
>
>
>
>
>

Do You Yahoo!?

Get your free @yahoo.co.uk address at <http://mail.yahoo.co.uk>
or your free @yahoo.ie address at <http://mail.yahoo.ie>

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Get your FREE credit report with a FREE CreditCheck
Monitoring Service trial
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/M8mxkD/bQ8CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: chris aubeck <caubeck@yahoo.com>
Date: Fri, 24 Aug 2001 12:15:42 +0100 (BST)
Subject: Re: [UFORL] The first abduction (LONG)

Hello Luis,

The only comment I would make is that you should choose define your objectives a little more carefully.

The other day you mentioned the earliest French case (1921). This was published in (excuse me if my memory does not serve me right here) October 1954 in 'Paris Match,' which makes it the earliest reported abduction, predating Bullard's reference by three years. Paris Match was widely read.

Depending on what you are intending to do, this French case either indicates a 1954 origin for abduction reports, or that an alleged abduction occurred as far back as 1921.

I can see two problems here:

First, although 1954 was the first instance of an association between extraterrestrials and abduction, previous to 1947 identical experiences were reported but associated with entities that were not necessarily from other planets. You could say "Well, I can't include those, that's just folklore and fairies" but EVEN TODAY typical UFO reports are made by people who believe they have not seen aliens but angels, demons, goblins or ghosts. In South America and Africa they see UFOs but call them spirits. Are you saying these reports should not be dealt with by ufology despite the obvious ufological nature of the phenomena described in them? Where do you draw the line - and why?

Secondly, if articles such as the one published in Paris Match in 1954 are accepted as indications of early abductions, then we have to add to your list some 100 or more alleged abduction events from the 1910s, 1920s, 1930s and 1940s that only came to light many decades afterwards. Even Antonio Ribera says he was abducted in 1930, as you know.

Sorry if you think I'm meddling, but the conclusions you finally reach will be distorted unless you define your aims more clearly. And I'd like to see you pen a

useful essay on par with your usual high standards of research.

Un saludo desde un cybercafé cutre en Moratalaz,

Chris Aubeck

--- "Luis_R._González_Manso" <lrgm@arrakis.es> wrote:

- > According to Bullard ("The Measure of a Mystery")
- > the first abduction took
- > place in 1957 in Austria, but was reported in
- > Canada. Peter Rogerson in
- > MAGONIA 46 gave more data:
- >
- > "This story first appeared in the obscurity of the
- > 11 december 1957 issue of
- > the Prince George Citizen (British Columbia),
- > subsequently in Ralph
- > Sandbach's "Ufology News" and the May 1958 edition
- > of Ray Palmer's "Flying
- > Saucers". It was a poorly crafted story in which an
- > American serviceman in
- > Salzburg was captured by a bug-eyed monster and
- > taken on a trip to Mars on
- > board a flyinf saucer. The alien was described as
- > being smaller than the
- > witness, with a high _cylindrical_ forehead, large
- > eyes _with smaller eyes
- > in them like an insect's_, two holes for a nose, a
- > slit mouth, holes for
- > ears and a white skull; the torso was round like a
- > tin can. It had no neck,
- > proportionate legs but short arms which terminated
- > in three-fingered hands.
- > The story contained one major error, that the
- > narrator and his captor
- > flipped over as they reached the zone of
- > gravitational neutrality between
- > the earth and the moon (...)
- >
- > What is more significant, however, is that this was
- > the first abduction to
- > be published in a book which circulated beyond the
- > narrow world of ufology.
- > This was W. Gordon Allen's "Spacecrafts from beyond
- > Three Dimensions",
- > published in 1959. Now one must concede that it was
- > a turgid work of

- > pseudoscience, not your first choice for a riveting
- > head, but UFO books were
- > in short supply in, say, 1962 and this book was in
- > bookshops and libraries
- > (...) Even if Bettyr Hill hadn't encountered it in
- > her library haul, Allen's
- > pseudoscientific speculations about ether and the
- > "vortex theory of atoms",
- > and Nicholas Tesla, were, it strikes me, PRECISELY
- > the sort of thins to
- > appeal to two of the original investigators of the
- > Hill case, Robert Hohmann
- > and C.D. Jackson, who were responsible for
- > suggesting to the Hills that they
- > had experienced "missing time". Even more
- > interesting is that Allen, in this
- > better-publicised version, edited out references to
- > the cylindrical forehead
- > and the bug eyes; instead he described the abductor
- > as having "a high
- > forehead, big eyes, a slit for a mounth, two holes
- > for a nose, white skin,
- > large skull, no external skin aroun his earhole
- > openings" -much closer to
- > the Grey, isn't it?"
- >
- > Any comments?
- >
- > I would like to judge by myself, so can any of you
- > supply me with copies of
- > the initial report or the Allen's version?
- >
- > Continuing the search up to 1973, in David Webb's
- > "1973-Year of the
- > Humanoids", he mentions only 6 cases:
- >
- > 13C October 1973 Columbus (conf.) Has
- > there been any report on
- > this case?
- > 17 11 Oct 1973 Pascagoula
- > 20B 16 Oct 1973 Lehi (Patty Price/Roach)
- > Doubtful (Randles'
- > abd. book)
- > 24 17 Oct 1973 nr Loxley
- > 37A 25 Oct 1973 Buena Park (B. Scott).
- > Hoax (according to
- > Lawson)
- > 39 28 Oct 1973 Bahia Blanca (Llanca)
- > Hoax (according to
- > Banchs and CIU)

>
> Pascagoula is a very strange case that, at least, do
> not conform to the
> standard version. Cases 13C, 20B and 37A were known
> later directly by
> investigators, so it seems that the only other US
> abduction case divulged at
> the time in the media was the case of Clarence
> Patterson. Is that correct?
>
> Llanca's case reached all around Latin countries
> (they even mentioned it in
> my local paper in a town in Spain) but I do not know
> if it was mentioned in
> the USA at the time.
>
> Clarence Patterson and his pickup truck were sucked
> up into a huge,
> cigar-shaped object with a green light. He was
> pulled out of the truck by
> about six robot-like beings who seemed to read his
> mind. The next thing he
> remembered, he was back on the highway going about
> 90 mph in the truck. He
> may have been on board for up to 30 minutes. A
> sulphury smell was noted
> during the sighting. First hand investigation.
> Has there been any report on this case? I seem to
> remember a cover
> illustration in a CUFOS investigator newsletter....
>
> Thanks in advance,
>
> Luis R. González.
>
>
>
>
>
>

Do You Yahoo!?

Get your free @yahoo.co.uk address at <http://mail.yahoo.co.uk>
or your free @yahoo.ie address at <http://mail.yahoo.ie>

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor ----->

FREE COLLEGE MONEY

CLICK HERE to search

600,000 scholarships!

<http://us.click.yahoo.com/zoU8wD/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>

-----~>

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "Luis R. González Manso" <lrgm@arrakis.es>
Date: Fri, 24 Aug 2001 17:22:51 +0200
Subject: [UFORL] The first abduction

More "first" and questions.

Canada -

According to John Brent Musgrave "UFO occupants & critters" p. 33 the most publicized Canadian case took place in November 17th, 1967. A young man saw a UFO and run home. But he later had a nightmare and convinced he had been abducted admit to be put under hypnosis in 1968. The case is described in:

W.K. Allan "Crocodile-Skinned Entities at Calgary", FSR 20:6 (1974) pp. 25-26.

He also mentions a very old case, but without more data. Can anybody provide it?

(p. 40)

1912 morning. Six year old boy living on farm in contact with "space men". Round craft, which looked something like a "helicopter". Short men with round feet, no knees or elbows, examined him and communicated "telepathically".

Referring to England, Timothy Green Beckley in "Strange Encounters. Bizarre & Eerie contact with flying saucer occupants" (pp. 52-3) mention a case appearing in the BUFORA JOURNAL (in two instalments, and written by Barry M. King), but he does not provide us with the issue number or any date. Can anybody help?

Quote: "The article 'Terryfying Ordeal in Somerset', centers around the abduction of a housewife from Taunton (England) identified only as Mrs. V." Apparently she was raped on board a UFO by several human-looking beings, fair-skinned, slim, and wearing skull caps. Their faces were covered with masks and they wore grey tunics.

I keep working.

Luis R. González

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor ----->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/zoU8wD/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>

-----~>

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: chris aubeck <caubeck@yahoo.com>
Date: Fri, 24 Aug 2001 21:19:29 +0100 (BST)
Subject: [UFORL] 1661 Tibet - help needed

Dear List Members,

I have posted the following to colleagues and on different servers but without much success. Is there someone on this list who can give me a hand?

I am trying to trace the original source of an incident that allegedly occurred in 1661. The story is as follows:

Albert d'Orville, a Belgium Jesuit missionary, was among the first Europeans to travel to Tibet. In a diary entry dated November 1661, d'Orville remarked on his own UFO sighting. "My attention was drawn to something moving in the heavens," he wrote. "At first, I thought it might be a species of bird, unknown to me, that lived in these regions. Then the object came nearer..."

What the priest saw was shaped like "a Chinese double hat" and seemed to rotate as it flew. "The object winged its way above the city exactly as if it wished to be admired. It circled twice, and then was suddenly enshrouded in fog, and as much as I strained my eyes I could no longer see it."

D'Orville asked a nearby lama whether he, too, had seen the object, or whether it had been an hallucination. The lama's reply probably left the Jesuit as astonished as it left me when I first read Hartwig Hausdorf's book, *The Chinese Roswell*, where d'Orville's account is reproduced in full:

'My son, what you witnessed just now was not magic, because beings from other worlds travel across the oceans of space, and it was they who breathed the spirit into the first people who lived on this earth. These beings condemn all violence; they counsel mankind to love one another. Their teachings are like seeds, but if these seeds are sown on rocky ground, they cannot germinate. These beings, who are light-skinned, are always received by us in friendship, and they often come to earth near our monasteries. They have continued to instruct us, revealing truths that were lost in the centuries of cataclysm which have changed the face of the earth.'

The facts:

i) I know for certain that Albert d'Orville did exist and wrote about his explorations of Tibet in the 1660s (accompanied by fellow Jesuit Johann Grueber of Austria, d'Orville travelled on foot from China through eastern Tibet to Lhasa, and then to India, between 1661 and 1664).

ii) The English text reproduced above is from Hartwig Hausdorf's "The Chinese Roswell" (1994, p.88-89).

iii) Hausdorf took the reference from Der Gotter-Schock (Munich, 1992), a book written by Swiss researcher Erich von Däniken. I have not seen this book, so I don't know what von Däniken's source was.

iv) However, a stroke of luck brought me to an excellent Spanish case-book, "Fenómeno OVNI" Volume II, published by Inapp, Madrid 1979. On page 391 an article entitled "Maestros de Otros Mundos en el Tibet" provides the same alleged diary entry, in Spanish. It quotes an Italian publication.

v) The source quoted is the book "Los Extraterrestres Existen" by Gianni Lucarini. This book is unknown to me.

vi) According to the Spanish article, Lucarini's source was the Italian publication "La aviación de otros planetas."

Can anyone tell me any more?

Chris Aubeck

Do You Yahoo!?

Get your free @yahoo.co.uk address at <http://mail.yahoo.co.uk>
or your free @yahoo.ie address at <http://mail.yahoo.ie>

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor ----->

FREE COLLEGE MONEY

CLICK HERE to search

600,000 scholarships!

<http://us.click.yahoo.com/zoU8wD/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>

-----~>

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Sat, 25 Aug 2001 02:28:05 +0100
Subject: [UFORL] Ministry of Defence's 'Rendlesham' File

Now online, Ian Ridpath's related comments and a significant, April 2001, interview with Nick Pope, answering question from Dave Clarke and Andy Roberts:

<http://www.flyingsaucery.com/rendlesham/comment.htm>

The interview with Pope confirms my contention that he was never aware the Ministry of Defence did in fact hold a 'Rendlesham' file, which documented their reaction to Halt's memo.

A notable extract in light of recent developments:

Clarke: I don't want to prattle on about Rendlesham for too long, and there are other important cases, but I want to ask you do you think there is anything else to emerge?

Pope: Not from the official records in Britain, no.
[END]

As he was so evidently oblivious to the existence of this 'Holy Grail' of Britains' 'UFO' documentation, first obtained by Dr Clarke from the Ministry in May this year, perhaps the UK's self-styled 'Fox Mulder' can explain why Georgina Bruni claims Pope had duly studied that 'X-File':

"Nick Pope worked on the entire file (and numerous others) for three years and has confirmed to me that in many respects Randles and Easton (and indeed Clarke and others associated with this report) have simply misunderstood the way in which the military and government work. On this I have to agree".

Some might conclude it was Pope who clearly had no idea how the Government worked.

Given that the UK still doesn't have an equivalent to America's 'Freedom of Information Act', the acquisition of this paramount material - an extraordinary insight into official investigations concerning one of the most significant 'UFO' cases of all time - is an exceptional achievement.

As the contents merely substantiate the absurdity of any 'UFO cover up' claims, perhaps when fully absorbed, this will be recognised as a landmark research breakthrough, albeit hugely disappointing to 'UFO cover up' aficionados world-wide.

James Easton.
E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk
www.ufoworld.co.uk

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/zoU8wD/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: Ed Stewart <ufoindex@lanset.com>
Date: Sun, 26 Aug 2001 08:14:56 -0700
Subject: [UFORL] Sir Fred Hoyle

Fred Hoyle died this past week. NY Times and BBC obituary links are posted below.

<http://www.nytimes.com/2001/08/22/obituaries/22HOYL.html?ex=999144000&en=0e0c6a4565011062&ei=5040&partner=MOREOVER>

http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/english/uk/newsid_1503000/1503721.stm

Hoyle was one of the main proponents of the Panspermia Theory for the origin of life on Earth, a theory which is gaining more and more attention among the scientific community.

It was his role and published writings in the 1950s on the cosmological origin debates against over whether the Big Bang (a term he created) or the Steady State theories (a theory he then championed more on philosophical grounds than scientific) that got me wondering about a populated universe and the possibility of extraterrestrial life emerging throughout the universe and indirectly interested in UFOs.

For those interested in Sir Fred Hoyle and the Panspermia Theory, one can go to the What's New link on:

<http://www.panspermia.org/>

--

Ed Stewart | So Man, who here seems principal alone,
ufoindex@lanset.com | Perhaps acts second to some sphere unknown.
,>'?'<, | Touches some wheel, or verges to some goal,
(O O) | 'Tis but a part we see, and not a whole.
-----ooOO-()-OOoo----- Alexander Pope, Essay on Man -----

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor ----->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/zoU8wD/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
----->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Tue, 28 Aug 2001 23:13:03 +0100
Subject: [UFORL] US base's report... 'had MoD in a panic'

'Rendlesham' file featured in the Guardian newspaper - see:

http://www.guardian.co.uk/uk_news/story/0,3604,543150,00.html

James Easton.
E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk
www.ufoworld.co.uk

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/zoU8wD/4m7CAA/ySSF/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: joel carpenter <ufx1947@home.com>
Date: Tue, 28 Aug 2001 18:17:31 -0400
Subject: [UFORL] A Saucer

From: "Robert McLean" <robert.mclean2@virgin.net>
Date: Thu, 30 Aug 2001 03:14:19 +0100
Subject: Re: [UFORL] 1661 Tibet - help needed

Chris

If you're looking for second hand or out of print books, the website to search on is the Advanced Book Exchange
<http://dogbert.abebooks.com/abe/IList>

A Search for your book entering "Lucarini" for author and "Extraterrestres" for title uncovered one copy, for sale in Madrid:

1. LUCARINI, Gianni. LOS EXTRATERRESTRES EXISTEN.
A.T.E. Barcelona. 1976. 242 p, rústica, 15 x 10.5 cm. Bookseller Inventory # 7288
Price: ESP 1300.00 (approx. US\$ 7.12) convert currency
Presented by Librería Miguel Blázquez, Madrid, ., Spain

A Search for von Däniken's book also uncovered one available copy in Germany:

1. Däniken, Erich von, Der Götter Schock,
München, C.Bertelsmann Verlag, 1992, 1. Auflage, 318 S., Gebunden, mit Schutzumschlag, . Bookseller Inventory # 2057B
Price: DM 30.00 (approx. US\$ 13.98) convert currency
Presented by Matthias Hill, D-65195 Wiesbaden, ., Germany

Let us know how far back you can trace this story!

Best regards

Robert McLean

----- Original Message -----

From: "chris aubeck" <caubeck@yahoo.com> Sent: Friday, August 24, 2001 9:19 PM
Subject: [UFORL] 1661 Tibet - help needed

>
> Dear List Members,
>
> I have posted the following to colleagues and on
> different servers but without much success. Is there
> someone on this list who can give me a hand?
>
>
> I am trying to trace the original source of an
> incident that allegedly occurred in 1661. The story is
> as follows:

>

> Albert d'Orville, a Belgium Jesuit missionary, was

> among the first Europeans to travel to Tibet. In a

> diary entry dated November 1661, d'Orville remarked on

> his own UFO sighting. "My attention was drawn to

> something moving in the heavens," he wrote. "At first,

> I thought it might be a species of bird, unknown to

> me, that lived in these regions. Then the object came

> nearer."

> What the priest saw was shaped like "a Chinese

> double hat" and seemed to rotate as it flew. "The

> object winged its way above the city exactly as if it

> wished to be admired. It circled twice, and then was

> suddenly enshrouded in fog, and as much as I strained

> my eyes I could no longer see it."

> D'Orville asked a nearby lama whether he, too, had

> seen the object, or whether it had been an

> hallucination. The lama's reply probably left the

> Jesuit as astonished as it left me when I first read

> Hartwig Hausdorf's book, *The Chinese Roswell*, where

> d'Orville's account is reproduced in full:

>

> 'My son, what you witnessed just now was not magic,

> because beings from other worlds travel across the

> oceans of space, and it was they who breathed the

> spirit into the first people who lived on this earth.

> These beings condemn all violence; they counsel

> mankind to love one another. Their teachings are like

> seeds, but if these seeds are sown on rocky ground,

> they cannot germinate. These beings, who are

> light-skinned, are always received by us in

> friendship, and they often come to earth near our

> monasteries. They have continued to instruct us,

> revealing truths that were lost in the centuries of

> cataclysm which have changed the face of the earth.'

>

> The facts:

>

> i) I know for certain that Albert d'Orville did exist

> and wrote about his explorations of Tibet in the 1660s

> (accompanied by fellow Jesuit Johann Grueber of

> Austria, d'Orville travelled on foot from China

> through eastern Tibet to Lhasa, and then to India,

> between 1661 and 1664).

>

> ii) The English text reproduced above is from Hartwig

> Hausdorf's "The Chinese Roswell" (1994, p.88-89).

>

> iii) Hausdorf took the reference from Der

> Gotter-Schock (Munich, 1992), a book written by Swiss

> researcher Erich von Däniken. I have not seen this
> book, so I don't know what von Däniken's source was.
>
> iv) However, a stroke of luck brought me to an
> excellent Spanish case-book, "Fenómeno OVNI" Volume
> II, published by Inapp, Madrid 1979. On page 391 an
> article entitled "Maestros de Otros Mundos en el
> Tibet" provides the same alleged diary entry, in
> Spanish. It quotes an Italian publication.
>
> v) The source quoted is the book "Los Extraterrestres
> Existen" by Gianni Lucarini. This book is unknown to
> me.
>
> vi) According to the Spanish article, Lucarini's
> source was the Italian publication "La aviación de
> otros planetas."
>
> Can anyone tell me any more?
>
> Chris Aubeck
>
>
>
>

> Do You Yahoo!?
> Get your free @yahoo.co.uk address at <http://mail.yahoo.co.uk>
> or your free @yahoo.ie address at <http://mail.yahoo.ie>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>
>
>

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/zoU8wD/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Thu, 30 Aug 2001 17:48:20 +0100
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Ministry of Defence's 'Rendlesham' File

I've obtained what is understood to be a press release, issued by Georgina Bruni. I believe she is scheduled to appear on the regional news program 'Look East' later tonight.

Amongst the claims made in an attempt to scrape together any 'evidence' which implies the MoD's files reveal a 'UFO cover up' - despite the abject reverse being so comprehensively documented therein - are some continued assertions from Nick Pope.

As recently highlighted in the latest 'Voyager' newsletter and also on this list, Pope originally went into great detail explaining during various interviews how there was no MoD 'Rendlesham file', because the Ministry didn't even bother investigating Halt's memo. So far as he was aware anyway.

Now, Bruni informs the media that she obtained a different story direct from Pope himself:

"In fact the MOD files reveal that Nick Pope took enough of an interest in the Rendlesham case to began further enquiries, whilst at the same time being forced to comply with MOD policy by doing what his predecessors did, spinning the usual MOD line. But there is no doubt that he was considered by ufologists to be the most active and helpful person to have ever sat at the UFO desk. I thought it would be interesting to ask him for his opinion on the release of these files. He told me.....

'I recognise most of the documents from my time in Secretariat (Air Staff)'."

How can Pope recognise most of the 155 documents when he claimed - after having left 'Secretariat(Air Staff)2a':

"The standard line on Rendlesham Forest is that the incident was looked into, and nothing of defence significance was uncovered. The challenge, as it where, to the department, is that we have never seen the analysis".

As he also confirmed, when interviewed by Dave Clarke and Andy Roberts in April this year - just before the MoD file was released to Dr Clarke:

"I find Rendlesham bizarre; looking at the Rendlesham Forest file, it starts obviously with Halt's memo and Don Moreland's covering note and then frankly there isn't a lot. In fact, as

I recall, I don't think there is anything until the News of the World and Chuck de Caro's documentary, some papers from the Public Affairs Office 3rd Air Force about the handling of this and then it turns into correspondence".

Asked - there must be something missing there then? - Pope replied:

"Something missing or missing a trick, but it depends what you mean. If you look very carefully at what you have been told about Rendlesham, it says I think nothing more than Colonel Halt's report was examined carefully at the time by those officers responsible for looking at the Air Defence of the realm and the conclusion was that nothing of any defence significance was involved and as such no further action was taken. Well, that is I'm sure technically a true statement. Whether it implies that anyone actually sat down and did any sort of sucking of teeth, you know, interviewing of witnesses, etc I don't think it does - I think if that memo arrived to DS8 at the time, side-copied to two or three specialist divisions and if it had sat on file with nothing else being done then the statements made on Rendlesham are still true; it was examined by, because when it came out of the envelope it would have been read, so it was examined by, and its almost chicken and egg by virtue of the fact that they placed it on the file and didn't do any investigation with a capital I, you could say well, its an assumption of course..."

Clearly, if Pope had in truth ever seen the papers now released he wouldn't have to make "an assumption" that the Ministry's entire case 'examination' comprised someone having a cursory read of Halt's memo before sticking it in a file!

Perhaps he will explain the apparently incongruous divergence [which I understand local media sources are now aware of] in his claimed recollections.

James Easton.
E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk
www.ufoworld.co.uk

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor ----->
The Nissan Sentra
Everything but compact
<http://NissanDriven.com>

<http://us.click.yahoo.com/3vsIKC/txlCAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>

-----~>

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Thu, 30 Aug 2001 18:44:57 +0100
Subject: [UFORL] Media Broadcast Alert

Slightly off-topic, although with a parallel to proclaimed 'cover-ups' and what's subsequently revealed by official 'secret' documents - on UK radio tonight:

Radio 4 @ 8:00 p.m.

DOCUMENT:

The investigative series in which a document is used to shed new light on the past...

'The Day They Made It Rain'
Presented by Mike Thomson

On 15 August 1952, the village of Lynmouth in Devon witnessed a devastating flood which cost 34 lives. The rain was so hard it didn't seem entirely natural; some said it wasn't. Thomson looks at new evidence on the imprecise science of cloud seeding, revealing who had secrets to keep....

This programme finds evidence that there were secret cloud seeding experiments over southern England in the days before the flood.
[END]

I'm sure this will be of general and/or 'fortean' interest to some subscribers and Radio 4 broadcast's are available over the Internet - see:

<http://www.bbc.co.uk/radio4/index.shtml>

As it happens, there are a couple of other topical programs which might be worth staying on for:

9:00 Science and Magic

In the second of two programmes recorded at the Royal Society, Trevor Phillips discusses the future of scientific method with Dr Joan Leach, Dr Marcus du Sautoy, Dr Serena Roney-Dougal and Professor Bernard Carr. Newtonian science's emphasis on measurement, experiment and proof seems increasingly ill-equipped to answer questions about the cosmos or parapsychology. Have we reached the point where a new system of investigation is needed, one that allows subjectivity, in the form of consciousness, into the scientific framework? Are scientists set to become alchemists

once more?

9:30 Devout Sceptics

Bel Mooney and her guest explore the territory between belief and
unbelief.

James Easton.

E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk

www.ufoworld.co.uk

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/zoU8wD/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "Brian Straight" <brians@mdbs.com>
Date: Thu, 30 Aug 2001 14:17:17 -0500
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Media Broadcast Alert

Thanks, James.

I'm listening to it right now via the internet.

Good stuff!

Brian

----- Original Message -----

From: James Easton <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk> Sent: Thursday, August 30, 2001 12:44 PM
Subject: [UFORL] Media Broadcast Alert

> Slightly off-topic, although with a parallel to proclaimed
> 'cover-ups' and what's subsequently revealed by official 'secret'
> documents - on UK radio tonight:
>
> Radio 4 @ 8:00 p.m.
>
> DOCUMENT:
> The investigative series in which a document is used to shed new
> light on the past...
>
> 'The Day They Made It Rain'
> Presented by Mike Thomson
>
> On 15 August 1952, the village of Lynmouth in Devon witnessed a
> devastating flood which cost 34 lives. The rain was so hard it
> didn't seem entirely natural; some said it wasn't. Thomson looks
> at new evidence on the imprecise science of cloud seeding,
> revealing who had secrets to keep....
>
> This programme finds evidence that there were secret cloud
> seeding experiments over southern England in the days before the
> flood.
> [END]
>
>
> I'm sure this will be of general and/or 'fortean' interest to
> some subscribers and Radio 4 broadcast's are available over the
> Internet - see:
>
> <http://www.bbc.co.uk/radio4/index.shtml>
>
>
> As it happens, there are a couple of other topical programs which

> might be worth staying on for:
 >
 > 9:00 Science and Magic
 >
 > In the second of two programmes recorded at the Royal Society,
 > Trevor Phillips discusses the future of scientific method with Dr
 > Joan Leach, Dr Marcus du Sautoy, Dr Serena Roney-Dougal and
 > Professor Bernard Carr. Newtonian science's emphasis on
 > measurement, experiment and proof seems increasingly ill-equipped
 > to answer questions about the cosmos or parapsychology. Have we
 > reached the point where a new system of investigation is needed,
 > one that allows subjectivity, in the form of consciousness, into
 > the scientific framework? Are scientists set to become alchemists
 > once more?
 >
 >
 > 9:30 Devout Sceptics
 >
 > Bel Mooney and her guest explore the territory between belief and
 > unbelief.
 >
 >
 > James Easton.
 > E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk
 > www.ufoworld.co.uk
 >
 >
 >
 >
 >
 >
 >
 >
 > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>
 >
 >

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
 FREE COLLEGE MONEY
 CLICK HERE to search
 600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/zoU8wD/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
 -----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: inviticz@yahoo.com
Date: Thu, 30 Aug 2001 23:45:44 -0000
Subject: [UFORL] UFO technology

Just posted a rough draft of my dissertation at www.inviticus.com on the Grand Unified Field Theory. It's neither grand or unified yet, but it has many new insights into a UFO type technology. I'm a 5th year grad student at the Univ. of Texas at Austin.

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/zoU8wD/4m7CAA/ySSF/AA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "bortaf ----" <fatrob83@hotmail.com>
Date: Fri, 31 Aug 2001 01:23:48 +0000
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Ministry of Defence's 'Rendlesham' File

Would he have been still under the OSA? as he was still employed by the ministry at the time and the documents not released? Fraid i'm not to hot on official stuff.
rob.....

>From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
>>To: <UFORL@yahoogroups.com>
>Subject: Re: [UFORL] Ministry of Defence's 'Rendlesham' File
>Date: Thu, 30 Aug 2001 17:48:20 +0100
>
>I've obtained what is understood to be a press release, issued by
>Georgina Bruni. I believe she is scheduled to appear on the
>regional news program 'Look East' later tonight.
>
>Amongst the claims made in an attempt to scrape together any
>'evidence' which implies the MoD's files reveal a 'UFO cover up'
>- despite the abject reverse being so comprehensively documented
>therein - are some continued assertions from Nick Pope.
>
>As recently highlighted in the latest 'Voyager' newsletter and
>also on this list, Pope originally went into great detail
>explaining during various interviews how there was no MoD
>'Rendlesham file', because the Ministry didn't even bother
>investigating Halt's memo. So far as he was aware anyway.
>
>Now, Bruni informs the media that she obtained a different story
>direct from Pope himself:
>
>"In fact the MOD files reveal that Nick Pope took enough of an
>interest in the Rendlesham case to began further enquiries,
>whilst at the same time being forced to comply with MOD policy by
>doing what his predecessors did, spinning the usual MOD line. But
>there is no doubt that he was considered by ufologists to be the
>most active and helpful person to have ever sat at the UFO desk.
>I thought it would be interesting to ask him for his opinion on
>the release of these files. He told me.....
>
>'I recognise most of the documents from my time in Secretariat
>(Air Staff)'."
>
>
>How can Pope recognise most of the 155 documents when he claimed
>- after having left 'Secretariat(Air Staff)2a':
>
>"The standard line on Rendlesham Forest is that the incident was

>looked into, and nothing of defence significance was uncovered.
>The challenge, as it were, to the department, is that we have
>never seen the analysis".
>
>As he also confirmed, when interviewed by Dave Clarke and Andy
>Roberts in April this year - just before the MoD file was
>released to Dr Clarke:
>
>"I find Rendlesham bizarre; looking at the Rendlesham Forest
>file, it starts obviously with Halt's memo and Don Moreland's
>covering note and then frankly there isn't a lot. In fact, as
>I recall, I don't think there is anything until the News of the
>World and Chuck de Caro's documentary, some papers from the
>Public Affairs Office 3rd Air Force about the handling of
>this and then it turns into correspondence".
>
>Asked - there must be something missing there then? - Pope
>replied:
>
>"Something missing or missing a trick, but it depends what you
>mean. If you look very carefully at what you have been told about
>Rendlesham, it says I think nothing more than Colonel Halt's
>report was examined carefully at the time by those officers
>responsible for looking at the Air Defence of the realm and the
>conclusion was that nothing of any defence significance was
>involved and as such no further action was taken. Well, that is
>I'm sure technically a true statement. Whether it implies that
>anyone actually sat down and did any sort of sucking of teeth,
>you know, interviewing of witnesses, etc I don't think it does -
>I think if that memo arrived to DS8 at the time, side-copied to
>two or three specialist divisions and if it had sat on file with
>nothing else being done then the statements made on Rendlesham
>are still true; it was examined by, because when it came out of
>the envelope it would have been read, so it was examined by, and
>its almost chicken and egg by virtue of the fact that they placed
>it on the file and didn't do any investigation with a capital I,
>you could say well, its an assumption of course...".
>
>
>Clearly, if Pope had in truth ever seen the papers now released
>he wouldn't have to make "an assumption" that the Ministry's
>entire case 'examination' comprised someone having a cursory read
>of Halt's memo before sticking it in a file!
>
>
>Perhaps he will explain the apparently incongruous divergence
>[which I understand local media sources are now aware of] in his
>claimed recollections.
>
>

>James Easton.
>E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk
>www.ufoworld.co.uk
>
>
>

Get your FREE download of MSN Explorer at <http://explorer.msn.com/intl.asp>

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/zoU8wD/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Sat, 1 Sep 2001 02:21:22 +0100
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Ministry of Defence's 'Rendlesham' File

Regarding:

>From: "bortaf ----" <fatrob83@hotmail.com>
>To: <UFORL@yahoogroups.com>
>Subject: Re: [UFORL] Ministry of Defence's 'Rendlesham' File
>Date: 31 August 2001 03:01

Rob asked:

>>As recently highlighted in the latest 'Voyager' newsletter and
>>also on this list, Pope originally went into great detail
>>explaining during various interviews how there was no MoD
>>'Rendlesham file', because the Ministry didn't even bother
>>investigating Halt's memo. So far as he was aware anyway.
>>
>>Now, Bruni informs the media that she obtained a different
>>story direct from Pope himself:
>>
>>"I recognise most of the documents from my time in Secretariat
>>(Air Staff)".
>>
>>
>>How can Pope recognise most of the 155 documents when he
>>claimed - after having left 'Secretariat(Air Staff)2a':
>>
>>"The standard line on Rendlesham Forest is that the incident
>>was looked into, and nothing of defence significance was
>>uncovered. The challenge, as it where, to the department, is
>>that we have never seen the analysis".
>>
>>As he also confirmed, when interviewed by Dave Clarke and Andy
>>Roberts in April this year - just before the MoD file was
>>released to Dr Clarke:
>>
>>"I find Rendlesham bizarre; looking at the Rendlesham Forest
>>file, it starts obviously with Halt's memo and Don Moreland's
>>covering note and then frankly there isn't a lot. In fact, as I
>>recall, I don't think there is anything until the News of the
>>World and Chuck de Caro's documentary, some papers from the
>>Public Affairs Office 3rd Air Force about the handling of this
>>and then it turns into correspondence".

>Would he have been still under the OSA? as he was still employed
>by the ministry at the time and the documents not released?

Rob,

Yes, he would still be required to comply with the Official Secrets Act, even if no longer a government employee.

If Pope _had_ been aware of the file contents now released, there might have been some issues about what could be revealed.

However, it seems clear he had no idea most of these documents existed and believed the Ministry of Defence never investigated Halt's memo.

As we can see above, Pope did in fact describe what was in the file he was familiar with.

The following is from an Interview Pope gave to UFO Magazine on 5 May, 1996, when he spoke about the 'Rendlesham' case::

UFO: Would it not have been different given such an incident had occurred whilst you headed Sec(AS)2a? Would you have launched an investigation?

Nick Pope: I would have been on the first bloody train to Bentwaters!

UFO: What kind of support would you have given?

Nick Pope: I would have taken soil samples, and taken those to the Defence Radiological Protection Service. I would have immediately impounded the radar tapes and had them sent to me, and immediately called RAF defence experts in, we would have sat down, looked at the tapes and analysed the content to see if they showed structured craft or spurious returns. Interview statements would have followed...

UFO: But this allegedly did not happen in 1980?

Nick Pope: At the end of the day this did not happen, so far as I am aware.
[END]

Pope was evidently unaware that the Ministry did make related enquiries.

Indeed, he was then directly asked, "Perhaps DS8 did these things and there was a general clamp down of information?" and replied, "If that had been the case it would have inevitably leaked out".

It's only officially 'leaked out' now and one must surmise why Pope reportedly claims he recognises "most" of the released documents and the investigations they confirm.

Perhaps it's considered an embarrassment that in all his comments about a case which Pope termed 'the Holy Grail of Ufology', he was oblivious to the critical facts known to others within his own department.

He is, after all, the 'real Fox Mulder'.

Allegedly.

Why not simply admit, as the evidence obviously indicates, how during his three year tenure, when he was chiefly responsible for non-operational RAF activities overseas and obtaining diplomatic clearance policy for military flights abroad - with, according to the Ministry, "a small percentage of time dealing with the public about alleged 'UFO' sightings" - that he was clueless about what action the Ministry did in fact undertake concerning 'Rendlesham'.

James Easton.

E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk
www.ufoworld.co.uk

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Get your FREE credit report with a FREE CreditCheck
Monitoring Service trial
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/M8mxkD/bQ8CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Sat, 1 Sep 2001 04:21:39 +0100
Subject: [UFORL] 'UFOs' in Historical Artwork

This is a topic - entertaining, if not also perhaps in some instances intriguing - which was discussed on UFORL's predecessor, 'UFO Skeptics'.

The most prolific source of online material seems to be Matthew Hurley's updated web site [some new images I haven't seen published anywhere else], at:

<http://homepage.ntlworld.com/m.hurley/>

There's one significantly documented 'UFO' I noticed which, if we hadn't known otherwise, would surely be cited as paramount evidence of a possible alien spacecraft [and those witnessing it] - 'Halley's Comet' as depicted in the Bayeux tapestry - see:

<http://www.getty.edu/artsednet/resources/Space/Stories/halleys.html>

[this URL will 'wrap around']

Given how the Bayeux 'documentation' must have been based on observations where the story was eventually very much 'second hand', is it that far removed from 'Halley's Comet' as it would have been perceived?

Could similar historical artwork, particularly the often cited fresco from the altar at 'Visoki Decani Monastery' in Kosovo, possibly have been of a comet or meteor?

Assuming of course that the Bayeux tapestry does show a representation of 'Halley's Comet' and not, coincidentally, 'something else from outer space...'.

James Easton.
E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk
www.ufoworld.co.uk

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor ----->
Get your FREE credit report with a FREE CreditCheck
Monitoring Service trial

<http://us.click.yahoo.com/M8mxkD/bQ8CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>

-----~>

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: TPrinty@aol.com
Date: Fri, 31 Aug 2001 23:37:32 EDT
Subject: [UFORL] Radar data and Carteret sightings
boundary="part1_11b.3c2faa4.28c1b1fc_boundary"

<x-html>
<HTML>Recently NIDS released a statement concerning radar data from Newark airport

during July's UFO sighting. Some of the conclusions they made seemed a bit

premature in my opinion and I was wondering if there might be some discussion

on the matter. NIDS presented their data at their:

<http://www.nidsci.org/>

Look at what's new and then you can examine the latest on their analysis.

Looking at the data it seems odd they would get a wealth of non-transponder

targets on one night and none on the other. Several important points to

consider:

a. The day of the week could be different. A Saturday night is totally

different than Monday night for traffic that could record on the radar.

b. Can AP have produced some of the tracks? Many of them only appeared for

a few sweeps and "disappeared". It would be interesting to see the

temperature inversion possibilities for the night in question.

c. Could the data just be remiss? Taking one other night that had zero

non-transponder targets and calling it a control is not enough in my book.

If they had several nights like this then and none with these targets maybe

it is something to consider.

Any thoughts or important information about this matter would be interesting

to discuss. For instance, what are the rules for non-transponder aircraft

around airports? I believe we have a few private pilots in here who could

expound on this.

Tim Printy

<!-- |**|begin egg html banner|**| -->

<table border=0 cellpadding=0 cellspacing=0>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFCC>

```
<td align=center><font size="-1" color=#003399><b>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor</b></font></td>
</tr>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFFF>
<td align=center width=470><table border=0 cellpadding=0 cellspacing=0><tr><td align=center><font face=arial size=-2>ADVERTISEMENT</font><br><table width="300" border="0" cellspacing="0" cellpadding="0"><tr><td width="300">
<table width="300" border="0" cellspacing="0" cellpadding="0">
<tr valign="top"><td width="184" colspan="2"></td>
<td width="116" rowspan="2"></td>
</tr><tr><td colspan="2"><form method="get" action="http://rd.yahoo.com/M=210544.1579876.3135161.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705082741/HM/A=776683/R=0/*http://ads.track-star.com/adspace.ts">
<tr valign="bottom"><td width="1" bgcolor="#FF6600"></td>
<td width="183" bgcolor="#FFCC00" align="center"><input type="hidden" name="ts" value="1;2;217;107&#95;225&#95;105&#95;264"><input type="hidden" name="startApplication" value="%20">
<font style="font-size: 12px;font-family:Times New Roman,Times,serif;font-weight:bold;">You can, too! Start here...<br><br>Height:<br><select name="feet" size="1" maxlength="1" >
<option value="3">3
<option value="4">4
<option value="5" selected>5
<option value="6">6
<option value="7">7
<option value="8">8
</select>
ft &nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&~
<select name="inches" size="1" maxlength="2">
<option value="00">0
<option value="1">1
<option value="2">2
<option value="3">3
<option value="4" selected>4
<option value="5">5
<option value="6">6
<option value="7">7
<option value="8">8
<option value="9">9
<option value="10">10
<option value="11">11
```

```
</select>in<br><br>
Weight:<br>
<input name="weightUnit" type="radio" value="pounds" checked
style="background:#FFCC00;color:#000001;">lbs.
<input name="weightUnit" type="radio" value="kilograms"
style="background:#FFCC00;color:#000001;">kg.<br>
<input type="text" name="weight" size="5" value=""></font><br><br></td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="3" width="300"><input type="image"
src="http://us.a1.yimg.com/us.yimg.com/a/di/dietsmart/2.gif" width="300"
height="29" alt="" border="0"></td></tr></form>
</table></td></tr></table></td></tr></table></td>
</tr>
<tr><td></td></tr>
</table>
```

```
<!-- |**|end egp html banner|**| -->
```

```
<br>
<tt>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the <a
href="http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/">Yahoo! Terms of Service</a>.</tt>
</br>
```

```
</HTML>
```

```
</x-html>
```


From: Kenny Young <ufo@fuse.net>
Date: Sat, 01 Sep 2001 13:30:25 -0400
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Radar data and Carteret sightings

Tim;

Your last point hit upon something I have been wondering about. Would any military/A.N.G. aircraft be operating without transponders and subsequently tracked on radar? Is this lack of transponder signal with military/A.N.G. aircraft something routine or otherwise? Would the radar data support these targets as within the parameters of conventional airplane performance/capability? And of course I'm looking at these radar returns as a possible source for flare deployment from military maneuvers...

ky

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Get your FREE credit report with a FREE CreditCheck
Monitoring Service trial
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/MDsVHB/bQ8CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: TPrinty@aol.com
Date: Sat, 1 Sep 2001 18:32:40 EDT
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Radar data and Carteret sightings
boundary="part1_20.1b3696e5.28c2bc08_boundary"

<x-html>
<HTML>Kenny wrote:

Your last point hit upon something I have been wondering about.

Would any military/A.N.G. aircraft be operating without

transponders and subsequently tracked on radar?

Probably not. I do believe that such aircraft are required to have

transponders. I think the FAA requires all aircraft to have transponders

when operating in the vicinity of such a large airport.

Is this lack of transponder signal with military/A.N.G. aircraft something

routine or otherwise?

Don't think so.

Would the radar data support these targets is within the parameters of

conventional airplane performance/capability?

Looking at the data, some of these could be aircraft but others appear to

fast or too fleeting to be simple civil aircraft. Although I don't consider

myself an expert on such radar traces, some do appear to be something akin to

Anamolous Propagation. I am curious if anybody from NIDS bothered
to check

any data that would indicate a temperature inversion on the night in question.

Tim Printy

<!-- |**|begin egp html banner|**| -->

<table border=0 cellpadding=2>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFCC>
<td align=center>Yahoo! Groups
Sponsor</td>
</tr>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFFF>
<td align=center width=470><table border=0 cellpadding=0 cellspacing=0><tr><td
align=center><font
face=arial
size=-2>ADVERTISEMENT
<table width="300" border="0"
cellspacing="0" cellpadding="0"><tr><td width="300">
<table width="300" border="0" cellspacing="0" cellpadding="0">

[illegible]

```
height="8" alt="" border="0"><br></td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="3" width="300"><input type="image"
src="http://us.a1.yimg.com/us.yimg.com/a/di/dietsmart/222.gif" width="300"
height="29" alt="" border="0"></td></tr></form>
</table></td></tr></table></td></tr></table></td>
</tr>
<tr><td></td></tr>
</table>
```

```
<!-- |**|end egg html banner|**| -->
```

```
<br>
<tt>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the <a
href="http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/">Yahoo! Terms of Service</a>.</tt>
</br>
```

```
</HTML>
```

```
</x-html>
```

From: "Luis R. González Manso" <lrgm@arrakis.es>
Date: Tue, 4 Sep 2001 11:33:17 +0200
Subject: [UFORL] Lawson and Garden Grove

Dear colleagues,

I have a fragmentary collection of FSR. In Volume 22 # 3 (that includes the very first investigation of an humanoid case by a pre-abductionist Hopkins), Alvin Lawson presented an article titled "Hypnotic regression of alleged CE-III cases" where he mentioned his investigations around the Garden Grove abduction, centering in a March 1971 incident in the Arizona desert with 7-foot clones with crocodile-scaled skin!.

There is a mention to a future follow-up article, but I have been unable to locate it. Besides, I seem to recall that this case was finally considered a hoax.

Can anyone point me to the pertinent sources?

Thanks in advance,

Luis R. Gonzalez

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
The Nissan Sentra
Everything but compact
<http://NissanDriven.com>
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/3vsIKC/txlCAA/ySSF/AA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: dominicbunnell@mail.com
Date: Tue, 04 Sep 2001 19:34:20 -0000
Subject: [UFORL] Beyond The Truth (Nick Pope) on in the u.k. tonight

There is a programme on ITV1 tonight at 23:30 in the u.k. It looks into the work of Nick Pope during his time at the Ministry Of Defence UFO desk. It is a repeat so most of you have probably seen it before but I thought i'd just mention it anyway.

Beyond The Truth
ITV1
23:30
Tuesday 4th September 2001

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Get your FREE credit report with a FREE CreditCheck
Monitoring Service trial
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/MDsVHB/bQ8CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "Robinson, Larry" <lrobin@indiana.edu>
Date: Tue, 4 Sep 2001 17:58:06 -0500
Subject: RE: [UFORL] 'UFOs' in Historical Artwork

---- -X =@ o_ = X<= <o> o-< <-I-= -> <O-O-O< <-88 ----
Larry Robinson S/AP/EIS lrobin@indiana.edu
Indiana University (812) 855-5073 Room 42
Kinesiology Dept http://php.indiana.edu/~lrobin
1025 E 7th St Rm 112 HDTV and taxes killed RCA.
Bloomington IN 47405-7109

Some of those "UFOS" were depictions of common things by artists who had their own ideas about them.

In the 14th century tapestries and other works, those hat shaped things are just stylized clouds. They are common in a lot of works from that time.

In the 1338 painting, the big ball is just the artist's idea of the sun.

In the 1350 painting, those objects are the sun and moon, with the pagan gods they were believed to be.

In "Le Tebaide", that's a real shield on the ground. The perspective is horrible because perspective had not become a true art until later.

In "The Annunciation with Saint Emidius" and "The Madonna with Saint Giovannio", those are artist's depictions of the Holy Spirit.

In "Theatrum Orbis", this is a known, but rare solar halo phenomenon.

In the 1710 "The Baptism of Christ", the artist attempted to depict the heavens opened, as related in Matthew 3:16; Mark 1:10; and Luke 3:21-22.

@ -----Original Message-----

@ From: James Easton [mailto:voyager@ufoworld.co.uk]

@ Sent: Friday, August 31, 2001 22:22

@ To: UFORL@yahoogroups.com

@ Subject: [UFORL] 'UFOs' in Historical Artwork

@

@

@ This is a topic - entertaining, if not also perhaps in some

@ instances intriguing - which was discussed on UFORL's

@ predecessor, 'UFO Skeptics'.

@

@ The most prolific source of online material seems to be Matthew

@ Hurley's updated web site [some new images I haven't seen
@ published anywhere else], at:
@
@ <http://homepage.ntlworld.com/m.hurley/>
@
@
@ There's one significantly documented 'UFO' I noticed which, if we
@ hadn't known otherwise, would surely be cited as paramount
@ evidence of a possible alien spacecraft [and those witnessing it]
@ - 'Halley's Comet' as depicted in the Bayeux tapestry - see:
@
@ <http://www.getty.edu/artsednet/resources/Space/Stories/halleys.html>
@
@ [this URL will 'wrap around']
@
@
@ Given how the Bayeux 'documentation' must have been based on
@ observations where the story was eventually very much 'second
@ hand', is it that far removed from 'Halley's Comet' as it would
@ have been perceived?

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/47cccB/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "Robinson, Larry" <lrobin@indiana.edu>
Date: Tue, 4 Sep 2001 18:02:56 -0500
Subject: RE: [UFORL] Radar data and Carteret sightings

Some variations of fire balloon have aluminum foil "chaff" attached to make the objects appear on RADAR.

But it is interesting that any track was made at all. Most RADAR sets run exclusively in transponder mode now. This was made evident to me in the book "Free Fall". The air traffic controller was unable to follow the plane once it's power went off on the regular RADAR, and had to track it on an old set he hastily turned on.

----- [o#o]-[o--o]-[o--o]-[o--o]-[o--o]-[o--o]-[o--o]-[]0000oo> ### -----
Larry Robinson S/AP/EIS lrobin@indiana.edu
Indiana University (812) 855-5073 Room 42
Kinesiology Dept <http://php.indiana.edu/~lrobin>
1025 E 7th St Rm 112 I voted for gridlock.
Bloomington IN 47405-7109

-----Original Message-----

From: TPrinty@aol.com [mailto:TPrinty@aol.com]
Sent: Friday, August 31, 2001 22:38Subject: [UFORL] Radar data and Carteret sightings

Recently NIDS released a statement concerning radar data from Newark airport during July's UFO sighting. Some of the conclusions they made seemed a bit premature in my opinion and I was wondering if there might be some discussion on the matter. NIDS presented their data at their:

<http://www.nidsci.org/>

Look at what's new and then you can examine the latest on their analysis. Looking at the data it seems odd they would get a wealth of non-transponding

targets on one night and none on the other. Several important points to consider:

- a. The day of the week could be different. A saturday night is totally different than monday night for traffic that could record on the radar.
- b. Can AP have produced some of the tracks? Many of them only appeared for a few sweeps and "disappeared". It would be interesting to see the temperature inversion possibilities for the night in question.

c. Could the data just be remiss? Taking one other night that had zero non-transponder targets and calling it a control is not enough in my book.

If they had several nights like this then and none with these targets maybe it is something to consider.

Any thoughts or important information about this matter would be interesting to discuss. For instance, what are the rules for non-transponder aircraft around airports? I believe we have a few private pilots in here who could expound on this.

Tim Printy
Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

You can, too! Start here...

Height:
345678 ft 01234567891011in

Weight:
lbs. kg.

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/47cccB/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: TPrinty@aol.com
Date: Tue, 4 Sep 2001 21:29:37 EDT
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Radar data and Carteret sightings
boundary="part1_6e.f916541.28c6da01_boundary"

<x-html>
<HTML>Hendry makes references
to non-transponder targets popping on and off similar

to the NIDS radar tracks. I also find interesting is that it seems that a

majority of the targets that showed a direction of motion were to the NE or

SW. It just happens that the NJ Turnpike runs in this general direction

(actually it is something like NNE and SSW) parallel to the airport. One

might suggest that the targets could have been merely trucks passing by on

the freeway at that time of night. I do believe it is possible that the

sidelobes of the radar could have picked them up giving them only a fleeting

appearance. Several of the speeds were automobile speeds. I
haven't had a

chance to look more closely but this seems to be a possibility for some of

the targets.

I did look into the Brookhaven radiosonde data and after using the formula

for calculating the degree of refractivity, it seems the temperature inversion

that registered in the data was insufficient to cause severe refraction of

the radar waves.

Tim Printy

<!-- |**|begin egp html banner|**| -->

<table border=0 cellspacing=0 cellpadding=2>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFCC>
<td align=center>Yahoo! Groups
Sponsor</td>
</tr>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFFF>
<td align=center width=470><table border=0 cellpadding=0 cellspacing=0><tr><td
align=center><font
face=arial
size=-2>ADVERTISEMENT
<table width="300" border="0"
cellspacing="0" cellpadding="0"><tr><td width="300">
<table width="300" border="0" cellspacing="0" cellpadding="0">
<tr valign="top"><td width="184" colspan="2"></td>
<td width="116" rowspan="2"></td>

[illegible]

```
</table></td></tr></table></td></tr></table></td>
</tr>
<tr><td></td></tr>
</table>
```

```
<!-- **|end egp html banner**| -->
```

```
<br>
<tt>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the <a
href="http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/">Yahoo! Terms of Service</a>.</tt>
</br>
```

```
</HTML>
```

```
</x-html>
```

From: Ed Stewart <ufoindex@lanset.com>
Date: Tue, 04 Sep 2001 18:55:58 -0700
Subject: [UFORL] Scientists' Outlet for the Strange

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Wed, 5 Sep 2001 04:03:49 +0100
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Beyond The Truth (Nick Pope)...

Regarding:

>From: <dominicbunnell@mail.com>
>To: <UFORL@yahoogroups.com>
>Subject: [UFORL] Beyond The Truth (Nick Pope)...
>Date: 04 September 2001 23:50

Dominic wrote:

>There is a programme on ITV1 tonight at 23:30 in the u.k. It
>looks into the work of Nick Pope during his time at the Ministry
>Of Defence UFO desk. It is a repeat so most of you have probably
>seen it before but I thought i'd just mention it anyway.
>
>Beyond The Truth
>ITV1

Dominic,

I don't recall seeing this program before and unfortunately, it wasn't shown by my local ITV region.

The title is however aptly topical and I see that in his latest newsletter, Pope now claims, concerning the recently released Ministry of Defence [MoD] 'Rendlesham' documents:

"The documents come from a file (or more accurately, from several different files) that I used during my tour of duty in Sec(AS)2a, from 1991 to 1994".

As so many others appreciate, the factual anomaly which strikingly asks to be resolved is whether any MoD file Pope actually had access to contained, as he seems to insinuate, those documents which have been made available.

Pope, for some reason, doesn't say this.

Straightforward questions for Nick Pope:

1. Long before the MoD file was made public, you claimed in an inaugural, May 1996, 'UFO Magazine' interview that, so far as you were aware:

"The standard line on Rendlesham Forest is that the incident was looked into, and nothing of defence significance was uncovered. The challenge, as it where, to the department, is that we have

never seen the analysis ".

If you had never 'seen the analysis', then why are you, seemingly, asserting being familiar with the documents Dr Clarke has published?

2. You told 'UFO Magazine', that, had you been involved at the time of 'Rendlesham', then "radar tapes" would have been impounded and "RAF defence experts called in". These were in fact actions instigated by the MoD.

Yet, when asked by 'UFO Magazine', "But this allegedly did not happen in 1980?... you replied, "At the end of the day this did not happen, so far as I am aware".

They further queried, "Perhaps DS8 did these things and there was a general clamp down of information?".

You denied any knowledge; "If that had been the case it would have inevitably leaked out".

How does this equate with your present recollections of the MoD/'UFO' facts?

3. When more recently interviewed by Dave Clarke and Andy Roberts, again prior to the MoD file's 'absolute zero cover-up revelations', you acknowledged:

"I find Rendlesham bizarre; looking at the Rendlesham Forest file, it starts obviously with Halt's memo and Don Moreland's covering note and then frankly there isn't a lot. In fact, as I recall, I don't think there is anything until the News of the World and Chuck de Caro's documentary, some papers from the Public Affairs Office 3rd Air Force about the handling of this and then it turns into correspondence".

As Dave and Andy surmise:

"It is apparent that Pope did not have access to the documents relating to the investigations made in 1981-83 by DS8 and RAF (Ops) that were released by the MOD in 2001. These did not, in 1992-4, form part of the "correspondence file" on the Rendlesham case that Pope accessed whilst serving at Sec (AS) 2. Why Pope did not have access to the newly-released material is a mystery. Did he not feel it necessary to request a search for additional documents, or did he not have sufficient 'need to know' to see them? If the former was the case, it hardly supports his contention that it was this incident in particular that helped to

persuade him of the existence of ET visits to Britain".

Given that it's comprehensively documented you had no knowledge of the Ministry's research, is the true scenario as 'potentially suggested' in a recent 'UFO Research List' commentary:

"Perhaps it's considered an embarrassment that in all his comments about a case which Pope termed 'the Holy Grail of Ufology', he was oblivious to the critical facts known to others within his own department.
[END]

I also understand that Graham Birdsall of 'UFO Magazine' now states on their web site:

"In revealing how officials briefed Ministers to deliberately mislead both houses of Parliament, what emerges from these files is a 20-year-long official cover-up that stretches to the highest echelons of government".

Why does Graham seemingly ignore the contrary fact that Pope told 'UFO Magazine' in 1996:

UFO Magazine: Was there a cover-up?

Nick Pope: No. Not in the MoD - I think the MoD's response was just ineffective.

UFO: That's a very big statement?

Nick Pope: Yes, but if something of that magnitude was reported and simply placed on file, then what else can you say?

It's a confirmation Pope repeated, for example, in a later 1996 interview with CNI News:

CNI News: This seemingly irrational denial of significant UFO events could be interpreted as evidence of a deliberate cover-up. What are your views on that?

NP: I found no evidence to support a cover-up in Britain. I think, without trying to sound too arrogant, that I would have gotten a few hints in three years if there had been someone doing my job but on a covert basis...".

A stupendous irony is Pope's response when, some years ago, asked that same question by a certain Georgina Bruni:

Bruni: Do you think there's a cover-up in the UK?

Pope: I don't think it's so much a case of a cover-up, I think it's just that there's no lasting evidence and I don't think the officialdom know how to deal with it. I think that officialdom is just not convinced that there's any real proof.

Doubtless these fundamental discrepancies will be prominently debated by Birdsall, Bruni and Pope at the imminent 'UFO Magazine' conference, where they are all presenters of the same... err.. different... 'evidence'.

Then again, who knows... maybe the contradictions won't even be mentioned at all. Will Pope accede to a celebration of allegations which he has so comprehensively refuted and say nothing in 'defence'...?

At present, we can only highlight on UFORL these seemingly incongruous 'UK UFO cover-up' verdicts and inherent, elementary questions.

James Easton.
E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk
www.ufoworld.co.uk

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/47cccB/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "Keith J Matthews" <home@kmatthews.org.uk>
Date: Wed, 5 Sep 2001 21:54:21 +0100
Subject: RE: [UFORL] 'UFOs' in Historical Artwork

I've just checked the depiction of 'Halley's comet' in the Bayeux Tapestry.

The Latin above the people pointing at it (ISTI MIRANT STELLA) means "they admire the star". It's common in medieval Latin text to find that all comets are attributed to a single wandering star.

So nothing mysterious there, then...

Keith

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/47cccB/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: Todd Lemire <tlemire@home.com>
Date: Sat, 08 Sep 2001 05:25:53 -0400
Subject: [UFORL] US Has Heavily Researched Anti-Gravity, Book Says

This was sent to me by a friend and I thought it would be of interest here. Watch wraparound on url and I apologize in advance for formatting issues in the cut and paste job here. Any comments?

http://dailynews.yahoo.com/h/nm/20010907/sc/arms_gravity_dc_1.html

Todd Lemire
Michigan UFO CENTRAL

Friday September 7 12:15 PM ET

US Has Heavily Researched Anti-Gravity, Book Says

By Bradley Perrett

LONDON (Reuters) - The U.S. military may have conducted serious research into anti-gravity based on Nazi studies, a top defense journalist suggests in a new book.

In "The Hunt for Zero Point," journalist Nick Cook says, based on a decade's research, he believes by the 1950s the U.S. was seriously working on anti-gravity "electrogravitics" technology, which would lift and propel vehicles without wings or thrust.

"I feel intuitively that some vehicle has been developed, particularly given that there is this wealth of scientific data out there, and the Americans have never been slow to pick up on this sort of science," Cook, the aerospace consultant for Jane's Defense Weekly, told Reuters in an interview.

Cook uncovered reports and sightings of a Nazi research device that had been hidden in a remote part of Poland, where it had apparently been supplied with great quantities of electricity -- which an electrogravitic experiment would require.

Curiously, barely a hint of such Nazi research appeared after the war, suggesting that whoever captured it -- probably the United States -- immediately stamped it "secret," he said.

Cook noted that, as a respected expert, he is risking his reputation by writing seriously about a technology associated with UFOs, which most scientists dismiss as science fiction embraced by "hocus-pocus" believers.

The United States is known to have a huge budget for so called ``black projects," because it spends more on defense than can be accounted for by adding up the value of public programs.

Cook admitted he cannot produce a conclusive case. But that is the nature of black projects, in which even the workers usually have no idea what they are working on.

In 1947, amid the early craze of UFO reports, an air force general reported on the possibility of the United States building disc-shaped objects with extreme rates of climb and maneuverability but without noise or evident propulsion.

In the mid 1950s electrogravitics was the subject of a few press reports, including one that described work by most of the United States' major defense contractors, Cook reported.

And then it all went quiet -- just as stealth technology suddenly disappeared from view in the mid 1970s, only to re-emerge as operational aircraft in the late 1980s.

Academic papers on the subject have mysteriously disappeared from libraries.

There is still no firm evidence that electrogravitics is more than science fiction. Civilian scientists and amateurs have experimented with it, and while some have reported success, no one seems to have reproduced their results to prove that it works.

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/47cccB/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: joel carpenter <ufx1947@home.com>
Date: Mon, 10 Sep 2001 00:03:15 -0400
Subject: Re: [UFORL] US Has Heavily Researched Anti-Gravity, Book Says

Who needs electrogravitics when we have the patented Tim Matthews reality distortion field?

Joel Carpenter

From: Tim Matthews <TMMatthews99@aol.com>
Date: Sun, 9 Sep 2001 08:14:17 EDT
Fwd Date: Sun, 09 Sep 2001 13:12:34 -0400
Subject: Nazi UFOs And All That

Dear All,

Nice to be back.

Jane's Defence Weekly's very own Aerospace expert Nick Cook has just had his 'The Hunt For Zero Point' published by Century in the UK. Several people have e-mailed me to congratulate me on having published the bulk of material in 'UFO Revelation' over two years ago. In fact, Cook didn't use many of the documents that I did, but he concentrated much more on "anti-gravity" technologies. Nevertheless, Cook has made some very important new discoveries and, with Bill Rose's continued efforts and those of Canadian Aerospace historian Bill Zuk, we now have an emerging and improved picture of Nazi and man-made flying disc technologies.

Hand in hand with Cook's new revelations and proof that Avro Special Projects Groups leader John CM Frost travelled, in 1953, to a highly secret meeting in Germany with a senior scientist (probably Richard Miethe) to discuss German flying disc technologies of the wartime period, the skeptics will no doubt be wheeling out the usual emotional stuff about concentration camps and so forth to divert attention away from the technological/aviation side. However, like so much of our evidence, this material comes straight from the Canadian defence archives.

As our group has said before, this is a technical investigation. Whilst I have always concentrated upon Counter Intelligence Corps, CIA and other declassified documents to make forward progress, colleagues have combed other areas for new evidence. Despite the skeptic's claim (Kevin McClure, for instance) that "no new evidence" could emerge over 50 years after the end of hostilities, new evidence continues to come out!

The latest development is a group photograph showing Richard Miethe with known Paperclip scientists taken in Germany in 1945.

Nick Cook deliberately has, very understandably, steered away from the 'UFO' aspects of his investigation although the links are pretty clear. It's just nice to have one of the world's leading experts in aerospace and black technologies reopening an important debate with the timely publication of an undoubted bestseller.

With this in mind, I shall be "spilling the beans" on many of the latest developments and recapping the solid evidence for man-made advanced flying discplanes on the Jeff Rense radio show in a the very near future.

In the meantime, the game's afoot.

Tim Matthews

Author, UFO Revelation
British UFO Studies Centre

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/47cccB/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

[illegible]

As a fixed wing aircraft, the CYPHER II UAV has a maximum range of more than

100 nautical miles. As a rotary wing aircraft, the CYPHER II UAV can operate

in confined areas and support urban operations.

Sikorsky Aircraft Corporation, based in Stratford, Conn., is a world leader

in helicopter design, manufacturing and service. Sikorsky is a subsidiary of

United Technologies Corporation (NYSE:UTX), of Hartford, Conn., which

provides a broad range of high-technology products and support services to

the aerospace and building systems industries.

For more information, contact Bill Tuttle at (203)386-3829 or Ed Steadham at

(203)386-6086.

<!-- |**|begin egg html banner|**| -->

```
<table border=0 cellspacing=0 cellpadding=2>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFCC>
<td align=center><font size="-1" color=#003399><b>Yahoo! Groups
Sponsor</b></font></td>
</tr>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFFF>
<td align=center width=470><table border=0 cellpadding=0 cellspacing=0><tr><td
align=center><font
face=arial
size=-2>ADVERTISEMENT</font><br><table width="300" border="0"
cellspacing="0" cellpadding="0"><tr><td width="300">
<table width="300" border="0" cellspacing="0" cellpadding="0">
<tr valign="top"><td width="184" colspan="2"></td>
<td width="116" rowspan="2"></td>
</tr><tr><td colspan="2"><form method="get"
action="http://rd.yahoo.com/M=210544.1579876.3135161.1261774/D=egroupweb/S
=1705082741:HM/A=776686/R=0/*http://ads.track-star.com/adspace.ts">
<tr valign="bottom"><td width="1" bgcolor="#003399"></td>
<td width="183" bgcolor="#33CCFF" align="center"><input type="hidden"
name="ts" value="1;2;217;107&#95;226&#95;105&#95;264"><input type="hidden"
name="startApplication" value="%20">
<font style="font-size: 12px;font-family:Times New Roman,Times,serif;font-
weight:bold;">Start here...<br><img
```

[illegible]

<tt>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.</tt>
</br>

</HTML>

</x-html>

From: Chris Gibson <cgibson@skomer.u-net.com>

Date: Mon, 10 Sep 2001 14:11:26 +0000

Subject: Re: [UFORL] US Has Heavily Researched Anti-Gravity, Book Says

Is Tim back on this list? I thought we were all knawers? (anag). Better return to my "secretive and unco-operative" ways.

Chris

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->

FREE COLLEGE MONEY

CLICK HERE to search

600,000 scholarships!

<http://us.click.yahoo.com/47cccB/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>

-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: James Easton <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Tue, 11 Sep 2001 10:37:04 +0100 (BST)
Subject: [UFORL] The Lost Cosmonauts

The 'Lost Cosmonauts' web sight has been featured in a recent UK
'web review' program and it might be of interest to some
subscribers - see:

<http://www.lostcosmonauts.com/>

James Easton.
E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk
www.ufoworld.co.uk

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/47cccB/4m7CAA/ySSF/AA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Wed, 12 Sep 2001 02:33:50 +0100
Subject: [UFORL] Beyond Belief

I can only hope there is not one subscriber who has relations or friends directly involved in the grim events which I have watched unfold, from the outset, today.

It's evident that when the true magnitude of this tragedy, loss of life and injuries becomes clear, it will be almost beyond comprehension. If even almost.

Given that it seems largely the result of an unimaginable, total collapse of the World Trade Center towers, surely never contemplated by the utter scum responsible, and how this has sickeningly involved many of those in the emergency services - latest CNN figures are horrendous - it's difficult to express more than is said by an old Scottish proverb:

"The devil looks after his own".

UFORL has evolved to now become an unmoderated forum and comments, related, or on any issue, will flow freely.

James Easton.
E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk
www.ufoworld.co.uk

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/47cccB/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: YoungBob2@aol.com
Date: Tue, 11 Sep 2001 23:52:01 EDT
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Beyond Belief

James, List:

It is, indeed, beyond belief. Like one of the ridiculous action movies. I can't help feel that tomorrow morning I will wake up and all of this will be a bad dream.

I am an amateur astronomer and have noticed something tonight that I haven't seen in my memory. NO air traffic. Nothing. There are almost always 3 or 4 planes, often small, with their flashing anti-collision lites. And no trains for hours on the bridge next to my house, it's usually quite busy. It is truly surreal in a dark, quiet sky with sparkling stars.

I'm located in Harrisburg, roughly half way between New York and Washington and a little to the West. We're going to the hospital first thing in the morning to give blood, they're calling for donors. Our town is sending fire fighters tomorrow to relieve those in NY and surrounding places.

I remember Three Mile Island, which is about 8 miles from here. And my parents often told me about the day they learned of Pearl Harbor, which for us was the beginning of WWII. I guess this must be what it was like.

I just heard that the losses in the Pentagon building, alone, are over 800 dead. Of the first 11 fire companies to reach the world trade buildings, half were lost, over 200, some trying to carry out wheelchair-bound people. I'm afraid the news over the next days is going to be terrible.

And to be perfectly honest with you, what I'm hearing from almost everyone is anger, real deep anger. God help us.

This surreal quiet in a beautiful starry night sky, and sadness and anger. That's about it, tonight.

Clear skies, tomorrow, my friends:

Bob Young

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor ----->
Get your FREE credit report with a FREE CreditCheck
Monitoring Service trial
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/MDsVHB/bQ8CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
----->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: dmurd32204@aol.com
Date: Wed, 12 Sep 2001 00:03:05 EDT
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Beyond Belief
boundary="part1_116.47b678f.28d03879_boundary"

<x-html>
<HTML>That
was beautiful Youngbob.

Perhaps we shall wake with a start and find this was the nightmare of

nightmares. God, let it be so.

David

<!-- |**|begin egp html banner|**| -->

<table border=0 cellpadding=2>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFCC>
<td align=center>Yahoo! Groups
Sponsor</td>
</tr>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFFF>
<td align=center width=470><a
href="http://rd.yahoo.com/M=195705.1453857.3031277.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1
705082741:HM/A=675976/*http://ad.doubleclick.net/jump/N1977.yahoo.com/B3989
8.3;sz=468x60;ord=1000267630?" target="_top">
www.nissandriven.com</td>
</tr>
<tr><td></td></tr>
</table>

<!-- |**|end egp html banner|**| -->

<tt>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.</tt>
</br>

</HTML>

</x-html>

From: Dirtydi104@aol.com
Date: Wed, 12 Sep 2001 01:20:24 EDT
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Beyond Belief

In a message dated 9/11/01 10:55:31 PM US Eastern Standard Time,
YoungBob2@aol.com writes:

> I am an amateur astronomer and have noticed something tonight
> that I haven't seen in my memory. NO air traffic. Nothing. There
> are almost always 3 or 4 planes, often small, with their flashing
> anti-collision lites. And no trains for hours on the bridge next to
> my house, it's usually quite busy. It is truly surreal in a dark, quiet
> sky with sparkling stars.

I've noticed the same thing here in Indiana. I live on the main traffic lane
of flights out of Indianapolis heading north and east. Also the first trains
I heard moving on the rails was really late this evening.

Another thing is that I live in an addition that is near a major highway and
I've not heard any of the normal roar of traffic. The world here is
strangely quiet as the USA holds it's breathe for the next stage.

I hope as a nation that we can hold ourselves together and move on ahead as
we have always done. There are lots of giving and caring people here in the
USA. That is one of the things that have made us what we are.

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->

Get your FREE credit report with a FREE CreditCheck
Monitoring Service trial
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/MDsVHB/bQ8CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>

-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "Robinson, Larry" <lrobin@indiana.edu>
Date: Wed, 12 Sep 2001 14:51:35 -0500
Subject: RE: [UFORL] Beyond Belief

-----X=@ o_= X<= <o> o-< <-I=- -> <O-O-O< <-88 -----
Larry Robinson S/AP/EIS lrobin@indiana.edu
Indiana University (812) 855-5073 Room 42
Kinesiology Dept http://php.indiana.edu/~lrobin
1025 E 7th St Rm 112 HDTV and taxes killed RCA.
Bloomington IN 47405-7109

@ -----Original Message-----
@ From: Dirtydi104@aol.com [mailto:Dirtydi104@aol.com]
@ Sent: Wednesday, September 12, 2001 00:20
@ To: UFORL@yahoogroups.com
@ Subject: Re: [UFORL] Beyond Belief
@
@
@ In a message dated 9/11/01 10:55:31 PM US Eastern Standard Time,
@ YoungBob2@aol.com writes:
@
@ Another thing is that I live in an addition that is near a
@ major highway and
@ I've not heard any of the normal roar of traffic.

That's because everyone was either glued to the TV, not attending
a cancelled game or activity, or stupidly sitting in one of the gas
lines produced by the rumors that gas prices were going to go
through the roof.

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Get your FREE credit report with a FREE CreditCheck
Monitoring Service trial
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/MDsVHB/bQ8CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "Robinson, Larry" <lrobin@indiana.edu>
Date: Wed, 12 Sep 2001 14:51:35 -0500
Subject: RE: [UFORL] Beyond Belief

---- -X =@ o_ = X<= <o> o-< <-I=- -> <O-O-O< <-88 ----
Larry Robinson S/AP/EIS lrobin@indiana.edu
Indiana University (812) 855-5073 Room 42
Kinesiology Dept http://php.indiana.edu/~lrobin
1025 E 7th St Rm 112 HDTV and taxes killed RCA.
Bloomington IN 47405-7109

@ -----Original Message-----

@ From: Dirtydi104@aol.com [mailto:Dirtydi104@aol.com]

@ Sent: Wednesday, September 12, 2001 00:20

@ To: UFORL@yahoogroups.com

@ Subject: Re: [UFORL] Beyond Belief

@

@

@ In a message dated 9/11/01 10:55:31 PM US Eastern Standard Time,

@ YoungBob2@aol.com writes:

@

@ Another thing is that I live in an addition that is near a

@ major highway and

@ I've not heard any of the normal roar of traffic.

That's because everyone was either glued to the TV, not attending
a cancelled game or activity, or stupidly sitting in one of the gas
lines produced by the rumors that gas prices were going to go
through the roof.

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->

FREE COLLEGE MONEY

CLICK HERE to search

600,000 scholarships!

<http://us.click.yahoo.com/47cccB/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>

-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: YoungBob2@aol.com
Date: Wed, 12 Sep 2001 18:34:10 EDT
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Beyond Belief

In a message dated 9/12/2001 4:53:15 PM Eastern Daylight Time,
lrobins@indiana.edu writes:

<< @ In a message dated 9/11/01 10:55:31 PM US Eastern Standard Time,
@ YoungBob2@aol.com writes:

@

@ Another thing is that I live in an addition that is near a

@ major highway and

@ I've not heard any of the normal roar of traffic.

That's because everyone was either glued to the TV, not attending
a cancelled game or activity, or stupidly sitting in one of the gas
lines produced by the rumors that gas prices were going to go
through the roof.

>>

Larry, List:

Probably true.

The above observation was actually by somebody else who respinded
to my original post. About 30 minutes ago I saw the first train on the
Norfolk and Southern bridge next to my house in more than 24 hours.
With the NYC bridges and tunnels closed everything was probably
backed up. These are freight trains. I hear a passenger train early this
morning on the main EW line from Harrisburg to Phila.

I'm going to the observatory tonight. It's too unusual to have
no air traffic to interfere with enjoyment of the sky.

Bob

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/47cccB/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: dmurd32204@aol.com
Date: Wed, 12 Sep 2001 18:50:12 EDT
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Beyond Belief
boundary="part1_16e.bd19ca.28d140a4_boundary"

<x-html>
<HTML>Good
show

<tt>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.</tt>
</br>

</HTML>

</x-html>

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Thu, 13 Sep 2001 03:01:37 +0100
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Beyond Belief

I've thought long and hard about even mentioning the following.

The last thing I would obviously want to do is upset anyone by adding to the distressing stories. If you've appreciably already had more than enough of them, then please read no further.

I have a friend who lives near New York and who I realised might have been part of the emergency services units involved.

Duly concerned, I was fortunately able to contact him and although he had been on duty, it wasn't near the devastation.

However, a number of his colleagues were and he explained what the scene was like not long after the towers had collapsed.

I only reveal this because it is a documented snapshot of that abject reality and one which, when fully taken in, maybe helps to further begin setting those appalling events in perspective:

"I don't know if the free world will ever be the same after this, this attack will have far more devastating consequences other than the destruction of property and loss of life. The dead will probably number in the tens of thousands.

Some people I know who have deployed down there to assist, have called back and said there are so many body parts in the area of destruction that they just drive over them to try and get to the living that are trapped in the rubble".

This vision of hell from yesterday, and how only seven(?) from thousands 'walked out alive' today, is surely a mere glimpse of the shocking horror what is yet to unfold.

We can perhaps expect as a result that many related issues will become redefined in a new perspective, especially seething anger and what constitutes an 'appropriate military response'.

James Easton.
E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk
www.ufoworld.co.uk

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/47cccB/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "Greg Sandow" <greg@gregsandow.com>

Date: Wed, 12 Sep 2001 22:44:10 -0400

Subject: RE: [UFORL] Beyond Belief

- > I've thought long and hard about even mentioning the following.
- >
- > The last thing I would obviously want to do is upset anyone by
- > adding to the distressing stories. If you've
- > appreciably already
- > had more than enough of them, then please read no further.

James, thanks for your concern. And, as a New Yorker, I can appreciate your interest in these ghastly details.

But don't worry about passing them on. Everything you wrote -- including the body parts -- is what we in New York have been hearing on TV, 24 hours a day, since this began.

Greg Sandow

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/47cccB/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "Greg Sandow" <greg@gregsandow.com>
Date: Wed, 12 Sep 2001 22:57:15 -0400
Subject: RE: [UFORL] Beyond Belief

> This vision of hell from yesterday, and how only seven(?) from
> thousands 'walked out alive' today, is surely a mere
> glimpse of
> the shocking horror what is yet to unfold.

The horror is, as the subject of this thread indicates, almost beyond belief. Three New York newspapers ran photos this morning of people jumping to their deaths, their bodies looking almost peaceful as they fell through the air. The New York Daily News featured a photo of a severed hand, found on the street in the disaster area. One firefighter spoke on TV about watching his partner die when a body from a high floor in one of the towers fell on him. Right now there's a poisonous stench in New York, extending uptown at least to 47th Street, where Anne and I live, from the site of the calamity.

But I want -- with a great sense of relief -- to correct any notion that only seven people of the thousands who worked in the World Trade Center survived this. I'm not sure if that's what James is saying, but things are far better than that. Many people escaped or were evacuated from the buildings in the more than an hour that passed between the time the planes hit, and the collapse of the towers. It's possible that these were the majority of the people who worked there.

The low number given here and elsewhere for survivors is the number of people found alive in the rubble in the day and a half since the collapse. That was nine, last I heard. Apparently others are still alive. They can be heard crying out from the rubble, and some have made cell phone calls. But the number of people who survived the event, by getting out before the buildings collapsed, is much higher.

One aspect of the horror takes a while to sink in. Surely, though a great many people survived, thousands are dead. But it seems as if nobody knows at this point who many of them are. Families haven't been contacted; no list of the dead has, as far as I know, been even privately assembled. All anyone knows right now is that many, many people are missing. So local TV in New York has been full of heartrending scenes from New York hospitals. People go there with the desperate hope of locating missing friends and family. Tearfully, or in shock, they get a minute or so on TV, to hold up pictures of their missing loved ones, and to give a phone number where they can be reached, if someone has some information.

I wonder, watching all this, if many of the dead will ever be found and identified. Maybe we'll only develop the true death toll by adding up the many, many people (including hundreds of police and firefighters involved in rescue work) who never returned home.

Greg Sandow

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Get your FREE credit report with a FREE CreditCheck
Monitoring Service trial
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/MDsVHB/bQ8CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: joel carpenter <ufx1947@home.com>
Date: Thu, 13 Sep 2001 10:15:44 -0400
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Beyond Belief

Worth reading.

<http://www.theatlantic.com/issues/96may/blowback.htm>

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/47cccB/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "Robinson, Larry" <lrobin@indiana.edu>
Date: Thu, 13 Sep 2001 11:46:31 -0500
Subject: RE: [UFORL] Beyond Belief

I noticed that the wrong attribution was there right after I clicked
SEND. Too late!

---- -X =@ o_ = X<= <o> o-< <-I=- -> <O-O-O< <-88 ----
Larry Robinson S/AP/EIS lrobin@indiana.edu
Indiana University (812) 855-5073 Room 42
Kinesiology Dept <http://php.indiana.edu/~lrobin>
1025 E 7th St Rm 112 HDTV and taxes killed RCA.
Bloomington IN 47405-7109

@ -----Original Message-----

@ From: YoungBob2@aol.com [mailto:YoungBob2@aol.com]

@ Sent: Wednesday, September 12, 2001 17:34

@ To: UFORL@yahoogroups.com

@ Subject: Re: [UFORL] Beyond Belief

@

@

@ In a message dated 9/12/2001 4:53:15 PM Eastern Daylight Time,

@ lrobin@indiana.edu writes:

@

@ << @ In a message dated 9/11/01 10:55:31 PM US Eastern Standard Time,

@ @ YoungBob2@aol.com writes:

@

@

@ @ Another thing is that I live in an addition that is near a

@ @ major highway and

@ @ I've not heard any of the normal roar of traffic.

@

@ That's because everyone was either glued to the TV, not attending

@ a cancelled game or activity, or stupidly sitting in one of the gas

@ lines produced by the rumors that gas prices were going to go

@ through the roof.

@

@ >>

@ Larry, List:

@

@ Probably true.

@

@ The above observation was actually by somebody else who responded

@ to my original post. About 30 minutes ago I saw the first

@ train on the

@ Norfolk and Southern bridge next to my house in more than 24 hours.

@ With the NYC bridges and tunnels closed everything was probably

@ backed up. These are freight trains. I hear a passenger

@ train early this

@ morning on the main EW line from Harrisburg to Phila.

@
@ I'm going to the observatory tonight. It's too unusual to have
@ no air traffic to interfere with enjoyment of the sky.
@
@ Bob
@
@ ----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
@ -----~-->
@ FREE COLLEGE MONEY
@ CLICK HERE to search
@ 600,000 scholarships!
@ <http://us.click.yahoo.com/47cccB/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
@ -----
@ -----~-->
@
@
@
@ Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
@ <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>
@
@

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Get your FREE credit report with a FREE CreditCheck
Monitoring Service trial
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/MDsVHB/bQ8CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "Robert McLean" <robert.mclean2@virgin.net>
Date: Thu, 13 Sep 2001 21:25:19 +0100
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Beyond Belief

As it turned out, "Beyond Belief" was yesterday the headline of our regional newspaper, the East Anglian Daily Times.

I fear that the scum of humanity who planned, paid for, and executed this satanic deed knew full well that they had a very good chance of causing total destruction to the twin towers owing to the intensity of the fire. They chose big jets with full loads of fuel for transcontinental flights. They chose a clear day, first thing in the morning so as to avoid the risk of flight delays which could have compromised their co-coordinated attack, so as to kill the most people.

Fortunately, none of my friends and loved ones were directly involved, but the number of people affected directly and indirectly will be millions.

The tentacles of this evil reach even here to rural East Anglia.

At our local school, one girl had an aunt who was on one of the hijacked aircraft.

Incredibly, at the same school another child has a grandfather who worked in the WTC six floors below the level where one of the jets struck - thankfully, he made it out safely.

A British friend of mine works for the insurance group Marsh and McLennan who had employees in the WTC across 10 floors above where the first jet struck. They never stood a chance, and my friend has lost a lot of his close friends and good colleagues. Fortunately, my friend was transferred out of New York last year.

I know that American news, especially TV news, at the best of times isn't that great on reporting from the rest of the world, but I'd like the American subscribers to know that this has deeply affected people here in Britain. It is an attack not just on the United States, but on OUR civilization and on all civilized values. We are all in this together, and together I am sure this evil will ultimately be defeated.

Robert McLean

----- Original Message -----

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk> Sent: Wednesday, September 12, 2001 2:33 AM
Subject: [UFORL] Beyond Belief

> I can only hope there is not one subscriber who has relations or

> friends directly involved in the grim events which I have watched
> unfold, from the outset, today.
>
> It's evident that when the true magnitude of this tragedy, loss
> of life and injuries becomes clear, it will be almost beyond
> comprehension. If even almost.
>
> Given that it seems largely the result of an unimaginable, total
> collapse of the World Trade Center towers, surely never
> contemplated by the utter scum responsible, and how this has
> sickeningly involved many of those in the emergency services -
> latest CNN figures are horrendous - it's difficult to express
> more than is said by an old Scottish proverb:
>
> "The devil looks after his own".
>
> UFORL has evolved to now become an unmoderated forum and
> comments, related, or on any issue, will flow freely.
>
>
> James Easton.
> E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk
> www.ufoworld.co.uk
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>
>
>
>

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/47cccB/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "Greg Sandow" <greg@gregsandow.com>

Date: Thu, 13 Sep 2001 18:32:48 -0400

Subject: RE: [UFORL] Beyond Belief

> I know that American news, especially TV news, at the best of
> times isn't
> that great on reporting from the rest of the world, but I'd
like the
> American subscribers to know that this has deeply affected
> people here in
> Britain.

Isn't that the truth! My wife lived in Germany for many years,
and her friends there wish we in America knew about the
outpouring of support for us.

Thanks, Robert, for this word from your country. (And, as always,
thanks to everyone.)

Greg Sandow

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/47cccB/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: planetx2003@yahoo.com
Date: Fri, 14 Sep 2001 02:03:03 -0000
Subject: [UFORL] BLINDSIDED!

We've been Blindsided by these events.
So where is the silver lining?

The western world is now focused because of the events at the World Trade Center and the Pentagon. With this focus we can find out the truth behind these tragic acts.

The governments/media may not be telling the truth. I also wouldn't be surprised to find out that sub groups that exert strong influence in and around the governments were behind parts of it.

Prior to any retaliation and more bloodshed we as a people need to add one line to our prayers.

"Let the whole truth of this matter be known."

If enough of us say to ourselves, to our hearts or out loud with conviction, IT WILL BE SO!

"Many more innocent lives could perhaps be saved."
If enough wish it, it will be manifested. Today, truth is all that is necessary.

Mark Hazlewood author:

Blindsided

Visit:
<http://www.planetx2003.f2s.com/>
or put X in subject for more info to
planetx2003@ yahoo.com

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor ----->
Get your FREE credit report with a FREE CreditCheck
Monitoring Service trial
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/MDsVHB/bQ8CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
----->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: Dirtydi104@aol.com
Date: Thu, 13 Sep 2001 23:02:21 EDT
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Beyond Belief

I heard this being read on the air from a local radio station tonight. I think it echo's the American sentiment correctly at this point in time.

Miami Herald: We'll go forward from this moment

[http://www.miami.com/herald/content/features/columnists/pitts/digdocs/000565.h](http://www.miami.com/herald/content/features/columnists/pitts/digdocs/000565.htm)
tm

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/47cccB/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Fri, 14 Sep 2001 04:52:28 +0100
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Beyond Belief

Dear Greg,

Where to even begin..... it's grim enough as observed from the UK, or anywhere else, without being so closely affected as yourself and others in New York city, or Washington.

We're at least all pleased to hear you may not have suffered any personal loss - I earnestly hope that's the situation.

>But I want -- with a great sense of relief -- to correct any
>notion that only seven people of the thousands who worked in the
>World Trade Center survived this. I'm not sure if that's what
>James is saying, but things are far better than that.

I'm sorry if my comments weren't made clearer - this was in relation to the number of people who had subsequently been found alive in the debris. My current understanding is that it was only five firemen, not even seven people.

Words, which like so many at present, seem surreal.

>Many people escaped or were evacuated from the buildings in the
>more than an hour that passed between the time the planes hit,
>and the collapse of the towers. It's possible that these were
>the majority of the people who worked there.

I sincerely hope that's true, however, from updated reports, I fear this will prove to be optimistic.

>So local TV in New York has been full of heartrending scenes
>from New York hospitals. People go there with the desperate hope
>of locating missing friends and family. Tearfully, or in shock,
>they get a minute or so on TV, to hold up pictures of their
>missing loved ones, and to give a phone number where they can be
>reached, if someone has some information.

Worse still and with almost no exceptions, so many of those featured still cling to that desperate hope. Excepting some rare miracle, it's obviously an increasingly futile expectation, yet if it was my wife, son, daughter, relative, friend... I know I'd equally never give up hope and would want to be there, one of so many holding up an old photograph with a phone number.

There are many tangents which we strive to understand. One aspect which we now have to contend with is comprehensive media

coverage, compounded by camcorder footage. No longer are we spared the full horrors.

Robert wrote:

>...I'd like the American subscribers to know that this has
>deeply affected people here in Britain.

Absolutely Robert, as or Prime Minister so rapidly reinforced, the UK would "stand shoulder to shoulder with our American friends in this hour of tragedy and we like them will not rest until this evil is driven from our world".

One of those tangents which looks as if it will be significant is that there were heavy British casualties, estimated in the several hundreds and citizens of many other countries have been killed.

Given the additional, overwhelming world-wide condemnation and support, we may yet see an extraordinary 'phoenix' arise from the ashes and that the repercussions will, in many respects, colossally rebound on those responsible.

Meanwhile, I'm sure our thoughts are more focused on the human tragedy, which is, and will be, progressively gruelling for many of us to absorb.

Greg, thank you for taking time to express a first-hand perspective.

James Easton.

E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk
www.ufoworld.co.uk

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/47cccB/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "Kevin O'Crean" <privateer@bigfoot.com>
Date: Fri, 14 Sep 2001 13:11:07 +0100
Subject: [UFORL] Oh shit...!

Got one of these in the mail. Not a big fan of Nostradamus so do not know much about his stuff, but I am positive this quip below has been re-phrased to fit not to mention of when the year of the new century actually starts.

Anybody got ideas?

"In the year of the new century and nine months, from the sky will come a great King of Terror... The sky will burn at forty-five degrees. Fire approaches the great new city. In the city of York there will be a great collapse, 2 twin brothers torn apart by chaos while the fortress falls the great leader will succumb. The third big war will begin when the big city is burning."

Best wishes,

Kevin O'Crean

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Get your FREE credit report with a FREE CreditCheck
Monitoring Service trial
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/MDsVHB/bQ8CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: alanpinny@aol.com
Date: Fri, 14 Sep 2001 09:04:14 EDT
Subject: [UFORL] Nostradamus quote
boundary="part1_fe.c223342.28d35a4e_boundary"

<x-html>
<HTML>If you go to

<http://fury.com/article/925.php>

all will be revealed

<tt>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.</tt>
</br>

</HTML>

</x-html>

From: alanpinny@aol.com
Date: Fri, 14 Sep 2001 09:05:07 EDT
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Oh shit...!
boundary="part1_2d.10fe989d.28d35a83_boundary"

<x-html>
<HTML>If you go to

<http://fury.com/article/925.php>

all will be revealed

<tt>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.</tt>
</br>

</HTML>

</x-html>

From: Brett Holman <b.holman@physics.unimelb.edu.au>
Date: Fri, 14 Sep 2001 23:10:26 +1000
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Oh shit...!

Hi Kevin,

> Got one of these in the mail. Not a big fan of Nostradamus so do not
> know much about his stuff, but I am positive this quip below has been
> re-phrased to fit not to mention of when the year of the new century
> actually starts.
>
> Anybody got ideas?
>
> "In the year of the new century and nine months, from the sky will come
> a great King of Terror... The sky will burn at forty-five degrees.
> Fire approaches the great new city. In the city of York there will be a
> great collapse, 2 twin brothers torn apart by chaos while the fortress
> falls the great leader will succumb. The third big war will begin when
> the big city is burning."

You are right to be suspicious! Here's something I posted about
this to the project-1947 list yesterday:

I don't claim to be a Nostradamus expert but these don't sound
right. It seems to be mostly made up from other quatrains, eg century X,
quatrain 72:

L'an mil neuf cens nonante neuf sept mois,
Du ciel viendra un gran Roy d'effrayeur.
Resusciter le grand Roy d'Angolmois.
Avant apres Mars regner par bon heur.

The year 1999, seventh month,

From: YoungBob2@aol.com
Date: Fri, 14 Sep 2001 09:13:28 EDT
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Oh shit...!

In a message dated 9/14/2001 8:58:23 AM Eastern Daylight Time,
privateer@bigfoot.com writes:

<< Got one of these in the mail. Not a big fan of Nostradamus so do not
know much about his stuff, but I am positive this quip below has been
re-phrased to fit not to mention of when the year of the new century
actually starts.

Anybody got ideas?
>>

Kevin, List:

I think that this is in the category of the dozens of bomb threats
at the Pentagon. Some disturbed nutcase who enjoys creating
this kind of stuff.

I wish I knew.

Bob Young

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/47cccB/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "King, Jeff" <jeff.king@apachecorp.com>
Date: Fri, 14 Sep 2001 08:42:10 -0500
Subject: RE: [UFORL] Oh shit...!

Kevin,

According to several sites that track urban legends, the various Nostradamus quatrains floating around about the attach are bogus. Some are completely made up (apparently complete with dates of authorship nearly a century after the big N died) or (as you guessed, badly distorted versions of actual quatrains. For one such discussion see: <http://urbanlegends.about.com/library/weekly/aa091101b.htm>

I know that I'm using the internet to debunk the internet, but given the long and glorious history of bogus Nostradamus predictions, I give the benefit of the doubt to those who argue he didn't say it.

All of those trying to take advantage of this tragedy, be they price gougers, bomb threat hoaxers or Nostradamus friends propping up their star, anger me to no end.

Jeff King

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Kevin O'Crean [mailto:privateer@bigfoot.com]

> Sent: Friday, September 14, 2001 7:11 AM

> To: UFORL@yahogroups.com

> Subject: [UFORL] Oh shit...!

>

>

> Got one of these in the mail. Not a big fan of Nostradamus so do not
> know much about his stuff, but I am positive this quip below has been
> re-phrased to fit not to mention of when the year of the new century
> actually starts.

>

> Anybody got ideas?

>

> "In the year of the new century and nine months, from the
> sky will come

> a great King of Terror... The sky will burn at forty-five degrees.

> Fire approaches the great new city. In the city of York

> there will be a

> great collapse, 2 twin brothers torn apart by chaos while the fortress

> falls the great leader will succumb. The third big war will

> begin when

> the big city is burning."

>

>

> Best wishes,

>

> Kevin O'Crean
>
>
> ----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> -----~-->
> Get your FREE credit report with a FREE CreditCheck
> Monitoring Service trial
> <http://us.click.yahoo.com/MDsVHB/bQ8CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
> -----
> -----~-->
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Get your FREE credit report with a FREE CreditCheck
Monitoring Service trial
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/MDsVHB/bQ8CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: JAMESOBERG@AOL.COM
Date: Fri, 14 Sep 2001 09:48:43 EDT
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Oh shit...!

A hoax, and shame on the hoaxers for exploitation of this mass murder,
and tiny in comparison,
shame on anybody who even suspected that
this kind of 'prediction' was real.

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~->
Get your FREE credit report with a FREE CreditCheck
Monitoring Service trial
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/MDsVHB/bQ8CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "Robinson, Larry" <lrobin@indiana.edu>
Date: Fri, 14 Sep 2001 11:07:39 -0500
Subject: RE: [UFORL] Oh shit...!

Centuries really start in years ending in ..01, and end in years ending in ..00. This was known by everyone until the "new math" changed how this is taught in schools.

The reason centuries and millennia start in years ending in ..01 is that there was no year numbered 0. This is because the number 0 was not believed to be a number then. It could not be displayed in the Roman numeral system, which was in use when the current calendar was set up. Our Arabic numerals had not yet been invented.

The first century ran from year I to year C.
The second century ran from year CI to year CC.

All of the world's governments celebrated the beginning of the new millennium in the wrong year.

---- -X =@ o_= X<= <o> o-< <-I=- -> <O-O-O< <-88 ----
Larry Robinson S/AP/EIS lrobin@indiana.edu
Indiana University (812) 855-5073 Room 42
Kinesiology Dept <http://php.indiana.edu/~lrobin>
1025 E 7th St Rm 112 HDTV and taxes killed RCA.
Bloomington IN 47405-7109

@ -----Original Message-----

@ From: Kevin O'Crean [mailto:privateer@bigfoot.com]

@ Sent: Friday, September 14, 2001 07:11

@ To: UFORL@yahoogroups.com

@ Subject: [UFORL] Oh shit...!

@

@

@ Got one of these in the mail. Not a big fan of Nostradamus so do not
@ know much about his stuff, but I am positive this quip below has been
@ re-phrased to fit not to mention of when the year of the new century
@ actually starts.

@

@ Anybody got ideas?

@

@ "In the year of the new century and nine months, from the
@ sky will come

@ a great King of Terror... The sky will burn at forty-five degrees.

@ Fire approaches the great new city. In the city of York

@ there will be a

@ great collapse, 2 twin brothers torn apart by chaos while the fortress

@ falls the great leader will succumb. The third big war will

@ begin when
@ the big city is burning."
@
@
@ Best wishes,
@
@ Kevin O'Crean

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Get your FREE credit report with a FREE CreditCheck
Monitoring Service trial
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/MDsVHB/bQ8CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "Robinson, Larry" <lrobin@indiana.edu>
Date: Fri, 14 Sep 2001 11:19:59 -0500
Subject: [UFORL] Article is old.

Another "false" item circulating is an article by Gordon Sinclair,
Canadian journalist, about how other countries treat the United
States.

The article is real, but it dates from the '70s. I have a spoken
version of it on a 45 RPM record.

---- -X =@ o_ = X<= <o> o-< <-I= -> <O-O-O< <-88 ----
Larry Robinson S/AP/EIS lrobin@indiana.edu
Indiana University (812) 855-5073 Room 42
Kinesiology Dept http://php.indiana.edu/~lrobin
1025 E 7th St Rm 112 HDTV and taxes killed RCA.
Bloomington IN 47405-7109

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Get your FREE credit report with a FREE CreditCheck
Monitoring Service trial
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/MDsVHB/bQ8CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: joel carpenter <ufx1947@home.com>
Date: Fri, 14 Sep 2001 14:16:13 -0400
Subject: [UFORL] MIB and WTC

Incredibly trivial under the circumstances, but one of those peculiar things
-

(Reuters) ... The ending for Sony's action film ``Men In Black 2," about secret government agents who battle aliens that is scheduled for release next summer, will have to be changed because it ends with the World Trade Center as a backdrop. A Sony spokeswoman said they do not yet know how it will be reworked....

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/47cccB/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: Tim Kammer <tim@skepticradio.com>
Date: Fri, 14 Sep 2001 16:30:22 -0700 (PDT)
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Oh shit...!

If you really want an "oh shit"... check out what George Carlin has to say about airport security from an HBO special a few years ago. The audio/video clip is available at laugh.com in a realmedia format and it is eerily funny.
http://chaplin.laugh.com/main_pages/video_play.asp?miid=324

Make sure you get the whole url in the address bar.
Tim Kammer

--- JAMESOBERG@AOL.COM wrote:

>
> A hoax, and shame on the hoaxers for exploitation of
> this mass murder,
> and tiny in comparison,
> shame on anybody who even suspected that
> this kind of 'prediction' was real.
>
> ----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>
>
>

=====

The supernatural and paranormal:
<http://www.skepticradio.com>

From: YoungBob2@aol.com
Date: Fri, 14 Sep 2001 23:39:31 EDT
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Oh shit...!

Check out this:

<http://dailynews.philly.com/content/daily%5Fnews/2001/09/13/local/DEVI13C.htm>

The Devil Made Them Do It

Clear skies,
Bob Young

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/47cccB/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: Chris Gibson <cgibson@skomer.u-net.com>
Date: Tue, 18 Sep 2001 16:08:53 +0000
Subject: [UFORL] Sighting in downtown Leicester

List,

Today while walking back to the Uni carpark in Leicester I observed the following:

Four objects in a "Schwarm" formation.

They looked lensoid, ie tapered front and rear with thickened middles.

Each object was light grey to white on top and dark grey on the bottom.

The objects appeared to flash as they moved.

They moved in an undulating manner.

They were flying along against a grey overcast sky.

The were Mute Swans flying along the line of the canal.

Chris

PS before you ask Larry, I'd just come out of the optician's.

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: YoungBob2@aol.com
Date: Tue, 18 Sep 2001 13:01:53 EDT
Subject: [UFORL] US UFO sightings during grounding

Ladies & Gentlemen:

Presumably people in the US were still watching the skies last week, when all civilian air traffic was grounded, perhaps more so than usually.

I wonder whether UFO sightings continued unabated, and what kind of UFOs were sighted? Triangular, Daylights Disks, or what?

Does the inability of a peacetime military to defend the nation's capital have any implications for the inability of a similar peacetime force, in 1947, to conduct a sophisticated overall coverup of an alien saucer crash in New Mexico?

I wonder what other implications these events might have for students of the UFO subject?

Clear skies,
Bob Young

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
The Nissan Sentra
Everything but compact
<http://NissanDriven.com>
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/3vsIKC/txlCAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Wed, 19 Sep 2001 04:20:16 +0100
Subject: Re: [UFORL] US UFO sightings during grounding

Regarding:

>From: <YoungBob2@aol.com>
>To: <UFORL@yahoogroups.com>
>Subject: [UFORL] US UFO sightings during grounding
>Date: 18 September 2001 18:02

Bob wrote:

>Presumably people in the US were still watching the skies last
>week, when all civilian air traffic was grounded, perhaps more
>so than usually.

>I wonder whether UFO sightings continued unabated, and what kind
>of UFOs were sighted? Triangular, Daylights Disks, or what?

Bob,

I can duly offer one pertinent example; this was an observation reported to myself on the 16th September and which someone asked if I could help resolve:

"During the past several nights, my wife has seen numerous 'lights in the sky'. The lights are very high, appearing only as 'stars or satellites' in brightness. However, they appear to move erratically in the sky and appear to move away with approaching aircraft. These were even observed on nights when civilian aircraft were grounded.

We live near Portland Oregon, these lights observed last night were primarily in the eastern sky and directly overhead. She also heard a low frequency hum and noted that dogs seem to have as she describes a 'painful bark' when this hum was present.

I am curious to know if any others have observed any of this activity and if anything like this has ever been reported before?

Thank you".

I've suggested that the lights are most probably stars and perceptions of them moving erratically, or away from aircraft, is explained by autokinesis.

It would be useful to know when and how the 'sightings' began, plus if the lights are still visible, in the same locations, each

night. I've queried this and whether the 'low frequency hum', doubtless unrelated, has been reported by anyone else in the vicinity.

I realise it's reminiscent of, although most unlikely having any connection to, the so-called 'Taos hum' - see:

<http://www.eskimo.com/~billb/hum/hum1.html>

>I wonder what other implications these events might have for
>students of the UFO subject?

I can perceive how recent, tragic events could have a significant effect.

Primarily, I would have thought that the lunatic 'government UFO cover up' bandwagon will no longer even achieve the heights of popular media ridicule. The present and predicted media climate is not one which is likely to tolerate speculation about any supposed nefarious USA government actions that have had a devastating impact on America.

Least of all, claims about a 'UFO cover up' and 'alien abductions cover up' that only a few - essentially those who make the allegations [often proven as fallacious] - actually believe can be evidenced as having some, 'only we know about the cover up' reality.

There may be a number of other repercussions which we will see develop as a consequence.

Is it perhaps a tangible conclusion that within the context of a profound 'focused reality' which has been awakened, 'UFOs', particularly when insinuated or proclaimed to be alien spaceships, will be of significantly less 'fascination' in the foreseeable future than they have been since Kenneth Arnold's founding report of objects which the media completely misconstrued and reported as 'flying saucers', in late June, 1947?

Obviously, there can never be any proof those formative 'flying saucers' were 'real', 'flying saucers' never were, and the refuge of believers has been that 'cover up' scenario.

Significantly, as also proven by the recently released UK Ministry of Defence [MoD] 'Rendlesham' file, there is no intrinsic UK 'UFO cover up', ironically verified in one of the former 'secret' documents, when Nick Pope complains about such

allegations to a MoD colleague.

Hopefully, one day it will equally be proven there's no American 'UFO cover up' and that will equally put an end to the nonsense.

So, where does 'ufology' go from here?

Where do fundamental 'believers' go from here.

Different questions, which will no doubt have diverse outcomes that should redefine 'ufology'.

Meantime, attacking the US government for its 'crimes against humanity'... we can leave to Greer, and all of those, the many, who have supported that accusation.

James Easton.

E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk

www.ufoworld.co.uk

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: John Burtenshaw <jburtens@bournemouth.ac.uk>
Date: Wed, 19 Sep 2001 08:41:37 +0100
Subject: Re: [UFORL] US UFO sightings during grounding

At 04:20 19/09/2001 +0100, you wrote:

>Regarding:

>

>>From: <YoungBob2@aol.com>

>>To: <UFORL@yahoogroups.com>

>>Subject: [UFORL] US UFO sightings during grounding

>>Date: 18 September 2001 18:02

>

>Bob wrote:

>

>>Presumably people in the US were still watching the skies last
>>week, when all civilian air traffic was grounded, perhaps more
>>so than usually.

>

>>I wonder whether UFO sightings continued unabated, and what kind
>>of UFOs were sighted? Triangular, Daylights Disks, or what?

>

>Bob,

>

>I can duly offer one pertinent example; this was an observation
>reported to myself on the 16th September and which someone asked
>if I could help resolve:

>

>"During the past several nights, my wife has seen numerous
>'lights in the sky'. The lights are very high, appearing only as
>'stars or satellites' in brightness. However, they appear to move
>erratically in the sky and appear to move away with approaching
>aircraft. These were even observed on nights when civilian
>aircraft were grounded.

>

>We live near Portland Oregon, these lights observed last night
>were primarily in the eastern sky and directly overhead. She also
>heard a low frequency hum and noted that dogs seem to have as she
>describes a 'painful bark' when this hum was present.

And not only in Oregon, these are is reports from the West of England
(taken from a local newspaper).

<http://www.weirdwest.co.uk/bristol1.html>

<http://www.weirdwest.co.uk/bristol3.html>

What ever it is, it is a real phenomenon

Regards

John

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: TPrinty@aol.com
Date: Wed, 19 Sep 2001 17:08:02 EDT
Subject: Re: [UFORL] US UFO sightings during grounding
boundary="part1_c4.1aef1a78.28da6332_boundary"

<x-html>
<HTML>James wrote:

"Hopefully, one day it will equally be proven there's no American

'UFO cover up' and that will equally put an end to the nonsense."

Yeah right James. I have bridge to sell you on that one. The
funny thing is that FOIA has been around for so long and not once has a "smoking
gun" been presented that seems to show a massive cover-up. All the evidence
indicates the US military was as clueless back in 1947 up to the present as UFO
organizations are today. I doubt any UFO groups will accept this fact because
it is the backbone of all UFO groups. It is a refuge for UFOlogists to explain
their lack of progress in researching/studying the subject.

Tim Printy

<!-- |**|begin egp html banner|**| -->

<table border=0 cellpadding=2>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFCC>
<td align=center>Yahoo! Groups
Sponsor</td>
</tr>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFFF>
<td align=center width=470><table border=0 cellpadding=0 cellspacing=0><tr><td
align=center>ADVERTISEMENT
<a
href="http://rd.yahoo.com/M=194081.1566666.3122752.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1
705082741:HM/A=766942/R=0/*http://www.ediets.com/start.cfm?code=3225"target
t=_top></td></tr></table></td>
</tr>
<tr><td></td></tr>
</table>

<!-- |**|end egp html banner|**| -->

<tt>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.</tt>

</br>

</HTML>

</x-html>

From: "Robinson, Larry" <lrobins@indiana.edu>
Date: Thu, 20 Sep 2001 08:52:44 -0500
Subject: RE: [UFORL] US UFO sightings during grounding

I would suggest high flying birds reflecting the streetlights.

Just as it is impossible to prove that extraterrestrial spaceships don't exist, it is also impossible to prove that secrecy and cover-ups don't exist.

---- -X =@ o_= X<= <o> o-< <-I=- -> <O-O-O< <-88 ----
Larry Robinson S/AP/EIS lrobins@indiana.edu
Indiana University (812) 855-5073 Room 42
Kinesiology Dept http://php.indiana.edu/~lrobins
1025 E 7th St Rm 112 HDTV and taxes killed RCA.
Bloomington IN 47405-7109

@ -----Original Message-----

@ From: James Easton [mailto:voyager@ufoworld.co.uk]

@ Sent: Tuesday, September 18, 2001 22:20

@ To: UFORL@yahoogroups.com

@ Subject: Re: [UFORL] US UFO sightings during grounding

@

@

@ Regarding:

@

@ >From: <YoungBob2@aol.com>

@ >To: <UFORL@yahoogroups.com>

@ >Subject: [UFORL] US UFO sightings during grounding

@ >Date: 18 September 2001 18:02

@

@ Bob wrote:

@

@ >Presumably people in the US were still watching the skies last

@ >week, when all civilian air traffic was grounded, perhaps more

@ >so than usually.

@

@ >I wonder whether UFO sightings continued unabated, and what kind

@ >of UFOs were sighted? Triangular, Daylights Disks, or what?

@

@ Bob,

@

@ I can duly offer one pertinent example; this was an observation

@ reported to myself on the 16th September and which someone asked

@ if I could help resolve:

@

@ "During the past several nights, my wife has seen numerous

@ 'lights in the sky'. The lights are very high, appearing only as

@ 'stars or satellites' in brightness. However, they appear to move
@ erratically in the sky and appear to move away with approaching
@ aircraft. These were even observed on nights when civilian
@ aircraft were grounded.
@
@ We live near Portland Oregon, these lights observed last night
@ were primarily in the eastern sky and directly overhead. She also
@ heard a low frequency hum and noted that dogs seem to have as she
@ describes a 'painful bark' when this hum was present.
@
@ I am curious to know if any others have observed any of this
@ activity and if anything like this has ever been reported before?
@
@ Thank you".
@

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "Robinson, Larry" <lrobins@indiana.edu>
Date: Thu, 20 Sep 2001 09:25:50 -0500
Subject: RE: [UFORL] US UFO sightings during grounding

There are a few things that could explain the hum. Two of them even explains why only some people hear it.

1. Power lines vibrating: When power lines are carrying heavy currents, the lines themselves can give off a humming sound if they happen to be acoustically resonant at power line frequencies. This should occur at 30, 60, 120, or 180 Hz in the US and Canada, and 25, 50, 100, or 150 Hz elsewhere in the world.

The rapid increase in the use of discharge lighting has resulted in an unexpected 180 or 150 Hz (depending on locality) 3rd harmonic component to appear on power lines. Lines originally damped out at 60 or 50 Hz can make audible noise at 180 or 150 Hz. This effect also can make noises by unbalancing 3-phase power systems. The light fixtures themselves, and pole transformers can also make audible noises at 120 and 360 Hz, or 100 and 300 Hz (depending on locality), twice the frequencies on the lines.

This sound was probably always there, but not noticed until the lack of traffic (everyone home watching the news) lowered the background noise enough to make it audible.

Has anyone done a study to find out the frequency of the reported hum? It should prove interesting, especially if the frequency is a harmonic of 60 Hz in the US and Canada, and 50 Hz elsewhere.

2. Low frequency tinnitus: During a sonic boom, a loud thunderclap, or some other loud low frequency sound, it is possible for cells in the Organ of Corti to be temporarily or permanently damaged. Until the brain learns to ignore the signals from these cells (or they heal), it will report a ringing (or in the case of LF damage, humming) sound.

3. Miniscule noises: If the low frequency noise is atonal, it just might be that the witness was in a location quiet enough to hear noises coming from two sources:

- The Brownian motion of air molecules, bouncing off the eardrum.
- Blood cells moving through capillaries in the area of the ear.

These are the same effects that put "the ocean" in a shell held to the ear. Cover your ears with your hands to hear these sounds.

Remember that the ear contains a compressor network in the ossicles. This magnifies the sound level to the listener under very quiet conditions.

---- -X =@ o_ = X<= <o> o-< <-I=- -> <O-O-O< <-88 ----
Larry Robinson S/AP/EIS lrobins@indiana.edu
Indiana University (812) 855-5073 Room 42
Kinesiology Dept http://php.indiana.edu/~lrobins
1025 E 7th St Rm 112 HDTV and taxes killed RCA here.
Bloomington IN 47405-7109

@ -----Original Message-----

@ From: John Burtenshaw [mailto:jburtens@bournemouth.ac.uk]

@ Sent: Wednesday, September 19, 2001 02:42

@ To: UFORL@yahoogroups.com

@ Subject: Re: [UFORL] US UFO sightings during grounding

@

@ >We live near Portland Oregon, these lights observed last night

@ >were primarily in the eastern sky and directly overhead. She also

@ >heard a low frequency hum and noted that dogs seem to have as she

@ >describes a 'painful bark' when this hum was present.

@

@ And not only in Oregon, these are reports from the West of England

@ (taken from a local newspaper).

@

@ http://www.weirdwest.co.uk/bristol1.html

@ http://www.weirdwest.co.uk/bristol3.html

@

@ What ever it is, it is a real phenomenon

@

@ Regards

@

@ John

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->

FREE COLLEGE MONEY

CLICK HERE to search

600,000 scholarships!

<http://us.click.yahoo.com/47cccB/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>

-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: James Easton <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Thu, 20 Sep 2001 15:58:08 +0100 (BST)
Subject: [UFORL] Re: US UFO sightings during grounding

Regarding:

>From: <YoungBob2@aol.com>
>To: <UFORL@yahoogroups.com>
>Subject: [UFORL] US UFO sightings during grounding
>Date: 18 September 2001 18:02

Bob wrote:

>I wonder what other implications these events might have for
>students of the UFO subject?

Bob,

Some UFO sightings might now be considered in a more serious context, especially those which could be evidence of terrorist operations.

I wonder if we will see general perceptions of UFOs being replaced by concerns, particularly in the US, that any unusual aerial activity is now conceivably a real threat.

If 'UFO' related events such as, say, the 'Phoenix lights' took place today, would observers be thinking UFOs and reluctant to report their sighting, or would the reaction now be 'is this a terrorist attack', with little, if any, hesitation about notifying the authorities.

Would it also now be acceptable for an 'official spokesperson' to dismiss an incident like the Phoenix lights by suggesting a probable explanation, whilst admitting they don't know for certain what was responsible. Can they reassure it wasn't a failed terrorist offensive, which might be attempted again?

Perhaps in future we will see an instance where the American public and even mainstream media call for full investigation of a 'UFO' scare.

One definite repercussion is that a 'UFO' case like 'Rendlesham' will surely never be repeated.

It's a quite extraordinary story in relation.

From: "Robinson, Larry" <lrobin@indiana.edu>
Date: Thu, 20 Sep 2001 10:53:35 -0500
Subject: RE: [UFORL] Re: US UFO sightings during grounding

This is the original reason for AFR 200-2. UFOs were to be reported, because ANY sighting could have been a clandestine invasions of our airspace. The military certainly wasn't interested in finding out about things from outer space.

Then the nuts came along, and UFOs "became" cute little aliens in flying saucers, instead of possible threats, in the eyes of the public. The tabloids had a field day with them, making millions, and that's why our bulletin board exists today.

---- -X =@ o_ = X<= <o> o-< <-I=- -> <O-O-O< <-88 ----
Larry Robinson S/AP/EIS lrobin@indiana.edu
Indiana University (812) 855-5073 Room 42
Kinesiology Dept http://php.indiana.edu/~lrobin
1025 E 7th St Rm 112 HDTV and taxes killed RCA.
Bloomington IN 47405-7109

@ -----Original Message-----

@ From: James Easton [mailto:voyager@ufoworld.co.uk]

@ Sent: Thursday, September 20, 2001 09:58

@ To: UFORL@yahoogroups.com

@ Subject: [UFORL] Re: US UFO sightings during grounding

@

@

@ Regarding:

@

@ >From: <YoungBob2@aol.com>

@ >To: <UFORL@yahoogroups.com>

@ >Subject: [UFORL] US UFO sightings during grounding

@ >Date: 18 September 2001 18:02

@

@ Bob wrote:

@

@ >I wonder what other implications these events might have for

@ >students of the UFO subject?

@

@ Bob,

@

@ Some UFO sightings might now be considered in a more serious

@ context, especially those which could be evidence of terrorist

@ operations.

@

@ I wonder if we will see general perceptions of UFOs being

@ replaced by concerns, particularly in the US, that any unusual
@ aerial activity is now conceivably a _real_ threat.

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/47cccB/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: YoungBob2@aol.com
Date: Thu, 20 Sep 2001 14:35:46 EDT
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Re: US UFO sightings during grounding

In a message dated 9/20/2001 11:03:53 AM Eastern Daylight Time,
voyager@ufoworld.co.uk writes:

<<

Perhaps in future we will see an instance where the American
public and even mainstream media call for full investigation of a
'UFO' scare.

>>

This might very well happen, now. At least for the near future.
An interesting prediction.

Clear skies,
Bob Young

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: Frits Westra <fwestra@hetnet.nl>
Date: Fri, 21 Sep 2001 13:37:51 +0200
Subject: [UFORL] Fwd = UFO reporting instructions now in FAA procedures

Hello all,

You may know that for many years the US Air Force has pilot instructions for UFO reporting (CIRVIS instructions) in section B-39 of the DoD Flight Information Handbook (FIH).

Since July 2001, the US Federal Aviation Authority (FAA) is for the first time including a paragraph about UFO reports in its Aeronautical Information Manual (AIM). The UFO reporting instructions are in Section 6, par. 7-6-4, Safety, Accident, and Hazard Reports (full text appended below):

<http://www.faa.gov/ATpubs/AIM/Chap7/aim0706.html>

The identical text has also appeared in the FAA Air Traffic Control procedures order (7110.65M), Section 9, par. 9-9-1, Unidentified Flying Object (UFO) Reports:

<http://www.faa.gov/ATpubs/ATC/Chp9/atc0909.html>

Kind regards,
Frits Westra -- fwestra@despammed.com
=====

AIM 7-6-4. Unidentified Flying Object (UFO) Reports

a. Persons wanting to report UFO activity should contact the National Institute for Discovery Sciences (NIDS) via the following methods:

(702) 798-1700 Voice
(702) 798-1970 Facsimile
<http://www.nidsci.org>

b. NIDS will ask a series of questions (verbal and/or via questionnaire) concerning the event.

NOTE-

NIDS is the single point of contact recognized by the FAA in regard to UFO information. They will maintain a national database on anomalous phenomena and periodically share that information with the FAA.

c. If concern is expressed that life or property might be endangered, refer the individual to the local police department.

=====

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~->
Get your FREE credit report with a FREE CreditCheck
Monitoring Service trial
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/MDsVHB/bQ8CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Sat, 22 Sep 2001 04:46:49 +0100
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Sighting in downtown Leicester

Regarding:

>From: "Chris Gibson" <cgibson@skomer.u-net.com>
>To: <UFORL@yahoogroups.com>
>Subject: [UFORL] Sighting in downtown Leicester
>Date: 18 September 2001 16:09

Chris wrote:

>Today while walking back to the Uni carpark in Leicester I
>observed the following:
>
>Four objects in a "Schwarm" formation.
>
>They looked lensoid, ie tapered front and rear with thickened
>middles.
>
>Each object was light grey to white on top and dark grey on the
>bottom.
>
>The objects appeared to flash as they moved.
>
>They moved in an undulating manner.
>
>They were flying along against a grey overcast sky.
>
>The were Mute Swans flying along the line of the canal.

Chris,

Interesting observation, especially against an overcast sky.

>The objects appeared to flash as they moved.

A couple of months back, I was amazed to see a large bird fly overhead which was intermittently reflecting an intensely bright light - at the time I compared this to a camera flash.

Most surprising, as I instantly recognised it was a grey heron - not exactly a candidate for reflective plumage.

So, what were you doing in the Uni carpark at Leicester. A good friend of mine [and originally from Paisley] - we played together in a band for a year or two - is now a Professor at Leicester University.

The stories I could tell. Come to think of it, the stories he could tell... Definitely not for this list. ;)

James Easton.

E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk

www.ufoworld.co.uk

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->

Get your FREE credit report with a FREE CreditCheck

Monitoring Service trial

<http://us.click.yahoo.com/MDsVHB/bQ8CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>

-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: Tim Kammer <tim@skepticradio.com>
Date: Wed, 26 Sep 2001 11:58:39 -0700 (PDT)
Subject: [UFORL] kinda like a fake ufo photo?

This photo is circling around the internet and I uploaded to my website for easy viewing. I wonder how many think it's real. Do you? And why or why not?

<http://www.geocities.com/skepticradio/wtcplane.html>

Tim Kammer

=====

The supernatural and paranormal:
<http://www.skepticradio.com>

From: YoungBob2@aol.com
Date: Wed, 26 Sep 2001 20:27:40 EDT
Subject: Re: [UFORL] kinda like a fake ufo photo?

In a message dated 9/26/2001 7:36:07 PM Eastern Daylight Time,
tim@skepticradio.com writes:

<< This photo is circling around the internet and I
uploaded to my website for easy viewing. I wonder how
many think it's real. Do you? And why or why not?

<http://www.geocities.com/skepticradio/wtcplane.html> >>

Tim:

The shadows of the man on the railing do not seem to be
duplicated on the plane wing or engine under the wing. Also,
the left side of the fuselage is curiously straight up and down.
When I enlarged the image this is even more apparent. Also,
there is a three pixel wide strip to the left of this.

Bob Young

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/ujOgTC/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: TPrinty@aol.com
Date: Wed, 26 Sep 2001 21:12:04 EDT
Subject: Re: [UFORL] kinda like a fake ufo photo?
boundary="part1_85.109683b0.28e3d6e4_boundary"

<x-html>
<HTML>It seems that the photographer and subject are oblivious to what is happening. I find it very interesting that the photographer did not say "duck" or something such as that. I would think he would have seen the plane coming a lot sooner than the moment of the shot. Also, there appears to be no exhaust from the plane. I enlarged the image and the pixels seemed to be about the same behind the engine exhaust as it was in all the other areas around the plane. The date at the bottom seems to have been added as well. I also find the subjects attire interesting considering the time of year being September. The temperature was not that cold that day. Lastly, the area around the plane looks brushed in and the pixels do not seem to "line up" in several places along the wingspan. The left wing seems to have some odd pixeling above it.

Tim Printy

<!-- |**|begin egp html banner|**| -->

<table border=0 cellpadding=2>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFCC>
<td align=center>Yahoo! Groups
Sponsor</td>
</tr>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFFF>
<td align=center width=470><table border=0 cellpadding=0 cellspacing=0><tr><td align=center><font
face=arial
size=-2>ADVERTISEMENT
<table width="300" border="0"
cellspacing="0" cellpadding="0"><tr><td width="300" colspan="3"></td></tr><tr><td width="151"
rowspan="2"></td><td width="148"
bgcolor="#FFCC00" align="center"><table width="135" border="0" cellspacing="0"
cellpadding="0"><tr><td width="135" align="center"></td></tr><form method="get"
action="http://rd.yahoo.com/M=210544.1579876.3135161.1261774/D=egroupweb/S
=1705082741:HM/A=792401/R=0/*http://ads.track-star.com/adspace.ts"><tr><td
width="135" align="center">
<input
type="hidden" name="ts" value="1;2;217;107_!
246_105_264"><input type="hidden" name="startApplication"
value="%20"><font style="font-family: Arial, Helvetica, sans-serif; font-size: 12px;

font-weight: bold; color: #000000;">Height:
<select name="feet" size="1" maxlength="1" > <option value="3">3<option value="4">4<option value="5" selected>5<option value="6">6<option value="7">7<option value="8">8</select>ft <select name="inches" size="1" maxlength="2"><option value="00">0<option value="1">1<option value="2">2<option value="3">3<option value="4" selected>4<option value="5">5<option value="6">6<option value="7">7<option value="8">8<option value="9">9<option value="10">10<option value="11">11</select>in
Weight:
<input type="text" name="weight" size="5" value="">

<input type="submit" name="Submit" value=" Go! " style="font-family: Arial, Helvetica, sans-serif; font-size: 12px; font-weight: bold;"></td></tr></form></table></td><td width="1" bgcolor="#000000" rowspan="2"></td></tr><tr valign="bottom"><td width="148" bgcolor="#FFCC00" align="center"></td></tr><tr height="1"><td width="300" bgcolor="#000000" colspan="3"></td></tr></table></td></tr></table></td></tr><tr><td></td></tr></table>

<!-- |**|end egp html banner|**| -->

<tt>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.</tt>
</br>

</HTML>

</x-html>

From: cgibson@skomer.u-net.com
Date: Thu, 27 Sep 2001 01:40:41 GMT
Subject: [UFORL] Re: kinda like a fake ufo photo?

Must be a glider: the engines are not running.

Chris

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/ujOgTC/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: YoungBob2@aol.com
Date: Wed, 26 Sep 2001 22:43:45 EDT
Subject: Re: [UFORL] kinda like a fake ufo photo?

The first plane hit the North Tower at 8:48 AM, EDT, the second plane hit the South Tower at 9:03. See this BBC timeline:

http://news.bbc.co.uk/hi/english/static/in_depth/americas/2001/day_of_terror/timeline/default.stm

The time in the video is 9:11:01. Of course the time on the camera could be twenty three minutes off, I suppose.

I've tried to figure out if the shadows are right for this time of day for this date. It's hard to tell without a detailed plot.

Bob Young

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/ujOgTC/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "rotsky" <rotsky@wanadoo.fr>
Date: Thu, 27 Sep 2001 11:12:34 +0200
Subject: RE: [UFORL] kinda like a fake ufo photo?
boundary="-----_NextPart_000_000E_01C14745.4EBE62A0"

```
<x-html>
<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
<HTML><HEAD>
<META http-equiv=<META content="MSHTML 5.50.4807.2300"
name=GENERATOR></HEAD>
<BODY>
<DIV><SPAN class=290280809-27092001><FONT face=Arial color=#000080
size=2>I
would add to all the previous comments:</FONT></SPAN></DIV>
<DIV><SPAN class=290280809-27092001><FONT face=Arial color=#000080
size=2></FONT></SPAN>&nbsp;</DIV>
<DIV><SPAN class=290280809-27092001><FONT face=Arial color=#000080
size=2>The
date imprint suggests a snapshot camera which is likely to have used a shutter
speed of around 1/125th. An aircraft approaching that far below the eyeline (ie,
not head-on) at a speed of... oh, let's say 300 knots... would display more
blurring than this very sharp image.</FONT></SPAN></DIV>
<DIV>&nbsp;</DIV>
<P align=left><STRONG><FONT face=Arial color=#336633
size=2>a+<BR></FONT></STRONG><STRONG><FONT face=Arial
color=#336633
size=2>Steve</FONT></STRONG></P>
<br>

<!-- |**|begin egg html banner|**| -->

<table border=0 cellpadding=2>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFCC>
<td align=center><font size="-1" color=#003399><b>Yahoo! Groups
Sponsor</b></font></td>
</tr>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFFF>
<td align=center width=470><table border=0 cellpadding=0 cellspacing=0><tr><td
align=center><font face=arial size=-2>ADVERTISEMENT</font><br><a
href="http://rd.yahoo.com/M=210544.1579876.3135161.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1
705082741:HM/A=776675/R=0/*http://ads.track-
star.com/adspace.ts?ts=1;2;217;107_220_105_264"target=_top></a></td></tr></table></td>
</tr>
<tr><td></td></tr>
</table>
```

<!-- **|end egp html banner**| -->

<tt>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.</tt>
</br>

</BODY></HTML>

</x-html>

From: joel carpenter <ufx1947@home.com>
Date: Thu, 27 Sep 2001 08:21:41 -0400
Subject: Re: [UFORL] kinda like a fake ufo photo?

The footage of the first strike (which this would have to be, since the shot is looking north) shows the plane banking to its left just before impact -- (as the second one did too).

In any case, given the high speed of the plane, there's no way the image could be as sharp as this.

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/ujOgTC/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: YoungBob2@aol.com
Date: Thu, 27 Sep 2001 09:32:40 EDT
Subject: Re: [UFORL] kinda like a fake ufo photo?

In a message dated 9/27/2001 8:43:27 AM Eastern Daylight Time,
ufx1947@home.com writes:

<< The footage of the first strike (which this would have to be, since the
shot
is looking north) shows the plane banking to its left just before impact --
(as the second one did too). >>

Thanks, Joel. I was unable to find that one initial shot with the
firemen on the street.

That about wraps it up.

Bob Young

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/ujOgTC/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "Robinson, Larry" <lrobin@indiana.edu>
Date: Thu, 27 Sep 2001 09:19:13 -0500
Subject: RE: [UFORL] kinda like a fake ufo photo?

It's fake! Unless there are 2 suns in the sky, each shining on different objects, the sun on the plane is coming from a different direction than the sun on the buildings in the background and on the man and railing.

---- -X =@ o_ = X<= <o> o-< <-I-= -> <O-O-O< <-88 ----
Larry Robinson S/AP/EIS lrobin@indiana.edu
Indiana University (812) 855-5073 Room 42
Kinesiology Dept http://php.indiana.edu/~lrobin
1025 E 7th St Rm 112 HDTV and taxes killed RCA.
Bloomington IN 47405-7109

@ -----Original Message-----

@ From: Tim Kammer [mailto:tim@skepticradio.com]

@ Sent: Wednesday, September 26, 2001 13:59

@ To: UFORL@yahoogroups.com

@ Subject: [UFORL] kinda like a fake ufo photo?

@

@

@ This photo is circling around the internet and I

@ uploaded to my website for easy viewing. I wonder how

@ many think it's real. Do you? And why or why not?

@

@ <http://www.geocities.com/skepticradio/wtcplane.html>

@

@ Tim Kammer

@

@ =====

@ The supernatural and paranormal:

@ <http://www.skepticradio.com>

@

From: joel carpenter <ufx1947@home.com>
Date: Thu, 27 Sep 2001 13:41:43 -0400
Subject: Re: [UFORL] kinda like a fake ufo photo?

on 9/27/01 9:32 AM, YoungBob2@aol.com at YoungBob2@aol.com wrote:

> In a message dated 9/27/2001 8:43:27 AM Eastern Daylight Time,
> ufx1947@home.com writes:
>
> << The footage of the first strike (which this would have to be, since the
> shot
> is looking north) shows the plane banking to its left just before impact --
> (as the second one did too). >>
>
> Thanks, Joel. I was unable to find that one initial shot with the
> firemen on the street.
>
> That about wraps it up.
>
> Bob Young

Bob-

It's at the upper left on ---

<http://www.cnn.com/SPECIALS/2001/trade.center/multimedia.day.html>

but at web resolutions you can't see much. I do believe the plan is banking,
but I can't swear to it.

Joel Carpenter

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~>
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/ujOgTC/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~>

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "David" <win@dircon.co.uk>
Date: Thu, 27 Sep 2001 19:43:50 +0100
Subject: Re: [UFORL] kinda like a fake ufo photo?

"joel carpenter" <ufx1947@home.com> writes:

> The footage of the first strike (which this would have to be, since the
shot
> is looking north) shows the plane banking to its left just before
impact --
> (as the second one did too).
>
> In any case, given the high speed of the plane, there's no way the image
> could be as sharp as this.

I can't add anything more - other than to say that I'm left horrified and at
a loss for words by this sick product of a sad and twisted mind.

Have they no respect ?

David

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/ujOgTC/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: YoungBob2@aol.com
Date: Thu, 27 Sep 2001 18:51:23 EDT
Subject: Re: [UFORL] kinda like a fake ufo photo?

List:

Just heard a debunking of this tonight on National Public Radio,
here in the States.

The observation level was not open for visitors on September 11.

Clear skies,
Bob Young

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/47cccB/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Sat, 29 Sep 2001 05:05:30 +0100
Subject: [UFORL] 'Strange Attractor'

Subscribers might be interested in the 'Strange Attractor' web site, at:

<http://www.strangeattractor.co.uk/>

This is a project being undertaken by list members John Lundberg and Mark Pilkington, also I note involving the participation of Rod Dickinson.

As many of you will know, John and Rod are renowned for their artwork in the crop fields of England and Mark works with 'Fortean Times'.

It looks like a venture which will incorporate parallel aspects of 'ufology' and perhaps might at some stage directly feature the UFO tangent of 'Strange Attractors'.

Best wishes,

James Easton.
E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk
www.ufoworld.co.uk

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/ujOgTC/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: John Lundberg <john@circlemakers.org>
Date: Sat, 29 Sep 2001 12:06:16 +0100
Subject: Re: [UFORL] 'Strange Attractor'

>Subscribers might be interested in the 'Strange Attractor' web
>site, at:
>
><http://www.strangeattractor.co.uk/>

Thanks for the plug James! Haven't mentioned it here because - as yet
- none of the events have been related to the UFO subject, but I'm
sure we'll eventually work our way around to it. If list members are
interested in any of the upcoming events I'd advise them to book as
all previous events have sold out and we've had to turn people away
at the door. We're open to suggestions about future topics.

Later,
John

--
circlemakers.org - england's crop circle makers
strangeattractor.co.uk - we are the voices in your head
jonronson.com - the official ronson site
signsmovie.co.uk - the unofficial signs movie site

q: there is no art without risk / jean cocteau

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/Pv4pGD/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Mon, 1 Oct 2001 02:55:43 +0100
Subject: Re: [UFORL] 'Strange Attractor'

Regarding:

>From: "John Lundberg" <john@circlemakers.org>
>To: <UFORL@yahoogroups.com>
>Subject: Re: [UFORL] 'Strange Attractor'
>Date: 29 September 2001 20:53

John wrote:

>Thanks for the plug James! Haven't mentioned it here because -
>as yet - none of the events have been related to the UFO
>subject, but I'm sure we'll eventually work our way around to
>it.

John,

I only recently became aware of this interesting project.

A somewhat Fortean occurrence; I have a 'Rendlesham' related article being published in the impending issue of 'Fortean Times'. Unless it's since been decided otherwise, FT's editorial team suggested an appropriate title was 'Strange Attractor'.

Incidentally, I take it that neither yourself or any list subscriber has ever previously heard about the 'ghost pig' of RAF Woodbridge!

I kid you not. George Pennington, who was a member of the 81st Security Police Squadron [the source of our 'UFO' witnesses] from October 1976 to Jan 80, tells me:

"When I got to Bentwaters in 76 one of my fellow dog handlers always caught flak regarding the apparition of a pig that his dog alerted on at Woodbridge CAS [Conventional Ammo Storage - James] area. As he told it ,it vanished when it got to the perimeter fence. Even a few years after the incident it shook him up a bit".

Unlike our elusive Woodbridge 'UFOs', at least it wasn't airborne. ;)

James Easton.
E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk
www.ufoworld.co.uk

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~->

FREE COLLEGE MONEY

CLICK HERE to search

600,000 scholarships!

<http://us.click.yahoo.com/ujOgTC/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>

-----~->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Mon, 1 Oct 2001 04:17:24 +0100
Subject: [UFORL] Rendlesham: Radiation Readings

This is a preamble to some detailed new information which is emerging about the radiation readings recorded when Lt. Col. Halt and others investigated reports the 'UFO was back'.

Effectively, Halt's assembled team of "specialists" then headed out into Rendlesham forest to the supposed 'landing site', where some ground indentations had subsequently been noticed following Burroughs, Cabansag and Penniston's earlier 'encounter'.

Included in Halt's team was Sergeant Monroe Nevilles, the Disaster Preparedness NCO, who brought with him a Geiger counter. It's understood Nevilles used the standard AN/PDR-27 Geiger counter - see:

<http://www.torontosurplus.com/scientific/DATA650.JPG>

Halt's microcassette recording of events contains several references to the radiation readings and although it's long been obvious these were of no significance, Nick Pope has cited how Halt's memo to the Ministry of Defence [MoD] reported levels which Pope was able to confirm as ten times higher than normal background radiation.

Indeed, Pope claims these high levels are, "the most tangible proof that something extraordinary happened there".

I'm confident it can now be definitively proven that the 'ten times higher' levels documented in Halt's memo were in fact, ten times higher than those readings actually were.

The most conspicuous clues from Halt's tape recording are:

HALT: That's the best deflection of the needle I've seen yet. OK, can you give me an estimation. We're on the point five scale...we're getting...I have trouble reading the scale...

[...]

HALT: Up to seven tenths? Or seven units, let's call it, on the point five scale.
[END]

What was the "point five scale"?

On the AN/PDR-27, there were four ranges of sensitivity which could be selected:

X1 - between 0-.5 milliroentgens
X10 - between 0-5 milliroentgens
X100 - between 0-50 milliroentgens
X1000 - between 0-500 milliroentgens

See for example:

<http://www.execpc.com/~dcshop69/ppttxt.htm>

As an informed source further explains:

"Radiation is frequently measured in units called milliroentgens. A milliroentgen is 1/1000th of a roentgen. In turn, a roentgen by definition is 'the unit of radiation exposure in the air.' Geiger counters, radiac sets, and survey meters measure the radiation at the rate of milliroentgens per hour (mR/h).

military personnel familiar with the AN/PDR-27 have told me the numeric scale read from 0 to 0.5 in 0.010 intervals. (This unit is no longer in military service). The beta/gamma detection capability is controlled by an adjustment on the probe. The AN/PDR-27 has four ranges of sensitivity: 0 to 0.5 mR/h, 0 to 5 mR/h, 0 to 50 mR/h, and 0 to 500 mR/h. The operator would select the range by use of the multiplier switch on the AN/PDR-27 panel. The multiplier switch has four positions for the four sensitivity ranges. These four positions are labeled X1, X10, X100, and X1000. Example of how this would work: say the operator has the multiplier switch set to X1 and obtains a reading on the scale at 0.3. This would mean that radiation has been detected at the rate of 0.3 mR/h. Now, the operator sets the multiplier switch to the X10 position and obtains a reading at 0.3 on the numeric scale. This would actually mean the radiation has been detected at 3.0 mR/h because we have multiplied the values on the scale by 10 times (X10). The intervals on the scale would also increase in value ten times so on the X10 range they would jump from 0.01 to 0.1. The X100 setting would increase the value on the scale 100 times (0 to 50 mR/h range), and the X1000 setting would increase it a thousand times (0 to 500 mR/h range). Keep in mind that as you increase the multiplier settings; you lessen the detection sensitivity. The most sensitive detection range is the X1 setting (0 to 0.5 mR/h)".

Halt's memo claims:

"...the area was checked for radiation. Beta/gamma readings of 0.1 milliroentgens were recorded with peak readings in the three depressions and near the center of the triangle formed by the

depressions. A nearby tree had moderate (0.5-0.7) readings on the side of the tree toward the depressions".

On the 'point five scale', it's obviously impossible to obtain a reading greater than 0.5 mR/h. This has been substantiated.

That could only have occurred if the AN/PDR-27 setting was 'X10 - between 0-5 milliroentgens', or higher.

However, Halt confirms they were utilising, as we would expect, the most sensitive setting.

Consequently, the 'minor clicks' and levels of 'up to seven clicks' etc. which were being observed on the 'point five scale' must have been between 0.05 and 0.07 mR/h.

This is precisely the scenario which Ian Ridpath's separate, published research had concluded.

Typical background radiation.

As noted, there's a more detailed analysis which will be published shortly. Meantime, it's at the very least now evidenced why on the 'point five scale' you can't go beyond 'point five', as Halt informed the MoD and which Nick Pope proclaims the "most tangible proof" ET was there...

James Easton.
E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk
www.ufoworld.co.uk

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/ujOgTC/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: TPrinty@aol.com
Date: Sun, 30 Sep 2001 23:51:22 EDT
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Rendlesham: Radiation Readings
boundary="part1_a0.1b23f14c.28e9423a_boundary"

<x-html>
<HTML>James,

The picture brings back memories.

"This unit is no longer in military service"

The Navy is still using it from the best of my knowledge (at least as of a year
and a half ago when I retired - there was no replacement).

I do believe I told you some time ago that the number of clicks that were
audible was directly proportional to the amount of radiation being detected.
 The values were somewhere in the range of a 200-300 counts per minute for
just 0.1 mr reading (maybe the makers could give you a better value but this is the
number I recall). The purpose of the headset and these count relations was not
for measuring purposes but to alert the operator of any significant counts when he was
doing his survey. In the tape we are hearing the operators talk about a couple
of clicks (per minute, per second, or just total clicks?), which means the radiation
level was very low (even lower than the value of 0.07 mr which is what I think they
really meant was the highest reading seen). The 0.07 mr value should have
given the operators something on the order of 2-3 clicks per second! I think if
the operators actually heard a few clicks a second they might have been alarmed!
d, especially if they were not familiar with the equipment (Nevilles seems to lack
proficiency based on the tape). The reason for the 0.07 reading not conforming
to the number of clicks is probably due to inexperienced operators waving the unit
around. Not shown in the picture is a shoulder strap for keeping the unit steady
when carrying it about. These meter pointers were very sensitive to motion.
 This is probably one of the reasons for the unit spiking up towards 0.07 mr/hr
(which is not too high for background values anyway). Additionally, if the
beta window was open (at the end of the large probe - I notice the picture angle only
shows the large probe, there is a smaller one piggyback on the opposite side of the
probe shown), there would be a higher reading on the 27 as well since it would have
been measuring both Beta (low energy emissions - Beta particles) and Gamma (high
energy emission - Gamma rays) radiation.

Actually, I think Nevilles choice of the 27 was not a good one. It is best suited
for measuring radiation and he should have been concerned about contamination. This
would have required the use of an E-140N unit instead (assuming the AF was using
the same equipment we squids used).

Keep up the good work. Anyone trying to present the radiation levels as
something unusual is way out in left field.

Tim Printy


```

<!-- |**|begin egp html banner|**| -->

<table border=0 cellspacing=0 cellpadding=2>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFCC>
<td align=center><font size="-1" color=#003399><b>Yahoo! Groups
Sponsor</b></font></td>
</tr>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFFF>
<td align=center width=470><table border=0 cellpadding=0 cellspacing=0><tr><td
align=center><font face=arial
size=-2>ADVERTISEMENT</font><br>
<script language=javascript>
finalURL =
"http://rd.yahoo.com/M=168643.1620686.3168692.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=17050
82741:HM/A=799560/R=0/*http://shop.store.yahoo.com/cgi-
bin/clink?overstock3+shopping:dmad/M=168643.1620686.3168692.1261774/D=egro
upweb/S=1705082741:HM/A=799560/R=1/1001933733+http://us.rmi.yahoo.com/rm
i/http://www.overstock.com/rmi-framed-url/http://www.overstock.com/cgi-
bin/d2.cgi%3Fcid=12715";
var flashFileURL = "http://java.yahoo.com/a/1-/flash/misc/osyahooswf_0925.swf";
var noFlashImg = "http://java.yahoo.com/a/1-/flash/misc/osyahooalt_0925.gif";
</script>
<script language=JavaScript
src=http://java.yahoo.com/a/1-/flash/test/0124/lrec_browser_071601.js>
</script>
<script language=JavaScript>
function makeNewWindow(url) {
var newWindow = window.open(url); }
</script>
<noscript>
<a
href="http://rd.yahoo.com/M=168643.1620686.3168692.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1
705082741:HM/A=799560/R=2/*http://shop.store.yahoo.com/cgi-
bin/clink?overstock3+shopping:dmad/M=168643.1620686.3168692.1261774/D=egro
upweb/S=1705082741:HM/A=799560/R=3/1001933733+http://us.rmi.yahoo.com/rm
i/http://www.overstock.com/rmi-framed-url/http://www.overstock.com/cgi-
bin/d2.cgi%3Fcid=12715" target="_blank">
</a>
</noscript>
</td></tr></table></td>
</tr>
<tr><td></td></tr>
</table>

<!-- |**|end egp html banner|**| -->

```


<tt>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.</tt>
</br>

</HTML>

</x-html>

From: cgibson@skomer.u-net.com
Date: Mon, 01 Oct 2001 09:34:56 GMT
Subject: [UFORL] Ghost pig

List,

The "Ghost pig" wouldn't happen to be a boar badger?

Chris

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/Pv4pGD/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "bortaf ----" <fatrob83@hotmail.com>
Date: Mon, 01 Oct 2001 20:30:25 +0000
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Rendlesham: Radiation Readings

Sorry if i've missed it James but what type of display did the meter use?(lcd or sliding/swinging needle).

If the needle type any idea how many graduation marks it had?

I assume from the Halt tape it had ten marks as Halt states:

>HALT: Up to seven tenths? Or seven units, let's call it, on the

>point five scale.

>[END]

If it did indeed have 10 marks then 7 would equall 0.035??

Taking each mark as 0.005. 0.05 divided by 10=0.005x7= 0.035.

Even lower but still a reading of 7

rob.....

>From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>

>>To: <UFORL@yahooogroups.com>

>Subject: [UFORL] Rendlesham: Radiation Readings

>Date: Mon, 1 Oct 2001 04:17:24 +0100

>

>This is a preamble to some detailed new information which is

>emerging about the radiation readings recorded when Lt. Col. Halt

>and others investigated reports the 'UFO was back'.

>

>Effectively, Halt's assembled team of "specialists" then headed

>out into Rendlesham forest to the supposed 'landing site', where

>some ground indentations had subsequently been noticed following

>Burroughs, Cabansag and Penniston's earlier 'encounter'.

>

>Included in Halt's team was Sergeant Monroe Nevilles, the

>Disaster Preparedness NCO, who brought with him a Geiger counter.

>It's understood Nevilles used the standard AN/PDR-27 Geiger

>counter - see:

>

><http://www.torontosurplus.com/scientific/DATA650.JPG>

>

>Halt's microcassette recording of events contains several

>references to the radiation readings and although it's long been

>obvious these were of no significance, Nick Pope has cited how

>Halt's memo to the Ministry of Defence [MoD] reported levels

>which Pope was able to confirm as ten times higher than normal

>background radiation.

>

>Indeed, Pope claims these high levels are, "the most tangible

>proof that something extraordinary happened there".

>

>I'm confident it can now be definitively proven that the 'ten

>times higher' levels documented in Halt's memo were in fact, ten

>times higher than those readings actually were.
>
>
>The most conspicuous clues from Halt's tape recording are:
>
>HALT: That's the best deflection of the needle I've seen yet. OK,
>can you give me an estimation. We're on the point five
>scale...we're getting...I have trouble reading the scale...
>
>[...]
>
>HALT: Up to seven tenths? Or seven units, let's call it, on the
>point five scale.
>[END]
>
>
>What was the "point five scale"?
>
>On the AN/PDR-27, there were four ranges of sensitivity which
>could be selected:
>
>X1 - between 0-.5 milliroentgens
>X10 - between 0-5 milliroentgens
>X100 - between 0-50 milliroentgens
>X1000 - between 0-500 milliroentgens
>
>See for example:
>
><http://www.execpc.com/~dcshop69/ppttxt.htm>
>
>As an informed source further explains:
>
>"Radiation is frequently measured in units called milliroentgens.
>A milliroentgen is 1/1000th of a roentgen. In turn, a roentgen by
>definition is 'the unit of radiation exposure in the air.' Geiger
>counters, radiac sets, and survey meters measure the radiation at
>the rate of milliroentgens per hour (mR/h).
>
>military personnel familiar with the AN/PDR-27 have told me the
>numeric scale read from 0 to 0.5 in 0.010 intervals. (This unit
>is no longer in military service). The beta/gamma detection
>capability is controlled by an adjustment on the probe. The
>AN/PDR-27 has four ranges of sensitivity: 0 to 0.5 mR/h, 0 to 5
>mR/h, 0 to 50 mR/h, and 0 to 500 mR/h. The operator would select
>the range by use of the multiplier switch on the AN/PDR-27 panel.
>The multiplier switch has four positions for the four sensitivity
>ranges. These four positions are labeled X1, X10, X100, and
>X1000. Example of how this would work: say the operator has the
>multiplier switch set to X1 and obtains a reading on the scale at
>0.3. This would mean that radiation has been detected at the rate

>of 0.3 mR/h. Now, the operator sets the multiplier switch to the
>X10 position and obtains a reading at 0.3 on the numeric scale.
>This would actually mean the radiation has been detected at 3.0
>mR/h because we have multiplied the values on the scale by 10
>times (X10). The intervals on the scale would also increase in
>value ten times so on the X10 range they would jump from 0.01 to
>0.1. The X100 setting would increase the value on the scale 100
>times (0 to 50 mR/h range), and the X1000 setting would increase
>it a thousand times (0 to 500 mR/h range). Keep in mind that as
>you increase the multiplier settings; you lessen the detection
>sensitivity. The most sensitive detection range is the X1 setting
>(0 to 0.5 mR/h)".
>
>Halt's memo claims:
>
>"...the area was checked for radiation. Beta/gamma readings of
>0.1 milliroentgens were recorded with peak readings in the three
>depressions and near the center of the triangle formed by the
>depressions. A nearby tree had moderate (0.5-0.7) readings on the
>side of the tree toward the depressions".
>
>On the 'point five scale', it's obviously impossible to obtain a
>reading greater than 0.5 mR/h. This has been substantiated.
>
>That could only have occurred if the AN/PDR-27 setting was 'X10 -
>between 0-5 milliroentgens', or higher.
>
>However, Halt confirms they were utilising, as we would expect,
>the most sensitive setting.
>
>Consequently, the 'minor clicks' and levels of 'up to seven
>clicks' etc. which were being observed on the 'point five scale'
>must have been between 0.05 and 0.07 mR/h.
>
>This is precisely the scenario which Ian Ridpath's separate,
>published research had concluded.
>
>Typical background radiation.
>
>
>As noted, there's a more detailed analysis which will be
>published shortly. Meantime, it's at the very least now evidenced
>why on the 'point five scale' you can't go beyond 'point five',
>as Halt informed the MoD and which Nick Pope proclaims the "most
>tangible proof" ET was there...
>
>
>James Easton.
>E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk
>www.ufoworld.co.uk

>
>

Get your FREE download of MSN Explorer at <http://explorer.msn.com/intl.asp>

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/Pv4pGD/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: TPrinty@aol.com
Date: Mon, 1 Oct 2001 19:42:25 EDT
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Rendlesham: Radiation Readings
boundary="part1_b7.148dbc4b.28ea5961_boundary"

<x-html>
<HTML>fatrob83 wrote:

"what type of display did the meter use?(lcd or sliding/swinging needle).

If the needle type any idea how many graduation marks it had?"

The meter was an analog "swinging needle" type. The graduations were
50 minor divisions and five major (every 10 minor divisions). The ranges were
already noted by James by were in ranges of 5 (i.e. 0-0.5 mr, 0-5mr) so the operator
could easily determine the reading without any difficulty. The 7 minor
divisions discussed made the readings 0.07 mr/hr.

Tim Printy

<!-- |**|begin egp html banner|**| -->

<table border=0 cellpadding=0 cellspacing=2>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFCC>
<td align=center>Yahoo! Groups
Sponsor</td>
</tr>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFFF>
<td align=center width=470><table border=0 cellpadding=0 cellspacing=0><tr><td
align=center><font face=arial
size=-2>ADVERTISEMENT

<script language=javascript>
finalURL =
"http://rd.yahoo.com/M=168643.1620686.3168692.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=17050
82741:HM/A=799560/R=0/*http://shop.store.yahoo.com/cgi-
bin/clink?overstock3+shopping:dmad/M=168643.1620686.3168692.1261774/D=egro
upweb/S=1705082741:HM/A=799560/R=1/1002039775+http://us.rmi.yahoo.com/rm
i/http://www.overstock.com/rmi-framed-url/http://www.overstock.com/cgi-
bin/d2.cgi%3Fcid=12715";
var flashFileURL = "http://java.yahoo.com/a/1-/flash/misc/osyahooswf_0925.swf";
var noFlashImg = "http://java.yahoo.com/a/1-/flash/misc/osyahoalt_0925.gif";
</script>
<script language=JavaScript
src=http://java.yahoo.com/a/1-/flash/test/0124/lrec_browser_071601.js>
</script>
<script language=JavaScript>
function makeNewWindow(url) {
var newWindow = window.open(url); }
</script>

```
<noscript>
<a
href="http://rd.yahoo.com/M=168643.1620686.3168692.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1
705082741:HM/A=799560/R=2/*http://shop.store.yahoo.com/cgi-
bin/clink?overstock3+shopping:dmad/M=168643.1620686.3168692.1261774/D=egro
upweb/S=1705082741:HM/A=799560/R=3/1002039775+http://us.rmi.yahoo.com/rm
i/http://www.overstock.com/rmi-framed-url/http://www.overstock.com/cgi-
bin/d2.cgi%3Fcid=12715" target="_blank">
</a>
</noscript>
</td></tr></table></td>
</tr>
<tr><td></td></tr>
</table>
```

```
<!-- |**|end egp html banner|**| -->
```

```
<br>
<tt>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the <a
href="http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/">Yahoo! Terms of Service</a>.</tt>
</br>
```

```
</HTML>
```

```
</x-html>
```

From: "Robert McLean" <robert.mclean2@virgin.net>
Date: Tue, 2 Oct 2001 03:17:51 +0100
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Rendlesham: Radiation Readings
boundary="-----_NextPart_000_04F6_01C14AF0.D1CA7F40"

```
<x-html>
<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
<HTML><HEAD>
<META http-equiv=<META content="MSHTML 6.00.2600.0"
name=GENERATOR>
<STYLE></STYLE>
</HEAD>
<BODY bgColor=#ffffff>
<DIV><FONT face=Arial size=2>James</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=Arial size=2></FONT>&nbsp;</DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=Arial size=2>That's a great summary of the issues surrounding
the Rendlesham radiation readings.</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=Arial size=2></FONT>&nbsp;</DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=Arial size=2>Tim,</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=Arial size=2></FONT>&nbsp;</DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=Arial size=2>Sgt Nevilles confirmed that the beta&nbsp;shield
was open when they were about 50 to 100 m out in the field (the site is about
100 yards from the first field that they crossed):</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=Arial size=2><SPAN lang=EN-GB
style="COLOR: black; mso-bidi-font-weight: bold"><FONT
face="Courier New">&nbsp;<?xml:namespace prefix = o ns =
"urn:schemas-microsoft-com:office:office" /><o:p></o:p></FONT></SPAN></DIV>
<DIV>
<P class=MsoPlainText style="MARGIN: 0in 0in 0pt"><SPAN lang=EN-GB
style="COLOR: black; mso-bidi-font-weight: bold"><FONT
face="Courier New">VERANO: I think it's something out on the ground. I think
it's something that's...something very large.<o:p></o:p></FONT></SPAN></P>
<P class=MsoPlainText style="MARGIN: 0in 0in 0pt"><SPAN lang=EN-GB
style="COLOR: black; mso-bidi-font-weight: bold"><FONT
face="Courier New">&nbsp;<o:p></o:p></FONT></SPAN></P>
<P class=MsoPlainText style="MARGIN: 0in 0in 0pt"><SPAN lang=EN-GB
style="COLOR: black; mso-bidi-font-weight: bold"><FONT
face="Courier New">ENGLUND: That tree right
over...<o:p></o:p></FONT></SPAN></P>
<P class=MsoPlainText style="MARGIN: 0in 0in 0pt"><SPAN lang=EN-GB
style="COLOR: black; mso-bidi-font-weight: bold"><FONT
face="Courier
New">&nbsp;<o:p></o:p></FONT></SPAN></P></FONT></DIV><FONT
face=Arial size=2>
<P class=MsoPlainText style="MARGIN: 0in 0in 0pt"><SPAN lang=EN-GB
style="COLOR: black; mso-bidi-font-weight: bold"><FONT face="Courier
New">HALT:
We just bumped into the first night bird we've seen. We're about 150 or 200
yards from the site.<o:p></o:p></FONT></SPAN></P>
<P class=MsoPlainText style="MARGIN: 0in 0in 0pt"><SPAN lang=EN-GB
```

style="COLOR: black; mso-bidi-font-weight: bold"><FONT
face="Courier New"> </o:p></P>
<P class=MsoPlainText style="MARGIN: 0in 0in 0pt"><SPAN lang=EN-GB
style="COLOR: black; mso-bidi-font-weight: bold"><FONT
face="Courier New">ENGLUND: . . .another
one.</o:p></P>
<P class=MsoPlainText style="MARGIN: 0in 0in 0pt"><SPAN lang=EN-GB
style="COLOR: black; mso-bidi-font-weight: bold"><FONT
face="Courier New"> </o:p></P>
<P class=MsoPlainText style="MARGIN: 0in 0in 0pt"><SPAN lang=EN-GB
style="COLOR: black; mso-bidi-font-weight: bold"><FONT face="Courier
New">HALT:
Everything else is just deathly calm. There is no doubt about it. There's some
kind of strange flashing red light ahead.</o:p></P>
<P class=MsoPlainText style="MARGIN: 0in 0in 0pt"><SPAN lang=EN-GB
style="COLOR: black; mso-bidi-font-weight: bold"><FONT
face="Courier New"> </o:p></P>
<P class=MsoPlainText style="MARGIN: 0in 0in 0pt"><SPAN lang=EN-GB
style="COLOR: black; mso-bidi-font-weight: bold"><FONT face="Courier
New">VOICE:
There. It's yellow.</o:p></P>
<P class=MsoPlainText style="MARGIN: 0in 0in 0pt"><SPAN lang=EN-GB
style="COLOR: black; mso-bidi-font-weight: bold"><FONT
face="Courier New"> </o:p></P>
<P class=MsoPlainText style="MARGIN: 0in 0in 0pt"><SPAN lang=EN-GB
style="COLOR: black; mso-bidi-font-weight: bold"><FONT face="Courier
New">HALT:
I saw a yellow tinge in it, too. Weird. It, it appears to be maybe moving a
little bit this way?</o:p></P>
<P class=MsoPlainText style="MARGIN: 0in 0in 0pt"><SPAN lang=EN-GB
style="COLOR: black; mso-bidi-font-weight: bold"><FONT
face="Courier New"> </o:p></P>
<P class=MsoPlainText style="MARGIN: 0in 0in 0pt"><SPAN lang=EN-GB
style="COLOR: black; mso-bidi-font-weight: bold"><FONT
face="Courier New"></P>
<P class=MsoPlainText style="MARGIN: 0in 0in 0pt"><SPAN lang=EN-GB
style="COLOR: black; mso-bidi-font-weight: bold"><FONT
face="Courier New"></o:p></P>
<P class=MsoPlainText style="MARGIN: 0in 0in 0pt"><SPAN lang=EN-GB
style="COLOR: black; mso-bidi-font-weight: bold"><FONT face="Courier
New">VOICE:
Yes.</o:p></P>
<P class=MsoPlainText style="MARGIN: 0in 0in 0pt"><SPAN lang=EN-GB
style="COLOR: black; mso-bidi-font-weight: bold"><FONT
face="Courier New"> </o:p></P>
<P class=MsoPlainText style="MARGIN: 0in 0in 0pt"><SPAN lang=EN-GB
style="COLOR: black; mso-bidi-font-weight: bold"><FONT face="Courier
New">HALT:
It's, it's brighter than it has been.</o:p></P>
<P class=MsoPlainText style="MARGIN: 0in 0in 0pt"><SPAN lang=EN-GB

style="COLOR: black; mso-bidi-font-weight: bold"><FONT
face="Courier New"> </o:p></P>
<P class=MsoPlainText style="MARGIN: 0in 0in 0pt"><SPAN lang=EN-GB
style="COLOR: black; mso-bidi-font-weight: bold"><FONT face="Courier
New">VOICE:
Yellow.</o:p></P>
<P class=MsoPlainText style="MARGIN: 0in 0in 0pt"><SPAN lang=EN-GB
style="COLOR: black; mso-bidi-font-weight: bold"><FONT
face="Courier New"> </o:p></P>
<P class=MsoPlainText style="MARGIN: 0in 0in 0pt"><SPAN lang=EN-GB
style="COLOR: black; mso-bidi-font-weight: bold"><FONT face="Courier
New">HALT:
It's coming this way. It is definitely coming this
way.</o:p></P>
<P class=MsoPlainText style="MARGIN: 0in 0in 0pt"><SPAN lang=EN-GB
style="COLOR: black; mso-bidi-font-weight: bold"><FONT
face="Courier New"> </o:p></P>
<P class=MsoPlainText style="MARGIN: 0in 0in 0pt"><SPAN lang=EN-GB
style="COLOR: black; mso-bidi-font-weight: bold"><FONT face="Courier
New">VOICE:
Pieces are shooting . . .</o:p></P>
<P class=MsoPlainText style="MARGIN: 0in 0in 0pt"><SPAN lang=EN-GB
style="COLOR: black; mso-bidi-font-weight: bold"><FONT
face="Courier New"> </o:p></P>
<P class=MsoPlainText style="MARGIN: 0in 0in 0pt"><SPAN lang=EN-GB
style="COLOR: black; mso-bidi-font-weight: bold"><FONT face="Courier
New">HALT:
Pieces of it are shooting off.</o:p></P>
<P class=MsoPlainText style="MARGIN: 0in 0in 0pt"><SPAN lang=EN-GB
style="COLOR: black; mso-bidi-font-weight: bold"><FONT
face="Courier New"> </o:p></P>
<P class=MsoPlainText style="MARGIN: 0in 0in 0pt"><SPAN lang=EN-GB
style="COLOR: black; mso-bidi-font-weight: bold"><FONT
face="Courier New">ENGLUND: At 11 o-
clock.</o:p></P>
<P class=MsoPlainText style="MARGIN: 0in 0in 0pt"><SPAN lang=EN-GB
style="COLOR: black; mso-bidi-font-weight: bold"><FONT
face="Courier New"> </o:p></P>
<P class=MsoPlainText style="MARGIN: 0in 0in 0pt"><SPAN lang=EN-GB
style="COLOR: black; mso-bidi-font-weight: bold"><FONT face="Courier
New">HALT:
There is no doubt about it. This is weird!</o:p></P>
<P class=MsoPlainText style="MARGIN: 0in 0in 0pt"><SPAN lang=EN-GB
style="COLOR: black; mso-bidi-font-weight: bold"><FONT
face="Courier New"> </o:p></P>
<P class=MsoPlainText style="MARGIN: 0in 0in 0pt"><SPAN lang=EN-GB
style="COLOR: black; mso-bidi-font-weight: bold"><FONT
face="Courier New"></o:p></P>
<P class=MsoPlainText style="MARGIN: 0in 0in 0pt"><SPAN lang=EN-GB
style="COLOR: black; mso-bidi-font-weight: bold"><FONT

face="Courier New"><o:p></o:p></P>
<P class=MsoPlainText style="MARGIN: 0in 0in 0pt">BALL:
Look to the left.<o:p></o:p></P>
<P class=MsoPlainText style="MARGIN: 0in 0in 0pt"> <o:p></o:p></P>
<P class=MsoPlainText style="MARGIN: 0in 0in 0pt">VOICE:
Yeah, definitely...<o:p></o:p></P>
<P class=MsoPlainText style="MARGIN: 0in 0in 0pt"> <o:p></o:p></P>
<P class=MsoPlainText style="MARGIN: 0in 0in 0pt">BALL:
Watch this. Two lights.<o:p></o:p></P>
<P class=MsoPlainText style="MARGIN: 0in 0in 0pt"> <o:p></o:p></P>
<P class=MsoPlainText style="MARGIN: 0in 0in 0pt">HALT:
(Whispering) Go ahead.<o:p></o:p></P>
<P class=MsoPlainText style="MARGIN: 0in 0in 0pt"> <o:p></o:p></P>
<P class=MsoPlainText style="MARGIN: 0in 0in 0pt">BALL:
One light to the right . . .<o:p></o:p></P>
<P class=MsoPlainText style="MARGIN: 0in 0in 0pt"> <o:p></o:p></P>
<P class=MsoPlainText style="MARGIN: 0in 0in 0pt">HALT:
(Whispering) Hey.<o:p></o:p></P>
<P class=MsoPlainText style="MARGIN: 0in 0in 0pt"> <o:p></o:p></P>
<P class=MsoPlainText style="MARGIN: 0in 0in 0pt">BALL:
. . .and one light to the left.<o:p></o:p></P>
<P class=MsoPlainText style="MARGIN: 0in 0in 0pt"> <o:p></o:p></P>

<P class=MsoPlainText style="MARGIN: 0in 0in 0pt">HALT:

(Whispering) Keep the flashlights off.<o:p></o:p></P>

<P class=MsoPlainText style="MARGIN: 0in 0in 0pt"> <o:p></o:p></P>

<P class=MsoPlainText style="MARGIN: 0in 0in 0pt">HALT:

There's something very, very strange. Check the headset out, and see if it gets any stronger. OK, give us, give us your...<o:p></o:p></P>

<P class=MsoPlainText style="MARGIN: 0in 0in 0pt"> <o:p></o:p></P>

<P class=MsoPlainText style="MARGIN: 0in 0in 0pt">NEVILLES: Make a notation that this is on a beta reading too.<o:p></o:p></P>

<P class=MsoPlainText style="MARGIN: 0in 0in 0pt"> <o:p></o:p></P>

<P class=MsoPlainText style="MARGIN: 0in 0in 0pt">HALT:

It's a beta reading?<o:p></o:p></P>

<P class=MsoPlainText style="MARGIN: 0in 0in 0pt"> <o:p></o:p></P>

<DIV><FONT

face="Courier New">NEVILLES: The beta shield has been removed.</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>-----</DIV>

<DIV><SPAN lang=EN-GB style="FONT-SIZE: 10pt; COLOR: black; FONT-FAMILY: 'Times New Roman'; mso-bidi-font-weight: bold; mso-fareast-font-family: 'Times New Roman'; mso-ansi-

language: EN-GB; mso-fareast-language: EN-US; mso-bidi-language: AR-SA"> </DIV>
<DIV><SPAN lang=EN-GB
style="FONT-SIZE: 10pt; COLOR: black; FONT-FAMILY: 'Times New Roman';
mso-bidi-font-weight: bold; mso-fareast-font-family: 'Times New Roman'; mso-ansi-
language: EN-GB; mso-fareast-language: EN-US; mso-bidi-language: AR-
SA"><FONT
face=Arial>In previous transcriptions, British researchers mistakenly had "vague
reading", not knowing the "beta" is pronounced "baytah" by
Americans.</DIV>
<DIV><SPAN lang=EN-GB
style="FONT-SIZE: 10pt; COLOR: black; FONT-FAMILY: 'Times New Roman';
mso-bidi-font-weight: bold; mso-fareast-font-family: 'Times New Roman'; mso-ansi-
language: EN-GB; mso-fareast-language: EN-US; mso-bidi-language: AR-
SA"> </DIV>
<DIV><SPAN lang=EN-GB
style="FONT-SIZE: 10pt; COLOR: black; FONT-FAMILY: 'Times New Roman';
mso-bidi-font-weight: bold; mso-fareast-font-family: 'Times New Roman'; mso-ansi-
language: EN-GB; mso-fareast-language: EN-US; mso-bidi-language: AR-
SA"><FONT
face=Arial>Given the low level readings, the beta shield was probably
removed for at least some of the earlier readings.</DIV>
<DIV><SPAN lang=EN-GB
style="FONT-SIZE: 10pt; COLOR: black; FONT-FAMILY: 'Times New Roman';
mso-bidi-font-weight: bold; mso-fareast-font-family: 'Times New Roman'; mso-ansi-
language: EN-GB; mso-fareast-language: EN-US; mso-bidi-language: AR-
SA"><FONT
face=Arial> </DIV>
<DIV><SPAN lang=EN-GB
style="FONT-SIZE: 10pt; COLOR: black; FONT-FAMILY: 'Times New Roman';
mso-bidi-font-weight: bold; mso-fareast-font-family: 'Times New Roman'; mso-ansi-
language: EN-GB; mso-fareast-language: EN-US; mso-bidi-language: AR-
SA"><FONT
face=Arial>Regarding VERANO, I believe Capt Mike Verano (more
recently, Lt. Col.) is the person behind the voice on the tape giving the
order that Burroughs was not to join them. Col Halt confirmed Verano was
with his party to Larry Warren and Peter Robbins:</DIV>
<DIV><SPAN lang=EN-GB
style="FONT-SIZE: 10pt; COLOR: black; FONT-FAMILY: 'Times New Roman';
mso-bidi-font-weight: bold; mso-fareast-font-family: 'Times New Roman'; mso-ansi-
language: EN-GB; mso-fareast-language: EN-US; mso-bidi-language: AR-
SA"><FONT
face=Arial> </DIV>
<DIV><SPAN lang=EN-GB
style="FONT-SIZE: 10pt; COLOR: black; FONT-FAMILY: 'Times New Roman';
mso-bidi-font-weight: bold; mso-fareast-font-family: 'Times New Roman'; mso-ansi-
language: EN-GB; mso-fareast-language: EN-US; mso-bidi-language: AR-SA">
<P class=MsoBodyText style="MARGIN: 0in 0in 0pt"><SPAN lang=EN-
GB><FONT
face="Courier New">See Halt's interview in "Left at East Gate" page

365:</P>

<P class=MsoNormal style="MARGIN: 0in 0in 0pt"> </P>

<P class=MsoNormal style="MARGIN: 0in 0in 0pt">Halt:

... My recollections fit very closely in with all the people, the four or five other people that were with me that night, and I've had the opportunity through the years to talk with them. In fact, Bobby Ball, you remember him?</P>

<P class=MsoNormal style="MARGIN: 0in 0in 0pt"> </P>

<P class=MsoNormal style="MARGIN: 0in 0in 0pt"><SPAN

lang=EN-GB

style="COLOR: black; FONT-FAMILY: 'Courier New'; mso-bidi-font-weight: bold">Warren:

Absolutely.</P>

<P class=MsoNormal style="MARGIN: 0in 0in 0pt"> </P>

<P class=MsoNormal style="MARGIN: 0in 0in 0pt">Halt:

I talked with him when we did the NBC thing, I talked with Verrano [*sic*] at great length, and everything all fits together.

...<o:p></o:p></P></DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>Regarding ENGLUND, Lt Bruce

Engund's voice is

definitely identified, as he has quite a strong accent, and we know it was he who first pointed out the flashing light to Halt:</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>

From: TPrinty@aol.com
Date: Tue, 2 Oct 2001 18:20:31 EDT
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Rendlesham: Radiation Readings
boundary="part1_fe.d0368aa.28eb97af_boundary"

<x-html>
<HTML>Robert wrote:

"In previous transcriptions, British researchers mistakenly had "vague reading", not knowing the "beta" is pronounced "baytah" by Americans.

Given the low level readings, the beta shield was probably removed for at least some of the earlier readings."

I sort of figured this a few years back but could not be sure since I did not hear the tape at the time and had to go by the transcript. It would make sense since it would give the most sensitive readings for the 27 with the window open. As I stated before, the 0.07 mr reading is not that significant and should have been a dead issue long ago. I was just amazed that nobody bothered to find out what type of unit was used until James presented this some time ago. That was the first time I had heard that it was a 27, something I had been using between 1980 and 2001 during my Naval career in nuclear power.

Tim

<!-- |**|begin egp html banner|**| -->

<table border=0 cellspacing=0 cellpadding=2>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFCC>
<td align=center>Yahoo! Groups
Sponsor</td>
</tr>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFFF>
<td align=center width=470><table border=0 cellpadding=0 cellspacing=0><tr><td align=center><font face=arial
size=-2>ADVERTISEMENT

<script language=javascript>
finalURL =
"http://rd.yahoo.com/M=168643.1620686.3168692.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=17050
82741:HM/A=799560/R=0/*http://shop.store.yahoo.com/cgi-
bin/clink?overstock3+shopping:dmad/M=168643.1620686.3168692.1261774/D=egro
upweb/S=1705082741:HM/A=799560/R=1/1002064246+http://us.rmi.yahoo.com/rm
i/http://www.overstock.com/rmi-framed-url/http://www.overstock.com/cgi-
bin/d2.cgi%3Fcid=12715";
var flashFileURL = "http://java.yahoo.com/a/1-/flash/misc/osyahooswf_0925.swf";
var noFlashImg = "http://java.yahoo.com/a/1-/flash/misc/osyahooalt_0925.gif";
</script>
<script language=JavaScript

```
src=http://java.yahoo.com/a/1-/flash/test/0124/lrec_browser_071601.js>
</script>
<script language=JavaScript>
function makeNewWindow(url) {
var newWindow = window.open(url); }
</script>
<noscript>
<a
href="http://rd.yahoo.com/M=168643.1620686.3168692.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1
705082741:HM/A=799560/R=2/*http://shop.store.yahoo.com/cgi-
bin/clink?overstock3+shopping:dmad/M=168643.1620686.3168692.1261774/D=egro
upweb/S=1705082741:HM/A=799560/R=3/1002064246+http://us.rmi.yahoo.com/rm
i/http://www.overstock.com/rmi-framed-url/http://www.overstock.com/cgi-
bin/d2.cgi%3Fcid=12715" target="_blank">
</a>
</noscript>
</td></tr></table></td>
</tr>
<tr><td></td></tr>
</table>
```

```
<!-- |**|end egp html banner|**| -->
```

```
<br>
<tt>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the <a
href="http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/">Yahoo! Terms of Service</a>.</tt>
</br>
```

```
</HTML>
```

```
</x-html>
```

From: "bortaf ----" <fatrob83@hotmail.com>
Date: Wed, 03 Oct 2001 00:11:04 +0000
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Rendlesham: Radiation Readings

Cheers Tim, i understand now.
rob.....

>From: TPrinty@aol.com
>>To: UFORL@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: Re: [UFORL] Rendlesham: Radiation Readings
>Date: Mon, 1 Oct 2001 19:42:25 EDT
>
>fatrob83 wrote:
>
>"what type of display did the meter use?(lcd or sliding/swinging needle).
>If the needle type any idea how many graduation marks it had?"
>
>
>The meter was an analog "swinging needle" type. The graduations were 50
>minor divisions and five major (every 10 minor divisions). The ranges were
>already noted by James by were in ranges of 5 (i.e. 0-0.5 mr, 0-5mr) so the
>operator could easily determine the reading without any difficulty. The 7
>minor divisions discussed made the readings 0.07 mr/hr.
>
>Tim Printy

Get your FREE download of MSN Explorer at <http://explorer.msn.com/intl.asp>

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Wed, 3 Oct 2001 06:28:06 +0100
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Rendlesham: Radiation Readings

Regarding:

>From: <TPrinty@aol.com>
>To: <UFORL@yahoogroups.com>
>Subject: Re: [UFORL] Rendlesham: Radiation Readings
>Date: 01 October 2001 11:55

Tim wrote:

>I do believe I told you some time ago that the number of clicks
>that were audible was directly proportional to the amount of
>radiation being detected.

Tim,

Yes, you did and all of the pieces concerning this particular puzzle seem be falling into place.

>The purpose of the headset and these count relations was not for
>measuring purposes but to alert the operator of any significant
>counts when he was doing his survey.

That's helpful to know.

>I think if the operators actually heard a few clicks a second
>they might have been alarmed, especially if they were not
>familiar with the equipment (Nevilles seems to lack proficiency
>based on the tape).

Ian Ridpath informs that Major James McGaha researched this case as a member of CSICOP when stationed on the base complex in 1986. McGaha explained that Sergeant Nevilles was an equipment custodian in Disaster Preparedness [DP] - that's why he had access to the Geiger counter. DP were never officially requested to investigate and held no record of the incident.

There's a related document which I have seen. Following a 1984 query from CNN, the public affairs office at Bentwaters confirmed DP had no involvement.

>The reason for the 0.07 reading not conforming to the number of
>clicks is probably due to inexperienced operators waving the
>unit around. Not shown in the picture is a shoulder strap for
>keeping the unit steady when carrying it about. These meter
>pointers were very sensitive to motion.

That was the understanding, Ian Ridpath having been duly advised by Giles Cowling from the UK's DERA Radiation Protection Services. There's a detailed background to this within 'Resolving Rendlesham' - see:

www.rendlesham.com

This, incidentally, is the new home for my online case material.

>Additionally, if the beta window was open...

I note Robert McLean has, amazingly, been able to answer your astute question.

>Actually, I think Nevilles choice of the 27 was not a good one.
>It is best suited for measuring radiation and he should have
>been concerned about contamination. This would have required the
>use of an E-140N unit instead (assuming the AF was using the
>same equipment we squids used).

Can you please clarify what the difference was between these units? Did the E-140N use the same four ranges, including a 'point five' scale?

I also understand that we could have expected DP personnel to be equipped with a dosimeter. I believe this is pen-sized, attached to external clothing and measures the amount of accumulated radiation exposure in roentgens.

Regarding:

>From: "Robert McLean" <robert.mclean2@virgin.net>
>To: <UFORL@yahoogroups.com>
>Subject: Re: [UFORL] Rendlesham: Radiation Readings
>Date: 02 October 2001 17:22

Robert wrote:

>Regarding VERANO, I believe Capt Mike Verano (more recently, Lt.
>Col.) is the person behind the voice on the tape giving the
>order that Burroughs was not to join them. Col Halt confirmed
>Verano was with his party to Larry Warren and Peter Robbins:

Robert,

This would make sense. In an e-mail earlier this year I mentioned to Jenny Randles that the members of Halt's team of quickly assembled "specialists" were now confirmed as:

Master Sergeant Bobby Ball [Shift Commander]
Master Sergeant Chandler [Flight Chief]
Lieutenant Bruce Englund [Duty Flight Lieutenant]
Sergeant Monroe Nevilles [Disaster Preparedness NCO]
Captain Mike Verano [Day Shift Commander]

I can't however recall how Verano had been identified. It was presumably from 'Left at East Gate' as I can't think of any other source.

In hindsight, this is odd though - why was the Day Shift Commander on night duty!?

>Regarding ENGLUND, Lt Bruce Englund's voice is definitely
>identified, as he has quite a strong accent, and we know it was
>he who first pointed out the flashing light to Halt:
>
>

From: TPrinty@aol.com
Date: Wed, 3 Oct 2001 18:52:18 EDT
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Rendlesham: Radiation Readings
boundary="part1_5f.1bb927c8.28ecf0a2_boundary"

<x-html>

<HTML>James wrote:

"Can you please clarify what the difference was between these

units? Did the E-140N use the same four ranges, including a

'point five' scale?"

The E-140N is a type of detector used to measure very low level Beta-gamma contamination/radiation. I do recall the levels being on the order of 0-500, 0-5000, 0-50000 Counts Per Minute (there may have been another range but I really can't recall and even these values may be off). There is a thumb rule to convert CPM to mR/hr. The key point being that 100 CPM above background relating to the lowest detectable limit for radiation contamination (450×10^{-12} ci/100cm²).

 The difference between contamination and radiation is something akin to dog droppings. The contamination is the droppings and the smell is the radiation.

 A crude way of describing the difference but it used to work for the untrained members of our crew. If they were looking for what was left by a UFO or even an atomic device, the use of this type of detector would have been used (although for a nuclear weapon they probably use an alpha detector as well - but that is a different story all together).

"I also understand that we could have expected DP personnel to be

equipped with a dosimeter. I believe this is pen-sized, attached

to external clothing and measures the amount of accumulated

radiation exposure in roentgens."

This is a pretty good description. Actually it usually is measured in rem which is the equivalent damage for a given level of radiation. When discussing gamma radiation the roentgen and rem were the same values. There were several types but the one I remember using was the one with the 0-200mr (or maybe it was 0-50 see what old age does to you and it was only a year and a half ago that I retired) scale (I think it was called the IM-9). These were very sensitive and if dropped or banged the right way would result in an off-scale or errant reading. It seems the Navy and AF did use some of the same equipment but I am not sure if that was always the case.

Tim Printy

<!-- |**|begin egp html banner|**| -->

```

<table border=0 cellspacing=0 cellpadding=2>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFCC>
<td align=center><font size="-1" color=#003399><b>Yahoo! Groups
Sponsor</b></font></td>
</tr>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFFF>
<td align=center width=470><table border=0 cellpadding=0 cellspacing=0><tr><td
align=center><font face=arial
size=-2>ADVERTISEMENT</font><br>
<script language=javascript>
finalURL =
"http://rd.yahoo.com/M=168643.1620686.3168692.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=17050
82741:HM/A=799560/R=0/*http://shop.store.yahoo.com/cgi-
bin/clink?overstock3+shopping:dmad/M=168643.1620686.3168692.1261774/D=egro
upweb/S=1705082741:HM/A=799560/R=1/1002155038+http://us.rmi.yahoo.com/rm
i/http://www.overstock.com/rmi-framed-url/http://www.overstock.com/cgi-
bin/d2.cgi%3Fcid=12715";
var flashFileURL = "http://java.yahoo.com/a/1-/flash/misc/osyahooswf_0925.swf";
var noFlashImg = "http://java.yahoo.com/a/1-/flash/misc/osyahooalt_0925.gif";
</script>
<script language=JavaScript
src=http://java.yahoo.com/a/1-/flash/test/0124/lrec_browser_071601.js>
</script>
<script language=JavaScript>
function makeNewWindow(url) {
var newWindow = window.open(url); }
</script>
<noscript>
<a
href="http://rd.yahoo.com/M=168643.1620686.3168692.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1
705082741:HM/A=799560/R=2/*http://shop.store.yahoo.com/cgi-
bin/clink?overstock3+shopping:dmad/M=168643.1620686.3168692.1261774/D=egro
upweb/S=1705082741:HM/A=799560/R=3/1002155038+http://us.rmi.yahoo.com/rm
i/http://www.overstock.com/rmi-framed-url/http://www.overstock.com/cgi-
bin/d2.cgi%3Fcid=12715" target="_blank">
</a>
</noscript>
</td></tr></table></td>
</tr>
<tr><td></td></tr>
</table>

<!-- |**|end egg html banner|**| -->

<br>

```

<tt>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.</tt>
</br>

</HTML>

</x-html>

From: joel carpenter <ufx1947@home.com>
Date: Thu, 04 Oct 2001 12:23:37 -0400
Subject: [UFORL] Concorde UFO

Does anyone have any background information on this short video of a small anomaly seen on aerial footage of a BA Concorde?

http://ufo_videos.purgatory.com/videos/concorde.mpg

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/Pv4pGD/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: TPrinty@aol.com
Date: Thu, 4 Oct 2001 18:15:50 EDT
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Concorde UFO
boundary="part1_111.65fd4a4.28ee3996_boundary"

<x-html>
<HTML>I was under the
impression that this was an internal reflection with the lens system. The
UFO was actually the sun.

Tim Printy

<!-- |**|begin egg html banner|**| -->

<table border=0 cellspacing=0 cellpadding=2>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFCC>
<td align=center>Yahoo! Groups
Sponsor</td>
</tr>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFFF>
<td align=center width=470><table border=0 cellpadding=0 cellspacing=0><tr><td
align=center><font face=arial
size=-2>ADVERTISEMENT

<script language=javascript>
finalURL =
"http://rd.yahoo.com/M=168643.1620686.3168692.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=17050
82741:HM/A=799560/R=0/*http://shop.store.yahoo.com/cgi-
bin/clink?overstock3+shopping:dmad/M=168643.1620686.3168692.1261774/D=egro
upweb/S=1705082741:HM/A=799560/R=1/1002236944+http://us.rmi.yahoo.com/rm
i/http://www.overstock.com/rmi-framed-url/http://www.overstock.com/cgi-
bin/d2.cgi%3Fcid=12715";
var flashFileURL = "http://java.yahoo.com/a/1-/flash/misc/osyahooswf_0925.swf";
var noFlashImg = "http://java.yahoo.com/a/1-/flash/misc/osyahoalt_0925.gif";
</script>
<script language=JavaScript
src=http://java.yahoo.com/a/1-/flash/test/0124/lrec_browser_071601.js>
</script>
<script language=JavaScript>
function makeNewWindow(url) {
var newWindow = window.open(url); }
</script>
<noscript>
<a
href="http://rd.yahoo.com/M=168643.1620686.3168692.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1
705082741:HM/A=799560/R=2/*http://shop.store.yahoo.com/cgi-
bin/clink?overstock3+shopping:dmad/M=168643.1620686.3168692.1261774/D=egro
upweb/S=1705082741:HM/A=799560/R=3/1002236944+http://us.rmi.yahoo.com/rm
i/http://www.overstock.com/rmi-framed-url/http://www.overstock.com/cgi-
bin/d2.cgi%3Fcid=12715" target="_blank">

```
</a>
</noscript>
</td></tr></table></td>
</tr>
<tr><td></td></tr>
</table>
```

```
<!-- |**|end egp html banner|**| -->
```

```
<br>
<tt>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the <a
href="http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/">Yahoo! Terms of Service</a>.</tt>
</br>
```

```
</HTML>
```

```
</x-html>
```

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Fri, 5 Oct 2001 00:56:04 +0100
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Rendlesham: Radiation Readings

Regarding:

>From: <TPrinty@aol.com>
>To: <UFORL@yahoogroups.com>
>Subject: Re: [UFORL] Rendlesham: Radiation Readings
>Date: 04 October 2001 01:24

Tim wrote:

>The E-140N is a type of detector used to measure very low level
>Beta-gamma contamination/radiation.

Tim,

Thanks for your explanations and comments.

As I initially stated, "It's understood Nevilles used the standard AN/PDR-27 Geiger counter".

I've been tracing back the origin of that understanding and the AN/PDR-27 seems to have first been cited on the 1994 UK 'Strange But True?' TV series - when they had a studio debate about 'UFOs'. Naturally, 'Rendlesham' featured, especially as 'Strange But True?' had previously dedicated an entire program to it.

One of the 'skeptics' panel was Professor Frank Close. Col. Halt was an invited guest and when the topic of those radiation readings came up, Close produced an AN/PDR-27 - as the standard USAF Geiger counter in use during December 1980 - demonstrating to Halt how the readings were minuscule. It wasn't evident at that time, how insignificant the levels truly were.

So far as I'm aware, Sgt. Nevilles never spoke about his involvement and it's therefore presumed the AN/PDR-27 was almost certainly what was used. All facts appear to agree with that. Certainly, Halt didn't express any objections when he saw the AN/PDR-27.

Would you agree it's now clear there are no other candidates and it must have been that model, or comparative, which Nevilles used?

I still have that 1994 program on tape, somewhere, and I'll look it out over the weekend.

Incidentally, during September 1991, 'Unsolved Mysteries' also broadcast a 'Rendlesham' documentary. If anybody has a copy of this, or known where I might obtain one, would you please let me know.

James Easton.

E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk

www.ufoworld.co.uk

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/Pv4pGD/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Fri, 5 Oct 2001 01:02:13 +0100
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Concorde UFO

Regarding:

>From: "joel carpenter" <ufx1947@home.com>
>To: <UFORL@yahoogroups.com>
>Subject: [UFORL] Concorde UFO
>Date: 04 October 2001 22:08

Joel wrote:,

>Does anyone have any background information on this short video
>of a small anomaly seen on aerial footage of a BA Concorde?

Joel,

Tim's correct - this was later attributed as lens flare, or
similar, from the type of camera being used.

If I recall, one clue was that when observed closely, especially
in slow motion, our 'UFO' follows the camera's movements!

James Easton.
E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk
www.ufoworld.co.uk

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/Pv4pGD/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: TPrinty@aol.com
Date: Thu, 4 Oct 2001 20:22:15 EDT
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Rendlesham: Radiation Readings
boundary="part1_10d.69b77ec.28ee5737_boundary"

<x-html>
<HTML>I agree that the 27 sounds
like the unit involved. Perhaps Sgt Nevilles can eventually be contacted and
the actual model determined.

Tim

<!-- |**|begin egg html banner|**| -->

<table border=0 cellspacing=0 cellpadding=2>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFCC>
<td align=center>Yahoo! Groups
Sponsor</td>
</tr>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFFF>
<td align=center width=470><table border=0 cellpadding=0 cellspacing=0><tr><td
align=center><font face=arial
size=-2>ADVERTISEMENT

<script language=javascript>
finalURL =
"http://rd.yahoo.com/M=168643.1620686.3168692.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=17050
82741:HM/A=799560/R=0/*http://shop.store.yahoo.com/cgi-
bin/clink?overstock3+shopping:dmad/M=168643.1620686.3168692.1261774/D=egro
upweb/S=1705082741:HM/A=799560/R=1/1002242070+http://us.rmi.yahoo.com/rm
i/http://www.overstock.com/rmi-framed-url/http://www.overstock.com/cgi-
bin/d2.cgi%3Fcid=12715";
var flashFileURL = "http://java.yahoo.com/a/1-/flash/misc/osyahooswf_0925.swf";
var noFlashImg = "http://java.yahoo.com/a/1-/flash/misc/osyahooalt_0925.gif";
</script>
<script language=JavaScript
src=http://java.yahoo.com/a/1-/flash/test/0124/lrec_browser_071601.js>
</script>
<script language=JavaScript>
function makeNewWindow(url) {
var newWindow = window.open(url); }
</script>
<noscript>
<a
href="http://rd.yahoo.com/M=168643.1620686.3168692.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1
705082741:HM/A=799560/R=2/*http://shop.store.yahoo.com/cgi-
bin/clink?overstock3+shopping:dmad/M=168643.1620686.3168692.1261774/D=egro
upweb/S=1705082741:HM/A=799560/R=3/1002242070+http://us.rmi.yahoo.com/rm
i/http://www.overstock.com/rmi-framed-url/http://www.overstock.com/cgi-
bin/d2.cgi%3Fcid=12715" target="_blank">

```
</a>
</noscript>
</td></tr></table></td>
</tr>
<tr><td></td></tr>
</table>
```

```
<!-- |**|end egp html banner|**| -->
```

```
<br>
<tt>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the <a
href="http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/">Yahoo! Terms of Service</a>.</tt>
</br>
```

```
</HTML>
```

```
</x-html>
```

From: joel carpenter <ufx1947@home.com>
Date: Thu, 04 Oct 2001 20:22:30 -0400
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Concorde UFO

on 10/4/01 8:02 PM, James Easton at voyager@ufoworld.co.uk wrote:

> Regarding:
>
>> From: "joel carpenter" <ufx1947@home.com>
>> To: <UFORL@yahoogroups.com>
>> Subject: [UFORL] Concorde UFO
>> Date: 04 October 2001 22:08
>
> Joel wrote:,
>
>> Does anyone have any background information on this short video
>> of a small anomaly seen on aerial footage of a BA Concorde?
>
> Joel,
>
> Tim's correct - this was later attributed as lens flare, or
> similar, from the type of camera being used.
>
> If I recall, one clue was that when observed closely, especially
> in slow motion, our 'UFO' follows the camera's movements!

Yes, James, it gives that impression. I wonder when it was filmed, and when it first became a suspected UFO?

Joel Carpenter

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/Pv4pGD/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Fri, 5 Oct 2001 01:49:00 +0100
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Concorde UFO

Regarding:

>From: "joel carpenter" <ufx1947@home.com>
>To: <UFORL@yahoogroups.com>
>Subject: Re: [UFORL] Concorde UFO
>Date: 05 October 2001 01:38

Joel wrote:

>I wonder when it was filmed...

Joel,

I believe this film dates from the early 1970s and was taken over southern England by an accompanying film crew, during a Concorde test flight.

James Easton.
E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk
www.ufoworld.co.uk

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/Pv4pGD/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: James Easton <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Fri, 05 Oct 2001 11:29:04 +0100 (BST)
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Rendlesham: Radiation Readings

Regarding:

>From: "Robert McLean" <robert.mclean2@virgin.net>
>Date: Tue Oct 2, 2001 2:17 am
>Subject: Re: [UFORL] Rendlesham: Radiation Readings

Robert,

Some comments expressed by an interested party:

The information about the probe is useful, since it may explain Halt's instruction to "Put it on the ground every once in a while" and "Turn it back down here". It would also clarify the disputed passage on the tape where Halt says "About four feet off the ground, about 110 degrees, getting a reading of about four clicks". This can now be seen to be a clear reference to the direction in which the probe is pointed.

In fact, on a re-reading of the transcript, it seems to me that almost all the readings must have been obtained with the geiger counter held in the air, not actually on the ground at all. Hence I would concur with Kenneth when he says "My belief is that the probe on the unit was just extended outward in the air". I wonder if Nick Pope realizes this?

[END]

Do you have a revised transcript of the entire Halt tape? I need to update the copy on my web site re the small changes.

What is your understanding of the passage referred to above?

Tim - would you agree that readings were being taken with the probe in mid-air, as suggested?

Incidentally, 'Kenneth' is former Senior Airman Kenneth Greene. More details in due course concerning his additionally invaluable contributions.

James Easton.
E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk
www.ufoworld.co.uk

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~->
Get your FREE credit report with a FREE CreditCheck
Monitoring Service trial
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/Gi0tnD/bQ8CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos <ballesterolmos@yahoo.es>
Date: Fri, 5 Oct 2001 13:02:46 +0200 (CEST)
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Concorde UFO

I will appreciate knowing any date/location for the Concorde (lens flare)
UFO for cataloging purposes. Thanks.
Vicente-Juan

Do You Yahoo!?

Yahoo! Messenger: Comunicación instantánea gratis con tu gente -
<http://messenger.yahoo.es>

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "Jenny Randles" <nufon@currantbun.com>
Date: Fri, 5 Oct 2001 13:37:24 +0100
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Concorde UFO

-----Original Message-----

From: joel carpenter <ufx1947@home.com> Date: 04 October 2001 22:08
Subject: [UFORL] Concorde UFO

>Does anyone have any background information on this short video of a small
>anomaly seen on aerial footage of a BA Concorde?

>

>

>http://ufo_videos.purgatory.com/videos/concorde.mpg

>

Hi,

Discovery asked you - too - did they?

It 'was; filmed during a test flight in the early 70s I think when
promo shots were being taken.

I understand - from talking to one of the camera crew years ago - that it is
believed to have probably been a small
piece of grit caught in the camera lens that reflected the sun abd moves
with the lens and so appears
to move across the aircraft in the process.

But there are many similar cases to this one. In my book 'Something in the
Air' (1998) (UFO Danger in the Air - US title) I cover many examples or
such mid air encounters that are nearly identical - which are not, of
course, so explained.

I have twice been personally involved (both are in the book). In one case in
the 60s I saw an object tail an aircraft then zip away - much as in the
Concorde case. This incident was a toy balloon caught in the slipstream of
the plane and tossed free as it banked. I saw it happen. Some of the cases I
report in the book are explainable in this way - but others obviously are
not.

The second was a terrifying flight in January 1995 across the gale tossed
Irish Sea. We had to be diverted and whilst I saw nothing a witness called
Jodrell Bank (who pass cases onto me for follow up) and reported seeing a
small white blob pace the aircraft then zip away - again like so many other
cases. The plane she was watching as she walked her dog was apparently the
one I was sat on then approaching Leeds/Bradford airport.

So I have an open mind on this increasingly common problem!

Best wishes,

Jenny Randles

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/Pv4pGD/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: Tony Eccles <tonyeccles@yahoo.com>
Date: Fri, 5 Oct 2001 05:59:27 -0700 (PDT)
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Concorde UFO

Hi members,

I specifically remember seeing this footage being explained on a Network First TV programme on UFOs a few years ago on ITV. One of the few decent programmes I have seen on the subject, in my opinion, and one of its features were filmed UFOs. The Concorde footage was filmed in the mid-70s and the camera used a special prism lens. The person explaining it said that the sun had reflected and the image retained within the lens and thus follows the camera's motion.

If anyone wants actual specifics I'll have to wait until I get the video back from a local TV company. I made the mistake of taping this programme on a video which has also got a local UFO footage on it. I'll be happy to supply when it gets back, but the explanation given does not sound far fetched at all.

Tony

--- joel carpenter <ufx1947@home.com> wrote:

> on 10/4/01 8:02 PM, James Easton at

> voyager@ufoworld.co.uk wrote:

>

> > Regarding:

> >

> >> From: "joel carpenter" <ufx1947@home.com>

> >> To: <UFORL@yahoogroups.com>

> >> Subject: [UFORL] Concorde UFO

> >> Date: 04 October 2001 22:08

> >

> > Joel wrote:,

> >

> >> Does anyone have any background information on

> this short video

> >> of a small anomaly seen on aerial footage of a BA

> Concorde?

> >

> > Joel,

> >

> > Tim's correct - this was later attributed as lens

> flare, or

> > similar, from the type of camera being used.

> >

> > If I recall, one clue was that when observed

> closely, especially

> > in slow motion, our 'UFO' follows the camera's

> movements!

>
>
> Yes, James, it gives that impression. I wonder when
> it was filmed, and when
> it first became a suspected UFO?
>
> Joel Carpenter
>
>

Do You Yahoo!?

NEW from Yahoo! GeoCities - quick and easy web site hosting, just \$8.95/month.
<http://geocities.yahoo.com/ps/info1>

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "Robinson, Larry" <lrobin@indiana.edu>
Date: Fri, 5 Oct 2001 17:21:23 -0500
Subject: RE: [UFORL] Concorde UFO

It's a reflection in the plane window the camera shot through.

This was shown on TLC a few weeks ago with that explanation.

---- -X =@ o_= X<= <o> o-< <-I=- -> <O-O-O< <-88 ----
Larry Robinson S/AP/EIS lrobin@indiana.edu
Indiana University (812) 855-5073 Room 42
Kinesiology Dept http://php.indiana.edu/~lrobin
1025 E 7th St Rm 112 HDTV and taxes killed RCA.
Bloomington IN 47405-7109

@ -----Original Message-----

@ From: joel carpenter [mailto:ufx1947@home.com]

@ Sent: Thursday, October 04, 2001 11:24

@ To: UFORL@yahoogroups.com

@ Subject: [UFORL] Concorde UFO

@

@

@ Does anyone have any background information on this short

@ video of a small

@ anomaly seen on aerial footage of a BA Concorde?

@

@

@ http://ufo_videos.purgatory.com/videos/concorde.mpg

@

@

@

@ ----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

@ -----~-->

@ FREE COLLEGE MONEY

@ CLICK HERE to search

@ 600,000 scholarships!

@ <http://us.click.yahoo.com/Pv4pGD/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>

@ -----

@ -----~-->

@

@

@

@ Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to

@ <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

@

@

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->

FREE COLLEGE MONEY

CLICK HERE to search

600,000 scholarships!

<http://us.click.yahoo.com/Pv4pGD/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>

-----~>

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: TPrinty@aol.com
Date: Fri, 5 Oct 2001 18:21:47 EDT
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Rendlesham: Radiation Readings
boundary="part1_cb.1731c72e.28ef8c7b_boundary"

<x-html>
<HTML>James,

I really do not know but I would have expected Nevilles to at least place the
probe somewhere near the region he was trying to read. In the case of the
depressions, he must have placed the probe near the depression (but not necessarily in
it - a few inches above probably).

Tim

<!-- |**|begin egg html banner|**| -->

<table border=0 cellpadding=2>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFCC>
<td align=center>Yahoo! Groups
Sponsor</td>
</tr>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFFF>
<td align=center width=470><table border=0 cellpadding=0 cellspacing=0><tr><td
align=center><font face=arial
size=-2>ADVERTISEMENT

<script language=javascript>
finalURL =
"http://rd.yahoo.com/M=168643.1620686.3168692.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=17050
82741:HM/A=799560/R=0/*http://shop.store.yahoo.com/cgi-
bin/clink?overstock3+shopping:dmad/M=168643.1620686.3168692.1261774/D=egro
upweb/S=1705082741:HM/A=799560/R=1/1002322355+http://us.rmi.yahoo.com/rm
i/http://www.overstock.com/rmi-framed-url/http://www.overstock.com/cgi-
bin/d2.cgi%3Fcid=12715";
var flashFileURL = "http://java.yahoo.com/a/1-/flash/misc/osyahooswf_0925.swf";
var noFlashImg = "http://java.yahoo.com/a/1-/flash/misc/osyahoalt_0925.gif";
</script>
<script language=JavaScript
src=http://java.yahoo.com/a/1-/flash/test/0124/lrec_browser_071601.js>
</script>
<script language=JavaScript>
function makeNewWindow(url) {
var newWindow = window.open(url); }
</script>
<noscript>
<a
href="http://rd.yahoo.com/M=168643.1620686.3168692.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1
705082741:HM/A=799560/R=2/*http://shop.store.yahoo.com/cgi-
bin/clink?overstock3+shopping:dmad/M=168643.1620686.3168692.1261774/D=egro

upweb/S=1705082741:HM/A=799560/R=3/1002322355+http://us.rmi.yahoo.com/rmi/http://www.overstock.com/rmi-framed-url/http://www.overstock.com/cgi-bin/d2.cgi%3Fcid=12715" target="_blank">

</noscript>
</td></tr></table></td>
</tr>
<tr><td></td></tr>
</table>

<!-- |**|end egg html banner|**| -->

<tt>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.</tt>
</br>

</HTML>

</x-html>

From: Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos <ballesterolmos@yahoo.es>
Date: Sat, 6 Oct 2001 23:08:14 +0200 (CEST)
Subject: [UFORL] faked photo?

Dear e-colleagues, recently the attached photograph came to my attention. Can someone tell me when it was taken (it is described as Apollo16 but I am not sure) and what is or can be the oval-shaped image on it? If someone knows any published reference to the photo, I will appreciate receiving the available information. Thanks.
Vicente-Juan

P.S. I should point out that it is not that I give any particular importance to the photo, it is just that I am organizing my collection of UFO/IFO images and now I am interested to put a date/location/explanation (if there is one) to any such image I compile.

Do You Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Messenger: Comunicación instantánea gratis con tu gente -
<http://messenger.yahoo.es>

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor ----->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/Pv4pGD/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
----->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos <ballesterolmos@yahoo.es>
Date: Sat, 6 Oct 2001 23:11:08 +0200 (CEST)
Subject: [UFORL] missed photo
boundary="0-1547803478-1002402668=:98957"

The photo is now attached, sorry.
V-J

Do You Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Messenger: Comunicación instantánea gratis con tu gente -
<http://messenger.yahoo.es>
----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/Pv4pGD/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

Attachment Converted: "C:\Programmi\EUDORA\attach\ufo_apolo164.jpg"

From: joel carpenter <ufx1947@home.com>
Date: Mon, 08 Oct 2001 05:12:40 -0400
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Concorde UFO

Thanks, Jenny - very interesting.

Joel Carpenter

>> Does anyone have any background information on this short video of a small
>> anomaly seen on aerial footage of a BA Concorde?

>>

>>

>> http://ufo_videos.purgatory.com/videos/concorde.mpg

>>

> Hi,

>

> Discovery asked you - too - did they?

>

> It 'was; filmed during a test flight in the early 70s I think when

> promo shots were being taken.

>

> I understand - from talking to one of the camera crew years ago - that it is

> believed to have probably been a small

> piece of grit caught in the camera lens that reflected the sun and moves

> with the lens and so appears

> to move across the aircraft in the process.

...

> So I have an open mind on this increasingly common problem!

>

> Best wishes,

>

> Jenny Randles

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor ----->

FREE COLLEGE MONEY

CLICK HERE to search

600,000 scholarships!

<http://us.click.yahoo.com/Pv4pGD/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>

----->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "Robinson, Larry" <lrobin@indiana.edu>
Date: Mon, 8 Oct 2001 14:10:58 -0500
Subject: RE: [UFORL] missed photo

That looks like a reflection in the window of one of the cabin lights.

You can see the white reflector, the bulb, and the glowing filament,

---- -X =@ o_ = X<= <o> o-< <-I-= -> <O-O-O< <-88 ----
Larry Robinson S/AP/EIS lrobin@indiana.edu
Indiana University (812) 855-5073 Room 42
Kinesiology Dept http://php.indiana.edu/~lrobin
1025 E 7th St Rm 112 HDTV and taxes killed RCA.
Bloomington IN 47405-7109

@ -----Original Message-----

@ From: Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos [mailto:ballesterolmos@yahoo.es]

@ Sent: Saturday, October 06, 2001 16:11

@ To: euroufo@yahoogroups.com; UFORL@yahoogroups.com; shg@topica.com

@ Subject: [UFORL] missed photo

@

@

@ The photo is now attached, sorry.

@ V-J

@

@

@

@ Do You Yahoo!?

@ Yahoo! Messenger: Comunicación instantánea gratis con tu gente -

@ <http://messenger.yahoo.es>

@ ----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

@ -----~-->

@ FREE COLLEGE MONEY

@ CLICK HERE to search

@ 600,000 scholarships!

@ <http://us.click.yahoo.com/Pv4pGD/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>

@ -----

@ -----~-->

@

@

@

@ Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to

@ <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

@

@

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->

FREE COLLEGE MONEY

CLICK HERE to search

600,000 scholarships!

<http://us.click.yahoo.com/Pv4pGD/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>

-----~>

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Tue, 9 Oct 2001 04:01:06 +0100
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Rendlesham: Radiation Readings

Thanks to Tim Printy's clarifications, someone has kindly provided the scan of a comparative dial. It's been uploaded to the Rendlesham web site, at:

<http://www.rendlesham.com/meter.gif>

I'm advised the dial is from an American Civil Defense CDV700 Geiger counter, similar to the AN/PDR-27, except that the graduations were marked in 0.020 increments.

The scan was edited to add 0.010 graduations and perhaps Tim can confirm this now matches the AN/PDR-27 dial.

Some further comments received:

"It is important to note that the numbers actually printed on the scale never change. The scale will always read 0 to 0.50 mR/h. It is imperative for the operator to mathematically change the number values when changing the range settings, i.e., if the operator has the range setting set to X10 and the needle points to 0.30 on the scale the operator must remember to multiply that number ten times to get the proper reading of 3.0. It sounds as if there may have been some confusion with this when obtaining the readings from Rendlesham.

The AN/PDR-27 Radiac set was equipped with two probes. The larger probe was utilized to obtain readings in the lower (more sensitive) range, 0 to 0.50 mR/h & 0 to 5 mR/h, while the smaller probe obtained readings in the higher (less sensitive) range, 0 to 50 mR/h & 0 to 500 mR/h. The larger probe was equipped with the beta shield. It is safe to surmise that the larger probe were utilized to obtain the readings in Rendlesham.

This information relative to the measurements on the scale would now seem to corroborate the fact that the readings obtained in the forest utilizing the 'point five scale' are, in my opinion, not of any consequence. This was the most sensitive range setting and this is also the setting that is highly susceptible to picking up normal background radiation. If there had been any significant radiation present, I'm sure it would have been detected in one of the less sensitive ranges".
[END]

To reiterate, these are the relevant readings confirmed on the 'Halt tape':

HALT: You want to read that on the scale?

VOICE: Yes, sir. We're now on the five-tenths scale, and we're reading about third, fourth [mark?] over...

[...]

HALT: That's the best deflection of the needle I've seen yet. OK, can you give me an estimation. We're on the point five scale...we're getting...I have trouble reading the scale...

VOICE: At approximately 0125 hours...

VOICE: We're getting rad at half a millirem.

[...]

VOICE: ...up towards seven...just jumped up towards seven tenths.

HALT: Seven tenths? Right there in the center?

[...]

HALT: Up to seven tenths? Or seven units, let's call it, on the point five scale.
[END]

It seems we can now definitively evidence that the levels being obtained peaked at 0.07 mR/h and not the 0.7 mR/h reported in Halt's memo to the Ministry of Defence.

Halt has expressed his belief that the levels detected were not dangerous, although they were above normal background readings. He elaborated during the May 13, 1997 'Project: watchfire' on-line interview and Q&A session with Salley Rayl. Halt is describing how events unfolded that second night:

RAYL: And what was the general situation out there?

HALT: There were probably 25 to 30 security policemen there. They were kind of stirring about trying to get the light-alls going and all excited and pointing into the forest and the Lieutenant that had taken us or picked me up at the Officers' Mess handed me a Starlight scope and said, "Look into the forest," and pointed to an area and [I] looked in and saw a glowing area. Nothing one could discern exactly, but just a glowing area... And, of course

RAYL: Had you ever seen anything like that before?

HALT: No. I'd used the Starlight scope quite a few times, and it was obviously some kind of energy or some type of light. I don't really know what it was to this day.

RAYL: What did you decide to do then?

HALT: Well, we decided to go into the forest and investigate and they pointed out to me that they'd been into the supposed landing site where something had landed or had been stationed on the ground two nights before and we went into the forest and the approximate area where we'd seen the glow, they found and pointed out to me three indentations in the floor of the forest.

RAYL: And you did what next?

HALT: Well, I had an individual from Disaster Preparedness with me with what you would call a Geiger counter and he started taking readings and we were looking at indentations. I'd taken along a small pocket micro-cassette recorder because it was kind of a cold winter night and I thought I might need to take some notes. Because the intent was really to put this whole thing to bed. And while we were milling about and identifying spots and taking readings, we noticed on the trees some rub marks on the trees facing the indentations in the ground and the Geiger counter indicated it was a little warmer there. Nothing of any danger, really, in my opinion, but certainly something above background radiation. But, the other side of the tree was much colder and while we were doing that, suddenly the Lieutenant pointed off to the, toward, the farmer's field, said, "Look over here." And he saw something.
[END OF EXTRACT]

As Robert has mentioned, the Lieutenant was Bruce England [Duty Flight Lieutenant].

It's primarily Nick Pope who has cited 'radiation levels' to be foremost evidence of an alien spacecraft landing, as Pope related to 'UFO Magazine' [UK] in a formative interview on 5 May 1996:

Nick Pope: I can reveal that because there was so much interest in this case, even during my time at Sec(AS)2a, I made some efforts to have a retrospective look into it, but it was very difficult. One thing I did do was to take the raw data from the Halt memorandum and gave it to the Defence Radiological Service and I said, what do you make of these readings? [radiation information contained within the Halt letter]. It had of course occurred to me that there was background radiation anyway and

suppose Halt had simply taken readings of this? The quote I got back from the board was, "what the hell happened there?" I said, what do you mean. They told me that the radiation readings were ten times what they should have been in that area. I then faxed them the full report, I think that day there were a few new converts!

UFO Magazine: Was there a cover-up?

Nick Pope: No. Not in the MoD - I think the MoD's response was just ineffective.

UFO Magazine: That's a very big statement?

Nick Pope: Yes, but if something of that magnitude was reported and simply placed on file, then what else can you say?
[END OF EXTRACT]

Obviously, we now know, as does Pope, that the MoD did in fact investigate Halt's claims.

On 23rd May 1998, in an Internet Relay Chat [IRC] hosted by the United Kingdom UFO Network, Pope was asked:

"What in your opinion is the most credible of all UK UFO related cases and why?"

He replied: "I'd say it was the Rendlesham Forest incident, and it's the radiation readings that clinched it for me. I checked them out with the Defence Radiological Protection Service, who told me they were ten times normal for the area".

Another participant queried: "Have you reconsidered your position about the radiation levels found at Bentwaters? As Frank Close pointed out on the telly, most rocks are considerably higher than the background levels. These levels *would* be significant background levels, but they weren't".

Pope responded: "Frank Close was entirely correct when he said the radiation levels were harmless. Halt and his team were in no danger. But the levels were ten times what they should have been in that area, and they peaked at the landing site. This was confirmed to me by government scientists. It is an official assessment, and is not in dispute".

Bottom line, as Ian Ridpath noted: "In his book 'Open Skies, Closed Minds', Nick Pope described the radiation readings as 'the

most tangible proof that something extraordinary happened there'.
Hence it is essential that the readings are shown to be beyond
reproach".

I trust they are now shown, as Ian had already surmised, to be
ten times less than Halt's understanding, which Pope and others
put so much pivotal faith in.

If, "the most tangible proof that something extraordinary
happened there" turns out to be evidence of blundering human
error, it can only further set in a factual context, Britain's
'Roswell'.

James Easton.
E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk
www.ufoworld.co.uk

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/Pv4pGD/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: TPrinty@aol.com
Date: Mon, 8 Oct 2001 23:28:37 EDT
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Rendlesham: Radiation Readings
boundary="part1_14d.232eb35.28f3c8e5_boundary"

<x-html>
<HTML>

This is pretty much what the scale looked like. Have you tried to obtain
the manual from the vendor or don't they print them anymore?

Tim

<!-- |**|begin egg html banner|**| -->

<table border=0 cellpadding=2>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFCC>
<td align=center>Yahoo! Groups
Sponsor</td>
</tr>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFFF>
<td align=center width=470><table border=0 cellpadding=0 cellspacing=0><tr><td
align=center><font face=arial
size=-2>ADVERTISEMENT

<script language=javascript>
finalURL =
"http://rd.yahoo.com/M=168643.1620686.3205344.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=17050
82741:HM/A=816903/R=0/*http://www.overstock.com/cgi-bin/d2.cgi?cid=12973";
var flashFileURL = "http://us.yimg.com/a/1-/flash/overstock_com/scrollsyahoo.swf";
var noFlashImg = "http://us.yimg.com/a/1-/flash/overstock_com/osyahoalt.jpg";
</script>
<script language=JavaScript
src=http://java.yahoo.com/a/1-/flash/test/0124/lrec_browser_071601.js>
</script>
<script language=JavaScript>
function makeNewWindow(url) {
var newWindow = window.open(url); }
</script>
<noembed>
<a
href="http://rd.yahoo.com/M=168643.1620686.3205344.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1
705082741:HM/A=816903/R=1/*http://www.overstock.com/cgi-
bin/d2.cgi?cid=12973" target="_blank">

</noembed>
<noscript>
<a
href="http://rd.yahoo.com/M=168643.1620686.3205344.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1

705082741:HM/A=816903/R=2/*http://www.overstock.com/cgi-bin/d2.cgi?cid=12973" target="_blank">

</noscript>
</td></tr></table></td>
</tr>
<tr><td></td></tr>
</table>

<!-- |**|end egg html banner|**| -->

<tt>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.</tt>
</br>

</HTML>

</x-html>

From: "bortaf ----" <fatrob83@hotmail.com>
Date: Tue, 09 Oct 2001 11:44:28 +0000
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Rendlesham: Radiation Readings

In case anyone is interested i found some site with info and pictures of the AN/PDR-27.
www.drms.dla.mil/drmsiw/government/demilinstructions/demilinstructions.html
www.tpub.com/dc32/107.htm
www.tpub.com/dc32/111.htm
rob.....

>From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
>>To: <UFORL@yahoogroups.com>
>Subject: Re: [UFORL] Rendlesham: Radiation Readings
>Date: Tue, 9 Oct 2001 04:01:06 +0100
>
>Thanks to Tim Printy's clarifications, someone has kindly
>provided the scan of a comparative dial. It's been uploaded to
>the Rendlesham web site, at:
>
><http://www.rendlesham.com/meter.gif>
>
>I'm advised the dial is from an American Civil Defense CDV700
>Geiger counter, similar to the AN/PDR-27, except that the
>graduations were marked in 0.020 increments.
>
>The scan was edited to add 0.010 graduations and perhaps Tim can
>confirm this now matches the AN/PDR-27 dial.
>
>Some further comments received:
>
>"It is important to note that the numbers actually printed on the
>scale never change. The scale will always read 0 to 0.50 mR/h. It
>is imperative for the operator to mathematically change the
>number values when changing the range settings, i.e., if the
>operator has the range setting set to X10 and the needle points
>to 0.30 on the scale the operator must remember to multiply that
>number ten times to get the proper reading of 3.0. It sounds as
>if there may have been some confusion with this when obtaining
>the readings from Rendlesham.
>
>The AN/PDR-27 Radiac set was equipped with two probes. The larger
>probe was utilized to obtain readings in the lower (more
>sensitive) range, 0 to 0.50 mR/h & 0 to 5 mR/h, while the smaller
>probe obtained readings in the higher (less sensitive) range, 0
>to 50 mR/h & 0 to 500 mR/h. The larger probe was equipped with
>the beta shield. It is safe to surmise that the larger probe were
>utilized to obtain the readings in Rendlesham.
>

>This information relative to the measurements on the scale would
>now seem to corroborate the fact that the readings obtained in
>the forest utilizing the 'point five scale' are, in my opinion,
>not of any consequence. This was the most sensitive range setting
>and this is also the setting that is highly susceptible to
>picking up normal background radiation. If there had been any
>significant radiation present, I'm sure it would have been
>detected in one of the less sensitive ranges".
>[END]
>
>
>To reiterate, these are the relevant readings confirmed on the
>'Halt tape':
>
>HALT: You want to read that on the scale?
>
>VOICE: Yes, sir. We're now on the five-tenths scale, and we're
>reading about third, fourth [mark?] over...
>
>[...]
>
>HALT: That's the best deflection of the needle I've seen yet. OK,
>can you give me an estimation. We're on the point five
>scale...we're getting...I have trouble reading the scale...
>
>VOICE: At approximately 0125 hours...
>
>VOICE: We're getting rad at half a millirem.
>
>[...]
>
>VOICE: ...up towards seven...just jumped up towards seven tenths.
>
>HALT: Seven tenths? Right there in the center?
>
>[...]
>
>HALT: Up to seven tenths? Or seven units, let's call it, on the
>point five scale.
>[END]
>
>
>It seems we can now definitively evidence that the levels being
>obtained peaked at 0.07 mR/h and not the 0.7 mR/h reported in
>Halt's memo to the Ministry of Defence.
>
>
>Halt has expressed his belief that the levels detected were not
>dangerous, although they were above normal background readings.
>He elaborated during the May 13, 1997 'Project: watchfire' on-

>line interview and Q&A session with Salley Rayl. Halt is
>describing how events unfolded that second night:
>
>RAYL: And what was the general situation out there?
>
>HALT: There were probably 25 to 30 security policemen there. They
>were kind of stirring about trying to get the light-alls going
>and all excited and pointing into the forest and the Lieutenant
>that had taken us or picked me up at the Officers' Mess handed me
>a Starlight scope and said, "Look into the forest," and pointed
>to an area and [I] looked in and saw a glowing area. Nothing one
>could discern exactly, but just a glowing area... And, of course
>
>RAYL: Had you ever seen anything like that before?
>
>HALT: No. I'd used the Starlight scope quite a few times, and it
>was obviously some kind of energy or some type of light. I don't
>really know what it was to this day.
>
>RAYL: What did you decide to do then?
>
>HALT: Well, we decided to go into the forest and investigate and
>they pointed out to me that they'd been into the supposed landing
>site where something had landed or had been stationed on the
>ground two nights before and we went into the forest and the
>approximate area where we'd seen the glow, they found and pointed
>out to me three indentations in the floor of the forest.
>
>RAYL: And you did what next?
>
>HALT: Well, I had an individual from Disaster Preparedness with
>me with what you would call a Geiger counter and he started
>taking readings and we were looking at indentations. I'd taken
>along a small pocket micro-cassette recorder because it was kind
>of a cold winter night and I thought I might need to take some
>notes. Because the intent was really to put this whole thing to
>bed. And while we were milling about and identifying spots and
>taking readings, we noticed on the trees some rub marks on the
>trees facing the indentations in the ground and the Geiger
>counter indicated it was a little warmer there. Nothing of any
>danger, really, in my opinion, but certainly something above
>background radiation. But, the other side of the tree was much
>colder and while we were doing that, suddenly the Lieutenant
>pointed off to the, toward, the farmer's field, said, "Look over
>here." And he saw something.
>[END OF EXTRACT]
>
>As Robert has mentioned, the Lieutenant was Bruce England [Duty
>Flight Lieutenant].
>

>
>It's primarily Nick Pope who has cited 'radiation levels' to be
>foremost evidence of an alien spacecraft landing, as Pope related
>to 'UFO Magazine' [UK] in a formative interview on 5 May 1996:
>
>Nick Pope: I can reveal that because there was so much interest
>in this case, even during my time at Sec(AS)2a, I made some
>efforts to have a retrospective look into it, but it was very
>difficult. One thing I did do was to take the raw data from the
>Halt memorandum and gave it to the Defence Radiological Service
>and I said, what do you make of these readings? [radiation
>information contained within the Halt letter]. It had of course
>occurred to me that there was background radiation anyway and
>suppose Halt had simply taken readings of this? The quote I got
>back from the board was, "what the hell happened there?" I said,
>what do you mean. They told me that the radiation readings were
>ten times what they should have been in that area. I then faxed
>them the full report, I think that day there were a few new
>converts!
>
>UFO Magazine: Was there a cover-up?
>
>Nick Pope: No. Not in the MoD - I think the MoD's response was
>just ineffective.
>
>UFO Magazine: That's a very big statement?
>
>Nick Pope: Yes, but if something of that magnitude was reported
>and simply placed on file, then what else can you say?
>[END OF EXTRACT]
>
>
>Obviously, we now know, as does Pope, that the MoD did in fact
>investigate Halt's claims.
>
>
>On 23rd May 1998, in an Internet Relay Chat [IRC] hosted by the
>United Kingdom UFO Network, Pope was asked:
>
>"What in your opinion is the most credible of all UK UFO related
>cases and why?"
>
>He replied: "I'd say it was the Rendlesham Forest incident, and
>it's the radiation readings that clinched it for me. I checked
>them out with the Defence Radiological Protection Service, who
>told me they were ten times normal for the area".
>
>Another participant queried: "Have you reconsidered your position
>about the radiation levels found at Bentwaters? As Frank Close
>pointed out on the telly, most rocks are considerably higher than

>the background levels. These levels *would* be significant
>background levels, but they weren't".
>
>Pope responded: "Frank Close was entirely correct when he said
>the radiation levels were harmless. Halt and his team were in no
>danger. But the levels were ten times what they should have been
>in that area, and they peaked at the landing site. This was
>confirmed to me by government scientists. It is an official
>assessment, and is not in dispute".
>
>
>Bottom line, as Ian Ridpath noted: "In his book 'Open Skies,
>Closed Minds', Nick Pope described the radiation readings as 'the
>most tangible proof that something extraordinary happened there'.
>Hence it is essential that the readings are shown to be beyond
>reproach".
>
>
>I trust they are now shown, as Ian had already surmised, to be
>ten times less than Halt's understanding, which Pope and others
>put so much pivotal faith in.
>
>If, "the most tangible proof that something extraordinary
>happened there" turns out to be evidence of blundering human
>error, it can only further set in a factual context, Britain's
>'Roswell'.
>
>
>James Easton.
>E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk
>www.ufoworld.co.uk
>
>
>

Get your FREE download of MSN Explorer at <http://explorer.msn.com/intl.asp>

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor ----->
Get your FREE credit report with a FREE CreditCheck
Monitoring Service trial
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/Gi0tnD/bQ8CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
----->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "bortaf ----" <fatrob83@hotmail.com>
Date: Tue, 09 Oct 2001 11:49:04 +0000
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Rendlesham: Radiation Readings

This site offers manuals for the AN/PDR-27
www.audion.net/manua.htm
I've emailed them,i'll let you know if i get a reply.
rob.....

>From: TPrinty@aol.com
>>To: UFORL@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: Re: [UFORL] Rendlesham: Radiation Readings
>Date: Mon, 8 Oct 2001 23:28:37 EDT
>
>
>This is pretty much what the scale looked like. Have you tried to obtain
>the
>manual from the vendor or don't they print them anymore?
>
>Tim

Get your FREE download of MSN Explorer at <http://explorer.msn.com/intl.asp>

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Get your FREE credit report with a FREE CreditCheck
Monitoring Service trial
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/Gi0tnD/bQ8CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Thu, 11 Oct 2001 18:39:56 +0100
Subject: [UFORL] Secret Rendlesham Documents Revealed

[Posted on behalf of David Clarke and Andy Roberts]

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE RELEASE "TOP SECRET"
RENDLESHAM DOCUMENTS

Five documents relating to the alleged UFO Landing at RAF Woodbridge in 1980 are no longer a secret following a landmark decision by the MOD's Director of Information Exploitation.

In March 2001 the MOD agreed to release the contents of their file following an application by David Clarke under the Code of Practice on Access to Government Information.

The decision marks a further breakthrough in our understanding of the events in Rendlesham Forest.

Five documents were with-held from the response under exemptions to the code, 2 under Exemption 1 (national security) and 3 under Exemption 2 (internal discussion and advice to ministers less than 30 years old).

We immediately lodged an appeal against the decision and an internal review has now been completed. A senior MOD official has now released the two documents that were with-held on the grounds of national security.

The five documents - described by UFO Magazine as "top secret" - are:

1. A letter from the MOD to RAF Watton (Eastern Radar) and the SOC station, RAF Neatishead, dated 26 January 1981 requesting a statement on radar observations on 29 December 1980.
2. A reply from the Commanding Officer of RAF Neatishead to MOD (Ops) GE dated 3 February 1981 describing the results of checks.
3. An internal minute from an MOD official [Pam Titchmarsh], to a Minister's Office (John Stanley, Ministry of Defence) submitting a draft response and an accompanying background note in respect of a Parliamentary Question tabled by Sir Patrick Wall MP, answered on 24 October 1983.
4. A duplicate copy of the first page of (3) above.

5. An internal Minute from a MOD official to a Minister's office, submitting a draft response and accompanying background material in respect of A letter to the Secretary of State for Defence, Michael Heseltine MP from David Alton MP, which had enclosed a letter from one of Mr Alton's Constituents.

The released documents and a copy of the judgement will be posted on the www.flyingsaucery.com Website.

The documents contain no evidence that a cover-up of a UFO landing ever existed.

We can reveal that the two documents with-held on the grounds of "national security" do not constitute a 'smoking gun' - they simply illustrate how claims of a cover-up arise from secrecy for the sake of secrecy, part of an established tradition in Britain.

What they reveal is that the RAF were making checks on radar records for 29 December 1980, following information supplied by Lt Col Charles Halt in his memo to the MOD.

As we now know the actual date of Halt's sighting in Rendlesham Forest was the night of 27/28 December 1980. This implies incompetence and complacency on behalf of the MOD, who did not see fit to make further inquiries into the details they had been supplied by Halt.

The correct date was subsequently confirmed by the former Commanding Officer of RAF Watton who has described his role in an exclusive interview in June 2001 before any other UFOlogist was even aware of the MOD file release.

The retired Squadron Leader told us: "I recall the incident well [as] I was on duty at the time it occurred. I can confirm that the call came from Bentwaters RAPcom. They requested that we scan the radars for any radar targets in their area; there were NONE. They reported flashing lights in the Rendlesham Forest area, outside the airfield runway [and that] the base police were investigating the incident."

The officer was on duty on the same night as a civilian radar operator Nigel Kerr who claims he saw a "blip" on his screen above the base runway coincident with the report from Bentwaters. The blip disappeared after several sweeps of the radar. Kerr's CO dismisses this claim, saying that no such observation was reported to his Controller at the time, who oversaw the ATC screens at the joint military/civilian facility. This is a fact confirmed by the CO in contemporary note in

the MOD file, dated 26 February 1981 that reads:

>"On the night of the reported sighting
>our controller on duty was requested to view the radar;
>nothing was observed. The facts are recorded in our log
>book of that night."

He added that the T84 analogue radars at Watton frequently detected spurious echoes that behaved exactly as Kerr described. The lack of radar corroboration was confirmed by Halt in a 1997 interview when he said:

>"[I] called the command post, asked them to call
>Eastern Radar, responsible for air defence of the
>sector..twice they reported that they didn't see
>anything.."

The Watton CO also confirmed that he personally ordered that all radar tapes - both from 27/28 December and surrounding dates - should be impounded for examination. This was not unusual but entirely consistent with MOD procedure following reports of unusual sightings. In January, 1981 the evidence was removed by a joint RAF/USAF team from the Military Air Traffic Organisation (MATO) who apparently found some of the tapes were faulty.

This appears to be the basis of the rumour that USAF intelligence officers "confiscated" radar tapes from Watton shortly after the sightings. The CO's evidence can finally lay this myth to rest.

Claims have been made that the release of the MOD file is entirely as a result of pressure placed upon the Ministry by Lord Hill-Norton and Georgina Bruni. Credit is due to both, but this claim is not correct.

The facts are that during the summer of 2000 the MOD invited us to submit specific requests for UFO case files under the terms of the Code of Practice. The Rendlesham File was just one of a number of files closed under the 30 year rule that were subsequently requested and released; their contents will be revealed in our book, "Out of the Shadows: The British Establishment, UFOs and the Cold War", published early next year.

My request for the Rendlesham File was submitted in February 2001 and on 22 March an MOD official wrote as follows:

"[as a result of your request]. there are a number of

papers that were generated between 1981 and 1983 and later assembled on one file, along with correspondence received after 1983 from members of the public. Briefings to Ministers in respect of PQs asked on the subject since 1983 are placed on another File. On the file containing papers on the alleged incident in Rendlesham Forest, there is an internal minute that appears to summarise the extent of MOD investigation and draws the conclusion that has been used in all subsequent briefings.

"We are content to photocopy the documents from that file, which consists of somewhere in the region of 70 enclosures. This, along with some sanitising and review will be undertaken free of charge following your application under the Code of Practice."

This proves that our application was made and processed following MOD procedure that was entirely independent of Lord Hill-Norton who received the file some time after we did.

We wish to pay tribute to all those who have contributed to the research into the Rendlesham case, regardless of their opinions and personal approach to the subject. That includes Georgina Bruni, Jenny Randles, James Easton, Ian Ridaph, Nick Redfern and others who have all contributed to our knowledge of the Rendlesham forest mystery in their own way.

Keep watching www.flyingsaucery.com for further revelations.

Happy trails,

David Clarke and Andy Roberts

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/Pv4pGD/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: Chris Gibson <cgibson@skomer.u-net.com>
Date: Thu, 11 Oct 2001 22:52:55 +0000
Subject: [UFORL] Government earpiece?

I was having a rummage in the PRO the other day (as you do) and found an interesting file on Lt Holden RN (Rtd).

He conducted a lengthy correspondence with the Air Ministry between 1957 and 1959 on the subjects of UFOs and anti-gravity.

What interested me was an inclusion from someone at "Flying Saucer Review" who sent the Air Ministry a copy of a draft article Lt Holden had sent them. I got the impression Lt Holden was perceived as a pain in the arse.

Anyway, a couple of points:

Is / was it normal for UFO journals to forward information to the Ministry?

Are our current crop / rash / surfeit of UFO publications still doing this?

Chris

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Terrorist Attacks on U.S. - How can you help?
Donate cash, emergency relief information
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/d49MCB/3WDDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos <ballesterolmos@yahoo.es>
Date: Fri, 12 Oct 2001 12:47:49 +0200 (CEST)
Subject: [UFORL] Vol 2(2) of EJUFOAS published
boundary="0-1603649087-1002883669=:79341"

Dear Colleagues, please find attached the index to Volume 2 (2) of the European Journal of UFO and Abduction Studies (EJUFOAS), which I do recommend to you, both for a good reading as well as to place there your own contributions to the advancement in our knowledge to the UFO problem.

Best wishes,
Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos

Nokia Game ha comenzado

Haz clic y disfruta de la nueva aventura multimedia de Nokia antes del 3 de noviembre.

<http://es.promotions.yahoo.com/info/nokiagame.html>

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor ----->

FREE COLLEGE MONEY

CLICK HERE to search

600,000 scholarships!

<http://us.click.yahoo.com/Pv4pGD/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>

----->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

Attachment Converted: "C:\Programmi\EUDORA\attach\Contents for Volume 2(2)4.doc"

From: Ed Stewart <ufoindex@lanset.com>
Date: Fri, 12 Oct 2001 20:15:27 -0700
Subject: [UFORL] What came first, the cart or the ...?

Compare this June 1915 science fiction flying saucer driven by Baron Münchhausen's "New Scientific Adventures" in Hugo Gernsback Electrical Experimenter to the ones depicted on the cover of the second issue of Ray Palmer's Fate magazine (summer 1948) which also had an article by Kenneth Arnold "Are Space Visitors Here?" as flying saucers began their modern wave popularity:

<http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1471998885>

Ed Stewart

--

Ed Stewart | So Man, who here seems principal alone,
ufoindex@lanset.com | Perhaps acts second to some sphere unknown.
 ,>'?'<, | Touches some wheel, or verges to some goal,
 (O O) | 'Tis but a part we see, and not a whole.
-----ooOO-()-OOoo----- Alexander Pope, Essay on Man -----

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: joel carpenter <ufx1947@home.com>
Date: Mon, 15 Oct 2001 13:36:09 -0400
Subject: [UFORL] Who bought Bentwaters?

In "UFO Crash Landing?", Jenny Randles says that when RAF Bentwaters was closed and vacated in 1993, it was sold to "a mysterious Middle Eastern investor, for purposes as yet unknown."

Shudder!

Just out of curiosity, who might this be? I noticed that a company called Simat, Helliesen & Eichner, Inc.

http://www.profitoptim.com/Associates/SH_E/body_sh_e.html

refers to operations at the former base.

Joel Carpenter

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "bortaf ----" <fatrob83@hotmail.com>
Date: Mon, 15 Oct 2001 22:21:58 +0000
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Who bought Bentwaters?

Try this site Joel: <http://www.bentwaters.org.uk/index.htm>
It has some airial photo's of the base too.
rob.....

>From: joel carpenter <ufx1947@home.com>
>>To: <UFORL@yahoogroups.com>
>Subject: [UFORL] Who bought Bentwaters?
>Date: Mon, 15 Oct 2001 13:36:09 -0400
>
>
>
>In "UFO Crash Landing?", Jenny Randles says that when RAF Bentwaters was
>closed and vacated in 1993, it was sold to "a mysterious Middle Eastern
>investor, for purposes as yet unknown."
>
>Shudder!
>
>Just out of curiosity, who might this be? I noticed that a company called
>Simat, Helliesen & Eichner, Inc.
>
>http://www.profitoptim.com/Associates/SH_E/body_sh_e.html
>
>refers to operations at the former base.
>
>Joel Carpenter
>
>

Get your FREE download of MSN Explorer at <http://explorer.msn.com/intl.asp>

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/Pv4pGD/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: Chris Gibson <cgibson@skomer.u-net.com>

Date: Mon, 15 Oct 2001 22:27:20 +0000

Subject: [UFORL] Any ideas?

This evening I saw the following:

Time: 21:07hrs BST

Location: 2 miles east of Lutterworth, South Leicestershire, UK (52deg 29'N, 1deg 9'W)

Weather: clear as a bell

Air traffic: usual airliners on approaches to East Midlands, Birmingham and Coventry Airports, plus high level traffic on Amber 1(?) route north.

Travelling home from the pub (two pints of Theakston's Best. Which was nice.) in car. Observed two red lights (non-flashing) moving from west to east at a steady rate. Wound down the window for a better look. Stopped car (I was a passenger). Got out of car (as did 3 people in the following car) and watched the two red lights pass overhead. They seemed to be at quite high altitude, but hard to determine height.

The lights were 4 finger widths apart (hand at arm's length) and maintained position as they passed overhead. No other lights were visible around the red lights. There were a few other aircraft to compare with and all were showing white strobes and red and green nav lights, as well as cabin lights and tail illumination.

Sound: difficult to say due to car engine noise.

Any ideas?

Chris

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "bortaf ----" <fatrob83@hotmail.com>
Date: Mon, 15 Oct 2001 22:39:43 +0000
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Who bought Bentwaters?

I found a report of a return visit to Bentwaters by Lori Rehfeldt, she mentions one of the owners so i assume it was sold off in lots?

<http://www.bentwaters.org.uk/tripsback/rehfeldt.htm>
rob.....

>From: joel carpenter <ufx1947@home.com>
>>To: <UFORL@yahoogroups.com>
>Subject: [UFORL] Who bought Bentwaters?
>Date: Mon, 15 Oct 2001 13:36:09 -0400
>
>
>
>In "UFO Crash Landing?", Jenny Randles says that when RAF Bentwaters was
>closed and vacated in 1993, it was sold to "a mysterious Middle Eastern
>investor, for purposes as yet unknown."
>
>Shudder!
>
>Just out of curiosity, who might this be? I noticed that a company called
>Simat, Helliesen & Eichner, Inc.
>
>http://www.profitoptim.com/Associates/SH_E/body_sh_e.html
>
>refers to operations at the former base.
>
>Joel Carpenter
>
>

Get your FREE download of MSN Explorer at <http://explorer.msn.com/intl.asp>

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Tue, 16 Oct 2001 00:49:08 +0100
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Rendlesham: Radiation Readings

Regarding Tim and Rob's comments - I've never seen the AN/PDR-27 user manual advertised and it would interesting to obtain even a photocopy.

Some further information concerning the AN/PDR-27, obtained from various sources:

The AN/PDR-27 is used as a point source instrument to monitor low levels of radiation contamination on personnel, supplies, and equipment.

It is used to detect BETA radiation and measures and detect gamma radiation.

The AN/PDR-27 is a Beta-Gamma radiation survey meter, with end window density 1.5mg/cm² [squared], range 0.01mR/hr to 500mR/hr, two or more per facility.

The AN/PDR-27 is capable of detecting beta and gamma radiation simultaneously when the beta shield is removed.

The scales are provided on the AN/PDR-27 RADIAC are:

1. 0-500 milliroentgens
2. 0-50 milliroentgens
3. 0-5 milliroentgens
4. 0-.5 milliroengens

[By comparison, scales on the AN/PDR-43 RADIAC are:

1. 0-500 Roentgens
2. 0-50 Roentgens
3. 0-5 Roentgens

There is no 'point five', lower scale].

AN/PDR-27 detects GAMMA on all scales and BETA on the two lower scales when the BETA shield has been opened.

The operator is instructed to "Hold the probe within 1 inch of the object being monitored".

[END]

There's some further, related background material I've yet to publish [Tim might be amused that another former AN/PDR-27 operator says it was a 'piece of shit!'] and I'll summarise all of this in due course.

Some interesting feedback has come from Joe McGonagle on the UpDates list - see:

<http://www.ufomind.com/ufo/updates/2001/oct/m10-006.shtml>
<http://www.ufomind.com/ufo/updates/2001/oct/m12-010.shtml>

I've replied to that with, hopefully, further insight into the readings taken. A major factor which now emerges is how many of the readings were clearly taken with the probe in 'mid-air'.

Any responses to Joe's remarks are welcome.

James Easton.
E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk
www.ufoworld.co.uk

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/Pv4pGD/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: TPrinty@aol.com
Date: Mon, 15 Oct 2001 23:43:57 EDT
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Rendlesham: Radiation Readings
boundary="part1_c8.1c18771c.28fd06fd_boundary"

<x-html>
<HTML>"Tim might be amused
that another former AN/PDR-27

operator says it was a 'piece of shit'!"

IF he was a Navy Nuc, he must have been a former Engineering Laboratory
Technician (ELT) (Radcon technician)! Tjhey always blamed the gear (LOL)!

The radiacs were functional and, depending on how one maintains them, they
could easily turn into that sort of condition. Must of the Navy Nucs did a
pretty good job taking care of the gear, but maybe the other services (who did not use
them as frequently) were not so careful since it was only used occasionally.

I will be interested in your response to Joe. I have glanced at what he
wrote but I think he is making far too much out of these low readings.

Tim Printy

<!-- |**|begin egg html banner|**| -->

<table border=0 cellpadding=2>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFCC>
<td align=center>Yahoo! Groups
Sponsor</td>
</tr>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFFF>
<td align=center width=470><table border=0 cellpadding=0 cellspacing=0><tr><td
align=center>ADVERTISEMENT
<a
href="http://rd.yahoo.com/M=194081.1637497.3177299.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1
705082741:HM/A=795262/R=0/*http://www.ediets.com/start.cfm?code=3257"target
t=_top></td></tr></table></td>
</tr>
<tr><td></td></tr>
</table>

<!-- |**|end egg html banner|**| -->

<tt>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.</tt>
</br>

</HTML>

</x-html>

From: "Robinson, Larry" <lrobin@indiana.edu>
Date: Wed, 17 Oct 2001 09:32:31 -0500
Subject: RE: [UFORL] Any ideas?

2 Fire balloons or flare balloons?

---- -X =@ o_ = X<= <o> o-< <-I=- -> <O-O-O< <-88 ----
Larry Robinson S/AP/EIS lrobin@indiana.edu
Indiana University (812) 855-5073 Room 42
Kinesiology Dept http://php.indiana.edu/~lrobin
1025 E 7th St Rm 112 HDTV and taxes killed RCA.
Bloomington IN 47405-7109

@ -----Original Message-----

@ From: Chris Gibson [mailto:cgibson@skomer.u-net.com]

@ Sent: Monday, October 15, 2001 17:27

@ To: uforl@yahogroups.com

@ Subject: [UFORL] Any ideas?

@

@

@ This evening I saw the following:

@

@ Time: 21:07hrs BST

@ Location: 2 miles east of Lutterworth, South Leicestershire,

@ UK (52deg 29'N, 1deg 9'W)

@ Weather: clear as a bell

@ Air traffic: usual airliners on approaches to East Midlands,

@ Birmingham and Coventry Airports, plus high level traffic on

@ Amber 1(?) route north.

@

@ Travelling home from the pub (two pints of Theakston's Best.

@ Which was nice.) in car. Observed two red lights

@ (non-flashing) moving from west to east at a steady rate.

@ Wound down the window for a better look. Stopped car (I was a

@ passenger). Got out of car (as did 3 people in the following

@ car) and watched the two red lights pass over head. They

@ seemed to be at quite high altitude, but hard to determine height.

@

@ The lights were 4 finger widths apart (hand at arm's length)

@ and maintained position as they passed overhead. No other

@ lights were visible around the red lights. There were a few

@ other aircraft to compare with and all were showing white

@ strobes and red and green nav lights, as well as cabin lights

@ and tail illumination.

@

@ Sound: difficult to say due to car engine noise.

@

@ Any ideas?

@

@ Chris

@

@

@

@

@

@

@

@

@

@ Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to

@ <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

@

@

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~->

FREE COLLEGE MONEY

CLICK HERE to search

600,000 scholarships!

<http://us.click.yahoo.com/Pv4pGD/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>

-----~->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "Robert McLean" <robert.mclean2@virgin.net>
Date: Thu, 18 Oct 2001 02:26:54 +0100
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Who bought Bentwaters?

This is all a bit off topic, but since you ask . . .

As far as I am aware, Bentwaters was never sold to a Middle Eastern international investor.

The first potential buyer, The Chris Parker Group, failed to complete a deal to buy the base from the MoD back in 1996. See
<http://www.bentwaters.org.uk/archive/1999.htm>

CPG wanted to dig up the runway, and sell it as hardcore.

Then, His Holiness Maharishi Mahesh Yogi and his Natural Law Party nearly bought the site. I kid you not. Actually, they probably would have made good owners of the site, as their plan was to turn it into a University, where students could learn their philosophy/religion, radiate lots of good vibrations of peace etc, and practice their yogic flying on the 2 mile long runway.

For reasons that were never made clear, this deal also fell through. Probably, the MoD was holding out for too much money, as they were determined to make as much as they could on the sale.

The MoD did sell off the housing, both at RAF Bentwaters and RAF Woodbridge.

The site was eventually bought by Anglia Airparks International. They have leased some of the buildings, as light industrial units, but most of the site looks like a ghost town. They also wanted to turn the runway into serious competition for Stanstead and Norwich airports, and set up a service centre for aircraft and aeroengines, which would have meant big jets flying in and out any time of day. The fact that there are no good access roads did not worry them.

In one direction, the runway points directly at the quiet town of Woodbridge about 2 miles away, and in the other direction it points directly at the concert hall at Snape about 3 miles away, where the world famous Aldeburgh Festival is held every year. Under an informal agreement, the USAF used to schedule their flying so as not to interrupt performances, but such control would not be possible with civilian flying. It would have cost £1M to sound proof the concert hall.

The whole area around Bentwaters is designated an "area of outstanding natural beauty", and tourism is one of the largest local industries. Most local politicians supported the Airpark plan, and most local people didn't. After much local controversy, the plan was eventually killed by a Government "inspector" in London. Unlike the cold war, there was no over-riding national interest for re-activating the runway.

Now AIA wants out, and the Chris Parker Group again wants to buy the site, and dig up the runway. If it goes through it will take about 3 or 4 years to truck it out. Sod's Law being what it is, we'll probably be in another cold war with a different enemy by then, and the Government will wish the runway was still there.

At least RAF Woodbridge has been maintained, even though it's in mothballs.

Best regards

Robert McLean

----- Original Message -----

From: "bortaf ----" <fatrob83@hotmail.com> Sent: Monday, October 15, 2001 11:39 PM

Subject: Re: [UFORL] Who bought Bentwaters?

> I found a report of a return visit to Bentwaters by Lori Rehfeldt,
> she mentions one of the owners so i assume it was sold off in lots?
>
> <http://www.bentwaters.org.uk/tripsback/rehfeldt.htm>
> rob.....
>
> >From: joel carpenter <ufx1947@home.com>
> >> >To: <UFORL@yahoogroups.com>
> >Subject: [UFORL] Who bought Bentwaters?
> >Date: Mon, 15 Oct 2001 13:36:09 -0400
> >
> >
> >
> >In "UFO Crash Landing?", Jenny Randles says that when RAF Bentwaters was
> >closed and vacated in 1993, it was sold to "a mysterious Middle Eastern
> >investor, for purposes as yet unknown."
> >
> >Shudder!
> >
> >Just out of curiosity, who might this be? I noticed that a company called
> >Simat, Helliesen & Eichner, Inc.
> >
> >http://www.profitoptim.com/Associates/SH_E/body_sh_e.html
> >
> >refers to operations at the former base.
> >
> >Joel Carpenter
> >
> >
>
>

>

> Get your FREE download of MSN Explorer at <http://explorer.msn.com/intl.asp>

>

>

>

>

> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

>

>

>

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: Tony Eccles <tonyeccles@yahoo.com>
Date: Thu, 18 Oct 2001 01:28:14 -0700 (PDT)
Subject: RE: [UFORL] Any ideas?

Hi Larry,

I don't know how this sighting can be balloons, it does sound like the lights are part of an aircraft of some description. Does the witness state if the two lights moved together in a fixed pattern or were they independent objects? Some aircraft, notably those carrying mail, just keep their anti-collision light left on with no other lights in view. I think this may be similar.

Tony

--- "Robinson, Larry" <lrobin@indiana.edu> wrote:

> 2 Fire balloons or flare balloons?

>

> ---- -X =@ o_ = X<= <o> o-< <-I-= ->

> <O-O-O< <-88 ----

> Larry Robinson S/AP/EIS lrobin@indiana.edu

> Indiana University (812) 855-5073

> Room 42

> Kinesiology Dept

> <http://php.indiana.edu/~lrobin>

> 1025 E 7th St Rm 112 HDTV and taxes killed

> RCA.

> Bloomington IN 47405-7109

>

>

>

> @ -----Original Message-----

> @ From: Chris Gibson

> [mailto:cgibson@skomer.u-net.com]

> @ Sent: Monday, October 15, 2001 17:27

> @ To: uforl@yahoogroups.com

> @ Subject: [UFORL] Any ideas?

> @

> @

> @ This evening I saw the following:

> @

> @ Time: 21:07hrs BST

> @ Location: 2 miles east of Lutterworth, South

> Leicestershire,

> @ UK (52deg 29'N, 1deg 9'W)

> @ Weather: clear as a bell

> @ Air traffic: usual airliners on approaches to East

> Midlands,

> @ Birmingham and Coventry Airports, plus high level

> traffic on

> @ Amber 1(?) route north.
> @
> @ Travelling home from the pub (two pints of
> Theakston's Best.
> @ Which was nice.) in car. Observed two red lights
> @ (non-flashing) moving from west to east at a
> steady rate.
> @ Wound down the window for a better look. Stopped
> car (I was a
> @ passenger). Got out of car (as did 3 people in the
> following
> @ car) and watched the two red lights pass over
> head. They
> @ seemed to be at quite high altitude, but hard to
> determine height.
> @
> @ The lights were 4 finger widths apart (hand at
> arm's length)
> @ and maintained position as they passed overhead. No
> other
> @ lights were visible around the red lights. There
> were a few
> @ other aircraft to compare with and all were
> showing white
> @ strobes and red and green nav lights, as well as
> cabin lights
> @ and tail illumination.
> @
> @ Sound: difficult to say due to car engine noise.
> @
> @ Any ideas?
> @
> @ Chris
> @
> @
> @
> @
> @
> @
> @
> @
> @
> @
> @ Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
> @ <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>
> @
> @
>
>

Do You Yahoo!?

Make a great connection at Yahoo! Personals.

<http://personals.yahoo.com>

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: cgibson@skomer.u-net.com
Date: Thu, 18 Oct 2001 18:12:45 GMT
Subject: [UFORL] Re: Any ideas?

Robinson, Larry writes:

> 2 Fire balloons or flare balloons?
>

I don't think fire ballons will travel faster than an airliner, nor would two travel parallel to one another. Get a grip.

Chris

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/Pv4pGD/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: cgibson@skomer.u-net.com
Date: Thu, 18 Oct 2001 18:56:55 GMT
Subject: [UFORL] Re: Any ideas?

Tony Eccles writes:

Does the witness state if the two
> lights moved together in a fixed pattern or were they
> independent objects?

Tony,
They moved in parallel, same speed, didn't wobble or change position relative to each other. The intensity didn't change as the lights moved to the east (as a sat might do). They were not pinpoint lights like a star and were a bit less intense than Venus and much, much redder and brighter than Mars.

Unfortunately I don't take my bins to the pub.

Chris

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "rotsky" <rotsky@wanadoo.fr>
Date: Thu, 18 Oct 2001 21:55:56 +0200
Subject: RE: [UFORL] Any ideas?

Any aircraft flying at night is required to display the basic nav lights (red, green and white), as well as the red anti-collision beacon, though it is possible that, from the right position, you would see only one of these. Two military aircraft flying in formation would move as one object. With things as they are, it wouldn't be surprising if military aircraft were doing night formation work.

a+
Steve

Steve MANSFIELD
Tel: +33 (0)2 33 30 89 69 * Mob: +33 (0)6 74 00 07 29
Fax: +33 (0)2 33 30 60 13 * www.webvivant.com <<http://www.webvivant.com>>

-----Original Message-----

From: Tony Eccles [mailto:tonyeccles@yahoo.com]
Sent: 18 October 2001 10:28Subject: RE: [UFORL] Any ideas?

Hi Larry,
I don't know how this sighting can be balloons, it does sound like the lights are part of an aircraft of some description. Does the witness state if the two lights moved together in a fixed pattern or were they independent objects? Some aircraft, notably those carrying mail, just keep their anti-collision light left on with no other lights in view. I think this may be similar.
Tony

--- "Robinson, Larry" <lrobins@indiana.edu> wrote:
> 2 Fire balloons or flare balloons?
>
> -----X =@ o_ = X<= <o> o-< <-I-= ->
> <O-O-O< <-88 -----
> Larry Robinson S/AP/EIS lrobins@indiana.edu
> Indiana University (812) 855-5073
> Room 42
> Kinesiology Dept
> <http://php.indiana.edu/~lrobins>
> 1025 E 7th St Rm 112 HDTV and taxes killed
> RCA.
> Bloomington IN 47405-7109
>

>
>
> @ -----Original Message-----
> @ From: Chris Gibson
> [mailto:cgibson@skomer.u-net.com]
> @ Sent: Monday, October 15, 2001 17:27
> @ To: uforl@yahoogroups.com
> @ Subject: [UFORL] Any ideas?
> @
> @
> @ This evening I saw the following:
> @
> @ Time: 21:07hrs BST
> @ Location: 2 miles east of Lutterworth, South
> Leicestershire,
> @ UK (52deg 29'N, 1deg 9'W)
> @ Weather: clear as a bell
> @ Air traffic: usual airliners on approaches to East
> Midlands,
> @ Birmingham and Coventry Airports, plus high level
> traffic on
> @ Amber 1(?) route north.
> @
> @ Travelling home from the pub (two pints of
> Theakston's Best.
> @ Which was nice.) in car. Observed two red lights
> @ (non-flashing) moving from west to east at a
> steady rate.
> @ Wound down the window for a better look. Stopped
> car (I was a
> @ passenger). Got out of car (as did 3 people in the
> following
> @ car) and watched the two red lights pass over
> head. They
> @ seemed to be at quite high altitude, but hard to
> determine height.
> @
> @ The lights were 4 finger widths apart (hand at
> arm's length)
> @ and maintained position as they passed overhead. No
> other
> @ lights were visible around the red lights. There
> were a few
> @ other aircraft to compare with and all were
> showing white
> @ strobes and red and green nav lights, as well as
> cabin lights
> @ and tail illumination.
> @
> @ Sound: difficult to say due to car engine noise.

> @
> @ Any ideas?
> @
> @ Chris
> @
> @
> @
> @
> @
> @
> @
> @
> @
> @ Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
> @ <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>
> @
> @
>
>

Do You Yahoo!?
Make a great connection at Yahoo! Personals.
<http://personals.yahoo.com>

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/Pv4pGD/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: joel carpenter <ufx1947@home.com>
Date: Thu, 18 Oct 2001 16:31:59 -0400
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Who bought Bentwaters?

Thanks for the interesting info, Robert and cie -

this all reminds me of why Orwell called that island of yours "Airstrip One."

Joel Carpenter

- > This is all a bit off topic, but since you ask . . .
- >
- > As far as I am aware, Bentwaters was never sold to a Middle Eastern
- > international investor.
- >
- > The first potential buyer, The Chris Parker Group, failed to complete a deal
- > to buy the base from the MoD back in 1996. See
- > <http://www.bentwaters.org.uk/archive/1999.htm>
- >
- > CPG wanted to dig up the runway, and sell it as hardcore.
- >
- > Then, His Holiness Maharishi Mahesh Yogi and his Natural Law Party nearly
- > bought the site. I kid you not. Actually, they probably would have made good
- > owners of the site, as their plan was to turn it into a University, where
- > students could learn their philosophy/religion, radiate lots of good
- > vibrations of peace etc, and practice their yogic flying on the 2 mile long
- > runway.
- >
- > For reasons that were never made clear, this deal also fell through.
- > Probably, the MoD was holding out for too much money, as they were
- > determined to make as much as they could on the sale.
- >
- > The MoD did sell off the housing, both at RAF Bentwaters and RAF Woodbridge.
- >
- > The site was eventually bought by Anglia Airparks International. They have
- > leased some of the buildings, as light industrial units, but most of the
- > site looks like a ghost town. They also wanted to turn the runway into
- > serious competition for Stanstead and Norwich airports, and set up a service
- > centre for aircraft and aeroengines, which would have meant big jets flying
- > in and out any time of day. The fact that there are no good access roads did
- > not worry them.
- >
- > In one direction, the runway points directly at the quiet town of Woodbridge
- > about 2 miles away, and in the other direction it points directly at the
- > concert hall at Snape about 3 miles away, where the world famous Aldeburgh
- > Festival is held every year. Under an informal agreement, the USAF used to

- > schedule their flying so as not to interrupt performances, but such control
- > would not be possible with civilian flying. It would have cost £1M to sound
- > proof the concert hall.
- >
- > The whole area around Bentwaters is designated an "area of outstanding
- > natural beauty", and tourism is one of the largest local industries. Most
- > local politicians supported the Airpark plan, and most local people didn't.
- > After much local controversy, the plan was eventually killed by a Government
- > "inspector" in London. Unlike the cold war, there was no over-riding
- > national interest for re-activating the runway.
- >
- > Now AIA wants out, and the Chris Parker Group again wants to buy the site,
- > and dig up the runway. If it goes through it will take about 3 or 4 years to
- > truck it out. Sod's Law being what it is, we'll probably be in another cold
- > war with a different enemy by then, and the Government will wish the runway
- > was still there.
- >
- > At least RAF Woodbridge has been maintained, even though it's in mothballs.
- >
- > Best regards
- >
- > Robert McLean

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: Tony Eccles <tonyeccles@yahoo.com>
Date: Fri, 19 Oct 2001 01:41:10 -0700 (PDT)
Subject: RE: [UFORL] Any ideas?

Steve,
this hasn't been exactly true for aircraft leaving
Liverpool Airport. We have seen many times (not
civilian aircraft) cargo planes that just sit back and
cruise with only their collision light on display.
This has caused a few UFO reports as people say that
they are seeing a single ball of red light which
pulses on and off, they say that there is no sound and
no other aircraft lights and therefore it can't be an
aeroplane. However, they are.

Tony

--- rotsky <rotsky@wanadoo.fr> wrote:

> Any aircraft flying at night is required to display
> the basic nav lights
> (red, green and white), as well as the red
> anti-collision beacon, though it
> is possible that, from the right position, you would
> see only one of these.
> Two military aircraft flying in formation would move
> as one object. With
> things as they are, it wouldn't be surprising if
> military aircraft were
> doing night formation work.

>

> a+

> Steve

>

> Steve MANSFIELD

> Tel: +33 (0)2 33 30 89 69 * Mob: +33 (0)6 74 00 07
> 29

> Fax: +33 (0)2 33 30 60 13 * www.webvivant.com

> <<http://www.webvivant.com>>

>

>

>

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Tony Eccles [mailto:tonyeccles@yahoo.com]

> Sent: 18 October 2001 10:28

> To: UFORL@yahoogroups.com

> Subject: RE: [UFORL] Any ideas?

>

>

> Hi Larry,

> I don't know how this sighting can be balloons, it

> does sound like the lights are part of an aircraft

> of

> some description. Does the witness state if the two
 > lights moved together in a fixed pattern or were
 > they
 > independent objects? Some aircraft, notably those
 > carrying mail, just keep their anti-collision light
 > left on with no other lights in view. I think this
 > may
 > be similar.
 > Tony
 >
 > --- "Robinson, Larry" <lrobin@indiana.edu> wrote:
 > > 2 Fire balloons or flare balloons?
 > >
 > > ---- -X =@ o_= X<= <o> o-< <-I=
 > >->
 > > <O-O-O< <-88 ----
 > > Larry Robinson S/AP/EIS lrobin@indiana.edu
 > > Indiana University (812) 855-5073
 > > Room 42
 > > Kinesiology Dept
 > > <http://php.indiana.edu/~lrobin>
 > > 1025 E 7th St Rm 112 HDTV and taxes
 > killed
 > > RCA.
 > > Bloomington IN 47405-7109
 > >
 > >
 > >
 > > @ -----Original Message-----
 > > @ From: Chris Gibson
 > > [mailto:cgibson@skomer.u-net.com]
 > > @ Sent: Monday, October 15, 2001 17:27
 > > @ To: uforl@yahoogroups.com
 > > @ Subject: [UFORL] Any ideas?
 > > @
 > > @
 > > @ This evening I saw the following:
 > > @
 > > @ Time: 21:07hrs BST
 > > @ Location: 2 miles east of Lutterworth, South
 > > Leicestershire,
 > > @ UK (52deg 29'N, 1deg 9'W)
 > > @ Weather: clear as a bell
 > > @ Air traffic: usual airliners on approaches to
 > East
 > > Midlands,
 > > @ Birmingham and Coventry Airports, plus high
 > level
 > > traffic on
 > > @ Amber 1(?) route north.

> > @
> > @ Travelling home from the pub (two pints of
> > Theakston's Best.
> > @ Which was nice.) in car. Observed two red lights
> > @ (non-flashing) moving from west to east at a
> > steady rate.
> > @ Wound down the window for a better look. Stopped
> > car (I was a
> > @ passenger). Got out of car (as did 3 people in
> the
> > following
> > @ car) and watched the two red lights pass over
> > head. They
> > @ seemed to be at quite high altitude, but hard to
> > determine height.
> > @
> > @ The lights were 4 finger widths apart (hand at
> > arm's length)
> > @ and maintained position as they passed overhead.
> No
> > other
> > @ lights were visible around the red lights. There
> > were a few
> > @ other aircraft to compare with and all were
> > showing white
> > @ strobes and red and green nav lights, as well as
> > cabin lights
> > @ and tail illumination.
> > @
> > @ Sound: difficult to say due to car engine noise.
> > @
> > @ Any ideas?
> > @
> > @ Chris
> > @
> > @
> > @
> > @
> > @
> > @
> > @
> > @
> > @
> > @
> > @ Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
> > @ <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>
> > @
> > @
> >
> >
>

>

>

> Do You Yahoo!?

> Make a great connection at Yahoo! Personals.

> <http://personals.yahoo.com>

>

>

>

>

> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to

> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

>

>

>

Do You Yahoo!?

Make a great connection at Yahoo! Personals.

<http://personals.yahoo.com>

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "Jenny Randles" <nufon@currantbun.com>
Date: Fri, 19 Oct 2001 14:25:54 +0100
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Any ideas?

-----Original Message-----

From: Tony Eccles <tonyeccles@yahoo.com> Date: 19 October 2001 11:27
Subject: RE: [UFORL] Any ideas?

>Steve,
>this hasn't been exactly true for aircraft leaving
>Liverpool Airport. We have seen many times (not
>civilian aircraft) cargo planes that just sit back and
>cruise with only their collision light on display.
>This has caused a few UFO reports as people say that
>they are seeing a single ball of red light which
>pulses on and off, they say that there is no sound and
>no other aircraft lights and therefore it can't be an
>aeroplane. However, they are.
>Tony

Hi,

Gliding civilian aircraft are a fact - or were and I bet still are in places.

The story is told in 'The UFOs that Never Were' but briefly.

In the Rossendale Valley (25 miles north of Manchester) we were getting a flood of reports of an orange light moving silently through the sky, swooping on farmers and climbing away into cloud. We (at the local UFO group) had so many scared witnesses - including police officers - that we knew this was a real phenomenon as it was too consistent. They all said it could not be aircraft as there were no flashing lights - just the single orange/red glow.

We soon realised there was a pattern. The sightings all occurred at around 2 am. They were all heading in the same direction (north to south). And often on the same day of the week. So we set a trap.

We had teams of skywatchers on the hills to track the object on the next likely night. One of our team was also allowed to man the radar at Manchester International Airport logging all incoming traffic.

We saw the UFO, tracked it on radar and proved what it was. It was a cargo plane operated by a major carrier (still flying today) who were breaking CAA rules. They were - on fine nights - throttling back the engine, switching off all cabin lights and nav lights and only leaving on their tail fin

illumination. The carriers logo was red. Hence the floating glow! The crew throttled back up as they crossed Rossendale and now saw the lights of the northern fringes of Greater Manchester below. They did not realise they were being seen from below assuming they were over open moor (as they mostly were) - but reckoned without terrified farmers and coppers!

Manchester accepted this answer, censured the crew and said they knew that gliding did sometimes occur. But it was frowned upon. I bet it still happens though.

Those in the UK or any country that screens the long running ITV series 'Heartbeat' might recognise the above. They actually took our MUFORA group investigation and turned it into an episode of the drama series (about rural police on the Yorkshire Moors in the 1960s) - in which, naturally, it was not a UFOlogist but a brilliant retired policeman that solved the case. But otherwise they changed nothing of the actual facts - the culprit plane still being a cargo flight from Scotland (as indeed it was in reality).

MUFORA got no credit for this story line BTW. I did ask the producer why not - since it was so blatantly ripped off from our work - but got no answer. But the incredulous looks on the police officers faces on TV when their ex colleague revealed the truth about a gliding cargo plane to them was a picture - made all the more amusing for us in MUFORA in that we knew exactly how they felt and probably wouldnt have believed this either in real life if we had not cracked this case by actual investigation.

The above real events were in the late 1970s / early 1980s (the TV episode was made in 1997) - so I dont know if this has been entirely eliminated but my guess is that over very rural areas some crew will still be putting their feet up, watching the sky and gliding whilst enjoying the view on nice nights - just as were the crew of Air Cargo 101 (as I have always called this airline's flight in order to prevent us getting sued by a major airline for exposing this dubious practice!)

Best wishes,

Jenny Randles

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/Pv4pGD/4m7CAA/ySSF/AA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

 One was Asian in appearance, black-haired and dark -

 eyed, the other was 'Nordic', over 6ft (1.8m) tall,

 with wispy blond hair and intensely bright blue eyes.

[illegible]

<!-- |**|begin egp html banner|**| -->

```
<table border=0 cellspacing=0 cellpadding=2>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFCC>
<td align=center><font size="-1" color=#003399><b>Yahoo! Groups
Sponsor</b></font></td>
</tr>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFFF>
<td align=center width=470><table border=0 cellpadding=0 cellspacing=0><tr><td
align=center><font face=arial size=-2>ADVERTISEMENT</font><br><a
href="http://rd.yahoo.com/M=213858.1650662.3186813.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1
705082741:HM/A=763352/R=0/*http://www.classmates.com/index.tf?s=5085"
target=_top></a></td></tr></table></td>
</tr>
<tr><td></td></tr>
</table>
```

<!-- |**|end egp html banner|**| -->

```
<br>
<tt>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the <a
href="http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/">Yahoo! Terms of Service</a>.</tt>
</br>
```

</HTML>

</x-html>

From: Edoardo Russo <e.russo@cisu.org>
Date: Fri, 19 Oct 2001 17:56:40 +0200
Subject: Re: [UFORL] 1661 Tibet - help needed

Hi Chris,

You said "Italian" anywhere?

Here I am!

At 21.19 24/08/01 +0100, chris aubeck wrote:

> I am trying to trace the original source of an
> incident that allegedly occurred in 1661. The story is
> as follows:
>
> Albert d'Orville, a Belgium Jesuit missionary, was
> among the first Europeans to travel to Tibet. In a
> diary entry dated November 1661, d'Orville remarked on
> his own UFO sighting.
[...]
> iv) However, a stroke of luck brought me to an
> excellent Spanish case-book, "Fenómeno OVNI" Volume
> II, published by Inapp, Madrid 1979. On page 391 an
> article entitled "Maestros de Otros Mundos en el
> Tibet" provides the same alleged diary entry, in
> Spanish. It quotes an Italian publication.
>
> v) The source quoted is the book "Los Extraterrestres
> Existen" by Gianni Lucarini. This book is unknown to
> me.

Gianni Lucarini, "Gli extraterrestri esistono", Mediterranee, Roma 1974. I have it here in front of me. The author was a member of Italian contactee group "Contact International Italia" and did write two bad books on the subject. In that book he had a chronology of sightings since the Tulli papyrus (again!) to 1949 (don't ask, I'm going to photocopy that all for you). There it is, indeed.

> vi) According to the Spanish article, Lucarini's
> source was the Italian publication "La aviación de
> otros planetas."

True: "L'aviazione di altri pianeti", January 1968 issue. It was the mimeographed bulletin of CISAER (Centro Italiano Studi Aviazione Elettromagnetica Roma), the group founded by Italian diplomat and pioneer ufologist Alberto Perego (the same man who had dozens of sightings, the most famous being that flock of birds --- err! Ufos --- in a cross formation above the Vatican in November, 1954). As of 1968 I seem to remember it was edited by his secretary, Mr. Giuseppe Lazzari, who soon changed its name to "Cielo e terra". As we obviously own a complete

collection (1958-1976), I'll trace it back soon for you: it sounds like a chronology of old centuries sightings (as Lucarini gives it as a source for many a reports in that chapter), so it might result like a new source of sources for you, on turn.

Best regards

Edoardo Russo
Centro Italiano Studi Ufologici
CISU, Casella postale 82, 10100 Torino
tel 011-3290279 - fax 011-545033
<http://www.cisu.org> e-mail: e.russo@cisu.org

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Get your FREE credit report with a FREE CreditCheck
Monitoring Service trial
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/Gi0tnD/bQ8CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "rotsky" <rotsky@wanadoo.fr>
Date: Fri, 19 Oct 2001 18:29:53 +0200
Subject: RE: [UFORL] Any ideas?

That means these aircraft are flying illegally and should be reported! It is possible, of course, that people simply don't see all the lights. Even though I know what lights an aircraft shows at night, and have night-flying experience, I am still occasionally taken aback when I see a light in the sky that doesn't seem to conform to the standard pattern. I watch carefully and eventually can resolve the individual lights. This is all down to relative position and atmospheric effects. Plus, of course, distance is impossible to judge at night, and those lights carry much further than you'd think. On my first night training flight - a cross-country in Florida - I was amazed that I was easily picking out aircraft that must have been 20 miles away or more. Until, that was, the Shuttle launched (some 70 miles from our position) and everything turned to day! (Still logged it as night though...)

a+
Steve

Steve MANSFIELD
Tel: +33 (0)2 33 30 89 69 * Mob: +33 (0)6 74 00 07 29
Fax: +33 (0)2 33 30 60 13 * www.webvivant.com <<http://www.webvivant.com>>

-----Original Message-----

From: Tony Eccles [mailto:tonyeccles@yahoo.com]
Sent: 19 October 2001 10:41Subject: RE: [UFORL] Any ideas?

Steve,
this hasn't been exactly true for aircraft leaving Liverpool Airport. We have seen many times (not civilian aircraft) cargo planes that just sit back and cruise with only their collision light on display. This has caused a few UFO reports as people say that they are seeing a single ball of red light which pulses on and off, they say that there is no sound and no other aircraft lights and therefore it can't be an aeroplane. However, they are.

Tony

--- rotsky <rotsky@wanadoo.fr> wrote:

- > Any aircraft flying at night is required to display
- > the basic nav lights
- > (red, green and white), as well as the red
- > anti-collision beacon, though it
- > is possible that, from the right position, you would
- > see only one of these.

> Two military aircraft flying in formation would move
> as one object. With
> things as they are, it wouldn't be surprising if
> military aircraft were
> doing night formation work.

>

> a+

> Steve

>

> Steve MANSFIELD

> Tel: +33 (0)2 33 30 89 69 * Mob: +33 (0)6 74 00 07
> 29

> Fax: +33 (0)2 33 30 60 13 * www.webvivant.com

> <<http://www.webvivant.com>>

>

>

>

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Tony Eccles [<mailto:tonyeccles@yahoo.com>]

> Sent: 18 October 2001 10:28

> To: UFORL@yahoogroups.com

> Subject: RE: [UFORL] Any ideas?

>

>

> Hi Larry,

> I don't know how this sighting can be balloons, it

> does sound like the lights are part of an aircraft

> of

> some description. Does the witness state if the two

> lights moved together in a fixed pattern or were

> they

> independent objects? Some aircraft, notably those

> carrying mail, just keep their anti-collision light

> left on with no other lights in view. I think this

> may

> be similar.

> Tony

>

> --- "Robinson, Larry" <lrobbins@indiana.edu> wrote:

> > 2 Fire balloons or flare balloons?

> >

> > ---- -X =@ o_= X<= <o> o-< <-I=

> >

> > <O-O-O< <-88 ----

> > Larry Robinson S/AP/EIS lrobbins@indiana.edu

> > Indiana University (812) 855-5073

> > Room 42

> > Kinesiology Dept

> > <http://php.indiana.edu/~lrobbins>

> > 1025 E 7th St Rm 112 HDTV and taxes

> killed
> > RCA.
> > Bloomington IN 47405-7109
> >
> >
> >
> > @ -----Original Message-----
> > @ From: Chris Gibson
> > [mailto:cgibson@skomer.u-net.com]
> > @ Sent: Monday, October 15, 2001 17:27
> > @ To: uforl@yahoogroups.com
> > @ Subject: [UFORL] Any ideas?
> > @
> > @
> > @ This evening I saw the following:
> > @
> > @ Time: 21:07hrs BST
> > @ Location: 2 miles east of Lutterworth, South
> > Leicestershire,
> > @ UK (52deg 29'N, 1deg 9'W)
> > @ Weather: clear as a bell
> > @ Air traffic: usual airliners on approaches to
> East
> > Midlands,
> > @ Birmingham and Coventry Airports, plus high
> level
> > traffic on
> > @ Amber 1(?) route north.
> > @
> > @ Travelling home from the pub (two pints of
> > Theakston's Best.
> > @ Which was nice.) in car. Observed two red lights
> > @ (non-flashing) moving from west to east at a
> > steady rate.
> > @ Wound down the window for a better look. Stopped
> > car (I was a
> > @ passenger). Got out of car (as did 3 people in
> the
> > following
> > @ car) and watched the two red lights pass over
> > head. They
> > @ seemed to be at quite high altitude, but hard to
> > determine height.
> > @
> > @ The lights were 4 finger widths apart (hand at
> > arm's length)
> > @ and maintained position as they passed overhead.
> No
> > other
> > @ lights were visible around the red lights. There

> > were a few
> > @ other aircraft to compare with and all were
> > showing white
> > @ strobes and red and green nav lights, as well as
> > cabin lights
> > @ and tail illumination.
> > @
> > @ Sound: difficult to say due to car engine noise.
> > @
> > @ Any ideas?
> > @
> > @ Chris
> > @
> > @
> > @
> > @
> > @
> > @
> > @
> > @
> > @
> > @ Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
> > @ <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>
> > @
> > @
> >
> >
>
>
>
>

> Do You Yahoo!?
> Make a great connection at Yahoo! Personals.
> <http://personals.yahoo.com>
>
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>
>
>
>

Do You Yahoo!?
Make a great connection at Yahoo! Personals.
<http://personals.yahoo.com>

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~->
Get your FREE credit report with a FREE CreditCheck
Monitoring Service trial
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/Gi0tnD/bQ8CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: cgibson@skomer.u-net.com
Date: Sat, 20 Oct 2001 20:34:28 GMT
Subject: [UFORL] Re: Any ideas?

I don't doubt that there are gliding jets about (I recall John B's tale of the gliding Vulcans), but I doubt they'd be doing it in the busy airways over the English Midlands! I couldn't discern any sound due to car engine noise.

As has been pointed out, an aircraft at night can look odd and I have seen "odd" lights which as they approach / turn / climb do turn out to be boring old airliners, so some airframe masking of lights does occur. I'm quite used to seeing this over Leicestershire.

What struck me about these objects was that they approached, went overhead and receded with the same constant lighting pattern, ie a single red light apiece (or two if on the same vehicle) as well as the speed at which they moved.

Watch the skies!

Chris

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~>
Get your FREE credit report with a FREE CreditCheck
Monitoring Service trial
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/Gi0tnD/bQ8CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~>

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: cgibson@skomer.u-net.com
Date: Sat, 20 Oct 2001 20:34:28 GMT
Subject: [UFORL] Re: Any ideas?

I don't doubt that there are gliding jets about (I recall John B's tale of the gliding Vulcans), but I doubt they'd be doing it in the busy airways over the English Midlands! I couldn't discern any sound due to car engine noise.

As has been pointed out, an aircraft at night can look odd and I have seen "odd" lights which as they approach / turn / climb do turn out to be boring old airliners, so some airframe masking of lights does occur. I'm quite used to seeing this over Leicestershire.

What struck me about these objects was that they approached, went overhead and receded with the same constant lighting pattern, ie a single red light apiece (or two if on the same vehicle) as well as the speed at which they moved.

Watch the skies!

Chris

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor ----->
Get your FREE credit report with a FREE CreditCheck
Monitoring Service trial
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/Gi0tnD/bQ8CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
----->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Sun, 21 Oct 2001 14:01:18 +0100
Subject: [UFORL] Britain's Secret X-Files Revealed

[Posted on behalf of Dave Clarke and Andy Roberts]

**WORLD EXCLUSIVE:
BRITAIN'S SECRET X-FILES REVEALED**

For fifty years UFOlogists have been searching for evidence of a secret study by the British Ministry of Defence into the 'flying saucer' mystery.

Now "the truth" about 'flying saucers' demanded by Winston Churchill in 1952 can finally be revealed.

Dr David Clarke and Andy Roberts have uncovered the existence of a dedicated 'flying saucer' study group created by British intelligence following a wave of sightings in 1950.

During the research for our book 'Out of the Shadows' we discovered the single surviving copy of the report by the 'Flying Saucer Working Party' - DSI/JTIC Report No 7 Unidentified Flying Objects.

This was the "full Intelligence study" referred to by the Air Ministry in a secret briefing to Churchill in 1952. But the very existence of such a study has been carefully concealed from the public for almost half a century.

The investigation was conducted by a team of top intelligence officers drawn from the MOD, RAF, Royal Navy and War Office who worked closely with their opposite numbers in the CIA and USAF.

As one of the authors, a retired RAF Wing Commander, told us: "Our report was never published but was circulated at the highest level and was used as a 'yardstick' for future action."

Report No 7 was the first and only UFO study by the British Government and has formed the basis for all official policy up to present. It is the origin of all subsequent claims of a cover-up and conspiracy of silence.

History-making revelations include:

? That the study was demanded by one of British MOD's most senior scientific advisors, Sir Henry Tizard.

? Evidence that British intelligence interest in UFOs began with the 'ghost rocket' wave of 1946.

? The first documentary evidence of close liaison between the CIA, USAF and British MOD on UFO matters from 1947 onwards.

? Rumours of "the discovery of a crashed 'flying saucer'" in the USA dismissed by intelligence sources.

? a detailed study of the first "radar/visual" UFO report made in Britain by RAF aircrew.

? sightings by RAF Test Pilots of 'flying discs' that appeared above a crowd of senior officers at the Royal Aircraft Establishment, Farnborough.

? A series of recommendations to the Chiefs of Staff based upon the principle of Occam's Razor.

In 1955 and again in 1962 the Under Secretary of State for Air, Julian Ridsdale, assured Major Patrick Wall MP there "had been no formal inquiry" in answer to a Parliamentary Question on UFOs. This was a lie.

Following the release of Churchill's memo on flying saucers a string of UFOlogists have been told the MOD were "unaware of such a study" and were referred back to the answer given to Patrick Wall.

Two years of research and detective work in the MOD Archives led us to the "missing link." We can now reveal the facts that have been hidden for half a century.

Read the full, amazing story exclusively at:

www.flyingsaucery.com

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/Pv4pGD/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Sun, 21 Oct 2001 14:04:42 +0100
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Britain's Secret X-Files Revealed

See also:

'How Churchill chased flying saucers ':

http://www.observer.co.uk/uk_news/story/0,6903,577850,00.html

James Easton.
E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk
www.ufoworld.co.uk

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/Pv4pGD/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Sun, 21 Oct 2001 14:11:55 +0100
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Alien DNA in Penis Hair?

* Posted on behalf of Bill Chalker [Bill has since joined the list]. *

I'd like to respond to Glen Hough's suggestions:

"Now on further investigation and a few emails to others around the States, UK and Australia, the report by UFO researcher Chalker is full of major or lets say - catastrophic problems that render the subject matter unsound."

Before launching into debate, based solely on the Fortean Times piece, it would be best to consider the original data and some of the follow up material such as:

<http://www.ufomind.com/ufo/updates/2001/jan/m09-013.shtml>

While I love the magazine "Fortean Times", this short piece has included an error, given it suggests we passed the sample onto Kary Mullis for analysis via PCR. I did speak with Kary Mullis, but long after the initial round of testing had been completed. I have drawn the magazine's attention to this error.

My discussions and interview with Dr. Mullis are described at:

http://www.cufos.org/iur_Spring99_addendum.html

Kary Mullis had nothing to do with the testing of the hair sample, other than his PCR technique was utilised in part of the procedure.

I look forward to any informed critique of the work.

Regards,

Bill Chalker

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/Pv4pGD/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "Robinson, Larry" <lrobin@indiana.edu>
Date: Mon, 22 Oct 2001 09:02:58 -0500
Subject: RE: [UFORL] Any ideas?

It seems rather large in angular size for one aircraft. Two, maybe....

Some airliners and military vehicles have all red navigation lights, an indication that all other planes are to give way to them.

I was thinking of a prank where people tie railroad or highway flares to helium balloons, or build hot air balloons with suit bags and candles. The wind then carries them along. The following surprising facts emerge from investigations where this identity was confirmed:

1. The balloons were a lot closer than the witness thought.
2. The witness size estimate was always at least 10 times larger than the actual size of the object (lighted area about 1.5 feet in diameter max - usually the balloon bag above is invisible, but it is rarely larger than 4 feet in the longest dimension).
3. The speed estimate was always much higher than the real speed.
4. The altitude estimate was always much higher than the real altitude.

My research indicates that these errors are due to two factors, the inability of the human visual system to determine distance under these circumstances, and the proclivity of the human sensory system to use the first guess of what the object might be to arrive at values for the size, distance, altitude, and speed.

If an unknown light or object is seen against the night sky, there is no way anyone can tell how far away it is if it is farther away than 30 feet.

One of my favorite examples of a fire-balloon case happened in Jefferson County, Kentucky on February 26, 1993:

Witnesses on the ground reported a strange light in the sky.

A police helicopter responded to the call, and found the light.

The policemen in the helicopter reported that the UFO was larger than the helicopter, and kept making ramming passes at the helicopter.

The people on the ground reported that the nearly stationary light was much smaller than the helicopter, and that the helicopter kept

passing the object, as though it could not find it.

Both groups reported the object suddenly dived and went out.

When the sighting made the papers, the amateur weatherman who released the balloon came forward and told authorities what it was.

In another case in 1965 (I don't have the exact date or location), a fire balloon appeared to "outrun" a jet fighter - but only from the vantage point of the group of witnesses. The very slow and close fire balloon just happened to be blown by the wind in such a way that it stayed "ahead" of the unrelated jet which was much farther away. A witness in another location would not have seen the UFO to be anywhere near the jet, but in an entirely different part of the sky.

Like I said, the unaided human visual system can't ever make the kind of measurements needed - even seasoned pilots can't do it.

Now if the observer knows what the object is, that's different - he has its true size in his memory, and all of the other values fall into place nicely.

---- -X =@ o_ = X<= <o> o-< <-I-= -> <O-O-O< <-88 ----
Larry Robinson S/AP/EIS lrobin@indiana.edu
Indiana University (812) 855-5073 Room 42
Kinesiology Dept http://php.indiana.edu/~lrobin
1025 E 7th St Rm 112 HDTV and taxes killed RCA.
Bloomington IN 47405-7109

@ -----Original Message-----

@ From: Tony Eccles [mailto:tonyeccles@yahoo.com]

@ Sent: Thursday, October 18, 2001 03:28

@ To: UFORL@yahoogroups.com

@ Subject: RE: [UFORL] Any ideas?

@

@

@ Hi Larry,

@ I don't know how this sighting can be balloons, it

@ does sound like the lights are part of an aircraft of

@ some description. Does the witness state if the two

@ lights moved together in a fixed pattern or were they

@ independent objects? Some aircraft, notably those

@ carrying mail, just keep their anti-collision light
 @ left on with no other lights in view. I think this may
 @ be similar.
 @ Tony
 @
 @ --- "Robinson, Larry" <lrobin@indiana.edu> wrote:
 @ > 2 Fire balloons or flare balloons?
 @ >
 @ > ---- -X =@ o_= X<= <o> o-< <-I-= ->
 @ > <O-O-O< <-88 ----
 @ > Larry Robinson S/AP/EIS lrobin@indiana.edu
 @ > Indiana University (812) 855-5073
 @ > Room 42
 @ > Kinesiology Dept
 @ > http://php.indiana.edu/~lrobin
 @ > 1025 E 7th St Rm 112 HDTV and taxes killed
 @ > RCA.
 @ > Bloomington IN 47405-7109

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
 Get your FREE credit report with a FREE CreditCheck
 Monitoring Service trial
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/Gi0tnD/bQ8CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
 -----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "Robinson, Larry" <lrobin@indiana.edu>
Date: Mon, 22 Oct 2001 09:47:52 -0500
Subject: RE: [UFORL] Re: Any ideas?

See below.

---- -X =@ o_ = X<= <o> o-< <-I-= -> <O-O-O< <-88 ----
Larry Robinson S/AP/EIS lrobin@indiana.edu
Indiana University (812) 855-5073 Room 42
Kinesiology Dept http://php.indiana.edu/~lrobin
1025 E 7th St Rm 112 HDTV and taxes killed RCA.
Bloomington IN 47405-7109

@ -----Original Message-----
@ From: cgibson@skomer.u-net.com [mailto:cgibson@skomer.u-net.com]
@ Sent: Thursday, October 18, 2001 13:13
@ To: UFORL@yahoogroups.com
@ Subject: [UFORL] Re: Any ideas?
@
@
@
@ Robinson, Larry writes:
@
@ > 2 Fire balloons or flare balloons?
@ >
@
@ I don't think fire balloons will travel faster than an
@ airliner, nor would
@ two travel parallel to one another. Get a grip.
@
@ Chris

This is the same mistake most observers of fire balloons make.

They grossly overestimate the speed because they overestimate the distance and size of the balloon -- usually by at least a factor of 10, most times by a factor of 50 or more. It happens again and again and again in cases where the balloon was later positively identified. Usually the cause of this mistake is the assumption by the witness that the UFO is a vehicle capable of carrying men, combined with the inherent limitations of human vision.

If both balloons are in the same wind, they will both do the same thing in parallel. Only when turbulence occurs in the wind will they do different things.

Until people realize that the human visual system is totally incapable of measuring the distance to an UNKNOWN object more than 30 feet

away without aid, the serious study of UFOs will never progress
beyond that of the 'bloids.

Larry

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/Pv4pGD/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "rotsky" <rotsky@wanadoo.fr>
Date: Mon, 22 Oct 2001 21:10:52 +0200
Subject: RE: [UFORL] Any ideas?

Can you supply a source or reference to this 'all-red navigation lights' business? It sounds complete nonsense and I've certainly never come across any such thing in the Air Navigation Order.

It doesn't make much sense. The whole point of the design of navigation lights is that they tell you which way an aircraft is flying, to help you determine if there is a risk of collision. The lights have deliberately, and legally mandated, restricted angles of view (110 degrees for the wingtip lights, 140 degrees for the rear). For example, if you can see one red and one white light, you know that you are looking at the port side of the aircraft, but that it is moving away from you (ie, you are in the aircraft's rear-left quarter). If you can see both red and green lights, the aircraft is coming at you. You would never see all three lights unless directly above or below the aircraft. It's quite common to see just one nav light - plus other lights such as high-intensity, anti-collision strobes, the flashing red anti-collision beacon (which must flash to differentiate it from the port nav light), and a tail light. Note, these are the **only** other lights allowed.

This scheme is needed because the angular velocity of other aircraft is very small until you are relatively very close.

The three basic nav lights (red, green, white, all steady) are mandatory on all powered aircraft flying at night. HOWEVER, on some other aircraft, they are optional. Gliders and free balloons need show only a steady red light.

This 'all-red nav light' thing sounds like a myth or something misunderstood by a lay person. I'd be interested to know where it's come from.

a+
Steve

Steve MANSFIELD
Tel: +33 (0)2 33 30 89 69 * Mob: +33 (0)6 74 00 07 29
Fax: +33 (0)2 33 30 60 13 * www.webvivant.com <<http://www.webvivant.com>>

-----Original Message-----

From: Robinson, Larry [mailto:lrobins@indiana.edu]
Sent: 22 October 2001 16:03Subject: RE: [UFORL] Any ideas?

It seems rather large in angular size for one aircraft. Two, maybe....

Some airliners and military vehicles have all red navigation lights, an

indication that all other planes are to give way to them.

I was thinking of a prank where people tie railroad or highway flares to helium balloons, or build hot air balloons with suit bags and candles. The wind then carries them along. The following surprising facts emerge from investigations where this identity was confirmed:

1. The balloons were a are a lot closer than the witness thought.
2. The witness size estimate was always at least 10 times larger than the actual size of the object (lighted area about 1.5 feet in diameter max - usually the balloon bag above is invisible, but it is rarely larger than 4 feet in the longest dimension).
3. The speed estimate was always much higher than the real speed.
4. The altitude estimate was always much higher than the real altitude.

My research indicates that these errors are due to two factors, the inability of the human visual system to determine distance under these circumstances, and the proclivity of the human sensory system to use the first guess of what the object might be to arrive at values for the size, distance, altitude, and speed.

If an unknown light or object is seen against the night sky, there is no way anyone can tell how far away it is if it is farther away than 30 feet.

One of my favorite examples of a fire-balloon case happened in Jefferson County, Kentucky on February 26, 1993:

Witnesses on the ground reported a strange light in the sky.

A police helicopter responded to the call, and found the light.

The policemen in the helicopter reported that the UFO was larger than the helicopter, and kept making ramming passes at the helicopter.

The people on the ground reported that the nearly stationary light was much smaller than the helicopter, and that the helicopter kept passing the object, as though it could not find it.

Both groups reported the object suddenly dived and went out.

When the sighting made the papers, the amateur weatherman who released the balloon came forward and told authorities what it was.

In another case in 1965 (I don't have the exact date or location), a fire balloon appeared to "outrun" a jet fighter - but only from the vantage point of the group of witnesses. The very slow and close fire balloon just happened to be blown by the wind in such a way that it stayed "ahead" of the unrelated jet which was much farther away. A witness in another location would not have seen the UFO to be anywhere near the jet, but in an entirely different part of the sky.

Like I said, the unaided human visual system can't ever make the kind of measurements needed - even seasoned pilots can't do it.

Now if the observer knows what the object is, that's different - he has its true size in his memory, and all of the other values fall into place nicely.

---- -X =@ o_ = X<= <o> o-< <-I-= -> <O-O-O< <-88 ----
Larry Robinson S/AP/EIS lrobbins@indiana.edu
Indiana University (812) 855-5073 Room 42
Kinesiology Dept http://php.indiana.edu/~lrobbins
1025 E 7th St Rm 112 HDTV and taxes killed RCA.
Bloomington IN 47405-7109

@ -----Original Message-----

@ From: Tony Eccles [mailto:tonyeccles@yahoo.com]

@ Sent: Thursday, October 18, 2001 03:28

@ To: UFORL@yahoogroups.com

@ Subject: RE: [UFORL] Any ideas?

@

@

@ Hi Larry,

@ I don't know how this sighting can be balloons, it

@ does sound like the lights are part of an aircraft of

@ some description. Does the witness state if the two

@ lights moved together in a fixed pattern or were they

@ independent objects? Some aircraft, notably those

@ carrying mail, just keep their anti-collision light

@ left on with no other lights in view. I think this may

@ be similar.

@ Tony

@

@ --- "Robinson, Larry" <lrobbins@indiana.edu> wrote:

@ > 2 Fire balloons or flare balloons?

@ >
@ > ---- -X =@ o_ = X<= <o> o-< <-I-= ->
@ > <O-O-O< <-88 ----
@ > Larry Robinson S/AP/EIS lrobins@indiana.edu
@ > Indiana University (812) 855-5073
@ > Room 42
@ > Kinesiology Dept
@ > http://php.indiana.edu/~lrobins
@ > 1025 E 7th St Rm 112 HDTV and taxes killed
@ > RCA.
@ > Bloomington IN 47405-7109

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Get your FREE credit report with a FREE CreditCheck
Monitoring Service trial
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/Gi0tnD/bQ8CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: cgibson@skomer.u-net.com
Date: Mon, 22 Oct 2001 20:17:52 GMT
Subject: [UFORL] Re: Any ideas?

Can anyone out there in UFORLand supply info on instances of fire / balloons ballons in the UK. Especially in the East Midlands.

Chris

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~->
Get your FREE credit report with a FREE CreditCheck
Monitoring Service trial
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/Gi0tnD/bQ8CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "Robinson, Larry" <lrobin@indiana.edu>
Date: Mon, 22 Oct 2001 23:45:37 -0500
Subject: RE: [UFORL] Any ideas?

I have seen them myself.

The colors on a plane, just as the colors on a boat, direct the approaching plane (or boat) to take appropriate action. If you see red, you have to pass astern of the other craft. If you see green, the other craft is to pass astern of you. If you see white, you can pass on either side. If you see brilliant white, or red AND green, you must turn to your right (the green side).

Sailboats and rowboats have multiple white lights, or red lights on the front and white ones on the back, because other boats must give way to them. Some ocean liners and tankers also have red lights that shine low, and normal navigation lights that shine high, since small craft have to give way to them (similar to a car giving way to a train - the weight is too great for the large ship to take avoiding action in time). I have a book that shows the various lighting configurations for each class of vessel and what other classes that vessel must give way to.

Certain military aircraft, slow helicopters, unpowered aircraft, and some diplomatic planes have all red lights, because other planes must always give way to them.

---- -X =@ o_ = X<= <o> o-< <-I-= -> <O-O-O< <-88 ----
Larry Robinson S/AP/EIS lrobin@indiana.edu
Indiana University (812) 855-5073 Room 42
Kinesiology Dept <http://php.indiana.edu/~lrobin>
1025 E 7th St Rm 112 HDTV and taxes killed RCA.
Bloomington IN 47405-7109

@ -----Original Message-----

@ From: rotsky [mailto:rotsky@wanadoo.fr]

@ Sent: Monday, October 22, 2001 14:11

@ To: UFORL@yahoogroups.com

@ Subject: RE: [UFORL] Any ideas?

@

@

@ Can you supply a source or reference to this 'all-red

@ navigation lights'

@ business? It sounds complete nonsense and I've certainly

@ never come across

@ any such thing in the Air Navigation Order.

@

@ It doesn't make much sense. The whole point of the design of

@ navigation
@ lights is that they tell you which way an aircraft is flying,
@ to help you
@ determine if there is a risk of collision. The lights have
@ deliberately, and
@ legally mandated, restricted angles of view (110 degrees for
@ the wingtip
@ lights, 140 degrees for the rear). For example, if you can
@ see one red and
@ one white light, you know that you are looking at the port side of the
@ aircraft, but that it is moving away from you (ie, you are in
@ the aircraft's
@ rear-left quarter). If you can see both red and green lights,
@ the aircraft
@ is coming at you. You would never see all three lights unless
@ directly above
@ or below the aircraft. It's quite common to see just one nav
@ light - plus
@ other lights such as high-intensity, anti-collision strobes,
@ the flashing
@ red anti-collision beacon (which must flash to differentiate
@ it from the
@ port nav light), and a tail light. Note, these are the *only*
@ other lights
@ allowed.
@
@ This scheme is needed because the angular velocity of other
@ aircraft is very
@ small until you are relatively very close.
@
@ The three basic nav lights (red, green, white, all steady)
@ are mandatory on
@ all powered aircraft flying at night. HOWEVER, on some other
@ aircraft, they
@ are optional. Gliders and free balloons need show only a
@ steady red light.
@
@ This 'all-red nav light' thing sounds like a myth or
@ something misunderstood
@ by a lay person. I'd be interested to know where it's come from.
@
@ a+
@ Steve
@
@ Steve MANSFIELD
@ Tel: +33 (0)2 33 30 89 69 * Mob: +33 (0)6 74 00 07 29
@ Fax: +33 (0)2 33 30 60 13 * www.webvivant.com
@ <<http://www.webvivant.com>>
@
@

@

@ -----Original Message-----

@ From: Robinson, Larry [mailto:lrobins@indiana.edu]

@ Sent: 22 October 2001 16:03

@ To: 'UFORL@yahoogroups.com'

@ Subject: RE: [UFORL] Any ideas?

@

@

@ It seems rather large in angular size for one aircraft. Two, maybe....

@

@ Some airliners and military vehicles have all red navigation

@ lights, an

@ indication that all other planes are to give way to them.

@

@ I was thinking of a prank where people tie railroad or highway flares

@ to helium balloons, or build hot air balloons with suit bags and

@ candles. The wind then carries them along. The following surprising

@ facts emerge from investigations where this identity was confirmed:

@

@ 1. The balloons were a are a lot closer than the witness thought.

@

@ 2. The witness size estimate was always at least 10 times larger

@ than the actual size of the object (lighted area about 1.5 feet in

@ diameter max - usually the balloon bag above is invisible, but it is

@ rarely larger than 4 feet in the longest dimension).

@

@ 3. The speed estimate was always much higher than the real speed.

@

@ 4. The altitude estimate was always much higher than the real

@ altitude.

@

@ My research indicates that these errors are due to two factors, the

@ inability of the human visual system to determine distance under

@ these circumstances, and the proclivity of the human sensory

@ system to use the first guess of what the object might be to arrive at

@ values for the size, distance, altitude, and speed.

@

@ If an unknown light or object is seen against the night sky, there is

@ no way anyone can tell how far away it is if it is farther away than

@ 30 feet.

@

@ *****

@

@ One of my favorite examples of a fire-balloon case happened in

@ Jefferson County, Kentucky on February 26, 1993:

@

@ Witnesses on the ground reported a strange light in the sky.

@

@ A police helicopter responded to the call, and found the light.

@

@ The policemen in the helicopter reported that the UFO was larger than
@ the helicopter, and kept making ramming passes at the helicopter.

@

@ The people on the ground reported that the nearly stationary light
@ was much smaller than the helicopter, and that the helicopter kept
@ passing the object, as though it could not find it.

@

@ Both groups reported the object suddenly dived and went out.

@

@ When the sighting made the papers, the amateur weatherman who
@ released the balloon came forward and told authorities what it was.

@

@ *****

@

@ In another case in 1965 (I don't have the exact date or location), a
@ fire balloon appeared to "outrun" a jet fighter - but only from the
@ vantage point of the group of witnesses. The very slow and close
@ fire balloon just happened to be blown by the wind in such a way
@ that it stayed "ahead" of the unrelated jet which was much farther
@ away. A witness in another location would not have seen the UFO
@ to be anywhere near the jet, but in an entirely different part of the
@ sky.

@

@ *****

@

@ Like I said, the unaided human visual system can't ever make the
@ kind of measurements needed - even seasoned pilots can't do it.

@

@ Now if the observer knows what the object is, that's

@ different - he has

@ its true size in his memory, and all of the other values fall

@ into place

@ nicely.

@

@

@ ---- -X =@ o_ = X<= <o> o-< <-I-= -> <O-O-O<

@ <-88 ----

@ Larry Robinson S/AP/EIS lrobin@indiana.edu

@ Indiana University (812) 855-5073 Room 42

@ Kinesiology Dept http://php.indiana.edu/~lrobin

@ 1025 E 7th St Rm 112 HDTV and taxes killed RCA.

@ Bloomington IN 47405-7109

@

@

@

@ @ -----Original Message-----

@ @ From: Tony Eccles [mailto:tonyeccles@yahoo.com]

@ @ Sent: Thursday, October 18, 2001 03:28

@ @ To: UFORL@yahoogroups.com

@ @ Subject: RE: [UFORL] Any ideas?

@ @
@ @
@ @ Hi Larry,
@ @ I don't know how this sighting can be balloons, it
@ @ does sound like the lights are part of an aircraft of
@ @ some description. Does the witness state if the two
@ @ lights moved together in a fixed pattern or were they
@ @ independent objects? Some aircraft, notably those
@ @ carrying mail, just keep their anti-collision light
@ @ left on with no other lights in view. I think this may
@ @ be similar.
@ @ Tony
@ @
@ @ --- "Robinson, Larry" <lrobbins@indiana.edu> wrote:
@ @ > 2 Fire balloons or flare balloons?
@ @ >
@ @ > ---- -X =@ o_ = X<= <o> o-< <-I-= ->
@ @ > <O-O-O< <-88 ----
@ @ > Larry Robinson S/AP/EIS lrobbins@indiana.edu
@ @ > Indiana University (812) 855-5073
@ @ > Room 42
@ @ > Kinesiology Dept
@ @ > http://php.indiana.edu/~lrobbins
@ @ > 1025 E 7th St Rm 112 HDTV and taxes killed
@ @ > RCA.
@ @ > Bloomington IN 47405-7109
@
@
@
@
@ Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
@ http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
@
@
@
@ ----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
@ -----~-->
@ Get your FREE credit report with a FREE CreditCheck
@ Monitoring Service trial
@ http://us.click.yahoo.com/Gi0tnD/bQ8CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM
@ -----
@ -----~-->
@
@
@
@ Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
@ http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
@
@

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Get your FREE credit report with a FREE CreditCheck
Monitoring Service trial
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/Gi0tnD/bQ8CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

[illegible]

As Chalker highlights:

<FONT COLOR="#0000ff" SIZE=2 FAMILY="SANSSERIF"

FACE="Arial" LANG="0"> "The World's First DNA PCR Investigation Of

 Biological Evidence

From: "Bill Chalker" <bill_c@bigpond.com>
Date: Wed, 24 Oct 2001 13:11:09 +1000
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Re: Alien DNA in hair sample discussion
boundary="-----_NextPart_000_0014_01C15C8D.58DCE420"

<x-html>
<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
<HTML><HEAD>
<META content="text/html; charset=iso-8859-1" http-equiv=<META
content="MSHTML 5.00.2919.6307" name=GENERATOR>
<STYLE></STYLE>
</HEAD>
<BODY bgColor=#ffffff>
<DIV>
<DIV>----- Original Message ----- </DIV>
<BLOCKQUOTE
style="BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-
RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; PADDING-RIGHT: 0px">
<DIV
style="BACKGROUND: #e4e4e4; FONT: 10pt arial; font-color:
black">From:
<A href="mailto:glenUNSW@aol.com"
title=glenUNSW@aol.com>glenUNSW@aol.com
</DIV>
<DIV style="FONT: 10pt arial">To: <A
href="mailto:UFORL@yahoogroups.com"
title=UFORL@yahoogroups.com>UFORL@yahoogroups.com </DIV>
<DIV style="FONT: 10pt arial">Sent: Wednesday, October 24, 2001 3:09
AM</DIV>
<DIV style="FONT: 10pt arial">Subject: [UFORL] Re: Alien DNA in
Penis
Hair?</DIV></BLOCKQUOTE></DIV>
<DIV>Hi Glen & List,</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>I read your post very carefully, looking for any
information about the alleged 93 problems you claim you have found. The
only issue you address is the Fortean Times error linking Kary Mullis to the PCR
DNA work, which in a wild and woolly piece of logic you blame me for. Your
also seemingly twist this into a "disturbing lack of quality" on my part in a
somewhat libellous way, yet without any clear evidence. Headlines of "The
Kary Mullis error", "the error source", "Fortean or Chalker error?", "disturbing
lack of quality" would be all very well if they had substance, but they are all
"straw man" arguements, with no substance or relevance. You blame me for
other peoples errors! Extraordinary! And yet your refer to over 93
problems but don't sight any of them, claiming it would be "fruitless" and one
only needs to show "doubt". This sort of response is incredibly woolly and
totally unscientific. If you believe there are over 93 problems, do the
right thing via peer review and send them to me or list them on this list and
give me the opportunity to respond to them. That would be sound and
reasonable rather than this unsound commentary you have offered so

<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>Bill Chalker</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>Mark Pilkington's (26th September, 2001) response:</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>
<DIV>Hi Bill.</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>Ach! I'm really sorry about that - I must have misinterpreted something along the way there. Absolutely, please do provide an update or correction.</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>Bob thought you were going to write a more in depth piece about the investigation for us. In the meantime, the best thing to do would be to write an email letter correcting the error - or I could just re-use this email.</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>Apologies once again and I hope it hasn't caused anyone any trouble.</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>Mark</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV> </DIV></DIV></DIV>

<!-- |**|begin egp html banner|**| -->

<table border=0 cellpadding=2>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFCC>
<td align=center>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor</td>
</tr>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFFF>
<td align=center width=470><table border=0 cellpadding=0 cellspacing=0><tr><td align=center>ADVERTISEMENT
</td></tr></table></td>
<tr><td></td></tr></table>

<!-- |**|end egp html banner|**| -->

<tt>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.</tt>
</br>

</BODY></HTML>

</x-html>

From: "rotsky" <rotsky@wanadoo.fr>
Date: Wed, 24 Oct 2001 11:32:22 +0200
Subject: RE: [UFORL] Any ideas?

I'm sorry, but this is nonsense.

You're right about the action to take in the case of red and green lights, because, like boats, aircraft give way to other craft to their right. You're wrong about white lights. If you see a steady white light on an aircraft, that means you're looking at its tail. If you overtake, it must be to the right of the other aircraft. Aircraft can carry only four types of white light - the steady rear nav light; flashing, high-intensity strobes; landing lights; and - in the case of airliners - 'logo lights' illuminating the fin. So, by 'brilliant white' I assume you mean landing lights.

But, while studying air law, I have never seen any reference to military or diplomatic aircraft carrying only red lights, nor any reference to having to give way to them. This would be (in the context of why aircraft carry such lights to start with) rather dangerous. Can you provide the relevant FAR or ANO reference?

As for seeing them yourself, I suggest you misinterpreted what you saw.

a+
Steve

Steve MANSFIELD
Tel: +33 (0)2 33 30 89 69 * Mob: +33 (0)6 74 00 07 29
Fax: +33 (0)2 33 30 60 13 * www.webvivant.com <<http://www.webvivant.com>>

-----Original Message-----

From: Robinson, Larry [<mailto:lrobins@indiana.edu>]
Sent: 23 October 2001 06:46 Subject: RE: [UFORL] Any ideas?

I have seen them myself.

The colors on a plane, just as the colors on a boat, direct the approaching plane (or boat) to take appropriate action. If you see red, you have to pass astern of the other craft. If you see green, the other craft is to pass astern of you. If you see white, you can pass on either side. If you see brilliant white, or red AND green, you must turn to your right (the green side).

Sailboats and rowboats have multiple white lights, or red lights on the front and white ones on the back, because other boats must give way to them. Some ocean liners and tankers also have red lights that shine low, and normal navigation lights that shine high, since small craft have

to give way to them (similar to a car giving way to a train - the weight is too great for the large ship to take avoiding action in time). I have a book that shows the various lighting configurations for each class of vessel and what other classes that vessel must give way to.

Certain military aircraft, slow helicopters, unpowered aircraft, and some diplomatic planes have all red lights, because other planes must always give way to them.

---- -X =@ o_= X<= <o> o-< <-I=- -> <O-O-O< <-88 ----
Larry Robinson S/AP/EIS lrobins@indiana.edu
Indiana University (812) 855-5073 Room 42
Kinesiology Dept http://php.indiana.edu/~lrobins
1025 E 7th St Rm 112 HDTV and taxes killed RCA.
Bloomington IN 47405-7109

@ -----Original Message-----

@ From: rotsky [mailto:rotsky@wanadoo.fr]

@ Sent: Monday, October 22, 2001 14:11

@ To: UFORL@yahoogroups.com

@ Subject: RE: [UFORL] Any ideas?

@

@

@ Can you supply a source or reference to this 'all-red

@ navigation lights'

@ business? It sounds complete nonsense and I've certainly

@ never come across

@ any such thing in the Air Navigation Order.

@

@ It doesn't make much sense. The whole point of the design of

@ navigation

@ lights is that they tell you which way an aircraft is flying,

@ to help you

@ determine if there is a risk of collision. The lights have

@ deliberately, and

@ legally mandated, restricted angles of view (110 degrees for

@ the wingtip

@ lights, 140 degrees for the rear). For example, if you can

@ see one red and

@ one white light, you know that you are looking at the port side of the

@ aircraft, but that it is moving away from you (ie, you are in

@ the aircraft's

@ rear-left quarter). If you can see both red and green lights,

@ the aircraft

@ is coming at you. You would never see all three lights unless

@ directly above

@ or below the aircraft. It's quite common to see just one nav

@ light - plus
@ other lights such as high-intensity, anti-collision strobes,
@ the flashing
@ red anti-collision beacon (which must flash to differentiate
@ it from the
@ port nav light), and a tail light. Note, these are the *only*
@ other lights
@ allowed.
@
@ This scheme is needed because the angular velocity of other
@ aircraft is very
@ small until you are relatively very close.
@
@ The three basic nav lights (red, green, white, all steady)
@ are mandatory on
@ all powered aircraft flying at night. HOWEVER, on some other
@ aircraft, they
@ are optional. Gliders and free balloons need show only a
@ steady red light.
@
@ This 'all-red nav light' thing sounds like a myth or
@ something misunderstood
@ by a lay person. I'd be interested to know where it's come from.
@
@ a+
@ Steve
@
@ Steve MANSFIELD
@ Tel: +33 (0)2 33 30 89 69 * Mob: +33 (0)6 74 00 07 29
@ Fax: +33 (0)2 33 30 60 13 * www.webvivant.com
@ <<http://www.webvivant.com>>
@
@
@
@ -----Original Message-----
@ From: Robinson, Larry [mailto:lrobins@indiana.edu]
@ Sent: 22 October 2001 16:03
@ To: 'UFORL@yahooogroups.com'
@ Subject: RE: [UFORL] Any ideas?
@
@
@ It seems rather large in angular size for one aircraft. Two, maybe....
@
@ Some airliners and military vehicles have all red navigation
@ lights, an
@ indication that all other planes are to give way to them.
@
@ I was thinking of a prank where people tie railroad or highway flares
@ to helium balloons, or build hot air balloons with suit bags and
@ candles. The wind then carries them along. The following surprising

@ facts emerge from investigations where this identity was confirmed:

@

@ 1. The balloons were a are a lot closer than the witness thought.

@

@ 2. The witness size estimate was always at least 10 times larger

@ than the actual size of the object (lighted area about 1.5 feet in

@ diameter max - usually the balloon bag above is invisible, but it is

@ rarely larger than 4 feet in the longest dimension).

@

@ 3. The speed estimate was always much higher than the real speed.

@

@ 4. The altitude estimate was always much higher than the real

@ altitude.

@

@ My research indicates that these errors are due to two factors, the

@ inability of the human visual system to determine distance under

@ these circumstances, and the proclivity of the human sensory

@ system to use the first guess of what the object might be to arrive at

@ values for the size, distance, altitude, and speed.

@

@ If an unknown light or object is seen against the night sky, there is

@ no way anyone can tell how far away it is if it is farther away than

@ 30 feet.

@

@ *****

@

@ One of my favorite examples of a fire-balloon case happened in

@ Jefferson County, Kentucky on February 26, 1993:

@

@ Witnesses on the ground reported a strange light in the sky.

@

@ A police helicopter responded to the call, and found the light.

@

@ The policemen in the helicopter reported that the UFO was larger than

@ the helicopter, and kept making ramming passes at the helicopter.

@

@ The people on the ground reported that the nearly stationary light

@ was much smaller than the helicopter, and that the helicopter kept

@ passing the object, as though it could not find it.

@

@ Both groups reported the object suddenly dived and went out.

@

@ When the sighting made the papers, the amateur weatherman who

@ released the balloon came forward and told authorities what it was.

@

@ *****

@

@ In another case in 1965 (I don't have the exact date or location), a

@ fire balloon appeared to "outrun" a jet fighter - but only from the

@ vantage point of the group of witnesses. The very slow and close

@ fire balloon just happened to be blown by the wind in such a way
@ that it stayed "ahead" of the unrelated jet which was much farther
@ away. A witness in another location would not have seen the UFO
@ to be anywhere near the jet, but in an entirely different part of the
@ sky.

@

@ *****

@

@ Like I said, the unaided human visual system can't ever make the
@ kind of measurements needed - even seasoned pilots can't do it.

@

@ Now if the observer knows what the object is, that's

@ different - he has

@ its true size in his memory, and all of the other values fall

@ into place

@ nicely.

@

@

@ ---- -X =@ o_= X<= <o> o-< <-I-= -> <O-O-O<

@ <-88 ----

@ Larry Robinson S/AP/EIS lrobins@indiana.edu

@ Indiana University (812) 855-5073 Room 42

@ Kinesiology Dept http://php.indiana.edu/~lrobins

@ 1025 E 7th St Rm 112 HDTV and taxes killed RCA.

@ Bloomington IN 47405-7109

@

@

@

@ @ -----Original Message-----

@ @ From: Tony Eccles [mailto:tonyeccles@yahoo.com]

@ @ Sent: Thursday, October 18, 2001 03:28

@ @ To: UFORL@yahoogroups.com

@ @ Subject: RE: [UFORL] Any ideas?

@ @

@ @

@ @ Hi Larry,

@ @ I don't know how this sighting can be balloons, it

@ @ does sound like the lights are part of an aircraft of

@ @ some description. Does the witness state if the two

@ @ lights moved together in a fixed pattern or were they

@ @ independent objects? Some aircraft, notably those

@ @ carrying mail, just keep their anti-collision light

@ @ left on with no other lights in view. I think this may

@ @ be similar.

@ @ Tony

@ @

@ @ --- "Robinson, Larry" <lrobins@indiana.edu> wrote:

@ @ > 2 Fire balloons or flare balloons?

@ @ >

@ @ > ---- -X =@ o_= X<= <o> o-< <-I-= ->

@ @ > <O-O-O< <-88 ----
 @ @ > Larry Robinson S/AP/EIS lrobins@indiana.edu
 @ @ > Indiana University (812) 855-5073
 @ @ > Room 42
 @ @ > Kinesiology Dept
 @ @ > http://php.indiana.edu/~lrobins
 @ @ > 1025 E 7th St Rm 112 HDTV and taxes killed
 @ @ > RCA.
 @ @ > Bloomington IN 47405-7109
 @
 @
 @
 @
 @ Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
 @ http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
 @
 @
 @
 @ ----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
 @ -----~-->
 @ Get your FREE credit report with a FREE CreditCheck
 @ Monitoring Service trial
 @ http://us.click.yahoo.com/Gi0tnD/bQ8CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM
 @ -----
 @ -----~-->
 @
 @
 @
 @ Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
 @ http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
 @
 @

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
 Get your FREE credit report with a FREE CreditCheck
 Monitoring Service trial
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/Gi0tnD/bQ8CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
 -----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: James Easton <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Wed, 24 Oct 2001 16:50:24 +0100 (BST)
Subject: [UFORL] Hickson-Parker Pascagoula Case

Thanks to the 'Fortean Times' web site [www.forteanimes.com], an interesting news item which has been highlighted re the 1973 Hickson-Parker Pascagoula case:

<http://www.djournal.com/djournal/site/articles/news/699342.htm>

James Easton.
E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk
www.ufoworld.co.uk

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Get your FREE credit report with a FREE CreditCheck
Monitoring Service trial
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/Gi0tnD/bQ8CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "rotsky" <rotsky@wanadoo.fr>
Date: Wed, 24 Oct 2001 18:10:14 +0200
Subject: RE: [UFORL] Any ideas?

I forgot to point out another error in your message. You say "If you see brilliant white, or red AND green, you must turn to your right (the green side)". You're correct in saying that you should turn right. However, this will be the RED (port) side of the oncoming aircraft.

a+
Steve

-----Original Message-----

From: Robinson, Larry [mailto:lrobins@indiana.edu]
Sent: 23 October 2001 06:46Subject: RE: [UFORL] Any ideas?

I have seen them myself.

The colors on a plane, just as the colors on a boat, direct the approaching plane (or boat) to take appropriate action. If you see red, you have to pass astern of the other craft. If you see green, the other craft is to pass astern of you. If you see white, you can pass on either side. If you see brilliant white, or red AND green, you must turn to your right (the green side).

Sailboats and rowboats have multiple white lights, or red lights on the front and white ones on the back, because other boats must give way to them. Some ocean liners and tankers also have red lights that shine low, and normal navigation lights that shine high, since small craft have to give way to them (similar to a car giving way to a train - the weight is too great for the large ship to take avoiding action in time). I have a book that shows the various lighting configurations for each class of vessel and what other classes that vessel must give way to.

Certain military aircraft, slow helicopters, unpowered aircraft, and some diplomatic planes have all red lights, because other planes must always give way to them.

---- -X =@ o_ = X<= <o> o-< <-I-= -> <O-O-O< <-88 ----
Larry Robinson S/AP/EIS lrobins@indiana.edu
Indiana University (812) 855-5073 Room 42
Kinesiology Dept http://php.indiana.edu/~lrobins
1025 E 7th St Rm 112 HDTV and taxes killed RCA.
Bloomington IN 47405-7109

@ -----Original Message-----

@ From: rotsky [mailto:rotsky@wanadoo.fr]

@ Sent: Monday, October 22, 2001 14:11

@ To: UFORL@yahooogroups.com

@ Subject: RE: [UFORL] Any ideas?

@

@

@ Can you supply a source or reference to this 'all-red

@ navigation lights'

@ business? It sounds complete nonsense and I've certainly

@ never come across

@ any such thing in the Air Navigation Order.

@

@ It doesn't make much sense. The whole point of the design of

@ navigation

@ lights is that they tell you which way an aircraft is flying,

@ to help you

@ determine if there is a risk of collision. The lights have

@ deliberately, and

@ legally mandated, restricted angles of view (110 degrees for

@ the wingtip

@ lights, 140 degrees for the rear). For example, if you can

@ see one red and

@ one white light, you know that you are looking at the port side of the

@ aircraft, but that it is moving away from you (ie, you are in

@ the aircraft's

@ rear-left quarter). If you can see both red and green lights,

@ the aircraft

@ is coming at you. You would never see all three lights unless

@ directly above

@ or below the aircraft. It's quite common to see just one nav

@ light - plus

@ other lights such as high-intensity, anti-collision strobes,

@ the flashing

@ red anti-collision beacon (which must flash to differentiate

@ it from the

@ port nav light), and a tail light. Note, these are the *only*

@ other lights

@ allowed.

@

@ This scheme is needed because the angular velocity of other

@ aircraft is very

@ small until you are relatively very close.

@

@ The three basic nav lights (red, green, white, all steady)

@ are mandatory on

@ all powered aircraft flying at night. HOWEVER, on some other

@ aircraft, they

@ are optional. Gliders and free balloons need show only a

@ steady red light.

@

@ This 'all-red nav light' thing sounds like a myth or

@ something misunderstood

@ by a lay person. I'd be interested to know where it's come from.

@

@ a+

@ Steve

@

@ Steve MANSFIELD

@ Tel: +33 (0)2 33 30 89 69 * Mob: +33 (0)6 74 00 07 29

@ Fax: +33 (0)2 33 30 60 13 * www.webvivant.com

@ <<http://www.webvivant.com>>

@

@

@

@ -----Original Message-----

@ From: Robinson, Larry [<mailto:lrobins@indiana.edu>]

@ Sent: 22 October 2001 16:03

@ To: 'UFORL@yahoogroups.com'

@ Subject: RE: [UFORL] Any ideas?

@

@

@ It seems rather large in angular size for one aircraft. Two, maybe....

@

@ Some airliners and military vehicles have all red navigation

@ lights, an

@ indication that all other planes are to give way to them.

@

@ I was thinking of a prank where people tie railroad or highway flares

@ to helium balloons, or build hot air balloons with suit bags and

@ candles. The wind then carries them along. The following surprising

@ facts emerge from investigations where this identity was confirmed:

@

@ 1. The balloons were a lot closer than the witness thought.

@

@ 2. The witness size estimate was always at least 10 times larger

@ than the actual size of the object (lighted area about 1.5 feet in

@ diameter max - usually the balloon bag above is invisible, but it is

@ rarely larger than 4 feet in the longest dimension).

@

@ 3. The speed estimate was always much higher than the real speed.

@

@ 4. The altitude estimate was always much higher than the real

@ altitude.

@

@ My research indicates that these errors are due to two factors, the

@ inability of the human visual system to determine distance under

@ these circumstances, and the proclivity of the human sensory

@ system to use the first guess of what the object might be to arrive at

@ values for the size, distance, altitude, and speed.

@

@ If an unknown light or object is seen against the night sky, there is
@ no way anyone can tell how far away it is if it is farther away than
@ 30 feet.

@

@ *****

@

@ One of my favorite examples of a fire-balloon case happened in
@ Jefferson County, Kentucky on February 26, 1993:

@

@ Witnesses on the ground reported a strange light in the sky.

@

@ A police helicopter responded to the call, and found the light.

@

@ The policemen in the helicopter reported that the UFO was larger than
@ the helicopter, and kept making ramming passes at the helicopter.

@

@ The people on the ground reported that the nearly stationary light
@ was much smaller than the helicopter, and that the helicopter kept
@ passing the object, as though it could not find it.

@

@ Both groups reported the object suddenly dived and went out.

@

@ When the sighting made the papers, the amateur weatherman who
@ released the balloon came forward and told authorities what it was.

@

@ *****

@

@ In another case in 1965 (I don't have the exact date or location), a
@ fire balloon appeared to "outrun" a jet fighter - but only from the
@ vantage point of the group of witnesses. The very slow and close
@ fire balloon just happened to be blown by the wind in such a way
@ that it stayed "ahead" of the unrelated jet which was much farther
@ away. A witness in another location would not have seen the UFO
@ to be anywhere near the jet, but in an entirely different part of the
@ sky.

@

@ *****

@

@ Like I said, the unaided human visual system can't ever make the
@ kind of measurements needed - even seasoned pilots can't do it.

@

@ Now if the observer knows what the object is, that's
@ different - he has

@ its true size in his memory, and all of the other values fall
@ into place

@ nicely.

@

@

@ ---- -X =@ o_= X<= <o> o-< <-I-= -> <O-O-O<

@ <-88 ----
 @ Larry Robinson S/AP/EIS lrobins@indiana.edu
 @ Indiana University (812) 855-5073 Room 42
 @ Kinesiology Dept http://php.indiana.edu/~lrobins
 @ 1025 E 7th St Rm 112 HDTV and taxes killed RCA.
 @ Bloomington IN 47405-7109
 @
 @
 @
 @ @ -----Original Message-----
 @ @ From: Tony Eccles [mailto:tonyeccles@yahoo.com]
 @ @ Sent: Thursday, October 18, 2001 03:28
 @ @ To: UFORL@yahoogroups.com
 @ @ Subject: RE: [UFORL] Any ideas?
 @ @
 @ @
 @ @ Hi Larry,
 @ @ I don't know how this sighting can be balloons, it
 @ @ does sound like the lights are part of an aircraft of
 @ @ some description. Does the witness state if the two
 @ @ lights moved together in a fixed pattern or were they
 @ @ independent objects? Some aircraft, notably those
 @ @ carrying mail, just keep their anti-collision light
 @ @ left on with no other lights in view. I think this may
 @ @ be similar.
 @ @ Tony
 @ @
 @ @ --- "Robinson, Larry" <lrobins@indiana.edu> wrote:
 @ @ > 2 Fire balloons or flare balloons?
 @ @ >
 @ @ > ---- -X =@ o_ = X<= <o> o-< <-I-= ->
 @ @ > <O-O-O< <-88 ----
 @ @ > Larry Robinson S/AP/EIS lrobins@indiana.edu
 @ @ > Indiana University (812) 855-5073
 @ @ > Room 42
 @ @ > Kinesiology Dept
 @ @ > http://php.indiana.edu/~lrobins
 @ @ > 1025 E 7th St Rm 112 HDTV and taxes killed
 @ @ > RCA.
 @ @ > Bloomington IN 47405-7109
 @
 @
 @
 @
 @ Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
 @ http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
 @
 @
 @
 @ ----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

@ -----~-->
@ Get your FREE credit report with a FREE CreditCheck
@ Monitoring Service trial
@ <http://us.click.yahoo.com/Gi0tnD/bQ8CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
@ -----
@ -----~-->
@
@
@
@ Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
@ <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>
@
@

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Get your FREE credit report with a FREE CreditCheck
Monitoring Service trial
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/Gi0tnD/bQ8CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: cgibson@skomer.u-net.com
Date: Wed, 24 Oct 2001 19:15:34 GMT
Subject: [UFORL] Re: Any ideas?

So...these fire balloons were subject to the same wind conditions producing a parallel track.

- 1) the ambient wind conditions were a 7 - 8 knot breeze from the South East. (in fact it was almost flat calm when I was out of the car) Lights were moving from west to east at a speed in excess of an airliner.
- 2) Different winds at different levels? Of course. But would a fire balloon be so bright at high altitude (asuming fire balloons can reach such altitudes)and stay alight in such winds. Flimsy bits of kit apparently.
- 3) What type of burners do these fire balloons use? What chemicals are added to the fuel to produce a red colour?

Still no response to my question about UK fire balloons.

Chris

"You learn more and more about less and less until you know absolutely everything about nowt." My mate with the PhD.

" A sceptic thinks the TV soap "Dallas" absurd; a cynic thinks other people don't." - Clive James

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Get your FREE credit report with a FREE CreditCheck
Monitoring Service trial
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/Gi0tnD/bQ8CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "Robinson, Larry" <lrobin@indiana.edu>
Date: Wed, 24 Oct 2001 15:09:46 -0500
Subject: RE: [UFORL] Any ideas?

RIGHT!

---- -X =@ o_ = X<= <o> o-< <-I-= -> <O-O-O< <-88 ----
Larry Robinson S/AP/EIS lrobin@indiana.edu
Indiana University (812) 855-5073 Room 42
Kinesiology Dept http://php.indiana.edu/~lrobin
1025 E 7th St Rm 112 HDTV and taxes killed RCA.
Bloomington IN 47405-7109

@ -----Original Message-----

@ From: rotsky [mailto:rotsky@wanadoo.fr]

@ Sent: Wednesday, October 24, 2001 11:10

@ To: UFORL@yahoogroups.com

@ Subject: RE: [UFORL] Any ideas?

@

@

@ I forgot to point out another error in your message. You say

@ "If you see

@ brilliant white, or red AND green, you must turn to your

@ right (the green

@ side)". You're correct in saying that you should turn right.

@ However, this

@ will be the RED (port) side of the oncoming aircraft.

@

@ a+

@ Steve

@

@

@ -----Original Message-----

@ From: Robinson, Larry [mailto:lrobin@indiana.edu]

@ Sent: 23 October 2001 06:46

@ To: 'UFORL@yahoogroups.com'

@ Subject: RE: [UFORL] Any ideas?

@

@

@ I have seen them myself.

@

@ The colors on a plane, just as the colors on a boat, direct the

@ approaching plane (or boat) to take appropriate action. If you see

@ red, you have to pass astern of the other craft. If you see green, the

@ other craft is to pass astern of you. If you see white, you can pass

@ on either side. If you see brilliant white, or red AND green, you must

@ turn to your right (the green side).

@

@ Sailboats and rowboats have multiple white lights, or red
 @ lights on the
 @ front and white ones on the back, because other boats must give way
 @ to them. Some ocean liners and tankers also have red lights that shine
 @ low, and normal navigation lights that shine high, since
 @ small craft have
 @ to give way to them (similar to a car giving way to a train -
 @ the weight is
 @ too great for the large ship to take avoiding action in
 @ time). I have a
 @ book that shows the various lighting configurations for each class of
 @ vessel and what other classes that vessel must give way to.
 @
 @ Certain military aircraft, slow helicopters, unpowered aircraft, and
 @ some diplomatic planes have all red lights, because other planes
 @ must always give way to them.
 @
 @
 @ ---- -X =@ o_ = X<= <o> o-< <-I-= -> <O-O-O<
 @ <-88 ----
 @ Larry Robinson S/AP/EIS lrobbins@indiana.edu
 @ Indiana University (812) 855-5073 Room 42
 @ Kinesiology Dept http://php.indiana.edu/~lrobbins
 @ 1025 E 7th St Rm 112 HDTV and taxes killed RCA.
 @ Bloomington IN 47405-7109
 @
 @
 @
 @ @ -----Original Message-----
 @ @ From: rotsky [mailto:rotsky@wanadoo.fr]
 @ @ Sent: Monday, October 22, 2001 14:11
 @ @ To: UFORL@yahoogroups.com
 @ @ Subject: RE: [UFORL] Any ideas?
 @ @
 @ @
 @ @ Can you supply a source or reference to this 'all-red
 @ @ navigation lights'
 @ @ business? It sounds complete nonsense and I've certainly
 @ @ never come across
 @ @ any such thing in the Air Navigation Order.
 @ @
 @ @ It doesn't make much sense. The whole point of the design of
 @ @ navigation
 @ @ lights is that they tell you which way an aircraft is flying,
 @ @ to help you
 @ @ determine if there is a risk of collision. The lights have
 @ @ deliberately, and
 @ @ legally mandated, restricted angles of view (110 degrees for
 @ @ the wingtip
 @ @ lights, 140 degrees for the rear). For example, if you can

@ @ see one red and
@ @ one white light, you know that you are looking at the port
@ side of the
@ @ aircraft, but that it is moving away from you (ie, you are in
@ @ the aircraft's
@ @ rear-left quarter). If you can see both red and green lights,
@ @ the aircraft
@ @ is coming at you. You would never see all three lights unless
@ @ directly above
@ @ or below the aircraft. It's quite common to see just one nav
@ @ light - plus
@ @ other lights such as high-intensity, anti-collision strobes,
@ @ the flashing
@ @ red anti-collision beacon (which must flash to differentiate
@ @ it from the
@ @ port nav light), and a tail light. Note, these are the *only*
@ @ other lights
@ @ allowed.
@ @
@ @ This scheme is needed because the angular velocity of other
@ @ aircraft is very
@ @ small until you are relatively very close.
@ @
@ @ The three basic nav lights (red, green, white, all steady)
@ @ are mandatory on
@ @ all powered aircraft flying at night. HOWEVER, on some other
@ @ aircraft, they
@ @ are optional. Gliders and free balloons need show only a
@ @ steady red light.
@ @
@ @ This 'all-red nav light' thing sounds like a myth or
@ @ something misunderstood
@ @ by a lay person. I'd be interested to know where it's come from.
@ @
@ @ a+
@ @ Steve
@ @
@ @ Steve MANSFIELD
@ @ Tel: +33 (0)2 33 30 89 69 * Mob: +33 (0)6 74 00 07 29
@ @ Fax: +33 (0)2 33 30 60 13 * www.webvivant.com
@ @ <<http://www.webvivant.com>>
@ @
@ @
@ @
@ @ -----Original Message-----
@ @ From: Robinson, Larry [<mailto:lrobins@indiana.edu>]
@ @ Sent: 22 October 2001 16:03
@ @ To: 'UFORL@yahoogroups.com'
@ @ Subject: RE: [UFORL] Any ideas?
@ @

@ @

@ @ It seems rather large in angular size for one aircraft.

@ Two, maybe....

@ @

@ @ Some airliners and military vehicles have all red navigation

@ @ lights, an

@ @ indication that all other planes are to give way to them.

@ @

@ @ I was thinking of a prank where people tie railroad or

@ highway flares

@ @ to helium balloons, or build hot air balloons with suit bags and

@ @ candles. The wind then carries them along. The following surprising

@ @ facts emerge from investigations where this identity was confirmed:

@ @

@ @ 1. The balloons were a are a lot closer than the witness thought.

@ @

@ @ 2. The witness size estimate was always at least 10 times larger

@ @ than the actual size of the object (lighted area about 1.5 feet in

@ @ diameter max - usually the balloon bag above is invisible, but it is

@ @ rarely larger than 4 feet in the longest dimension).

@ @

@ @ 3. The speed estimate was always much higher than the real speed.

@ @

@ @ 4. The altitude estimate was always much higher than the real

@ @ altitude.

@ @

@ @ My research indicates that these errors are due to two factors, the

@ @ inability of the human visual system to determine distance under

@ @ these circumstances, and the proclivity of the human sensory

@ @ system to use the first guess of what the object might be

@ to arrive at

@ @ values for the size, distance, altitude, and speed.

@ @

@ @ If an unknown light or object is seen against the night

@ sky, there is

@ @ no way anyone can tell how far away it is if it is farther away than

@ @ 30 feet.

@ @

@ @ *****

@ @

@ @ One of my favorite examples of a fire-balloon case happened in

@ @ Jefferson County, Kentucky on February 26, 1993:

@ @

@ @ Witnesses on the ground reported a strange light in the sky.

@ @

@ @ A police helicopter responded to the call, and found the light.

@ @

@ @ The policemen in the helicopter reported that the UFO was

@ larger than

@ @ the helicopter, and kept making ramming passes at the helicopter.

@ @

@ @ The people on the ground reported that the nearly stationary light
@ @ was much smaller than the helicopter, and that the helicopter kept
@ @ passing the object, as though it could not find it.

@ @

@ @ Both groups reported the object suddenly dived and went out.

@ @

@ @ When the sighting made the papers, the amateur weatherman who
@ @ released the balloon came forward and told authorities what it was.

@ @

@ @ *****

@ @

@ @ In another case in 1965 (I don't have the exact date or location), a
@ @ fire balloon appeared to "outrun" a jet fighter - but only from the
@ @ vantage point of the group of witnesses. The very slow and close
@ @ fire balloon just happened to be blown by the wind in such a way
@ @ that it stayed "ahead" of the unrelated jet which was much farther
@ @ away. A witness in another location would not have seen the UFO
@ @ to be anywhere near the jet, but in an entirely different
@ part of the

@ @ sky.

@ @

@ @ *****

@ @

@ @ Like I said, the unaided human visual system can't ever make the
@ @ kind of measurements needed - even seasoned pilots can't do it.

@ @

@ @ Now if the observer knows what the object is, that's

@ @ different - he has

@ @ its true size in his memory, and all of the other values fall

@ @ into place

@ @ nicely.

@ @

@ @

@ @ ---- -X =@ o_ = X<= <o> o-< <-I=- -> <O-O-O<

@ @ <-88 ----

@ @ Larry Robinson S/AP/EIS lrobins@indiana.edu

@ @ Indiana University (812) 855-5073 Room 42

@ @ Kinesiology Dept http://php.indiana.edu/~lrobins

@ @ 1025 E 7th St Rm 112 HDTV and taxes killed RCA.

@ @ Bloomington IN 47405-7109

@ @

@ @

@ @

@ @ @ -----Original Message-----

@ @ @ From: Tony Eccles [mailto:tonyeccles@yahoo.com]

@ @ @ Sent: Thursday, October 18, 2001 03:28

@ @ @ To: UFORL@yahoogroups.com

@ @ @ Subject: RE: [UFORL] Any ideas?

@ @ @

@ @ @
@ @ @ Hi Larry,
@ @ @ I don't know how this sighting can be balloons, it
@ @ @ does sound like the lights are part of an aircraft of
@ @ @ some description. Does the witness state if the two
@ @ @ lights moved together in a fixed pattern or were they
@ @ @ independent objects? Some aircraft, notably those
@ @ @ carrying mail, just keep their anti-collision light
@ @ @ left on with no other lights in view. I think this may
@ @ @ be similar.
@ @ @ Tony
@ @ @
@ @ @ --- "Robinson, Larry" <lrobin@indiana.edu> wrote:
@ @ @ > 2 Fire balloons or flare balloons?
@ @ @ >
@ @ @ > ---- -X =@ o_ = X<= <o> o-< <-I=- ->
@ @ @ > <O-O-O< <-88 ----
@ @ @ > Larry Robinson S/AP/EIS lrobin@indiana.edu
@ @ @ > Indiana University (812) 855-5073
@ @ @ > Room 42
@ @ @ > Kinesiology Dept
@ @ @ > http://php.indiana.edu/~lrobin
@ @ @ > 1025 E 7th St Rm 112 HDTV and taxes killed
@ @ @ > RCA.
@ @ @ > Bloomington IN 47405-7109
@ @
@ @
@ @
@ @
@ @ Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
@ @ http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
@ @
@ @
@ @
@ @ ----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
@ @ -----~-->
@ @ Get your FREE credit report with a FREE CreditCheck
@ @ Monitoring Service trial
@ @ http://us.click.yahoo.com/Gi0tnD/bQ8CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM
@ @ -----
@ @ -----~-->
@ @
@ @
@ @
@ @ Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
@ @ http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
@ @
@ @
@
@

@
@
@ Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
@ <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>
@
@
@
@
@ ----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
@ -----~-->
@ Get your FREE credit report with a FREE CreditCheck
@ Monitoring Service trial
@ <http://us.click.yahoo.com/Gi0tnD/bQ8CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
@ -----
@ -----~-->
@
@
@
@ Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
@ <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>
@
@

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Terrorist Attacks on U.S. - How can you help?
Donate cash, emergency relief information
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/d49MCB/3WDDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "rotsky" <rotsky@wanadoo.fr>
Date: Thu, 25 Oct 2001 11:36:00 +0200
Subject: RE: [UFORL] Any ideas?

By the way, Larry, the reason I'm so keen to get this issue of nav lights sorted is that aircraft play such a large role in supposed UFO sightings. I've lost count of the number of times I've seen ufologists spout so-called aviation facts (I'm not counting you in this!) to support their claims that such-and-such 'couldn't have been an aircraft' or 'must have been a top secret aircraft' and so on. Very often, UFO reports show a lack of knowledge, lack of understanding or complete misrepresentation of aviation facts, rules and procedures. They also frequently show no understanding of the nature of flight, and particularly how it is perceived from the ground ("it couldn't have been an aircraft because there was no noise (it's high or it's windy), it seemed to stop/suddenly accelerate (it's turned), it was too slow (it's high, or coming straight at you)...")

So I'm not having a go at you... just want to get this clear. For the record, here are the Federal Aviation Regulations, FAR 25.1385, FAR 25.1397 and FAR 25.1401, that stipulate lights to be carried and their colour:

§ 25.1385 Position light system installation.

(a) General. Each part of each position light system must meet the applicable requirements of this section and each system as a whole must meet the requirements of §§ 25.1387 through 25.1397.

(b) Forward position lights. Forward position lights must consist of a red and a green light spaced laterally as far apart as practicable and installed forward on the airplane so that, with the airplane in the normal flying position, the red light is on the left side and the green light is on the right side. Each light must be approved.

(c) Rear position light. The rear position light must be a white light mounted as far aft as practicable on the tail or on each wing tip, and must be approved.

(d) Light covers and color filters. Each light cover or color filter must be at least flame resistant and may not change color or shape or lose any appreciable light transmission during normal use.

[Doc. No. 5066, 29 FR 18291, Dec. 24, 1964, as amended by Amdt. 25-38, 41 FR 55468, Dec. 20, 1976]

§ 25.1397 Color specifications.

Each position light color must have the applicable International Commission on Illumination chromaticity coordinates as follows:

(a) Aviation red --

"y" is not greater than 0.335; and

"z" is not greater than 0.002.

(b) Aviation green --

"x" is not greater than 0.440-0.320 y ;

"x" is not greater than y -- 0.170; and

"y" is not less than 0.390-0.170 x.

(c) Aviation white --

"x" is not less than 0.300 and not greater than 0.540;
 "y" is not less than "x -- 0.040" or "y0 -- 0.010", whichever is the smaller; and
 "y" is not greater than "x+0.020" nor "0.636-0.400 x";
 Where "y0" is the "y" coordinate of the Planckian radiator for the value of "x" considered.
 [Doc. No. 5066, 29 FR 18291, Dec. 24, 1964, as amended by Amdt. 25-27, 36 FR 12972, July 10, 1971]

§ 25.1401 Anticollision light system.

- (a) General. The airplane must have an anticollision light system that --
- (1) Consists of one or more approved anticollision lights located so that their light will not impair the crew's vision or detract from the conspicuity of the position lights; and
 - (2) Meets the requirements of paragraphs (b) through (f) of this section.
- (b) Field of coverage. The system must consist of enough lights to illuminate the vital areas around the airplane considering the physical configuration and flight characteristics of the airplane. The field of coverage must extend in each direction within at least 75 degrees above and 75 degrees below the horizontal plane of the airplane, except that a solid angle or angles of obstructed visibility totaling not more than 0.03 steradians is allowable within a solid angle equal to 0.15 steradians centered about the longitudinal axis in the rearward direction.
- (c) Flashing characteristics. The arrangement of the system, that is, the number of light sources, beam width, speed of rotation, and other characteristics, must give an effective flash frequency of not less than 40, nor more than 100 cycles per minute. The effective flash frequency is the frequency at which the airplane's complete anticollision light system is observed from a distance, and applies to each sector of light including any overlaps that exist when the system consists of more than one light source. In overlaps, flash frequencies may exceed 100, but not 180 cycles per minute.
- (d) Color. Each anticollision light must be either aviation red or aviation white and must meet the applicable requirements of § 25.1397.
- (e) Light intensity. The minimum light intensities in all vertical planes, measured with the red filter (if used) and expressed in terms of "effective" intensities, must meet the requirements of paragraph (f) of this section. The following relation must be assumed:
 [equation graphic missing - can't be easily simulated in ASCII]
 where: I_e =effective intensity (candles). $I(t)$ =instantaneous intensity as a function of time. t_2 -- t_1 =flash time interval (seconds). Normally, the maximum value of effective intensity is obtained when t_2 and t_1 are chosen so that the effective intensity is equal to the instantaneous intensity at t_2 and t_1 .
- (f) Minimum effective intensities for anticollision lights. Each anticollision light effective intensity must equal or exceed the applicable values in the following table.

 Effective

Angle above or below the horizontal plane (candles)	intensity
0 deg. to 5 deg.....	400
5 deg. to 10 deg.....	240
10 deg. to 20 deg.....	80
20 deg. to 30 deg.....	40
30 deg. to 75 deg.....	20

[Doc. No. 5066, 29 FR 18291, Dec. 24, 1964, as amended by Amdt. 25-27, 36 FR 12972, July 10, 1971; Amdt. 25-41, 42 FR 36970, July 18, 1977]

a+
Steve

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
 Get your FREE credit report with a FREE CreditCheck
 Monitoring Service trial
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/Gi0tnD/bQ8CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
 -----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: glenUNSW@aol.com
Date: Thu, 25 Oct 2001 13:13:37 EDT
Subject: [UFORL] Re: Alien DNA in Penis Hair?
boundary="part1_14a.302fca6.2909a241_boundary"

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

<td align=center>ADVERTISEMENT
</td>

</tr>

</table></td>

</tr>

<tr><td></td></tr>

</table>

<!-- |**|end egg html banner|**| -->

<tt>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.</tt>

</br>

</HTML>

</x-html>

[illegible]

[illegible]

<td align=center>ADVERTISEMENT
</td>

</tr>

</table></td>

</tr>

<tr><td></td></tr>

</table>

<!-- |**|end egg html banner|**| -->

<tt>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.</tt>

</br>

</HTML>

</x-html>

From: "Robinson, Larry" <lrobin@indiana.edu>
Date: Thu, 25 Oct 2001 14:43:13 -0500
Subject: RE: [UFORL] Re: Any ideas?

See below

---- -X =@ o_ = X<= <o> o-< <-I-= -> <O-O-O< <-88 ----
Larry Robinson S/AP/EIS lrobin@indiana.edu
Indiana University (812) 855-5073 Room 42
Kinesiology Dept http://php.indiana.edu/~lrobin
1025 E 7th St Rm 112 HDTV and taxes killed RCA.
Bloomington IN 47405-7109

@ -----Original Message-----

@ From: cgibson@skomer.u-net.com [mailto:cgibson@skomer.u-net.com]

@ Sent: Wednesday, October 24, 2001 14:16

@ To: UFORL@yahoogroups.com

@ Subject: [UFORL] Re: Any ideas?

@

@

@

@ So...these fire balloons were subject to the same wind

@ conditions producing

@ a parallel track.

@

@ 1) the ambient wind conditions were a 7 - 8 knot breeze from the South

@ East. (in fact it was almost flat calm when I was out of the

@ car)

So far, so good.

@ Lights

@ were moving from west to east at a speed in excess of an airliner.

You can NOT know this. You cannot know the actual speed any more than you can know the actual size or distance, without additional visual aids.

Your speed estimate is based on your GUESS that it was an aircraft, which then implied aircraft size and distance values. But if the object was really a lot smaller and closer, the speed was a lot lower too.

@ 2) Different winds at different levels? Of course. But would

@ a fire balloon

@ be so bright at high altitude (assuming fire balloons can reach such

@ altitudes) and stay alight in such winds. Flimsy bits of kit

@ apparently.

The wind on the ground is usually less than the wind at 50 feet up, due to the frictional effects of houses, hills, trees, etc.

Fire balloons rarely exceed 1000 feet in altitude.

@ 3) What type of burners do these fire balloons use? What chemicals @ are added to the fuel to produce a red colour?

The typical burner consists of several candles. At very small angular sizes at night, the eye sees a more saturated color than what is actually there. The color of the candle wax can enter into it too, since it is illuminated by the flame. In my first fire balloon sighting, one balloon was yellow-orange, and the other was red-orange, both more saturated than I would expect from a candle.

Try this experiment. Get some candles of various colors, set them up, and light them. Then walk away until the flames are star sized. Observe the colors.

A variant uses a helium balloon and a railroad or highway flare. There, the color is the color of the flare, and the object is much brighter. In this case, strontium salts produce the red.

@ Still no response to my question about UK fire balloons.

I've been busy. I don't have any recent UK cases. The last confirmed fire balloon case I have is in Arizona in 1997, seen shortly after the Phoenix flare drop, on the same night.

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/Pv4pGD/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "Robinson, Larry" <lrobin@indiana.edu>
Date: Thu, 25 Oct 2001 14:43:13 -0500
Subject: RE: [UFORL] Re: Any ideas?

See below

---- -X =@ o_ = X<= <o> o-< <-I-= -> <O-O-O< <-88 ----
Larry Robinson S/AP/EIS lrobin@indiana.edu
Indiana University (812) 855-5073 Room 42
Kinesiology Dept http://php.indiana.edu/~lrobin
1025 E 7th St Rm 112 HDTV and taxes killed RCA.
Bloomington IN 47405-7109

@ -----Original Message-----

@ From: cgibson@skomer.u-net.com [mailto:cgibson@skomer.u-net.com]

@ Sent: Wednesday, October 24, 2001 14:16

@ To: UFORL@yahoogroups.com

@ Subject: [UFORL] Re: Any ideas?

@

@

@

@ So...these fire balloons were subject to the same wind

@ conditions producing

@ a parallel track.

@

@ 1) the ambient wind conditions were a 7 - 8 knot breeze from the South

@ East. (in fact it was almost flat calm when I was out of the

@ car)

So far, so good.

@ Lights

@ were moving from west to east at a speed in excess of an airliner.

You can NOT know this. You cannot know the actual speed any more than you can know the actual size or distance, without additional visual aids.

Your speed estimate is based on your GUESS that it was an aircraft, which then implied aircraft size and distance values. But if the object was really a lot smaller and closer, the speed was a lot lower too.

@ 2) Different winds at different levels? Of course. But would

@ a fire balloon

@ be so bright at high altitude (assuming fire balloons can reach such

@ altitudes) and stay alight in such winds. Flimsy bits of kit

@ apparently.

The wind on the ground is usually less than the wind at 50 feet up, due to the frictional effects of houses, hills, trees, etc.

Fire balloons rarely exceed 1000 feet in altitude.

@ 3) What type of burners do these fire balloons use? What chemicals @ are added to the fuel to produce a red colour?

The typical burner consists of several candles. At very small angular sizes at night, the eye sees a more saturated color than what is actually there. The color of the candle wax can enter into it too, since it is illuminated by the flame. In my first fire balloon sighting, one balloon was yellow-orange, and the other was red-orange, both more saturated than I would expect from a candle.

Try this experiment. Get some candles of various colors, set them up, and light them. Then walk away until the flames are star sized. Observe the colors.

A variant uses a helium balloon and a railroad or highway flare. There, the color is the color of the flare, and the object is much brighter. In this case, strontium salts produce the red.

@ Still no response to my question about UK fire balloons.

I've been busy. I don't have any recent UK cases. The last confirmed fire balloon case I have is in Arizona in 1997, seen shortly after the Phoenix flare drop, on the same night.

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/Pv4pGD/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "Greg Sandow" <greg@gregsandow.com>
Date: Thu, 25 Oct 2001 16:41:37 -0400
Subject: RE: [UFORL] Re: Alien DNA in Penis Hair?
boundary="-----_NextPart_000_000A_01C15D73.EA6E3D80"

<x-html>
<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
<HTML><HEAD>
<META HTTP-EQUIV="<META content="MSHTML 5.00.2919.6307" name=GENERATOR></HEAD>
<BODY>
<BLOCKQUOTE
style="BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; PADDING-
LEFT: 5px">
<DIV><FONT color=#000000 face=Arial lang=0
size=2
FAMILY="SANSSERIF">When one gets mistakes like yours applying to editors

and such, people who deal with complex journal and
production
problems
every month, then the problems is
most likely on your side.

As I
have said "Fortean Times" is a Journal
full of interesting and crazy
things that humans
encounter each month, an enjoyable and essential

piece of reading, it is a quality Journal open to
<FONT
color=#ff0000 face=Arial lang=0 size=2
FAMILY="SANSSERIF">syntax<FONT
color=#000000 face=Arial lang=0 size=2 FAMILY="SANSSERIF"> or
<FONT
color=#ff0000 face=Arial lang=0 size=2 FAMILY="SANSSERIF">typographical
error, but
this was
not one. <FONT face="Book Antiqua"
size=3> </DIV>
<DIV><SPAN
class=720412320-25102001> </DIV>
<DIV><SPAN
class=720412320-25102001>I<FONT face="Book
Antiqua"><SPAN
class=720412320-25102001>'m sorry, but this -- not to mince words -- is
utterly, completely ridiculous. </DIV>
<DIV><SPAN
class=720412320-25102001> </DIV>
<DIV>I don't
know
what Glen Hough's experience might be, but I speak after 20 years as a
professional journalist. My field is music, and I've written for major
newspapers, like the Wall Street Journal and the New York Times, as well as
for large-circulation national magazines like Vanity Fair and Entertainment
Weekly (where I was a senior editor myself). Needless to say, I have many
friends and colleagues among writers and editors who work for similar

publications. </DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>Editors, I'm

sorry to say, make gigantic mistakes. So do writers, of course, but <any seasoned professional writer will simply laugh at you if you tell him or her <that any substantial mistake that appears in any story <can be presumed to be the writer's fault. I'm talking not just about what's claimed to be the author's text (but, as I'll explain, might not be) , but also about such things as headlines, pullquotes, or picture captions, <which normally the writer never sees before publication.</DIV>

<DIV><SPAN

class=720412320-25102001> </DIV>
<DIV>But then often

enough the writer doesn't even see the main text before publication. Many publications change what the writer wrote, allowing their editors to rewrite with a free hand, never consulting the writer at all. Partly as a result of this, I've seen editors mangle writers' copy so that it says nothing that the writer intended. I've seen editors substitute their own opinions for the writer's. (And, when confronted about it -- this happened to me at Entertainment Weekly -- say that they did it on purpose, because they disagreed with what the writer said. This was in a music review! The editor liked the lead singer of INXS better than I did, and refused to let my critical comments about him appear in print.) </DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>I've seen

editors change a sentence or two, with the intention of improving the writer's style, and change the writer's meaning entirely, without realizing it. </DIV>

<DIV>I've seen

editors get the name of the most important person in a story wrong, entirely on their own, after the writer stated it correctly. (This happened to me at Musician magazine.) I've seen editors not exercise the most elementary editorial oversight -- for example, in making sure writers cover all necessary sources in writing a story, or seek contrary opinions. I've seen editors write headlines that misstate the content of a story. </DIV>

<DIV><SPAN

class=720412320-25102001> </DIV>

<DIV>Writers make

mistakes, too, of course, and often editors catch them. Proofreaders catch

still more mistakes that neither writers nor editors had any idea of. (These might go beyond pure typographical errors.) But, again, the idea that a substantial mistake that appears in print can be assumed to be the writer's fault is comical nonsense. </DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>For corroboration, check the "corrections" section in the New York Times. It runs daily. Many of the items carry the phrase "due to an editing error." Often enough they're more significant than mere typos. </DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>Greg Sadow</DIV></BLOCKQUOTE>

<!-- |**|begin egp html banner|**| -->

<table border=0 cellpadding=2>

<tr bgcolor=#FFFFCC>

<td align=center>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor</td>

</tr>

<tr bgcolor=#FFFFFF>

<td align=center width=470><table border=0 cellpadding=0 cellspacing=0><tr><td align=center>ADVERTISEMENT
</td></tr></table></td>

</tr>

<tr><td></td></tr>

</table>

<!-- |**|end egp html banner|**| -->

<tt>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.</tt>

</br>

</BODY></HTML>

</x-html>

From: "Greg Sandow" <greg@gregsandow.com>
Date: Thu, 25 Oct 2001 16:41:37 -0400
Subject: RE: [UFORL] Re: Alien DNA in Penis Hair?
boundary="-----_NextPart_000_000A_01C15D73.EA6E3D80"

<x-html>
<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
<HTML><HEAD>
<META HTTP-EQUIV="<META content="MSHTML 5.00.2919.6307" name=GENERATOR></HEAD>
<BODY>
<BLOCKQUOTE
style="BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; PADDING-
LEFT: 5px">
<DIV><FONT color=#000000 face=Arial lang=0
size=2
FAMILY="SANSSERIF">When one gets mistakes like yours applying to editors

and such, people who deal with complex journal and
production
problems
every month, then the problems is
most likely on your side.

As I
have said "Fortean Times" is a Journal
full of interesting and crazy
things that humans
encounter each month, an enjoyable and essential

piece of reading, it is a quality Journal open to
<FONT
color=#ff0000 face=Arial lang=0 size=2
FAMILY="SANSSERIF">syntax<FONT
color=#000000 face=Arial lang=0 size=2 FAMILY="SANSSERIF"> or
<FONT
color=#ff0000 face=Arial lang=0 size=2 FAMILY="SANSSERIF">typographical
error, but
this was
not one. <FONT face="Book Antiqua"
size=3> </DIV>
<DIV><SPAN
class=720412320-25102001> </DIV>
<DIV><SPAN
class=720412320-25102001>I<FONT face="Book
Antiqua"><SPAN
class=720412320-25102001>'m sorry, but this -- not to mince words -- is
utterly, completely ridiculous. </DIV>
<DIV><SPAN
class=720412320-25102001> </DIV>
<DIV>I don't
know
what Glen Hough's experience might be, but I speak after 20 years as a
professional journalist. My field is music, and I've written for major
newspapers, like the Wall Street Journal and the New York Times, as well as
for large-circulation national magazines like Vanity Fair and Entertainment
Weekly (where I was a senior editor myself). Needless to say, I have many
friends and colleagues among writers and editors who work for similar

publications. </DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>Editors, I'm

sorry to say, make gigantic mistakes. So do writers, of course, but <any seasoned professional writer will simply laugh at you if you tell him or her <that any substantial mistake that appears in any story <can be presumed to be the writer's fault. I'm talking not just about what's claimed to be the author's text (but, as I'll explain, might not be) , but also about such things as headlines, pullquotes, or picture captions, <which normally the writer never sees before publication.</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>But then often

enough the writer doesn't even see the main text before publication. Many publications change what the writer wrote, allowing their editors to rewrite with a free hand, never consulting the writer at all. Partly as a result of this, I've seen editors mangle writers' copy so that it says nothing that the writer intended. I've seen editors substitute their own opinions for the writer's. (And, when confronted about it -- this happened to me at Entertainment Weekly -- say that they did it on purpose, because they disagreed with what the writer said. This was in a music review! The editor liked the lead singer of INXS better than I did, and refused to let my critical comments about him appear in print.) </DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>I've seen

editors change a sentence or two, with the intention of improving the writer's style, and change the writer's meaning entirely, without realizing it. </DIV>

<DIV>I've seen

editors get the name of the most important person in a story wrong, entirely on their own, after the writer stated it correctly. (This happened to me at Musician magazine.) I've seen editors not exercise the most elementary editorial oversight -- for example, in making sure writers cover all necessary sources in writing a story, or seek contrary opinions. I've seen editors write headlines that misstate the content of a story. </DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>Writers make

mistakes, too, of course, and often editors catch them. Proofreaders catch

still more mistakes that neither writers nor editors had any idea of. (These might go beyond pure typographical errors.) But, again, the idea that a substantial mistake that appears in print can be assumed to be the writer's fault is comical nonsense. </DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>For corroboration, check the "corrections" section in the New York Times. It runs daily. Many of the items carry the phrase "due to an editing error." Often enough they're more significant than mere typos. </DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>Greg Sadow</DIV></BLOCKQUOTE>

<!-- |**|begin egp html banner|**| -->

<table border=0 cellpadding=2>

<tr bgcolor=#FFFFCC>

<td align=center>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor</td>

</tr>

<tr bgcolor=#FFFFFF>

<td align=center width=470><table border=0 cellpadding=0 cellspacing=0><tr><td align=center>ADVERTISEMENT
</td></tr></table></td>

</tr>

<tr><td></td></tr>

</table>

<!-- |**|end egp html banner|**| -->

<tt>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.</tt>

</br>

</BODY></HTML>

</x-html>

From: cgibson@skomer.u-net.com
Date: Thu, 25 Oct 2001 22:13:21 GMT
Subject: [UFORL] Re: Any ideas?

OK, fire balloons, in formation. I'll remember that next time a pair of red lights come over the horizon, pass overhead and disappear over the other horizon. At a rate that compares more than favourably with the 747 on the North South air route. In an area with 3 major airports within 30 miles, under a major air route.

I had hoped for better, but fire balloons it is.

Obviously I've been watching the wrong patch of sky for 10 years.

Chris

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/Pv4pGD/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: cgibson@skomer.u-net.com
Date: Thu, 25 Oct 2001 22:13:21 GMT
Subject: [UFORL] Re: Any ideas?

OK, fire balloons, in formation. I'll remember that next time a pair of red lights come over the horizon, pass overhead and disappear over the other horizon. At a rate that compares more than favourably with the 747 on the North South air route. In an area with 3 major airports within 30 miles, under a major air route.

I had hoped for better, but fire balloons it is.

Obviously I've been watching the wrong patch of sky for 10 years.

Chris

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/Pv4pGD/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: Mendoza <Horseman@onetel.net.uk>
Date: Thu, 25 Oct 2001 23:58:09 +0100
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Re: Alien DNA in Penis Hair?

At 18:13 +0100 25/10/01, glenUNSW@aol.com wrote:

[...]

>ANOTHER ERROR BY A JOURNAL?

[...]

>"UFO magazine in the US made an even bigger error
>when they carried an article under my byline "DNA
>Investigation of Biological Evidence from An Alien
>Abduction" in their April/May 2001 edition."

Glen obviously hasn't had much published in the
UFO & paranormal press, tee hee. The stories I could
tell you about UFO Magazine (USA) and their inability
to read what one sends them...

Fortean Times's error is the kind that happens
all the time in magazines, by staff working to
deadlines, scanning copy & trying to redact it into
some laughably small number of words. The results
are extremely unhelpful to everyone, but it's
hardly unusual.

And it's NOT the writer's (or source's) fault.

Now, I happen to have grave doubts that that funny
hair around that geezer's willy was from anywhere
outside Mother Earth's atmosphere, but if someone
is going to claim that Bill Chalker has made 93
errors in almost as many (LOL) words, then please
let's see what they are. And please let's have
evidence of errors in science, method, fact, and
analysis, not some silly arm-waving claim that
appears to be difficult of justification -- errors,
that is, made by the investigators, not by an over-
stretched sub on FT or by a dewy-eyed true believer
from California. It is exactly *because* I have a
huge reservoir of respect for Bill Chalker -- who
knows more about UFOs, I suspect, than I ever will
-- that I want to see these alleged errors tabulated
and spelled out. And not least among those wanting
to know where he may have gone wrong, I suspect, is
Bill Chalker. So. Where's the beef, as they say?

best wishes
Peter B

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/Pv4pGD/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: Mendoza <Horseman@onetel.net.uk>
Date: Thu, 25 Oct 2001 23:58:09 +0100
Subject: RE: [UFORL] Any ideas?

At 10:36 +0100 25/10/01, rotsky wrote:

>By the way, Larry, the reason I'm so keen
>to get this issue of nav lights sorted is
>that aircraft play such a large role in
>supposed UFO sightings.

[...]

>So I'm not having a go at you... just want to
>get this clear. For the record, here are the
>Federal Aviation Regulations, FAR 25.1385, FAR 25.1397
>and FAR 25.1401, that stipulate lights to be
>carried and their colour:

Hear hear to the first paragraph, but as for the
second... useful, but perhaps not in this case,
which occurred in Leicestershire, England.

No matter what the law may say Joe Public must or
must not do, there are significant exeptions for
Crown Servants (which include anyone on active
military service, the police, &c) in the UK and,
for all I know, for military aircraft in the US.
One that I happen to know about is the issue of
firearms. Crown Servants may possess and use
firearms in the course of their duties without
the requirement to be licensed, unlike the rest of
us, and to the occasional chagrin of innocent
parties slaughtered in their beds by over-
enthusiastic policemen.

Lest you think I digress, I have lived in various
parts of rural Wales for 10 years and have seen
hundreds of military aircraft on exercise &
training, dozens of them at very close quarters
and dozens at night, these last at various speeds
& altitudes while I was out in the fields helping
to diminish the numbers of Mr Fuchs McNab's many
friends & relations. In the UK military aircraft
whizz by at low altitude at night showing only two
lights -- both red, set fore & aft along/below the
fuselage. Depending on the angle (and for all I
know different aircraft have different settings
too) these appear to flash, or not -- depending,

I imagine, on the viewpoint you have of what are probably revolving lights. The nearest I've been to one of these was a C-130 flying *below* my vantage point about 800ft ASL and about 3-400ft distant. Being quite familiar with Alberts at close & other ranges, and with the terrain where this occurred, I'm reasonably sure of the distance. It carried two flashing (revolving) red beacons & nothing else. At slightly higher altitudes I've seen various fast movers similarly lit -- Harriers, F-15Es, Tornados, Hawks, & Jaguars. All these can be ID'd with a good night rifle scope. But none was carrying (or at least had lit) standard nav. red, green or white lights. (In daylight, for what it's worth, most fast movers fly with their landing lights on; the regular Tuesday 7:00pm 3-plane ?SAS? Albert flight over the Preselis in daylight didn't, but did display std nav lights.) Much higher, but still not in commercial airspace, I've also spotted the occasional exec. jet at night showing the two red beacons *and* std nav lights; some general on his way to Belfast, or wherever, one assumes. Navy Buccaneers also fly high, for some reason, & I've seen them only in daylight, so can't report on their lighting configuration.

The last time I asked roomful of knowledgeable Americans, they confirmed that US mil. aircraft also show two red lights fore & aft at night. What *else* they may show I didn't ask -- it wasn't to the purpose at the time.

Whether or not these planes *in the UK* are not conforming to Federal aviation law, or are observing an esoteric tradition that ignores any other law of the air, is neither here nor there. The fact is, that is how they fly, in my experience, which may well be more extensive than the average townie's who has neither sheep nor fowl to protect, and in addition doesn't normally go to bed at 4:00am, no matter how many law books he may have to read. So there is at least the possibility that that is what Chris Gibson saw. What slightly surprises me is that Chris was, I understand, once a member of the ROC, and might be expected to consider military aircraft lights as a possible solution to his problem. Is there a reason for that we haven't heard about?

best wishes
Peter B

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/Pv4pGD/4m7CAA/ySSF/AA/19XolB/TM>
-----~->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: Mendoza <Horseman@onetel.net.uk>
Date: Thu, 25 Oct 2001 23:58:09 +0100
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Re: Alien DNA in Penis Hair?

At 18:13 +0100 25/10/01, glenUNSW@aol.com wrote:

[...]

>ANOTHER ERROR BY A JOURNAL?

[...]

>"UFO magazine in the US made an even bigger error
>when they carried an article under my byline "DNA
>Investigation of Biological Evidence from An Alien
>Abduction" in their April/May 2001 edition."

Glen obviously hasn't had much published in the
UFO & paranormal press, tee hee. The stories I could
tell you about UFO Magazine (USA) and their inability
to read what one sends them...

Fortean Times's error is the kind that happens
all the time in magazines, by staff working to
deadlines, scanning copy & trying to redact it into
some laughably small number of words. The results
are extremely unhelpful to everyone, but it's
hardly unusual.

And it's NOT the writer's (or source's) fault.

Now, I happen to have grave doubts that that funny
hair around that geezer's willy was from anywhere
outside Mother Earth's atmosphere, but if someone
is going to claim that Bill Chalker has made 93
errors in almost as many (LOL) words, then please
let's see what they are. And please let's have
evidence of errors in science, method, fact, and
analysis, not some silly arm-waving claim that
appears to be difficult of justification -- errors,
that is, made by the investigators, not by an over-
stretched sub on FT or by a dewy-eyed true believer
from California. It is exactly *because* I have a
huge reservoir of respect for Bill Chalker -- who
knows more about UFOs, I suspect, than I ever will
-- that I want to see these alleged errors tabulated
and spelled out. And not least among those wanting
to know where he may have gone wrong, I suspect, is
Bill Chalker. So. Where's the beef, as they say?

best wishes
Peter B

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/Pv4pGD/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: Mendoza <Horseman@onetel.net.uk>
Date: Thu, 25 Oct 2001 23:58:09 +0100
Subject: RE: [UFORL] Any ideas?

At 10:36 +0100 25/10/01, rotsky wrote:

>By the way, Larry, the reason I'm so keen
>to get this issue of nav lights sorted is
>that aircraft play such a large role in
>supposed UFO sightings.

[...]

>So I'm not having a go at you... just want to
>get this clear. For the record, here are the
>Federal Aviation Regulations, FAR 25.1385, FAR 25.1397
>and FAR 25.1401, that stipulate lights to be
>carried and their colour:

Hear hear to the first paragraph, but as for the
second... useful, but perhaps not in this case,
which occurred in Leicestershire, England.

No matter what the law may say Joe Public must or
must not do, there are significant exeptions for
Crown Servants (which include anyone on active
military service, the police, &c) in the UK and,
for all I know, for military aircraft in the US.
One that I happen to know about is the issue of
firearms. Crown Servants may possess and use
firearms in the course of their duties without
the requirement to be licensed, unlike the rest of
us, and to the occasional chagrin of innocent
parties slaughtered in their beds by over-
enthusiastic policemen.

Lest you think I digress, I have lived in various
parts of rural Wales for 10 years and have seen
hundreds of military aircraft on exercise &
training, dozens of them at very close quarters
and dozens at night, these last at various speeds
& altitudes while I was out in the fields helping
to diminish the numbers of Mr Fuchs McNab's many
friends & relations. In the UK military aircraft
whizz by at low altitude at night showing only two
lights -- both red, set fore & aft along/below the
fuselage. Depending on the angle (and for all I
know different aircraft have different settings
too) these appear to flash, or not -- depending,

I imagine, on the viewpoint you have of what are probably revolving lights. The nearest I've been to one of these was a C-130 flying *below* my vantage point about 800ft ASL and about 3-400ft distant. Being quite familiar with Alberts at close & other ranges, and with the terrain where this occurred, I'm reasonably sure of the distance. It carried two flashing (revolving) red beacons & nothing else. At slightly higher altitudes I've seen various fast movers similarly lit -- Harriers, F-15Es, Tornados, Hawks, & Jaguars. All these can be ID'd with a good night rifle scope. But none was carrying (or at least had lit) standard nav. red, green or white lights. (In daylight, for what it's worth, most fast movers fly with their landing lights on; the regular Tuesday 7:00pm 3-plane ?SAS? Albert flight over the Preselis in daylight didn't, but did display std nav lights.) Much higher, but still not in commercial airspace, I've also spotted the occasional exec. jet at night showing the two red beacons *and* std nav lights; some general on his way to Belfast, or wherever, one assumes. Navy Buccaneers also fly high, for some reason, & I've seen them only in daylight, so can't report on their lighting configuration.

The last time I asked roomful of knowledgeable Americans, they confirmed that US mil. aircraft also show two red lights fore & aft at night. What *else* they may show I didn't ask -- it wasn't to the purpose at the time.

Whether or not these planes *in the UK* are not conforming to Federal aviation law, or are observing an esoteric tradition that ignores any other law of the air, is neither here nor there. The fact is, that is how they fly, in my experience, which may well be more extensive than the average townie's who has neither sheep nor fowl to protect, and in addition doesn't normally go to bed at 4:00am, no matter how many law books he may have to read. So there is at least the possibility that that is what Chris Gibson saw. What slightly surprises me is that Chris was, I understand, once a member of the ROC, and might be expected to consider military aircraft lights as a possible solution to his problem. Is there a reason for that we haven't heard about?

best wishes
Peter B

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/Pv4pGD/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: cgibson@skomer.u-net.com
Date: Fri, 26 Oct 2001 02:00:23 GMT
Subject: [UFORL] Re: Any ideas?

At last we're getting somewhere.

I had considered military stuff right from the start, be great if that explained it, but something doesn't quite gel with that simple explanation. Most of the military traffic in my area is low / fast / loud. Herks, GR7s and Tornados attacking Bruntingthorpe and they do indeed show the red lights, but usually a white strobe as well. These were brought in since a few RAF jets were involved in collisions, same reason the trainers are painted black. We also get MH-53s, again on the low level. But I think they are otherwise engaged.

What struck me about these lights was that they didn't look like pinpoints of light, more like a car tail light or a rounded panel, and of course the lack of any other lighting. Two single red lights about four finger widths apart. There was something odd about them that I can't put my finger on.

If it was a single aircraft, why the transverse pair of red lights when you say that aircraft show a fore and aft pair?

If it was a pair of aircraft would the two lights on each aircraft not be visible? Especially as they went overhead.

Why would they be flying at what seemed to be medium altitude (ok Larry I don't know this) in a very busy patch of sky with only these single red lights.

As I say, military aircraft would be great, but...

Chris

Mendoza writes:

- > Whether or not these planes *in the UK* are not
- > conforming to Federal aviation law, or are observing
- > an esoteric tradition that ignores any other law
- > of the air, is neither here nor there. The fact
- > is, that is how they fly, in my experience, which
- > may well be more extensive than the average townie's
- > who has neither sheep nor fowl to protect, and in
- > addition doesn't normally go to bed at 4:00am, no
- > matter how many law books he may have to read. So

> there is at least the possibility that that is what
> Chris Gibson saw. What slightly surprises me is
> that Chris was, I understand, once a member of the
> ROC, and might be expected to consider military
> aircraft lights as a possible solution to his
> problem. Is there a reason for that we haven't
> heard about?
>
> best wishes
> Peter B
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>
>
>
>

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Get your FREE credit report with a FREE CreditCheck
Monitoring Service trial
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/Gi0tnD/bQ8CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "Bill Chalker" <bill_c@bigpond.com>
Date: Fri, 26 Oct 2001 12:17:17 +1000
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Re: Alien DNA in hair sample discussion
boundary="-----_NextPart_000_0016_01C15E18.27369880"

<x-html>
<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
<HTML><HEAD>
<META content="text/html; charset=iso-8859-1" http-equiv=<META
content="MSHTML 5.00.2919.6307" name=GENERATOR>
<STYLE></STYLE>
</HEAD>
<BODY bgColor=#ffffff>
<DIV>Glen & List,</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>Glen's points, so far, are so silly and rather
pointless, that I have to beg. Please, please, lets see some substantial
and relevant "problems" if there are any amongst your alleged 93
"problems". </DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>It might be a typo on your part or intentional but
its "Chalker" not "Chakler". Also in the interests of clarity we are not
talking "penis hair", except by way of location of its discovery. But this is
probably your way of being cute and demeaning?</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>Speaking of typos my hard copy of the Spring
1999 IUR article "Strange Evidence", last para, Col 2, Line 5 reads "Life is out
there" not "it". The PDF file from the CUFOS web site had the "it"
error. As PDF files are photographic representations of documents, then
maybe CUFOS could clarify this greivous and appalling error ((:-) only joking
Jerry, Mark & co). My guess is that because the original last page had
other material on it, for the PDF reproduction it was reset or retyped by the
overworked staff at CUFOS. Again, Glen, good call, via your stunning
powers of logic & deduction, I'm guilty of yet another heinous error, over
which I have no control. Personally, while I'm puzzled by this "major" mystery
of hard text to PDF format text change, I don't epect CUFOS to loose sleep over
this minor problem. CUFOS have, in my estimation, done a wonderful job in
presenting research and debate to readers. You don't have to agree with
everything they publish, and I don't, but they do an excellent job in the
process, with limited and overworked resources. I was pleased that CUFOS,
made a good effort at "peer review" of my original contribution, something Glen
seems to be having difficulty with. All you have to do Glen is disclose
the nature of the alleged "important problems" you think you have come
across.</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>If my "error" re Paul Davies being an old friend of
Allen Hynek's is another one of these "important problems", then I think your
exclamation that it is an "ERROR" is way off mark. Perhaps it is you who
should be apologising. I have to say it is YOUR ERROR Glen. For example try

reading Allen Hynek's excellent 1972 book, "The UFO Experience". Go to the acknowledgements section. There you will read Allen Hynek stating "In particular, I wish to thank ... Dr. Paul Davies, Institute of Theoretical Astronomy, University of Cambridge, England, for productive discussions in the latter stages."

So if the "it" reference above, the Paul Davies "error" and the Kary Mullis "error" are among your 93 or more "important problems", I trust these are not among the most significant, as it might be that you are wasting my time and the lists. However if there are substantial "problems" relevant to the work I seriously want to know about them.

I didn't make any errors of association re Kary Mullis. Greg Sandow & Peter Brookesmith at least have injected some "reality checks" here.

Peter wrote:

"And it's NOT the writer's (or source's) fault.

"Now, I happen to have grave doubts that that funny
hair around that geezer's willy was from anywhere
outside Mother Earth's atmosphere, but if someone
is going to claim that Bill Chalker has made 93
errors in almost as many (LOL) words, then please
let's see what they are. And please let's have
evidence of errors in science, method, fact, and
analysis, not some silly arm-waving claim that
appears to be difficult of justification -- errors,
that is, made by the investigators, not by an over-
stretched sub on FT or by a dewy-eyed true believer
from California. It is exactly *because* I have a
huge reservoir of respect for Bill Chalker -- who
knows more about UFOs, I suspect, than I ever will
-- that I want to see these alleged errors tabulated
and spelled out. And not least among those wanting
to know where he may have gone wrong, I suspect, is
Bill Chalker. So. Where's the beef, as they say?"

Exactly, if I or my APEG team have made 93 or more

substantial errors or problems, Yes I want to now about it. However, the nature of "errors" so far revealed, leave me to doubt your assertions. Easily fixed, just tell us what these 93 or more errors and problems actually are. Sorry, I getting a bit repetitive asking this simple and reasonable request.

You say:

"I am not here to debate you or be your Peer.

Then what are you doing. Given your performance and methodology to date I have to ask are you a student or staff member at the University of NSW. If you are a staff member I would

expect you would understand that if you make a claim about someone's work (93 or more "problems") you would back that up with substantial evidence, otherwise if you don't, one would have to judge that statement by the "quality" of your shrill narratives to date. Your approach to these matters begs me to also ask if you are a PRA or Skeptics neophyte. Are you a member of either? If you are neither, but are indeed genuinely interested in clarity and accurate research, then of course let me know what the rest of the "93 important problems" are. I'd be happy to respond to them. If the issues covered here are typical, you might care to reflect on whether you would rather send them to me directly instead of the list, as so far there has been nothing of substance in any of your messages. Either way it doesn't matter to me. It might to the rest of the List. I'm only interested in some intelligent and informed discussion and debate about this work. So far in your messages Glen I've not seen any.

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>Bill Chalker
</DIV>

<DIV>----- Original Message ----- </DIV>

<BLOCKQUOTE

style="BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; PADDING-RIGHT: 0px">

<DIV

style="BACKGROUND: #e4e4e4; FONT: 10pt arial; font-color: black">From:

glenUNSW@aol.com</DIV>

<DIV style="FONT: 10pt arial">To: <A href="mailto:UFORL@yahoogroups.com"

title=UFORL@yahoogroups.com>UFORL@yahoogroups.com </DIV>

<DIV style="FONT: 10pt arial">Sent: Friday, October 26, 2001 3:13 AM</DIV>

<DIV style="FONT: 10pt arial">Subject: [UFORL] Re: Alien DNA in Penis

Hair?</DIV>

<DIV>
</DIV>Dear Mr Chalker,

</BLOCKQUOTE>

<!-- |**|begin egp html banner|**| -->

<table border=0 cellpadding=2>

<tr bgcolor=#FFFFCC>

<td align=center>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor</td>

</tr>

<tr bgcolor=#FFFFFF>

<td align=center width=470><table border=0 cellpadding=0 cellspacing=0><tr><td

align=center>ADVERTISEMENT
<a

href="http://rd.yahoo.com/M=213858.1650662.3186813.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705082741:HM/A=763352/R=0/*http://www.classmates.com/index.tf?s=5085"

```
target=_top></a></td></tr></table></td>
</tr>
<tr><td></td></tr>
</table>

<!-- |**|end egp html banner|**| -->
```

```
<br>
<tt>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the <a
href="http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/">Yahoo! Terms of Service</a>.</tt>
</br>
```

```
</BODY></HTML>
```

```
</x-html>
```

From: Kenny Young <ufo@fuse.net>
Date: Thu, 25 Oct 2001 22:36:25 -0400
Subject: [UFORL] Combat maneuvers mistaken for UFOs in Ohio

My apologies if this email has already made the rounds to your email box from other lists, but I wanted to include it to the UFORL especially knowing of Tim's interest in these flare deployments -- KY

==

Note: Thanks to JOHN TIMMERMAN of CUFOS for passing along the report and the witness was interviewed by telephone today and the Park Rangers also contacted. For the record, the witness only partially accepted the 'training maneuver' explanation and was doubtful, a common reaction I have noticed -- KY

+++

Training maneuvers again mistaken for UFOs in SOUTHERN OHIO
Date: Thursday, October 25, 2001

Ohio A.N.G. jets are once again conducting mock combat operations in Southern Ohio's airspace known as the Buckeye MOA (Military Operations Area), resulting in UFO complaints by concerned citizens.

From: joel carpenter <ufx1947@home.com>
Date: Fri, 26 Oct 2001 00:33:26 -0400
Subject: [UFORL] Alien Worshippers Blame Monotheism for Terrorist Attacks

<http://www.alternet.org/story.html?StoryID=11802>

Alien Worshippers Blame Monotheism for Terrorist Attacks

Alien Worshippers Blame Monotheism for Terrorist Attacks
Kate Silver, Las Vegas Weekly
October 24, 2001

Pacifists come in all shapes and sizes: Even extraterrestrial.

The Raelians -- an international religious sect that believes human life was created by aliens and holds science as its highest religion -- have been actively spreading their beliefs since last month's terrorist attacks. On Sept. 14, they issued a statement about cloning -- one of their loftiest scientific goals, which they feel will bring them closer to their creators -- saying that to accelerate the process would "make terrorist attacks inefficient in the future." ...

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Terrorist Attacks on U.S. - How can you help?
Donate cash, emergency relief information
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/d49MCB/3WDDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "rotsky" <rotsky@wanadoo.fr>
Date: Fri, 26 Oct 2001 11:05:43 +0200
Subject: RE: [UFORL] Any ideas?

The rules in the UK, set out in the Air Navigation Order and the new JARs, are the same as those in the US. Indeed, the same rules apply pretty much the world over, certainly in all ICAO countries.

It may be that military aircraft on exercises may have nav lights turned off when in designated military training areas. These areas - such as MATZs, AIAAs, danger, prohibited and restricted areas - are well-defined and shown on aviation charts, and operations are also often notified by NOTAM, so it should be easy to check whether this applies. I doubt it in this instance. I don't have the original message to hand, but if Chris would like to give me the location again I'd be happy to check it on my half-mil chart.

In any case, you're missing the point. Larry stated that certain aircraft carry all-red lights as a signal to other aircraft to give way (which means they would do so outside military areas). My point is that no such provision or regulation exists. If other pilots (like me) have never heard of such a rule, there would be no point in having it!

a+
Steve

Steve MANSFIELD
Tel: +33 (0)2 33 30 89 69 * Mob: +33 (0)6 74 00 07 29
Fax: +33 (0)2 33 30 60 13 * www.webvivant.com <<http://www.webvivant.com>>

-----Original Message-----

From: Mendoza [mailto:Horseman@onetel.net.uk]
Sent: 26 October 2001 00:58
Subject: RE: [UFORL] Any ideas?

At 10:36 +0100 25/10/01, rotsky wrote:

>By the way, Larry, the reason I'm so keen
>to get this issue of nav lights sorted is
>that aircraft play such a large role in
>supposed UFO sightings.

[...]

>So I'm not having a go at you... just want to
>get this clear. For the record, here are the
>Federal Aviation Regulations, FAR 25.1385, FAR 25.1397
>and FAR 25.1401, that stipulate lights to be

>carried and their colour:

Hear hear to the first paragraph, but as for the second... useful, but perhaps not in this case, which occurred in Leicestershire, England.

No matter what the law may say Joe Public must or must not do, there are significant exceptions for Crown Servants (which include anyone on active military service, the police, &c) in the UK and, for all I know, for military aircraft in the US. One that I happen to know about is the issue of firearms. Crown Servants may possess and use firearms in the course of their duties without the requirement to be licensed, unlike the rest of us, and to the occasional chagrin of innocent parties slaughtered in their beds by over-enthusiastic policemen.

Lest you think I digress, I have lived in various parts of rural Wales for 10 years and have seen hundreds of military aircraft on exercise & training, dozens of them at very close quarters and dozens at night, these last at various speeds & altitudes while I was out in the fields helping to diminish the numbers of Mr Fuchs McNab's many friends & relations. In the UK military aircraft whizz by at low altitude at night showing only two lights -- both red, set fore & aft along/below the fuselage. Depending on the angle (and for all I know different aircraft have different settings too) these appear to flash, or not -- depending, I imagine, on the viewpoint you have of what are probably revolving lights. The nearest I've been to one of these was a C-130 flying *below* my vantage point about 800ft ASL and about 3-400ft distant. Being quite familiar with Alberts at close & other ranges, and with the terrain where this occurred, I'm reasonably sure of the distance. It carried two flashing (revolving) red beacons & nothing else. At slightly higher altitudes I've seen various fast movers similarly lit -- Harriers, F-15Es, Tornados, Hawks, & Jaguars. All these can be ID'd with a good night rifle scope. But none was carrying (or at least had lit) standard nav. red, green or white lights. (In daylight, for what it's worth, most fast movers fly with their landing lights on; the regular Tuesday 7:00pm 3-plane ?SAS? Albert flight over the Preselis in daylight didn't, but did display std nav lights.)

Much higher, but still not in commercial airspace,
I've also spotted the occasional exec. jet at
night showing the two red beacons *and* std nav
lights; some general on his way to Belfast, or
wherever, one assumes. Navy Buccaneers also fly
high, for some reason, & I've seen them only in
dalylight, so can't report on their lighting
configuration.

The last time I asked roomful of knowledgeable
Americans, they confirmed that US mil. aircraft
also show two red lights fore & aft at night.
What *else* they may show I didn't ask -- it
wasn't to the purpose at the time.

Whether or not these planes *in the UK* are not
conforming to Federal aviation law, or are observing
an esoteric tradition that ignores any other law
of the air, is neither here nor there. The fact
is, that is how they fly, in my experience, which
may well be more extensive than the average townie's
who has neither sheep nor fowl to protect, and in
addition doesn't normally go to bed at 4:00am, no
matter how many law books he may have to read. So
there is at least the possibility that that is what
Chris Gibson saw. What slightly surprises me is
that Chris was, I understand, once a member of the
ROC, and might be expected to consider military
aricraft lights as a possible solution to his
problem. Is there a reason for that we haven't
heard about?

best wishes
Peter B

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor ----->
Get your FREE credit report with a FREE CreditCheck
Monitoring Service trial
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/Gi0tnD/bQ8CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>

-----~>

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "Jenny Randles" <nufon@currantbun.com>
Date: Fri, 26 Oct 2001 11:52:01 +0100
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Re: Alien DNA in Penis Hair?
boundary="-----_NextPart_000_002F_01C15E14.9FCDB520"

<x-html>
<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD W3 HTML//EN">
<HTML>
<HEAD>

<META content=text/html; charset=iso-8859-1 http-equiv=<META
content=""MSHTML 4.72.3110.7"" name=GENERATOR>
</HEAD>

<BODY bgColor=#ffffff>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>-</DIV>

<BLOCKQUOTE

style="BORDER-LEFT: #000000 solid 2px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; PADDING-
LEFT: 5px">

<DIV><SPAN class=720412320-
25102001>Editors, I'm

sorry to say, make gigantic mistakes. So do writers, of course, but any
seasoned professional writer will simply laugh at you if you tell him or her
that any substantial mistake that appears in any story can be presumed to be
the writer's fault. I'm talking not just about what's claimed to be the
author's text (but, as I'll explain, might not be) , but also about such
things as headlines, pullquotes, or picture captions, which normally the
writer never sees before publication.</DIV>

<DIV><SPAN
class=720412320-25102001><FONT face="Book
Antiqua"><SPAN

class=720412320-25102001> </DIV>

<DIV>But
then often

enough the writer doesn't even see the main text before publication. Many
publications change what the writer wrote, allowing their editors to rewrite
with a free hand, never consulting the writer at all. Partly as a result of
this, I've seen editors mangle writers' copy so that it says nothing that
the writer intended. I've seen editors substitute their own opinions for the
writer's. </DIV>

<DIV><SPAN
class=720412320-25102001> </DIV>

<DIV>Greg Sadow</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV><SPAN
class=720412320-25102001>Hi,</DIV>

<DIV><SPAN
class=720412320-25102001> </DIV>

<DIV>In
defence of

Bill, support of Greg and from personal experience as a professional writer for 24 years, I can confirm all the above with bells on.

Here is a relevant example.

My most recent book, Time Storms, was sold in serial rights form to the Daily Mail - one of Britains biggest selling daily papers. They carried extracts across several issues in January this year.

Despite clearly announcing these as extracts from the book, using my photo and name as a by-line credit and thus without doubt telling readers I wrote the material that they published each day this was not always the case.

They sometimes compressed, moved text within chapters about (destroying the flow of the argument at times) and once invented an entire several paragraph run of sensational material about the Philadelphia Experiment that is not only not in my book but is not even in keeping with my stance on the case. Needless to say I did not write it. All of this was done without ever consulting with me or showing me any of the text they published to over 3 million readers.

What was even worse, they then had the audacity to print a letter from an irate reader some days later attacking me for the comments on the case in 'my' text - in reality text that one of their editorial staff presumably dreamt up to make that particular part of the book more exciting - the letter also criticising the lack of certain critical commentary on the case that actually 'is' in the book - even if that was not clear from the version in the paper.

I consulted with my own editor at the book publishers (Piatkus) over this as I was naturally a bit peeved at the extent to which this editorial interference

had been taken. She reminded me it was common practice (as I knew it was) and that it was something we simply had to accept from newspapers and really it was best not to pursue it further. She felt that the extensive promotion of the book that they gave more than made up for the liberties they took with my name - which were in the main slight and only on this one occasion significantly altered the gist of what I had actually written.

I don't entirely agree, but I am also realistic. It is - sadly - a fact of life in the publishing industry that writers start the process but rarely finish it.

So, I am

afraid, you cannot presume that even major errors in published text automatically depend upon the writer. Yes - sometimes they do. In one of my early books I wrote an entire paragraph about Adamski based on a miscalculation about dates. This was entirely my error. But far more often errors that have appeared from time to time (at least ones that get spotted or pointed out to me by readers) were the result of the editorial process.

It happens. We

live with it. So, I am sure, will Bill. And I certainly did not have any problem following his fascinating reporting of this extremely significant case.

Best wishes,

Jenny Randles

<!-- |**|begin egp html banner|**| -->

<table border=0 cellspacing=0 cellpadding=2>

<tr bgcolor=#FFFFCC>

<td align=center>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor</td>

</tr>

<tr bgcolor=#FFFFFF>

```
<td align=center width=470><table border=0 cellpadding=0 cellspacing=0><tr><td align=center><font face=arial size=-2>ADVERTISEMENT</font><br><a href="http://rd.yahoo.com/M=213858.1650662.3186813.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705082741:HM/A=763352/R=0/*http://www.classmates.com/index.tf?s=5085" target=_top></a></td></tr></table></td></tr><tr><td></td></tr></table>
```

```
<!-- |**|end egg html banner|**| -->
```

```
<br>
<tt>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the <a href="http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/">Yahoo! Terms of Service</a>.</tt>
</br>
```

```
</BODY></HTML>
```

```
</x-html>
```


From: "Robinson, Larry" <lrobin@indiana.edu>
Date: Fri, 26 Oct 2001 10:51:21 -0500
Subject: RE: [UFORL] Re: Any ideas?

I'm not saying that it WAS fire balloons, but that you can't yet rule them out.

All you have is an angular size and an angular velocity. Let's look at that:

- The reported angular size is much too large to be a single plane, unless it was flying very low and close. It covers at least 5 full moons.
- The real size, distance, speed, and altitude are all proportional to each other, with the one restriction being that the distance must be at least 30 feet. Without other visual aids, there is no way to narrow down these values.
- There could have been two aircraft. Or the flashing mechanism of the anticollision lights of one close aircraft may have failed.
- The size and speed if the object had been a mile away is 176 times the size and speed if it had been 30 feet away.

---- -X =@ o_ = X<= <o> o-< <-I= -> <O-O-O< <-88 ----
Larry Robinson S/AP/EIS lrobin@indiana.edu
Indiana University (812) 855-5073 Room 42
Kinesiology Dept http://php.indiana.edu/~lrobin
1025 E 7th St Rm 112 HDTV and taxes killed RCA.
Bloomington IN 47405-7109

@ -----Original Message-----

@ From: cgibson@skomer.u-net.com [mailto:cgibson@skomer.u-net.com]

@ Sent: Thursday, October 25, 2001 17:13

@ To: UFORL@yahoogroups.com

@ Subject: [UFORL] Re: Any ideas?

@

@

@

@ OK, fire balloons, in formation. I'll remember that next time

@ a pair of red

@ lights come over the horizon, pass overhead and disappear

@ over the other

@ horizon. At a rate that compares more than favourably with

@ the 747 on the

@ North South air route. In an area with 3 major airports

@ within 30 miles,

@ under a major air route.
@
@ I had hoped for better, but fire balloons it is.
@
@ Obviously I've been watching the wrong patch of sky for 10 years.
@
@ Chris
@
@
@ ----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
@ -----~-->
@ FREE COLLEGE MONEY
@ CLICK HERE to search
@ 600,000 scholarships!
@ <http://us.click.yahoo.com/Pv4pGD/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
@ -----
@ -----~-->
@
@
@
@ Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
@ <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>
@
@

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Get your FREE credit report with a FREE CreditCheck
Monitoring Service trial
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/Gi0tnD/bQ8CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: cgibson@skomer.u-net.com
Date: Fri, 26 Oct 2001 20:47:55 GMT
Subject: [UFORL] Re: Any ideas?

Larry, Larry,

I seem to recall my first post on this subject was a question "Any idea what this is?" You and Jenny (unlike other list members) kindly posted answers. Even if I disagree (most strongly) with your candelabra explanation, you did suggest something. As this is a UFO list I would have thought such "lights in the sky" cases would be commonplace, but apparently not.

Jenny's theory is plausible, but I suspect that practice has been stamped out, especially in busy airspace. Pete's military aircraft theory is probably correct, but I have not seen such lights on the military aircraft in this area before, but I don't live in a designated low flying area, so the anti-collision beacons may be required around Leics.

As an aside, lighting patterns, like sound and contrails are not considered valid recognition features for aircraft. Therefore any aircraft whose lights were seen would only be reported or logged as "lights". Aircraft sound, would be "heard, not seen". Consequently I wouldn't even attempt to identify an aircraft from its lights, unless it was by having a good view of the "Logo" lights.

Same goes for contrails. The old joke is: On asked to identify the source of some contrails, the chap replied "It is either a B-52, two Nimrods, four Phantoms or four Lightnings flying on their sides."

So to conclude: If a pair of red lights are military aircraft, it would appear that the triangular patterns of red lights seen in the past are also military aircraft flying in formation. Have we nailed the big Triangles?

Again.

Chris

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: cgibson@skomer.u-net.com
Date: Fri, 26 Oct 2001 20:47:55 GMT
Subject: [UFORL] Re: Any ideas?

Larry, Larry,

I seem to recall my first post on this subject was a question "Any idea what this is?" You and Jenny (unlike other list members) kindly posted answers. Even if I disagree (most strongly) with your candelabra explanation, you did suggest something. As this is a UFO list I would have thought such "lights in the sky" cases would be commonplace, but apparently not.

Jenny's theory is plausible, but I suspect that practice has been stamped out, especially in busy airspace. Pete's military aircraft theory is probably correct, but I have not seen such lights on the military aircraft in this area before, but I don't live in a designated low flying area, so the anti-collision beacons may be required around Leics.

As an aside, lighting patterns, like sound and contrails are not considered valid recognition features for aircraft. Therefore any aircraft whose lights were seen would only be reported or logged as "lights". Aircraft sound, would be "heard, not seen". Consequently I wouldn't even attempt to identify an aircraft from its lights, unless it was by having a good view of the "Logo" lights.

Same goes for contrails. The old joke is: On asked to identify the source of some contrails, the chap replied "It is either a B-52, two Nimrods, four Phantoms or four Lightnings flying on their sides."

So to conclude: If a pair of red lights are military aircraft, it would appear that the triangular patterns of red lights seen in the past are also military aircraft flying in formation. Have we nailed the big Triangles?

Again.

Chris

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Mon, 29 Oct 2001 23:25:15 -0000
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Re: Any ideas?

Regarding:

>From: <cgibson@skomer.u-net.com>
>To: <UFORL@yahoogroups.com>
>Subject: [UFORL] Re: Any ideas?
>Date: 26 October 2001 21:04

Chris wrote:

>As this is a UFO list I would have thought such "lights in the
>sky" cases would be commonplace, but apparently not.

Chris,

I haven't had time to comment on this before now - there are a number of possibly comparative reports, including at least one from the UK!

An online search for 'ufo' and 'two red lights' brings up several cases. The UK one I noticed was:

Red Lights Off Coast Leave Mystery

Coastguards have been puzzled by a series of red flare-like lights spotted in the Bristol Channel off the coast of Somerset, England, on the night of December 14.

The Portishead lifeboat was launched following dozens of 999 callers reported two red lights off the coast at Clevedon.

But no sign of any persons or vessel in distress had been found after three hours of searching.

[END]

Also from:

<http://www.msatech.com/nuforc/webreports/S17280.html>

8 April, 2001

Two fast moving red lights observed from the ground maneuvering in formation near aircraft in Northeastern Florida.

Looking at a relatively clear Northwest evening sky (some scattered light haze) I observed what I surmised to be a slow

moving aircraft turn on it's very bright white landing lights on an apparent approach to Daytona Airport which was a few miles to the South of my location near the intersection of Florida SR 40 and SR A1A in Ormond Beach. After observing the aircraft for about 15 seconds I saw two small round dull red lights, many hundreds of yards apart, appeared over the airplane at 45 degrees above the horizon. The two red lights moved perfectly in unison, first moving away from each other, then almost converging and finally travelling at their original spacing before disappearing near tree top level to the West. The two red lights had a unique deep dull red hue which did not change in color or intensity. The lights movements through the sky approximated the shape of an hour glass. No noise was heard from the lights. The two red lights were visible for 10 seconds. After the red lights disappeared the landing lights from the plane (helicopter?) switched off and the aircraft disappeared into the evening sky.
[END]

There are many other 'UFO' cases where 'two red lights' feature.

>So to conclude: If a pair of red lights are military aircraft,
>it would appear that the triangular patterns of red lights seen
>in the past are also military aircraft flying in formation. Have
>we nailed the big Triangles?

I'm not so sure. ;) This is from my own web site
[http://www.ufoworld.co.uk/ufo_mag.txt] and was reported in 'UFO Magazine' some years ago:

Just over a year later the dark triangle returned. October 1992, and Mr Marcel Westerlund and his partner Jeanette Norell and their kids, Kristoffer and Sebastian, were driving down to Stenungsund [Sweden] on the west coast.

...Shortly after, near Trollhattan, Jeanette looked up towards the night sky and screamed: "My God! There is a spaceship over our car!" Marcel pulled over and looked up. He said: "I felt like that guy in the movie "Close Encounters of the Third Kind", when I saw two large red lights at the centre of the bottom of a huge, flying triangle".

Completely silent, the triangle passed over their car...

It crossed the road, flew towards the edge of the woods and stood hovering just above the tree tops.

...After a while, the family got tired and drove off into the night.
[END]

Do you think... Nah...couldn't have been.

Not again. ;)

James Easton.

E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk

www.ufoworld.co.uk

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->

Get your FREE credit report with a FREE CreditCheck

Monitoring Service trial

<http://us.click.yahoo.com/Gi0tnD/bQ8CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>

-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Mon, 29 Oct 2001 23:25:15 -0000
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Re: Any ideas?

Regarding:

>From: <cgibson@skomer.u-net.com>
>To: <UFORL@yahoogroups.com>
>Subject: [UFORL] Re: Any ideas?
>Date: 26 October 2001 21:04

Chris wrote:

>As this is a UFO list I would have thought such "lights in the
>sky" cases would be commonplace, but apparently not.

Chris,

I haven't had time to comment on this before now - there are a number of possibly comparative reports, including at least one from the UK!

An online search for 'ufo' and 'two red lights' brings up several cases. The UK one I noticed was:

Red Lights Off Coast Leave Mystery

Coastguards have been puzzled by a series of red flare-like lights spotted in the Bristol Channel off the coast of Somerset, England, on the night of December 14.

The Portishead lifeboat was launched following dozens of 999 callers reported two red lights off the coast at Clevedon.

But no sign of any persons or vessel in distress had been found after three hours of searching.

[END]

Also from:

<http://www.msatech.com/nuforc/webreports/S17280.html>

8 April, 2001

Two fast moving red lights observed from the ground maneuvering in formation near aircraft in Northeastern Florida.

Looking at a relatively clear Northwest evening sky (some scattered light haze) I observed what I surmised to be a slow

moving aircraft turn on it's very bright white landing lights on an apparent approach to Daytona Airport which was a few miles to the South of my location near the intersection of Florida SR 40 and SR A1A in Ormond Beach. After observing the aircraft for about 15 seconds I saw two small round dull red lights, many hundreds of yards apart, appeared over the airplane at 45 degrees above the horizon. The two red lights moved perfectly in unison, first moving away from each other, then almost converging and finally travelling at their original spacing before disappearing near tree top level to the West. The two red lights had a unique deep dull red hue which did not change in color or intensity. The lights movements through the sky approximated the shape of an hour glass. No noise was heard from the lights. The two red lights were visible for 10 seconds. After the red lights disappeared the landing lights from the plane (helicopter?) switched off and the aircraft disappeared into the evening sky.
[END]

There are many other 'UFO' cases where 'two red lights' feature.

>So to conclude: If a pair of red lights are military aircraft,
>it would appear that the triangular patterns of red lights seen
>in the past are also military aircraft flying in formation. Have
>we nailed the big Triangles?

I'm not so sure. ;) This is from my own web site
[http://www.ufoworld.co.uk/ufo_mag.txt] and was reported in 'UFO Magazine' some years ago:

Just over a year later the dark triangle returned. October 1992, and Mr Marcel Westerlund and his partner Jeanette Norell and their kids, Kristoffer and Sebastian, were driving down to Stenungsund [Sweden] on the west coast.

...Shortly after, near Trollhattan, Jeanette looked up towards the night sky and screamed: "My God! There is a spaceship over our car!" Marcel pulled over and looked up. He said: "I felt like that guy in the movie "Close Encounters of the Third Kind", when I saw two large red lights at the centre of the bottom of a huge, flying triangle".

Completely silent, the triangle passed over their car...

It crossed the road, flew towards the edge of the woods and stood hovering just above the tree tops.

...After a while, the family got tired and drove off into the night.
[END]

Do you think... Nah...couldn't have been.

Not again. ;)

James Easton.

E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk

www.ufoworld.co.uk

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->

Get your FREE credit report with a FREE CreditCheck

Monitoring Service trial

<http://us.click.yahoo.com/Gi0tnD/bQ8CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>

-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: cgibson@skomer.u-net.com
Date: Tue, 30 Oct 2001 00:34:39 GMT
Subject: [UFORL] Re: Any ideas?

It did all strike me as odd, something not quite right about them. Hence my original post. But I'm more than happy for them to be military aircraft with with single red lights. I've certainly not seen lights in that configuration in this area before and we do get a variety of traffic from microlights through military to 747s. High and low. (747 going into Bruntingthorpe) And hot air balloons in the summer. And the Virgin Blimp during the Air Tattoo.

I'll be tapping up my mate at air traffic control when I get home.

Chris

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Get your FREE credit report with a FREE CreditCheck
Monitoring Service trial
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/Gi0tnD/bQ8CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: cgibson@skomer.u-net.com
Date: Tue, 30 Oct 2001 00:34:39 GMT
Subject: [UFORL] Re: Any ideas?

It did all strike me as odd, something not quite right about them. Hence my original post. But I'm more than happy for them to be military aircraft with with single red lights. I've certainly not seen lights in that configuration in this area before and we do get a variety of traffic from microlights through military to 747s. High and low. (747 going into Bruntingthorpe) And hot air balloons in the summer. And the Virgin Blimp during the Air Tattoo.

I'll be tapping up my mate at air traffic control when I get home.

Chris

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Get your FREE credit report with a FREE CreditCheck
Monitoring Service trial
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/Gi0tnD/bQ8CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "rotsky" <rotsky@wanadoo.fr>
Date: Tue, 30 Oct 2001 15:22:19 +0100
Subject: RE: [UFORL] Re: Any ideas?

Just a couple of observations on Chris' sighting, for what they're worth.
Just trying to add some data.

The position of 52 29N and 001 09W puts the location at just under 1 statute mile WSW of Bruntingthorpe aerodrome, 2-ish miles North of Lutterworth (I assume there's some margin of error in this).

Six miles to the SE is Husbands Bosworth, which is marked as an area of intense gliding activity.

There is no MATZ, AIAA, danger, prohibited or restricted zone in the immediate vicinity. Class A controlled airspace above starts at FL55 (5500ft - the Daventry CTA) or FL75 depending on the exact location. Otherwise, it's in the open FIR (uncontrolled airspace). Cottesmore and Wittering air bases are to the NE, and Wittering's extended centreline runs right through the location.

Like I say, for what it's worth...

a+
Steve

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Get your FREE credit report with a FREE CreditCheck
Monitoring Service trial
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/Gi0tnD/bQ8CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "rotsky" <rotsky@wanadoo.fr>
Date: Tue, 30 Oct 2001 15:22:19 +0100
Subject: RE: [UFORL] Re: Any ideas?

Just a couple of observations on Chris' sighting, for what they're worth.
Just trying to add some data.

The position of 52 29N and 001 09W puts the location at just under 1 statute mile WSW of Bruntingthorpe aerodrome, 2-ish miles North of Lutterworth (I assume there's some margin of error in this).

Six miles to the SE is Husbands Bosworth, which is marked as an area of intense gliding activity.

There is no MATZ, AIAA, danger, prohibited or restricted zone in the immediate vicinity. Class A controlled airspace above starts at FL55 (5500ft - the Daventry CTA) or FL75 depending on the exact location. Otherwise, it's in the open FIR (uncontrolled airspace). Cottesmore and Wittering air bases are to the NE, and Wittering's extended centreline runs right through the location.

Like I say, for what it's worth...

a+
Steve

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Get your FREE credit report with a FREE CreditCheck
Monitoring Service trial
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/Gi0tnD/bQ8CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: cgibson@skomer.u-net.com
Date: Tue, 30 Oct 2001 19:26:53 GMT
Subject: [UFORL] Re: Any ideas?

So it probably was military aircraft then.

Chris

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Cobra Gas Powered Scooter. Top speed exceeds 25 mph.
Originally \$599.95.
Now \$399.95 at Youcansave.com.
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/K11sED/OkNDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: cgibson@skomer.u-net.com
Date: Tue, 30 Oct 2001 19:26:53 GMT
Subject: [UFORL] Re: Any ideas?

So it probably was military aircraft then.

Chris

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Cobra Gas Powered Scooter. Top speed exceeds 25 mph.
Originally \$599.95.
Now \$399.95 at Youcansave.com.
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/K11sED/OkNDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: James Easton <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Wed, 31 Oct 2001 11:10:50 +0000 (GMT)
Subject: [UFORL] Gulls on Film

For UK subscribers with Satellite TV... on the BBC Knowledge
channel tonight -

19:00 The Life Of Birds

The Mastery Of Flight: The story of how birds have perfected the
skill of flying. Though take-off is exhausting and landing can be
difficult, the film shows that birds are the experts.
[END]

This is part of the documentary series narrated by Sir David
Attenborough - see:

<http://www.pbs.org/lifeofbirds/>

Tonight's program, 'The Mastery Of Flight', features some footage
of American White Pelicans, demonstrating their distinctive
undulating, flapping and gliding characteristics.

Are these the genesis of Kenneth Arnold's founding 'flying
saucers'... you'll have to be the judge!

As an aside - I've never been able to locate the source of that
Arnold sketch featured on my web site:

<http://www.ufoworld.co.uk/saucers.htm>

The image shown comes from a video about 'UFOs' - does no-one
recognise where and when this Arnold drawing was originally
published?

James Easton.
E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk
www.ufoworld.co.uk

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor ----->
Internal Cell Phone Antenna
Boosts reception on all cellular phones.
Just \$19.99 at Youcansave.com
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/L11sED/PkNDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
----->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: James Easton <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Wed, 31 Oct 2001 11:10:50 +0000 (GMT)
Subject: [UFORL] Gulls on Film

For UK subscribers with Satellite TV... on the BBC Knowledge
channel tonight -

19:00 The Life Of Birds

The Mastery Of Flight: The story of how birds have perfected the
skill of flying. Though take-off is exhausting and landing can be
difficult, the film shows that birds are the experts.
[END]

This is part of the documentary series narrated by Sir David
Attenborough - see:

<http://www.pbs.org/lifeofbirds/>

Tonight's program, 'The Mastery Of Flight', features some footage
of American White Pelicans, demonstrating their distinctive
undulating, flapping and gliding characteristics.

Are these the genesis of Kenneth Arnold's founding 'flying
saucers'... you'll have to be the judge!

As an aside - I've never been able to locate the source of that
Arnold sketch featured on my web site:

<http://www.ufoworld.co.uk/saucers.htm>

The image shown comes from a video about 'UFOs' - does no-one
recognise where and when this Arnold drawing was originally
published?

James Easton.
E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk
www.ufoworld.co.uk

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor ----->
Internal Cell Phone Antenna
Boosts reception on all cellular phones.
Just \$19.99 at Youcansave.com
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/L11sED/PkNDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
----->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: YoungBob2@aol.com
Date: Wed, 31 Oct 2001 09:28:29 EST
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Gulls on Film

In a message dated 10/31/2001 6:14:57 AM Eastern Standard Time,
voyager@ufoworld.co.uk writes:

<< I've never been able to locate the source of that
Arnold sketch featured on my web site:

<http://www.ufoworld.co.uk/saucers.htm>

The image shown comes from a video about 'UFOs' - does no-one
recognize where and when this Arnold drawing was originally
published? >>

James:

The drawing was given to the Air Force by Arnold when it investigated
his sighting and as such was a public document. The blacking out
indicates to me that this copy was released after the Freedom of
Information Act was enacted, in the early '70s, forget the exact date.

Don't know when it was published first.

Clear skies,
Bob Young

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Epil Stop & Spray Painless Hair Removal. Spray on and wife off!
Just \$24.95 at Youcansave.com
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/gC2sGD/6jNDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Thu, 1 Nov 2001 23:19:36 -0000
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Gulls on Film

Regarding:

>From: YoungBob2@aol.com
>Date: Wed Oct 31, 2001 2:28 pm
>Subject: Re: [UFORL] Gulls on Film

Bob wrote:

>>I've never been able to locate the source of that Arnold sketch
>>featured on my web site:
>>
>><http://www.ufoworld.co.uk/saucers.htm>
>>
>>The image shown comes from a video about 'UFOs' - does no-one
>recognize where and when this Arnold drawing was originally
>>published?

>James:

>
>The drawing was given to the Air Force by Arnold when it
investigated his sighting and as such was a public document. The
>blacking out indicates to me that this copy was released after
>the Freedom of Information Act was enacted, in the early '70s,
>forget the exact date.
>
>Don't know when it was published first.

Bob,

The sketch I'm referring to is the one at bottom of page, i.e.:

<http://www.ufoworld.co.uk/arnold/sketch!.jpg>

If I'm not mistaken, the one you're describing is as shown within
the 'Fortean Times' article. If so, then, yes, that's the drawing
which accompanied Arnold's 'official' report.

If I recall correctly, Arnold's first sketch was made on the back
of an envelope, almost directly after his sighting. This was
shown to his fellow pilots at Yakima, where Arnold stopped on the
way to Pendleton.

The sketch was rediscovered some years ago and published by IUR -
there's a copy at:

http://www.ufoworld.co.uk/arnold/sketch_1.jpg

As we can see, Arnold depicts various perceptions of the object[s]. Presumably this is intended to show one object and how it appeared to change shape, which would seemingly equate with the unsourced sketch I have been attempting to identify the origins of.

Apparently illustrating how the individual objects flew, this unsourced item is the drawing shown on that aforementioned UFO video, when the Arnold case is featured.

Incredibly, no-one appears to recognise having seen it before.

It's not definitely been drawn by Arnold, however, I can't suggest any other explanation and it does resemble his earlier depiction of a 'crescent-shape' - as he originally described the objects.

Looking again at his inaugural drawing, published in IUR, there's some writing which is partly illegible. Beneath the objects he's written 'Black' and then something I can't decipher.

Arnold might be emphasising that the objects had a darker front 'wing-tip' - he places a separate line at the edge of each individual drawing.

If anyone can make sense of what Arnold has written here, please let us know.

James Easton.
E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk
www.ufoworld.co.uk

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Internal Cell Phone Antenna
Boosts reception on all cellular phones.
Just \$19.99 at Youcansave.com
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/L11sED/PkNDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "Robinson, Larry" <lrobin@indiana.edu>
Date: Fri, 2 Nov 2001 15:35:55 -0500
Subject: [UFORL] I wonder if Paul Trent has a...
boundary="----_=_NextPart_000_01C163DD.F8B135D0"

I wonder if Paul Trent had a Dual record changer like this one.

-----X=@ o_= X<= <o> o-< <-I=- -> <O-O-O< <-88 -----
Larry Robinson S/AP/EIS lrobin@indiana.edu
Indiana University (812) 855-5073 Room 42
Kinesiology Dept http://php.indiana.edu/~lrobin
1025 E 7th St Rm 112 HDTV and taxes killed RCA.
Bloomington IN 47405-7109

<<dual1940.jpg>>

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Cobra Gas Powered Scooter. Top speed exceeds 25 mph.
Originally \$599.95.
Now \$399.95 at Youcansave.com.
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/gD9XBB/OkNDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

Attachment Converted: "C:\Programmi\EUDORA\attach\dual1940.jpg"

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Sun, 4 Nov 2001 17:03:51 -0000
Subject: [UFORL] Discovery Channel (UK)

For UK subscribers - later tonight on the Discovery channel
[taken from Radio Times]:

9:00 p.m. The New Roswell
A study of the Shag Harbour alleged UFO incident of 1967

10:00 p.m. Beyond the Truth
Investigation of an alleged UFO incident in Varginha, Brazil

11:00 p.m. Down to Earth
A pathologist debunks the Roswell alien autopsy footage

James Easton.
E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk
www.ufoworld.co.uk

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Mon, 5 Nov 2001 00:17:44 -0000
Subject: [UFORL] Greg Long

If anyone has a current e-mail address for Greg Long, could you please let me know, privately.

Thanks.

James Easton.
E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk
www.ufoworld.co.uk

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Mon, 5 Nov 2001 03:07:39 -0000
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Discovery Channel (UK)

>For UK subscribers - later tonight on the Discovery channel
>[taken from Radio Times]:
>
>9:00 p.m. The New Roswell
>A study of the Shag Harbour alleged UFO incident of 1967

I hadn't seen this before and found it disappointing that so much time was taken up interviewing Stanton Friedman about the 'MJ-12 documents', which the program presented as authentic and originating from Government files.

Some of the 'Shag Harbour' material was interesting, however, there were many 'anonymous' witnesses behind the more extraordinary claims.

Overall, the 'Shag Harbour' evidence was significantly detracted from by focusing on beliefs that MJ-12 was founded in reality, why this was associated, and historical Canadian 'proof' of 'flying saucers' - relating to correspondence between Wilbur Smith and Dr. Robert Sarbacher.

>11:00 p.m. Down to Earth
>A pathologist debunks the Roswell alien autopsy footage.

In which Glenn Dennis claims he was told, by the seemingly non-existent nurse, that one of those recovered bodies had a partially severed hand...

This is a claim I have previously asked about and which no-one sourced in response.

The connection with Ray Santilli's 'alien autopsy' footage is that the 'body' also has a partially severed hand - this was an aspect of contemporary 1995/96 discussions.

Evidently more than coincidental - when and where did the 'severed hand' story originate and was first published?

James Easton.
E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk
www.ufoworld.co.uk

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~->
Universal Inkjet Refill Kit \$29.95
Refill any ink cartridge for less!
Includes black and color ink.
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/E11sED/MkNDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: KRandle993@aol.com
Date: Sun, 4 Nov 2001 22:17:38 EST
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Discovery Channel (UK)

Good Evening -

>In which Glenn Dennis claims he was told, by the seemingly non-
>existent nurse, that one of those recovered bodies had a
>partially severed hand...

>This is a claim I have previously asked about and which no-one
>sourced in response.

Glenn Dennis claimed that one hand was completely severed, not partially severed, as if this make any difference. I lie about a partially severed hand is still a lie...

KRandle

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Epil Stop & Spray Painless Hair Removal. Spray on and wipe off!
Just \$24.95 at Youcansave.com
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/gC2sGD/6jNDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "Robinson, Larry" <lrobin@indiana.edu>
Date: Mon, 5 Nov 2001 11:23:40 -0500
Subject: [UFORL] Angel hair in Indiana

The angel-hair fall over much of the state of Indiana on Nov 3, 2001 has been identified as ballooning spiders by the Indiana Department of Natural Resources. Specimens of both the webbing and the spiders were collected by residents and turned in for identification.

---- -X =@ o_ = X<= <o> o-< <-I=- -> <O-O-O< <-88 ----
Larry Robinson S/AP/EIS lrobin@indiana.edu
Indiana University (812) 855-5073 Room 42
Kinesiology Dept http://php.indiana.edu/~lrobin
1025 E 7th St Rm 112 HDTV and taxes killed RCA.
Bloomington IN 47405-7109

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

<!-- |**|end egp html banner|**| -->

<tt>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.</tt>
</br>

</HTML>

</x-html>

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Thu, 8 Nov 2001 01:47:11 -0000
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Discovery Channel (UK)

Regarding:

>From: <KRandle993@aol.com>
>To: <UFORL@yahoogroups.com>
>Subject: Re: [UFORL] Discovery Channel (UK)
>Date: 05 November 2001 12:05

>>In which Glenn Dennis claims he was told, by the seemingly non-
>>existent nurse, that one of those recovered bodies had a
>>partially severed hand...

Kevin wrote:

>Glenn Dennis claimed that one hand was completely severed, not
>partially severed, as if this make any difference.

Kevin,

Like Halt's memo, I had written that from memory. ;)

You are of course correct, although what I did also recall was the accompanying program illustration, which on checking does depict it as partially severed [indeed, sticking out of a small coffin].

For list information, Tim Printy has an exceptional, informative on-line commentary re the overall background - see:

<http://members.aol.com/TPrinty/Dennis.html>

One point Tim makes is:

Next to the red-haired captain is (you guessed it) A BLACK SERGEANT. The Captain tells him that he never saw anything and not to tell anyone about it. Dennis gives a smart remark to which the Captain responds, "Don't kid yourself, young man. Somebody'll be picking your bones out of the sand". The black sergeant adds, "Or you'll make good dog food".

[...]

The "black sergeant" common in some of the Roswell tales is unlikely in 1947, since the USAF did not integrate their units. It would also seem unlikely, that at the time of the incident, a black man would talk to white person in this manner.

[END]

Very much an aside, however, perhaps emphasising Tim's latter observations in their factual context, I was recently looking through a library's collection of historical magazines, some dating back to 1947 and before. It was outrageous to find that a contemporary issue of 'Vogue' magazine [the July 1947 issue, if I recall correctly] contained an advertisement for a fashion company's new range and one of the featured season's colours was, 'Nigger brown'.

Do you conclude that not one of Glenn's related claims is true, i.e., that he never witnessed 'Roswell' debris on base, or was threatened, escorted off base, or that the 'red haired Captain' and 'black Sergeant' existed?

James Easton.

E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk

www.ufoworld.co.uk

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "Bill Chalker" <bill_c@bigpond.com>
Date: Thu, 8 Nov 2001 16:12:47 +1100
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Re: "Alien DNA in Penis Hair? Q1-Q5" Further hair sample discussion
boundary="-----_NextPart_000_000D_01C16870.34BB4AC0"

<x-html>
<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
<HTML><HEAD>
<META content="text/html; charset=iso-8859-1" http-equiv=<META
content="MSHTML 5.00.2919.6307" name=GENERATOR>
<STYLE></STYLE>
</HEAD>
<BODY bgColor=#ffffff>
<DIV>Mr. Hough,</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>At the end of my response I have appended
comments
on your questions made to me by the principal biochemist consultant working with
me.</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>Whoever you are, you havent responded to any of
the
issues I raised in my response message:</DIV>
<DIV>http://groups.yahoo.co
m/group/UFORL/message/283</DIV>
<DIV>They are not "red herrings", but I can't really say
the same about your Q1-Q5 in your latest message:</DIV>
<DIV>http://groups.yahoo.co
m/group/UFORL/message/303</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>Your style of questions and your methodology
again
begs my questions raised in my previous response message:</DIV>
<DIV>"Given your performance and methodology to date
I
have to ask are you a student or staff member at the University of NSW. If
you are a staff member I would expect you would understand that if you make
a claim about someone's work (93 or more "problems") you would back that up with
substantial evidence, otherwise if you don't, one would have to judge that
statement by the "quality" of your shrill narratives to date. Your
approach to these matters begs me to also ask if you are a PRA or Skeptics
neophyte. Are you a member of either? If you are neither, but are
indeed genuinely interested in clarity and accurate research, then of course let
me know what the rest of the "93 important problems" are."</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>Your latest response quotes William of
Ockham,

and yet you don't seem to be understanding Ockham's Razor with your questions, so much so I'd really like to know who you are, your experience in this area, in order to know what level to respond to? My responses to date are dictated by the measure of the quality of your questions.</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>Q1: The IUR report clearly points out that Peter Khoury was alone according to his testimony when he retrieved the hair samples and placed it a sample bag. We can't prove that things happened exactly as Peter indicated, only he was there. However Peter's wife does verify seeing the hair in the bag some weeks later when he had gathered enough confidence to talk to her about it. I have spoken to others who also saw the sample and heard the basic account at an early stage. For quite some time Peter was reluctant to talk about it. </DIV>

<DIV>For me the testing was in part an opportunity to test the reality of his story. If it was entirely prosaic then he might have had some explaining to do. Given the odd nature of the results some support is given to his unusual story and gives weight to the non-prosaic sourcing of the sample. Given the DNA results it would be extremely difficult for Peter to locate a hair sample of this nature. The evidence doesn't prove an alien nature but it sure encourages further research and a consideration of this methodology in any other examples of unusual biological evidence.</DIV>

<DIV>You suggest "tamper errors" on absolutely no

information. Sounds like "can't be, therefore isn't", so any response will do even if no facts back it up. </DIV>

<DIV>See the biochemist's response.</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>Q2: You proclaim image error. Think again. It's a gel run picture, with labelling it doesn't really matter. This is not an error, and even if it was, its hardly significant.</DIV>

<DIV>See the biochemist's response as well. </DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>Q3. You proclaim "process error" via assumption. There was no "process error" as you try to suggest. Of course there was seperation. This was assumed as a given in the part of the IUR report aimed at specialists. You are again in error, by making groundless assumptions. Ockham, where is your razor! See the biochemists response.</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>Q4. You proclaim "inconsistency" re 2 hair samples

and why was only 1 tested? </DIV>

<DIV>The preliminary evaluations suggested that the 2 hairs were 2 parts of the same piece, with only one part, the longer part, containing a root fragment. The 2 hairs were similar under close viewing. Standard forensics often encounter hair fragments. The smaller fragment yielded no specific DNA, presumably due to deterioration Likewise on the larger fragment at the end opposite to the root, and presumably

closest to the smaller fragment. Therefore these preliminary evaluations and testing strategy determinations, focused attention on the shaft closer to the root. Those shaft section results were what was reported in the IUR report. So our testing and reporting proceeded on the reasonable assumption that we were dealing with 2 fragments of the same hair. Fortunately at least the lower part of the longer hair both in shaft and root yielded some viable results. Further results in subsequent testing of the shaft much closer to the root and of the root have only been reported in summary form:

"The original DNA work was done on the shaft of the

hair. Fascinating further anomalies were found in the root of the hair. Two types of DNA were found depending on where the mitochondrial DNA testing occurs, namely confirming the rare Chinese type DNA in the hair shaft and indicating a rare possible Basque/Gaelic type DNA in the root section. "This was very puzzling and controversial, until a 'Nature Biotechnology' paper appeared in 2000. It revealed recent

findings on hair transplanting with previously incompatible hair, using advanced cloning techniques, developed in a possible cure for baldness. We seem to be seeing similar combined or "grafted" DNA in the sample recovered under

controversial circumstances by Peter Khoury back in

1992. "Perhaps even more controversial is that we have findings suggestive of nuclear DNA indicating possible viral resistance. The hair sample seems to show it contains 2 deleted genes for CCR5 protein and no intact gene for normal undeleted CCR5 - this CCR5 deletion factor has been implicated in AIDS resistance. To keep a very complex story somewhat uncomplicated,

what seems to be suggested by the range of findings is possible evidence for advanced DNA techniques and DNA anomalies & findings, for which we are only now discovering or starting to make sense of in mainstream biotechnology."

We hope to report these findings shortly, as time permits. Gosh, even more data for you to get worked up over, Mr. Hough.

Also see the biochemist's comments.

Q5. You proclaim "sample error". Please read

the report again. Your text references clearly indicate that Peter's 2 hair length estimates were given in an interview context. They are his rough estimates. The 3rd length is from the technical report and is based on estimates made by the biochemists. Again it was an estimate, as it was done with the hairs still in the bag. This was necessitated by concerns for possible sample loss and contamination. Its nature made handling and

reports, no more than one would list the commercial brand of pipet.

4. In the Khoury report, two hairs were taken:

We received
a sealed bag with one long hair sample, broken halfway up the shaft into two pieces. At least, there was only one hair root.
Pieces of that shaft far from the root did not contain any amplifiable DNA, as is typical in forensic cases. Hence only a small part within 2 cm of the soft root was used for analysis.

5. What are the sample hair lengths?

The entire
sample was roughly 15 cm in two pieces, but we did not measure exactly because it would not be a good idea to spread the clear, almost invisible hair onto a table, where it could easily be lost or contaminated.

</DIV>
<BLOCKQUOTE
style="BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; PADDING-RIGHT: 0px">
<DIV style="FONT: 10pt arial">----- Original Message ----- </DIV>
<DIV
style="BACKGROUND: #e4e4e4; FONT: 10pt arial; font-color: black">From:
<A href="mailto:glenUNSW@aol.com"
title=glenUNSW@aol.com>glenUNSW@aol.com
</DIV>
<DIV style="FONT: 10pt arial">To: <A
href="mailto:UFORL@yahoogroups.com"
title=UFORL@yahoogroups.com>UFORL@yahoogroups.com </DIV>
<DIV style="FONT: 10pt arial">Sent: Thursday, November 08, 2001 1:55 AM</DIV>
<DIV style="FONT: 10pt arial">Subject: [UFORL] Re: Alien DNA in Penis
Hair? Q1-Q5.</DIV>
<DIV>
</DIV></BLOCKQUOTE>

<tt>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.</tt>
</br>

</BODY></HTML>

</x-html>

From: KRandle993@aol.com
Date: Thu, 8 Nov 2001 08:35:10 EST
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Discovery Channel (UK)

Good Morning -

>The "black sergeant" common in some of the Roswell tales is
>unlikely in 1947, since the USAF did not integrate their units.

Actually, President Truman ordered the integration of the units in September, 1947, which is, of course, a couple of months too late. However, there were all black units, commanded by white officers, very much in evidence in July, 1947. At Roswell, for example, Squadron S was made up of black soldiers, commanded by white officers.

Black units in the American military have a long and proud history, starting with the 54th Massachusetts during the Civil War, which was involved in the attack on Fort Wagner, the 9th and 10th US Cavalry which fought with distinction in the old west, and the fighter squadrons trained during the Second World War.

What this means is that there could easily have been a white, red-haired captain working with a black sergeant in July, 1947. Although it is unlikely, it is certainly within the realm of possibility.

>It would also seem unlikely, that at the time of the incident, a
>black man would talk to white person in this manner.
>[END]

Given the time, 1947, this is a much more likely reason to doubt Dennis' story. I found it difficult to believe that a white officer would stand by as a black sergeant threatened a white civilian. This smacks of a tale invented in the late 1980s rather than a memory from the 1940s.

[snip]

>Do you conclude that not one of Glenn's related claims is true,
>i.e., that he never witnessed 'Roswell' debris on base, or was
>threatened, escorted off base, or that the 'red haired Captain'
>and 'black Sergeant' existed?

My opinion, based on the research I have conducted, in many interviews with Glenn Dennis, the search for the nurse that I, and many others such as Vic Golubic and Ted Oliphant, have conducted, the lack of corroborative detail, and the shifting nature of the story, is that Glenn Dennis is an opportunist who was not directly involved, had no nurse friend, saw no alien debris, and created his tale out of thin air (or heard other stories and

interjected himself into them). I don't believe he was threatened by a red-haired captain or black NCO. I believe he is just another wannabe who dots the historical landscape like Gerald Anderson and Brushy Bill Roberts (he's the guy who, in 1950, claimed that he was the real Billy the Kid).

KRandle

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Thu, 8 Nov 2001 23:46:50 -0000
Subject: [UFORL] 'Alien Skull'

I've received a series of photographs which the sender thought might be of interest - was it possibly the skull of an alien creature!

The writer claims to be an amateur archaeologist from Bulgaria:

"I am from Bulgaria and found the skull in the Rodopi mountains. The skull I found by accident, I was on a walk in the mountain when I almost stepped on it. It is the size of a baby's head. I thought it was a kind of animal but no animal has such a skull in the rodopi mountains. That's the most weird thing".

Although doubtless the skull [if genuine] does come from an animal, it is bizarre looking.

Does any list member have the experience to help identify our 'alien skull'?

I've uploaded two photographs - front and one of the side views - to my web site at:

<http://www.ufoworld.co.uk/ftp/skull1.jpg>
<http://www.ufoworld.co.uk/ftp/skull3.jpg>

James Easton.
E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk
www.ufoworld.co.uk

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "Robinson, Larry" <lrobin@indiana.edu>
Date: Fri, 9 Nov 2001 13:11:47 -0500
Subject: RE: [UFORL] 'Alien Skull'

It looks more like part of a pelvic bone, with parts broken off. There certainly aren't any eye sockets in it. It also might be just the base of a skull, or part of the flight-muscle attachment of a bird.

Several places where parts have been broken off are visible.

---- -X =@ o_ = X<= <o> o-< <-I=- -> <O-O-O< <-88 ----
Larry Robinson S/AP/EIS lrobin@indiana.edu
Indiana University (812) 855-5073 Room 42
Kinesiology Dept http://php.indiana.edu/~lrobin
1025 E 7th St Rm 112 "Bartender! This Manhattan tastes
Bloomington IN 47405-7109 like New Jersey!"

@ -----Original Message-----

@ From: James Easton [mailto:voyager@ufoworld.co.uk]

@ Sent: Thursday, November 08, 2001 18:47

@ To: UFORL@yahoogroups.com

@ Subject: [UFORL] 'Alien Skull'

@

@

@ I've received a series of photographs which the sender thought

@ might be of interest - was it possibly the skull of an alien

@ creature!

@

@ The writer claims to be an amateur archaeologist from Bulgaria:

@

@ "I am from Bulgaria and found the skull in the Rodopi mountains.

@ The skull I found by accident, I was on a walk in the mountain

@ when I almost stepped on it. It is the size of a baby's head. I

@ thought it was a kind of animal but no animal has such a skull in

@ the rodopi mountains. That's the most weird thing".

@

@ Although doubtless the skull [if genuine] does come from an

@ animal, it is bizarre looking.

@

@ Does any list member have the experience to help identify our

@ 'alien skull'?

@

@ I've uploaded two photographs - front and one of the side views -

@ to my web site at:

@

@ <http://www.ufoworld.co.uk/ftp/skull1.jpg>

@ <http://www.ufoworld.co.uk/ftp/skull3.jpg>

@

@
@ James Easton.
@ E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk
@ www.ufoworld.co.uk

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos <ballesterolmos@yahoo.es>
Date: Sat, 10 Nov 2001 18:11:56 +0100 (CET)
Subject: [UFORL] New Press Release
boundary="0-2142653587-1005412316=:39155"

Dear Colleagues:

Please find enclosed a new press released by the Spanish Anomaly Foundation, explaining our projects. I hope it is of your interest. Our web site <www.anomalia.org> displays some texts and reports in English. I can provide specific links to avoid browsing, please let me know.

Best regards,
Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos
Research Director, Anomaly Foundation

Do You Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Messenger
Comunicación instantánea gratis con tu gente.
<http://messenger.yahoo.es>

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

```
<x-htm1>
<html xmlns:o="urn:schemas-microsoft-com:office:office"
xmlns:w="urn:schemas-microsoft-com:office:word"
xmlns="http://www.w3.org/TR/REC-htm140">

<head>
<meta http-equiv=<meta name=ProgId content=Word.Document>
<meta name=Generator content="Microsoft Word 9">
<meta name=Originator content="Microsoft Word 9">
<link rel=File-List href="/Press%20Release_archivos/filelist.xml">
<title>Press Release</title>
<!--[if gte mso 9]><xml>
<o:DocumentProperties>
<o:Author>ballester olmos</o:Author>
<o:Template>Normal</o:Template>
<o:LastAuthor>ballester olmos</o:LastAuthor>
<o:Revision>2</o:Revision>
<o:TotalTime>8</o:TotalTime>
<o:Created>2001-11-10T17:18:00Z</o:Created>
<o:LastSaved>2001-11-10T17:18:00Z</o:LastSaved>
<o:Pages>2</o:Pages>
<o:Words>460</o:Words>
<o:Characters>2625</o:Characters>
```

```

<o:Lines>21</o:Lines>
<o:Paragraphs>5</o:Paragraphs>
<o:CharactersWithSpaces>3223</o:CharactersWithSpaces>
<o:Version>9.3821</o:Version>
</o:DocumentProperties>
</xml><![endif]--><!--[if gte mso 9]><xml>
<w:WordDocument>
  <w:HyphenationZone>21</w:HyphenationZone>
  <w:Compatibility>
    <w:FootnoteLayoutLikeWW8/>
    <w:ShapeLayoutLikeWW8/>
    <w:AlignTablesRowByRow/>
    <w:ForgetLastTabAlignment/>
    <w:LayoutRawTableWidth/>
    <w:LayoutTableRowsApart/>
  </w:Compatibility>
</w:WordDocument>
</xml><![endif]-->
<style>
<!--
/* Style Definitions */
p.MsoNormal, li.MsoNormal, div.MsoNormal
    {mso-style-parent:"";
    margin:0cm;
    margin-bottom:.0001pt;
    mso-pagination:widow-orphan;
    font-size:12.0pt;
    font-family:"Times New Roman";
    mso-fareast-font-family:"Times New Roman";}

h1
    {mso-style-next:Normal;
    margin:0cm;
    margin-bottom:.0001pt;
    text-align:center;
    mso-pagination:widow-orphan;
    page-break-after:avoid;
    mso-outline-level:1;
    font-size:14.0pt;
    mso-bidi-font-size:12.0pt;
    font-family:Arial;
    mso-font-kerning:0pt;}

p.MsoBodyText, li.MsoBodyText, div.MsoBodyText
    {margin:0cm;
    margin-bottom:.0001pt;
    text-align:center;
    mso-pagination:widow-orphan;
    font-size:12.0pt;
    font-family:Arial;
    mso-fareast-font-family:"Times New Roman";
    mso-ansi-language:EN-US;

```

```

        font-weight:bold;}
a:link, span.MsoHyperlink
    {color:blue;
    text-decoration:underline;
    text-underline:single;}
a:visited, span.MsoHyperlinkFollowed
    {color:purple;
    text-decoration:underline;
    text-underline:single;}
@page Section1
    {size:612.0pt 792.0pt;
    margin:70.85pt 3.0cm 70.85pt 3.0cm;
    mso-header-margin:35.4pt;
    mso-footer-margin:35.4pt;
    mso-paper-source:0;}
div.Section1
    {page:Section1;}
-->
</style>
</head>

<body lang=ES link=blue vlink=purple style='tab-interval:35.4pt'>

<div class=Section1>

<p class=MsoNormal align=center style='text-align:center'><span lang=EN-US
style='font-family:Arial;mso-bidi-font-family:"Times New Roman";mso-ansi-
language:
EN-US'>Press Release<o:p></o:p></span></p>

<p class=MsoNormal align=center style='text-align:center'><span lang=EN-US
style='font-family:Arial;mso-bidi-font-family:"Times New Roman";mso-ansi-
language:
EN-US'><![if !supportEmptyParas]>&nbsp;<![endif]><o:p></o:p></span></p>

<h1><span lang=EN-US style='mso-ansi-language:EN-US'>ICEFALLS
INVESTIGATED BY
THE ANOMALY FOUNDATION<o:p></o:p></span></h1>

<p class=MsoNormal align=center style='text-align:center'><b style='mso-bidi-font-
weight:
normal'><span lang=EN-US style='font-size:14.0pt;mso-bidi-font-size:12.0pt;
font-family:Arial;mso-bidi-font-family:"Times New Roman";mso-ansi-language:
EN-US'><![if !supportEmptyParas]>&nbsp;<![endif]><o:p></o:p></span></b></p>

<p class=MsoBodyText><span lang=EN-US>The “Anomaly Foundation” pushes
research
on the falls of iceblocks reported in Spain</span></p>

```

<p class=MsoBodyText><![if !supportEmptyParas]> <![endif]><o:p></o:p></p>

<p class=MsoBodyText style='text-align:justify'>In the threshold of the second anniversary of the strange ice rock shower phenomenon which took place in numerous Spanish locations in January 2000, the not-for-profit organization Anomaly Foundation (Apartado 5041, 39080 Santander, Spain) will finance a documentary compilation on this subject. “Hydroaerolites: the enigma which fell out of the blue” will be the title of a report which is being prepared under the editorship of telecommunications engineer Manuel Borraz. It received the 2001 “Universitas” scholarship, sponsored by the Foundation with the participation of the Washington-based Fund for UFO Research. It is trusted that the mystery which impacted many Spaniards and world-wide media, becoming the target for hoaxes and speculations as well as for many esoteric explanations, can be finally placed into its real historical context and proper perspective with this new publication.<o:p></o:p></p>

<p class=MsoBodyText style='text-align:justify'><![if !supportEmptyParas]> <![endif]><o:p></o:p></p>>

<p class=MsoBodyText style='text-align:justify'>This set of controversial episodes, which were followed by cloned events in Italy and Holland, had at the start the simple journalist name of iceblocks, but the Foundation provided the more scientific denomination of hydroaerolites, meaning rocks made of water which fall from the sky.<o:p></o:p></p>

<p class=MsoBodyText style='text-align:justify'><![if !supportEmptyParas]> <![endif]><o:p></o:p></p>>

<p class=MsoBodyText style='text-align:justify'>Manuel Borraz, the leader of the project, declared that “one of the objectives of this collective monograph will be to reflect the present status of the question about the origin of these masses of ice which allegedly fell in many scattered villages”. As it is well-known, many of these occurrences proved to be frauds. Although there were some experts who pointed to ordinary meteorological origins such as hail or the natural production of ice on aircraft, this phenomenon arose considerable interest among the mainstream Spanish scientific community with an intense debate, still unresolved, which resulted in the creation of a research committee by the Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (CSIC), the upper scientific research council of the Spanish academia.<o:p></o:p></p>

<p class=MsoBodyText style='text-align:justify'><![if !supportEmptyParas]> <![endif]><o:p></o:p></p>

<p class=MsoBodyText style='text-align:justify'>The Anomaly Foundation was founded 1997 with the aim to fund the scientific-oriented investigation of unusual aerial phenomena, commonly called UFOs, to preserve the related documentation gathered in Spain in the last 50 years, to provide scholarships, grants and prizes, and to publish specialized journals and books.<o:p></o:p></p>

<p class=MsoBodyText><![if !supportEmptyParas]> <![endif]><o:p></o:p></p>

<p class=MsoBodyText style='text-align:justify'>On the weekend of November 2 to 4, the Foundation Board and collaborators met in Valencia. Four field work funds were granted to Spanish researchers who during this year accomplished good case investigations of UFO experiences: Marcos Benítez Campillo (Proyecto CREBE, Cádiz), Antonio Salinas (SIB, Granada), Juan Carlos Victorio (Guipúzcoa) and Carlos León (Asturias).<o:p></o:p></p>

<p class=MsoBodyText style='text-align:justify'><![if !supportEmptyParas]> <![endif]><o:p></o:p></p>

<p class=MsoBodyText style='text-align:justify'>In the last years, the Anomaly Foundation, whose web site www.anomalia.org has already received more than 100,000 visits, has become a routine reference on this subject-matter, specially for the university environment, because of its development of data bases on UFO report data, scientific references bibliographies, etc.<o:p></o:p></p>

<p class=MsoBodyText style='text-align:justify'><![if !supportEmptyParas]> <![endif]><o:p></o:p></p>

<p class=MsoBodyText style='text-align:justify'>November 2001<o:p></o:p></p>

<p class=MsoBodyText style='text-align:justify'><span lang=EN-US

style='font-weight:normal'><![if !supportEmptyParas]> <![endif]><o:p></o:p></p>
>

<p class=MsoBodyText style='text-align:justify'><![if !supportEmptyParas]> <![endif]><o:p></o:p></p>
>

<p class=MsoBodyText style='text-align:justify'><![if !supportEmptyParas]> <![endif]><o:p></o:p></p>
>

<p class=MsoBodyText style='text-align:justify'><![if !supportEmptyParas]> <![endif]><o:p></o:p></p>
>

<p class=MsoBodyText style='text-align:justify'><![if !supportEmptyParas]> <![endif]><o:p></o:p></p>
>

<p class=MsoBodyText style='text-align:justify'><![if !supportEmptyParas]> <![endif]><o:p></o:p></p>
>

<p class=MsoBodyText style='text-align:justify'><![if !supportEmptyParas]> <![endif]><o:p></o:p></p>
>

<p class=MsoBodyText style='text-align:justify'><![if !supportEmptyParas]> <![endif]><o:p></o:p></p>
>

</div>

</body>

</html>

</x-html>

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Sun, 11 Nov 2001 20:07:54 -0000
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Discovery Channel (UK)

Regarding:

>From: <KRandle993@aol.com>
>To: <UFORL@yahoogroups.com>
>Subject: Re: [UFORL] Discovery Channel (UK)
>Date: 08 November 2001 16:49

Kevin wrote:

>Given the time, 1947, this is a much more likely reason to doubt
>Dennis' story. I found it difficult to believe that a white
>officer would stand by as a black sergeant threatened a white
>civilian.

Kevin,

I can't see how anyone could contend otherwise, especially given
the evidently specious basis of Dennis' story.

>My opinion, based on the research I have conducted, in many
>interviews with Glenn Dennis, the search for the nurse that I,
>and many others such as Vic Golubic and Ted Oliphant, have
>conducted, the lack of corroborative detail, and the shifting
>nature of the story, is that Glenn Dennis is an opportunist who
>was not directly involved, had no nurse friend, saw no alien
>debris, and created his tale out of thin air (or heard other
>stories and interjected himself into them).
>
>I believe he is just another wannabe who dots the historical
>landscape like Gerald Anderson and Brushy Bill Roberts...

There's certainly a long list of them in 'ufology'!

I wasn't sure if you still retained some vestige of faith in
Glenn Dennis' claims - clearly not. We can only note how
seemingly plausible he was.

Yet, if someone had beforehand suggested his story was patently
too ridiculous, the fervent Roswellites [which you are not
counted amongst] would be wailing about naysayers and noisy
negativists and...

I'm still curious about the almost certain relationship with that
'partially-severed' hand and our 'alien autopsy' footage.

It's been a long time since I watched the video and I'll have to double-check something. I recalled the hand was still attached, however, looking back through my notes I see that Theresa Carlson [publicly] commented:

Subj: The severed hand

Section: Mutual UFO NetworkOctober 1995 23:00:10

From: Theresa/MUFON/SL, 70571,1735 #155178

I must have looked at this film nearly one hundred times or more, and I can't believe I missed this.

On the reel labeled "Autopsy Reel No. 59 Chest No. 2 " watch the nurse or assistant or whoever it is. As, she turns away from the table towards the window, the severed hand gets caught on her suit. She gently picks it up and lays it back on the table where it was.

Looks pretty organic to me. But I am sure a good hoaxer would have thought of this and added it to the script. <g>
[END]

I'll examine a copy of the footage and clarify whether the hand is actually depicted as 'partially severed' or not.

Incidentally, I wonder if Ray Santilli has considered releasing the film on DVD? Locating and examining individual frames would be a whole lot easier. ;)

James Easton.

E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk

www.ufoworld.co.uk

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "Keith J Matthews" <home@kmatthews.org.uk>
Date: Sun, 11 Nov 2001 22:13:01 -0000
Subject: Re: [UFORL] 'Alien Skull'
boundary="-----_NextPart_000_0002_01C16AFE.073F2220"

```
<x-html>
<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
<HTML><HEAD>
<META http-equiv=<META content="MSHTML 6.00.2600.0"
name=GENERATOR>
<STYLE></STYLE>
</HEAD>
<BODY bgColor=#ffffff>
<DIV>It is definitely animal skull (I recognise the&nbsp;honeycomb
bone&nbsp;tissue that occurs), with the facial bones missing. I need to check
against reference material at work, but I suspect that it's a cattle skull.
</DIV>
<DIV>&nbsp;</DIV>
<DIV>Funny how what ought to be a mundane object gets touted as something
exotic. It shows how far we are now removed from the natural world!</DIV>
<DIV>&nbsp;</DIV>
<DIV>Keith</DIV>
<br>
```

```
<!-- |**|begin egp html banner|**| -->
```

```
<table border=0 cellpadding=2>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFCC>
<td align=center><font size="-1" color=#003399><b>Yahoo! Groups
Sponsor</b></font></td>
</tr>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFFF>
<td align=center width=470><table border=0 cellpadding=0 cellspacing=0><tr><td
align=center><font face=arial size=-2>ADVERTISEMENT</font><br><a
href="http://rd.yahoo.com/M=213858.1727639.3250013.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1
705082741:HM/A=763352/R=0/*http://www.classmates.com/index.tf?s=5085"
target=_top></a></td></tr></table></td>
</tr>
<tr><td></td></tr>
</table>
```

```
<!-- |**|end egp html banner|**| -->
```

```
<br>
```

<tt>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.</tt>
</br>

</BODY></HTML>

</x-html>

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Mon, 12 Nov 2001 01:10:05 -0000
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Discovery Channel (UK)

I wrote:

>I'll examine a copy of the footage and clarify whether the hand
>is actually depicted as 'partially severed' or not.

No need, I've located the definitive answer. I had asked about this on CompuServe long time ago: "Is it a consensus that the hand is _completely_ severed?"

Theresa Carlson confirmed: "No its not completely severed. It's partially severed. When the hand gets caught on the nurses suit, the whole arm moves as the hand is kinda yanked".

I would still suspect this feature in the footage had its origins within Glenn Dennis' 'severed hand' story, which presumably did pre-date the film's [5 May, 1995] appearance. Curiously, there's an interview with Glenn Dennis in which he doesn't mention the 'severed hand' in his descriptions, however, what he does reveal is a specific detail about the alleged nurse, claiming she was a 2nd Lt.

That interview is contained within a video, 'UFO Secret, The Roswell Crash' [and possibly elsewhere]. I had transcribed this a few years ago and, for the record, Dennis states:

"...and this was a young 2nd Lieutenant, had only been in the service less than three months and commissioned less than three months. A very deeply religious lady, she was born and raised in a very strict catholic family, attended all the private catholic schools, pre-school and high schools and her folks wanted her to become a nun.

...and she says 'I can't believe what I've just seen'. She said 'this was the most horrible thing I've ever seen in my life'. She was going into this examination room that was across the hall, she was going in to get some supplies and when she walked in the door there was 2 doctors there and she noticed a horrible smell immediately, but she saw these 2 doctors and they said 'we need you'. She started out the door and they said 'you stay here, we've got to have you' and what it was, they were examining, she told me 3 bodies, foreign bodies, and she said 2 of them were very mutilated."

[NARRATIVE] ACCORDING TO MR DENNIS, JUDY AND BOTH DOCTORS BECAME

ILL FROM THE STENCH OF THE BODIES AS THEY CONTINUED TO EXAMINE THEM. JUDY WAS STILL NOT WELL THE FOLLOWING DAY WHEN GLEN MET HER FOR LUNCH AT THE OFFICERS CLUB. BUT HE WAS MORE DISTURBED BY HER EMOTIONAL STATE.

"...she was almost in a state of shock because she said it was so gruesome, so horrible and she would almost grab her head (in despair). It looked like she was just going into total shock".

"She had drawn me just a little the night before, she had a description of an arm that she had drawn and the anatomy of the arm, which was different from ours, there was only 4 fingers on the hand, didn't have a thumb to it, only 4 very slim fragile little fingers, that when they turned one of the hands over they noticed at the end of the fingers there was like little pads on each one of the 4 fingers there and it looked to them like they were like little suction cups, they were little hollowed out areas that looked kinda like a suction cup.

The heads on these individuals were much larger, somewhat larger than a human head would be, the eyes were very sunken, there wasn't a convex nose or anything, the nose was very concave with only 2 little orifices, the ears..there was no ear, there only might be 2 little orifices on the side but very small little lobes, it looked like they might function or might close maybe, or whatever, but they was very small, nothing resembling the ear on a human anatomy at all.

She never touched her food at all, I think I drank my coke but I was so..I thought 'my God, this was something else'. Then she said 'I've got to go back to the barracks', she said 'I am deathly ill and I've got to go back to the barracks' and then..that was the last time I ever saw her.

The next day I called...I was really concerned on what was happening to her and they told me that she wasn't working, that she was unavailable that day. And so, the next day I made the call again, I made 2 or 3 calls the next day and it was also she was still unavailable. Then the third day, I called and they said that she'd been transferred out and they didn't know where, but she was no longer there at the air base.

...FOR DAYS GLEN KEPT ASKING QUESTIONS, BUT GOT NO ANSWERS.

"Well then, maybe about ten days to 2 weeks or so later I get a letter from her in the mail, addressed to the funeral home and all it was, it was just a note, said 'I can't write, I don't have

time to write now, but here's an APO number you can send me the mail to'. She also told me 'I am in London'.

..it was at least 2 weeks, maybe 3 weeks later, the letter that I had sent to her with the APO number was returned to me and on the envelope it said 'Return to Sender' and it had a big red print, it said 'Deceased'."
[END OF EXTRACT]

It's some story if there's zero factual basis.

By any default, I would have to go along with Tim Printy's conclusion [<http://members.aol.com/TPrinty/Dennis.html>] - that Dennis was calling upon memories from a number of later events.

However, as Dennis places the entire narrative within a short, consecutive timeframe - indeed more so, as in various interviews he provides an intricate, overall account - it's alternatively disturbing to rationalise that he deliberately made this entire, convoluted story up.

A couple of points which could be cited in his defence:

1. Although there wasn't a rank of 'Airman' at that time, I wouldn't be concerned to hear Dennis retrospectively describe the injured serviceman he took to base as an 'airman'.
2. Threats from a 'black Sergeant' - has that possibly been misconstrued? There's an informative interview with Karl Pflock, on behalf of OMNI, which is online at:

http://www.omnimag.com/archives/open_book/roswell/star.html

An extract:

OMNI: Did they physically manhandle you as has been reported?

DENNIS: Oh, no. They weren't roughing me up or anything. They were real nice. But then we'd only gone a few feet when a voice said, "Bring that SOB back here." We turned around and there was this big, redheaded captain, about six three or four, with a real short crew cut and the meanest eyes I'd ever seen, like the devil himself looking at me. He had with him a black sergeant who was holding a clipboard.

OMNI: Where did they come from?

DENNIS: Somebody went and got them, I guess. Anyway, the captain came up to me and poked a finger in my chest and said, "Look,

mister, you don't go into Roswell and start a bunch of rumors that there's been a crash. Nothing has happened here, you understand?" And he kept poking me. Of course, I was getting a little upset. I said, "I'm a civilian and you can't do anything to me. You can go to hell!" That's when he jabbed me again and said, "Somebody will be picking your bones out of the sand." Then the sergeant said, "Sir, he would make better dog food." So I popped off at him, too. Then the captain said, "Get the son of a bitch out of here," and the MPs started taking me back down the hall again.
[END]

A significantly different scenario; the Sergeant doesn't verbally abuse Dennis, instead, he directs his 'supportive' comments to the Captain.

That might have being permissible.

For what it's worth, an observation relating to Glenn Dennis' interview with Karl.

Dennis states: "I received a phone call from the Roswell Army Air Field mortuary officer on July 7 sometime after lunch, around one-thirty. I have no idea who it was, but he asked if we had any baby caskets, three foot six or four foot, hermetically sealed".

This implies the 'bodies' had been discovered by that time.

Dennis apparently confirms the timeline when Karl asks: "Returning to 1947, after meeting with the nurse [the following day - James], you had no doubt there was something very much out of line going on. When did you see the newspaper with the captured saucer story?".

The 'captured saucer' headline first appeared on 8 July and Dennis replies: "About six or seven that night I went in to write an obituary, and the paper was lying on the desk at Ballard's. I picked it up, saw the headline, and thought, 'Maybe that's what she's gotten into!'".

As Marcel and Cavitt didn't return with debris from the Foster ranch until late on the evening of 7 July, where, when and how were those 'Glenn Dennis autopsy' bodies discovered earlier that morning, or in previous days?

James Easton.
E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk
www.ufoworld.co.uk

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->

Universal Inkjet Refill Kit \$29.95

Refill any ink cartridge for less!

Includes black and color ink.

<http://us.click.yahoo.com/ltH6zA/MkNDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>

-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: TPrinty@aol.com
Date: Sun, 11 Nov 2001 21:27:35 EST
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Discovery Channel (UK)

James wrote:

"By any default, I would have to go along with Tim Printy's conclusion [<http://members.aol.com/TPrinty/Dennis.html>] - that Dennis was calling upon memories from a number of later events."

Actually, I think the USAF in "Case Closed" made a good argument for many of the individuals included in the Dennis story (although I think the Kittinger crashed balloon event seems a bit of a stretch). I also found it interesting that the nurse Eileen Fanton was not earlier identified as a close match for the stories told by Dennis. Why did it take the USAF to unveil the particulars about her?

Tim Printy

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Mon, 12 Nov 2001 03:53:52 -0000
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Discovery Channel (UK)

Regarding:

>From: <TPrinty@aol.com>
>To: <UFORL@yahoogroups.com>
>Subject: Re: [UFORL] Discovery Channel (UK)
>Date: 12 November 2001 02:30

Tim wrote:

>Actually, I think the USAF in "Case Closed" made a good argument
>for many of the individuals included in the Dennis story
>(although I think the Kittinger crashed balloon event seems a
>bit of a stretch).

Tim,

I would agree with you about Joe Kittinger's much later projects probably being a far-fetched connection. Coincidentally, I'm familiar with Kittinger's endeavours via research into Lonnie Zamora's Socorro 'UFO' - Kittinger was of course a pioneer of ballooning - see:

http://www.balloonlife.com/publications/balloon_life/9510/balloonm.htm

>I also found it interesting that the nurse Eileen Fanton was not
>earlier identified as a close match for the stories told by
>Dennis.

Given there are so few candidates, she does seem to embody Glenn's nurse, as noted on your web site:

"According to the US Air Force, there were only five nurses at Roswell in 1947. One fits the description Dennis gave. The nurse's name was 1st Lt. Eileen M. Fanton. The comparison is there. According to Dennis, she was, 'real cute, like a small Audrey Hepburn, with short black hair, dark eyes, and olive skin'.

The AF report states that in 1947, Eileen Fanton was single, 5'1", and weighed 100 pounds, had black hair, dark eyes, and was of Italian descent. Dennis had made remarks that she was catholic. Her records revealed this as well. The AF investigation also revealed that she served in London, England from 1952 to 1955. Even more amazing is that she left Roswell on September 4,

1947, unannounced to be admitted to a hospital in Texas. It appears that Fanton is a very close match for Glenn's mysterious nurse but there appears to be nothing mysterious about the events in her career".
[END]

In his interview with Karl Pflock, Dennis confirms she was, "about 23 and real cute -- like a small Audrey Hepburn, with short black hair, dark eyes, and olive skin...".

Specifically, Dennis further highlights the nurse to be, "A very deeply religious lady, she was born and raised in a very strict catholic family, attended all the private catholic schools, pre-school and high schools and her folks wanted her to become a nun".

If Eileen Fanton was of Italian descent, that could concur with an 'olive skin' and someone who had conceivably been brought up in the "strict" catholic tradition.

Again... there were statedly only five nurses on base at this time and keeping in mind the anomalies with Glenn Dennis being able to identify his nurse... It has to be, at least, a remarkable coincidence and, definitely, Eileen Fanton must be a 'best bet'.

Whilst one, profoundly, hesitates to remark on the evidence for remnants of an underlying... how can we best put it... 'unfulfilled relationship' involved here, clearly, Glenn enjoyed the regular company of this nurse - "about 23 and real cute -- like a small Audrey Hepburn, with short black hair, dark eyes, and olive skin...".

However, we must, absolutely, appreciate he clarified to Karl:

OMNI: Many questions have been raised about your relationship with the nurse, even that you and she considered marriage.

DENNIS: That's bull! I was married, and she and I were just friendly acquaintances, nothing else. I wasn't after her or anything. When all this happened, she'd been at the base only about three months, in the service about seven. She was about 23 and real cute -- like a small Audrey Hepburn, with short black hair, dark eyes, and olive skin -- but kind of a loner, shy, didn't fit in. But I talked with her whenever I saw her at the infirmary, so I got to know a little about her.
[END]

I hope that sets Glenn's perspective in context. Karl merely

asked the obvious question...

That acknowledged, I can only surmise how many male subscribers would explain to their partners they had spent some time with a colleague who was, "about 23 and real cute -- like a small Audrey Hepburn, with short black hair, dark eyes, and olive skin...".

If you are ever inclined to do so, please take it off-list.

I dislike the sight of blood...

James Easton.

E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk

www.ufoworld.co.uk

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: Tony Eccles <tonyeccles@yahoo.com>
Date: Mon, 12 Nov 2001 01:32:26 -0800 (PST)
Subject: Re: [UFORL] 'Alien Skull'

I've also checked by showing what detail was available on the images to a vertebrate zoologist at my place of work and she recognises as animal too but it appears to have fragmented and possibly diseased.

Tony

--- Keith J Matthews <home@kmatthews.org.uk> wrote:

> It is definitely animal skull (I recognise the
> honeycomb bone tissue that occurs), with the facial
> bones missing. I need to check against reference
> material at work, but I suspect that it's a cattle
> skull.
>
> Funny how what ought to be a mundane object gets
> touted as something exotic. It shows how far we are
> now removed from the natural world!
>
> Keith
>

Do You Yahoo!?
Find a job, post your resume.
<http://careers.yahoo.com>

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: KRandle993@aol.com
Date: Mon, 12 Nov 2001 08:42:58 EST
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Discovery Channel (UK)

Good Morning -

>I also found it interesting
>that the nurse Eileen Fanton was not earlier identified as a close match
for
>the stories told by Dennis. Why did it take the USAF to unveil the
>particulars about her?

Why should we have assumed tht Eileen Fanton was the nurse when Dennis insisted her name was Naomi Self? In 1990, I sat in a room with Dennis and others while Dennis told us reluctantly (though he told us everything reluctantly, he eventually told us) that her name was Naomi Self and that he had promised not to reveal what she had told him.

A year or two later Dennis asked me why we hadn't found his nurse. He had given us her name. Later still he told Karl Pflock that her name was Naomi Maria Selff, which isn't that different, and Pflock couldn't find her.

I might mention here that in the search I conducted included reveiwing the New York Times index for the aircraft accident that Dennis said killed her, a reveiw of various financial records, state archives and with the help of a police officer, a full credit check for her. We failed to find a trace. I tried to get the Army to turn loose the morning reports for the medical staff at Roswell but failed. Vic Golubic, after months, finally did succeed, and they revealed nothing of a nurse named Self. When finally confronted with this negative information, back in 1997, Dennis said that he had never given anyone the correct name.

At that point, I decided that the Glenn Dennis tale was not grounded in reality and that his nurse did not exist. He compells us, for years, to look for her under one name and when we tell him that no one served as an Army nurse under that name, he claimed that he hadn't given us the real name and refuses to help with the search from that point.

So, the Air Force believes that his nurse was Eileen Fanton. I believe there was no nurse, so the search beyond that is of no value and Air Force speculations, which, I believe have some support inside the UFO community are without foundation. Fanton is not Dennis' nurse because she didn't exist.

This, I believe answers the question.

KRandle

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->

Universal Inkjet Refill Kit \$29.95

Refill any ink cartridge for less!

Includes black and color ink.

<http://us.click.yahoo.com/ltH6zA/MkNDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>

-----~->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: TPrinty@aol.com
Date: Mon, 12 Nov 2001 17:44:52 EST
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Discovery Channel (UK)

Kevin wrote:

"So, the Air Force believes that his nurse was Eileen Fanton. I believe there was no nurse, so the search beyond that is of no value and Air Force speculations, which, I believe have some support inside the UFO community are without foundation. Fanton is not Dennis' nurse because she didn't exist."

You missed my point. With so many similarities between Fanton and Nurse Self, why didn't you, Schmitt, Friedman, or a host of others investigating the case at the time note this? After all, you were searching for the nurse at some point and when Self was not on the list of nurses on base at the time, I would have assumed that you would check up on the actual nurses to see if one of them was the actual nurse. Instead, we have to wait until 1997 to discover that Fanton was similar to the nurse described by Dennis. You proclaim that Dennis' nurse did not exist but you base this on that fact that Dennis lied about his story. Why do you find it unreasonable that he would place individuals he knew from on base into his "jump on the Roswell bandwagon" tale? Isn't this a probable scenario?

Tim Printy

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: KRandle993@aol.com
Date: Mon, 12 Nov 2001 21:28:17 EST
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Discovery Channel (UK)

Good Evening -

>You missed my point. With so many similarities between Fanton and Nurse
>Self, why didn't you, Schmitt, Friedman, or a host of others investigating
>the case at the time note this? After all, you were searching for the
nurse
>at some point and when Self was not on the list of nurses on base at the
>time, I would have assumed that you would check up on the actual nurses to
>see if one of them was the actual nurse.

But you see, we had the name. Dennis insisted for years that it was Naomi Self or Naomi Selff. Within hours of telling me the story of his involvement, he had given me the name. He reinforced it repeatedly, saying that her name was Self, that she was from Minnesota, that she was a young nurse and that she was killed in a plane crash just months after the 1947 UFO crash. And, he told everyone else that her name was Self.

Second, the fact that she did not appear in the Yearbook was never an important consideration. Walter Haut told me that 10 to 20 percent of the people assigned to the base in July 1947 didn't appear in the Yearbook. The practical demonstration of this was when Bill Moore rejected the testimony of Pappy Henderson because he didn't find Henderson's name in the Yearbook... turns out that Henderson is in there, as a member of a flight crew, but not in the individual section.

We, and by this I include Vic Golubic, learned that there were about 20 women who could be considered nurses at Roswell in 1947, but only 5 were listed in the Yearbook.

So, we had the name, a name that Dennis insisted was the right one, and we had no reason to apply the description he gave to any of the nurses pictured because none of them had the right name, or one that was even close... Not to mention the fact, we had shown him the Yearbook and he said that she wasn't there, so Fanton was eliminated at this point.

So, there was no point in looking at the nurses who were pictured in the Yearbook... none were the right ones and none had the right name. We took the investigation in a different direction, searching for any corroboration that there had ever been an Army nurse named Naomi Self, all the while, Dennis was telling us that was her name. Just why would we have looked anywhere else? And, when we proved the negative, when we could say with confidence that there had never been an Army nurse named Naomi Self (except perhaps during the Civil War which would have made her waaaay too old), we told this to Dennis. At that point he changed the story and changed the name of the nurse and at that point I realized that nothing he said about this could

be trusted.

So, Fanton matched his description, to a limited degree but of course, Fanton was a First Lieutenant, not a Second Lieutenant, Fanton had been in the Army for nearly two years, not three months, she was not fairly new to Roswell, and because Dennis failed to identify her in the Yearbook, there was no reason to pursue this line of investigation. That others seem to believe there were similarities is irrelevant and the Air Force opinion that Fanton might be Dennis' nurse is irrelevant because she was not his nurse and the tale being told was not based in reality.

See, we really did look at this closely.

KRandle

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Universal Inkjet Refill Kit \$29.95
Refill any ink cartridge for less!
Includes black and color ink.
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/XwUZwC/MkNDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

[illegible]

the same DNA as seen in just 4% of Taiwanese women,
it would not be plausible to find a Chinese woman here
with thin, almost clear hair, having the same rare DNA."

Ref R2 - p11, col 2, para 4,
line 10

Mitochondrial DNA sequence from the blonde
woman's hair strand.

Ref R2 - p14, col 1,
Image

blond alien female shows that she is biologically
close to normal human genetics, but of an unusual
race type.

Ref R2 - p15, col 2, para 3,
line 2

it would not be plausible to find a
Chinese woman here with thin, almost
clear hair,"

Ref R2 - p16, col 2, para 2,
line 10

[07]. PROCESS ERROR.

[illegible]

[illegible]

mtDNA result etc.

To confuse the analysis a "Blood" samples is then

used in the <FONT COLOR="#ff0000" SIZE=2

FAMILY="SANSSERIF" FACE="Arial" LANG="0">second<FONT
COLOR="#000000" SIZE=2 FAMILY="SANSSERIF" FACE="Arial" LANG="0">
control tests?

TEXT REFERENCE:

<FONT COLOR="#ff00ff" SIZE=3 FAMILY="SANSSERIF"
FACE="Arial" LANG="0">FAIL RESULT:<FONT COLOR="#000000"
SIZE=2 FAMILY="SANSSERIF" FACE="Arial" LANG="0">

<FONT COLOR="#0000ff" SIZE=2 FAMILY="SANSSERIF"
FACE="Arial" LANG="0">"but none from his wife, whose hair may have been

chemically treated so as to make recovery of DNA

difficult"<FONT COLOR="#000000" SIZE=2
FAMILY="SANSSERIF" FACE="Arial" LANG="0">

 <FONT COLOR="#0000ff" SIZE=2
FAMILY="SANSSERIF" FACE="Arial" LANG="0">Ref R2 - p15, col 1, para 4,
line 3<FONT COLOR="#000000" SIZE=2 FAMILY="SANSSERIF"
FACE="Arial" LANG="0">

<FONT COLOR="#ff00ff" SIZE=3 FAMILY="SANSSERIF"
FACE="Arial" LANG="0">HAIR:<FONT COLOR="#000000" SIZE=2
FAMILY="SANSSERIF" FACE="Arial" LANG="0">

<FONT COLOR="#0000ff" SIZE=2 FAMILY="SANSSERIF"
FACE="Arial" LANG="0">"control hair samples, as obtained

from the young man and his wife"<FONT COLOR="#000000"
SIZE=2 FAMILY="SANSSERIF" FACE="Arial" LANG="0">

 <FONT COLOR="#0000ff" SIZE=2
FAMILY="SANSSERIF" FACE="Arial" LANG="0">Ref R2 - p14, col 2, para 2,
line 5<FONT COLOR="#000000" SIZE=2 FAMILY="SANSSERIF"
FACE="Arial" LANG="0">

<FONT COLOR="#ff00ff" SIZE=3 FAMILY="SANSSERIF"
FACE="Arial" LANG="0">BLOOD:<FONT COLOR="#000000" SIZE=2
FAMILY="SANSSERIF" FACE="Arial" LANG="0">

<FONT COLOR="#0000ff" SIZE=2 FAMILY="SANSSERIF"
FACE="Arial" LANG="0">"additional controls from blood samples were obtained

 from the young man, his wife, and a Chinese man"<FONT
COLOR="#000000" SIZE=2 FAMILY="SANSSERIF" FACE="Arial" LANG="0">

 <FONT COLOR="#0000ff" SIZE=2
FAMILY="SANSSERIF" FACE="Arial" LANG="0">Ref R2 - p16, col 1, para 2,
line 2

[illegible]

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor				
<table border="0" style="width: 100%;"><tr><td align="center" style="width: 470px;"><table border="0" style="width: 100%;"><tr><td align="center"> ADVERTISEMENT a href="http://rd.yahoo.com/M=213858.1732093.3253688.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705082741:HM/A=763352/R=0/*http://www.classmates.com/index.tf?s=5085" target= _top </td></tr></table></td><td></td></tr></table>		<table border="0" style="width: 100%;"><tr><td align="center"> ADVERTISEMENT a href="http://rd.yahoo.com/M=213858.1732093.3253688.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705082741:HM/A=763352/R=0/*http://www.classmates.com/index.tf?s=5085" target= _top </td></tr></table>	ADVERTISEMENT a href="http://rd.yahoo.com/M=213858.1732093.3253688.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705082741:HM/A=763352/R=0/*http://www.classmates.com/index.tf?s=5085" target= _top	
<table border="0" style="width: 100%;"><tr><td align="center"> ADVERTISEMENT a href="http://rd.yahoo.com/M=213858.1732093.3253688.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705082741:HM/A=763352/R=0/*http://www.classmates.com/index.tf?s=5085" target= _top </td></tr></table>	ADVERTISEMENT a href="http://rd.yahoo.com/M=213858.1732093.3253688.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705082741:HM/A=763352/R=0/*http://www.classmates.com/index.tf?s=5085" target= _top			
ADVERTISEMENT a href="http://rd.yahoo.com/M=213858.1732093.3253688.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705082741:HM/A=763352/R=0/*http://www.classmates.com/index.tf?s=5085" target= _top				

```
src="http://us.a1.yimg.com/us.yimg.com/a/cl/classmates_com2/bll_lrec1.gif" alt=""
width="300" height="250" border="0"></a></td></tr></table></td>
</tr>
<tr><td></td></tr>
</table>

<!-- |**|end egp html banner|**| -->
```

```
<br>
<tt>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the <a
href="http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/">Yahoo! Terms of Service</a>.</tt>
</br>
```

```
</HTML>
```

```
</x-html>
```

From: TPrinty@aol.com
Date: Tue, 13 Nov 2001 21:16:45 EST
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Discovery Channel (UK)

Kevin wrote:

"But you see, we had the name. Dennis insisted for years that it was Naomi Self or Naomi Selff. Within hours of telling me the story of his involvement, he had given me the name. He reinforced it repeatedly, saying that her name was Self, that she was from Minnesota, that she was a young nurse and that she was killed in a plane crash just months after the 1947 UFO crash. And, he told everyone else that her name was Self."

And for all those years he strung you along, you never once bothered to look at all the nurses on base for any similarities? Based on your book "The Randle Report" it seems Glenn's story had credence for about five years.

"See, we really did look at this closely."

Apparently not close enough. You published at least two books endorsing the Dennis story without even checking on it to see if there was any grain of truth to the story.

Tim Printy

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Universal Inkjet Refill Kit \$29.95
Refill any ink cartridge for less!
Includes black and color ink.
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/ltH6zA/MkNDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: KRandle993@aol.com
Date: Tue, 13 Nov 2001 22:42:53 EST
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Discovery Channel (UK)

Tom wrote, apparently without reading -

>And for all those years he strung you along, you never once bothered to look
>at all the nurses on base for any similarities? Based on your book "The
>Randle Report" it seems Glenn's story had credence for about five years.

Let's see, we showed him the pictures in the Yearbook and Dennis said, "They're not her." I ask again, why would we look at those people when the witness himself had eliminated them? They weren't her.

>>"See, we really did look at this closely."

>Apparently not close enough. You published at least two books endorsing the
>Dennis story without even checking on it to see if there was any grain of
>truth to the story.

Of course we were checking, otherwise I couldn't tell you that there is no Naomi Self listed in the Army nurse records. I spent a lot of time checking, as did others. But none of us are full time UFO investigators and no one was paying me to look into this stuff. I was spending my money, quite a lot of it, and trying to do the best possible. Yes, I believed Glenn Dennis because he was a nice man who seemed sincere and those in Roswell who knew him vouched for him. I pushed it as far and as fast as I could, which apparently was not fast enough for you.

You seem to forget that once I had learned the truth, I was the first to publish it. Karl Pflock, in his latest book, made the same mistakes and took as long as I did to get at the truth. You going after him too...? Don't forget, he wrote an article for OMNI endorsing the Dennis tale.

I would think that Karl and I would get some credit for following the trail to the end and publishing the updated and accurate information, even after we had suggested that Glenn Dennis was telling the truth and even if it took us a while to get there.

But, really, I don't see your point. Eileen Fanton was not the nurse, Glenn Dennis said that she was not the nurse, and although she bore a passing resemblance to Dennis' description, so did Natalie Wood... and I would much rather have chased her than Fanton, but she wasn't Dennis' nurse either.

And since this is becoming redundant, I now quietly bow out...

KRandle

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Universal Inkjet Refill Kit \$29.95
Refill any ink cartridge for less!
Includes black and color ink.
http://us.click.yahoo.com/1_Y1qC/MkNDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: KRandle993@aol.com
Date: Tue, 13 Nov 2001 22:44:46 EST
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Discovery Channel (UK)

Tim, all -

I pop up once more to apologize. I miss typed Tim's name, making it Tom.
Sorry, I try to proof read, but I know what it is supposed to say and
sometimes
these things slip by. Sorry.

KRandle

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~->
Universal Inkjet Refill Kit \$29.95
Refill any ink cartridge for less!
Includes black and color ink.
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/Vv.L9D/MkNDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Wed, 14 Nov 2001 04:34:36 -0000
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Discovery Channel (UK)

Regarding:

>From: <KRandle993@aol.com>
>To: <UFORL@yahoogroups.com>
>Subject: Re: [UFORL] Discovery Channel (UK)
>Date: 12 November 2001 13:43

Kevin wrote:

>So, the Air Force believes that his nurse was Eileen Fanton. I
>believe there was no nurse, so the search beyond that is of no
>value and Air Force speculations, which, I believe have some
>support inside the UFO community are without foundation. Fanton
>is not Dennis' nurse because she didn't exist.

Kevin,

Thank you for the further insight.

I would now concur there's no reason why any similarities with
Eileen Fanton are other than coincidental.

There are clearly as many differences as comparisons.

If not one iota of Dennis' evidence is factual, it's obviously
disconcerting - in relation to any research - that someone so
ostensibly trustworthy could fabricate such an elaborate story.

There's an apparently signed statement from Glenn Dennis online
at:

http://www.billweller.com/Glenn_Dennis/Glenn_Dennis.htm

He writes:

About six or eight weeks later, a letter at Ballard Funeral Home.
The letter was not addressed to me, but on the inside was a
typewritten note to "Dear Glenn" from the nurse, giving an New
York APO number (overseas military address) where I could write
to her in England. The note was not signed but the nurse's name
was typed.

I responded with a short note inquiring about her well being.
Several weeks later the letter came back. Stamped in red was the
word "Deceased." I have refused to give her correct name in an
effort to protect her and her family. The skeptics see it as a

weak link in my story.
[END]

The site owner, Bill Weller, adds:

"Recently, a History Channel documentary gave the nurse's name as Naomi Self and claimed there is no record of her ever existing. I asked Glenn about this on 10-19-2000. When the Roswell story broke, Glenn was hounded by media and self-styled researchers. Pressed for an answer, he responded "You want a name? I'll give you a name, Naomi Self, but that is not her real name." The "not real" part was conveniently left out. Glenn told me the nurse asked him to give "your solemn oath that you will not reveal my identity, or location".
[END]

"The 'not real' part was conveniently left out..."

Clearly, a divergence from your own experience and something is indeed, fundamentally amiss.

I can sincerely emphasise, as in my formative 'UFO' years I did highlight a Glenn Dennis video interview to a, then, more skeptical acquaintance, who immediately determined "he's lying". How can such a conservative, professional and manifestly sincere elderly gentleman make up a story like that, I objected...

As we're since all aware of the evidence why 'Roswell' was evidently simply much ado about a Mogul balloon train, aka 'flying saucer', found amidst the hysteria resulting from Kenneth Arnold's misconstrued 'flying saucer' encounter - keeping in mind Mac Brazel's son statedly confirmed Mac first took that 'strange debris' to the local weather bureau and it was they who suggested he informed the Sheriff - then surely, it was inevitable that Dennis' claims would be found wanting?

Kevin, if time permits, it would be welcome to clarify what you now, ultimately, believe happened at Roswell and why it merits serious consideration as the crash of a non-earthly craft.

Some inherent questions:

- where, amongst so many purported sites, did it crash?
- when did that occur?
- were bodies recovered and if so, how many?

- what actually happened to the debris and bodies?
- disregarding the plain stupid 'MJ-12' hoax, then what was the 'cover-up', who were involved and how/why has that been sustained?
- in the context of NASA [or SETI], etc., programmes, is the 'Roswell alien spaceship crash with recovered bodies' really a tenable scenario, i.e., that related science has for over 50 years remained oblivious to, and been funded by, a 'privileged, clandestine few' and only they were aware of 'reality' in context?
- since 1947... any trace of a response to that 'reality' and its terrifying threat? There had to be a combative, surely massive, strategy at some point. Is there no hint of it, or has that also been successfully hidden from all but 'those in the know'?

We're addressing a pillar of 'ufology', and close to its [Arnold] foundation. Will it stand, or fall... ;)

I would suggest that's a question - the evidence is palpable - which this forum, with over 130, primarily experienced 'ufologists', should actually now be able to determine an answer to...

Best wishes,

James Easton.
E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk
www.ufoworld.co.uk

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Universal Inkjet Refill Kit \$29.95
Refill any ink cartridge for less!
Includes black and color ink.
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/Vv.L9D/MkNDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: KRandle993@aol.com
Date: Wed, 14 Nov 2001 08:53:52 EST
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Discovery Channel (UK)

James, All -

I'll address the other questions later but first, let me note:

>The site owner, Bill Weller, adds:

>"Recently, a History Channel documentary gave the nurse's name as
>Naomi Self and claimed there is no record of her ever existing. I
>asked Glenn about this on 10-19-2000. When the Roswell story
>broke, Glenn was hounded by media and self-styled researchers.
>Pressed for an answer, he responded "You want a name? I'll give
>you a name, Naomi Self, but that is not her real name." The "not
>real" part was conveniently left out. Glenn told me the nurse
>asked him to give "your solemn oath that you will not reveal my
>identity, or location".
>[END]

>"The 'not real' part was conveniently left out..."

>Clearly, a divergence from your own experience and something is
>indeed, fundamentally amiss.

This new version is simply not true and it points to the very real fact that Glenn Dennis is inventing the tale and making up answers as he goes along. He never suggested that the name he offered was not real. In fact, in 1992 or 1993, after we had been looking for his nurse for quite a while, he asked me why we had been unable to find her. I pointed out that there were many people in the country with the same names and as an example, I mentioned that we had been searching for Robert Slusher. I had spoken to four or five guys by that name but none were the right ones. Dennis replied, "Bob Slusher. I know him. He lives over in Alamogordo." I called Slusher immediately and learned he was the right guy.

My point here, which Dennis conveniently leaves out, is that he wanted us to find his nurse, gave us a name with the only qualification that we not reveal it (which we didn't do until it became clear that there was no nurse named Naomi Self), and now he changes the story.

And I love this part about being hounded by media types... Every time anyone asked for an interview, Glenn Dennis was right at the front of the line, telling his sad tale one more time. If not for the story, he would never have been on any national television show and he could have declined any of the offers. In fact, he called me many times wondering about the progress of the search for his nurse. The new, added details didn't come about until we had proved there was no Naomi Self.

Let Glenn Dennis offer any proof that his story is true. Any proof.

KRandle

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->

Universal Inkjet Refill Kit \$29.95

Refill any ink cartridge for less!

Includes black and color ink.

<http://us.click.yahoo.com/r9F0cB/MkNDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>

-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: Ktperehwon@aol.com
Date: Wed, 14 Nov 2001 14:45:58 EST
Subject: Re: Re: [UFORL] Discovery Channel (UK)

Fellow List Folk,

For what it's worth, my experience with Glenn Dennis is virtually identical with what Kevin describes. Retrospectively (hindsight's 20/20 and all that), Kevin, and I, and more than a few others might (even should) have done some things differently with respect to Dennis, but in the end we got it right. Right?

And Glenn Dennis just keeps going and going and going, like that pink battery bunny. Hmmm... Maybe he's powered by alien technology recovered at Roswell.
-- Cheers, Karl Pflock

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->

Universal Inkjet Refill Kit \$29.95

Refill any ink cartridge for less!

Includes black and color ink.

<http://us.click.yahoo.com/r9F0cB/MkNDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>

-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: TPrinty@aol.com
Date: Wed, 14 Nov 2001 20:00:45 EST
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Discovery Channel (UK)

Kevin wrote:

"Yes, I believed Glenn Dennis because he was a nice man who seemed sincere and those in Roswell who knew him vouched for him. I pushed it as far and as fast as I could, which apparently was not fast enough for you."

I guess my biggest problem is why you jumped on a story as valid without first checking up on the particulars. Other than being a sincere individual, what gave you reason to believe that he was telling the truth? There are a lot of sincere people who lie. In my experience, the best liars can be very sincere and convincing. Karl also jumped on the bandwagon as you already have noted. Karl is just as guilty as you for believing Dennis without checking. Yet it seems that Karl was a lot more skeptical of some of the other stories you found convincing. It seems that many of these tales are accepted simply because, to borrow the title from Karl's latest book, there is just "the will to believe".

"Eileen Fanton was not the nurse, Glenn Dennis said that she was not the nurse, and although she bore a passing resemblance to Dennis' description, so did Natalie Wood... and I would much rather have chased her than Fanton, but she wasn't Dennis' nurse either"

And if Dennis was lying about the original name why do you think he would say that Fanton was his nurse, when you could actually check up on her story and found it that he was lying. If Dennis was using Fanton as a model for his tall tale, then he certainly would not tell you when you presented her picture to him. You would then have discovered that his tale was false. Instead he strung you guys along for some time before it became obvious that he was lying.

"And since this is becoming redundant, I now quietly bow out..."

Understood and agreed.

Tim

P.S. I took no offense about the name being wrong.

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~->
Universal Inkjet Refill Kit \$29.95
Refill any ink cartridge for less!
Includes black and color ink.
http://us.click.yahoo.com/1_Y1qC/MkNDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM
-----~->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: KRandle993@aol.com
Date: Thu, 15 Nov 2001 09:43:35 EST
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Discovery Channel (UK)

Good Morning, All -

>Kevin, if time permits, it would be welcome to clarify what you
>now, ultimately, believe happened at Roswell and why it merits
>serious consideration as the crash of a non-earthly craft.

>Some inherent questions:

>- where, amongst so many purported sites, did it crash?

There was a debris field found by rancher Mack Brazel and which is almost universally accepted.

From: dmurd32204@aol.com
Date: Thu, 15 Nov 2001 11:02:33 EST
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Discovery Channel (UK)
boundary="part1_16f.3f5ed40.29254119_boundary"

<x-html>
<HTML><FONT COLOR="#0000ff" SIZE=3
FAMILY="SERIF" FACE="Garamond" LANG="0">KRandle,

Really enjoyed your question answer of yesterday re: Roswell and your views.

The convolution of Roswell kept us from serious inquiry, until a recent venture there,
wherein we were exposed to the Jim Ragsdale tape and claims.

In your synopsis you seem to whisk Jim under the table. We have nothing more to
support Jim than our brief visit and obviously interesting though unsubstantiated tape.
Would you consider sharing your reasons for discounting his statements.

Thanking you in advance for your reply.

<FONT COLOR="#0000ff" style="BACKGROUND-COLOR: #ffffff"
SIZE=3 FAMILY="SCRIPT" FACE="Comic Sans MS" LANG="0">David
Murdock

<!-- |**|begin egg html banner|**| -->

<table border=0 cellpadding=2>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFCC>
<td align=center>Yahoo! Groups
Sponsor</td>
</tr>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFFF>
<td align=center width=470><table border=0 cellpadding=0 cellspacing=0><tr><td
align=center>ADVERTISEMENT
<a
href="http://rd.yahoo.com/M=213858.1737107.3257770.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1
705082741:HM/A=763352/R=0/*http://www.classmates.com/index.tf?s=5085"
target=_top></td></tr></table></td>
</tr>
<tr><td></td></tr>
</table>

<!-- |**|end egg html banner|**| -->

<tt>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.</tt>
</br>

</HTML>

</x-html>

No explanation or data on why this remains unclear

error occurred nor was any reference to another mtDNA control sample analysed to clear the error.

This rendered the alleged young mans "Blood" sample control invalid, and another test should have been conducted. This was NOT done nor was it reported to have been done.

TEXT REFERENCE:

FAIL RESULT:

Finally, the young man shows a single substitution at 16,249 (T to C); a region near 16,190 remains unclear.

Ref R2 - p16, col 1, para 3, line 3

BLOOD:

additional controls from blood samples were obtained from the young man, his wife, and a Chinese man

Ref R2 - p16, col 1, para 2, line 2

=====

[12]. BIAS ERROR.

Chalker uses the word "alien" 34 times in the IUR article. [R2].

Such a practice especially in the analysis part of the article is

Bias

(BELOW: Part B = 16)

[illegible]

OR:

Because of heteroplasmy, a difference between the known

and questioned samples of a single (1) base pair (bp)

is not sufficient to result in an "Exclusion".

The FBI deems such results "Inconclusive".

HOWEVER:

A difference of 2 base pairs (bp) or more is required

by the FBI for an "exclusion".

In this <I>Chalker</I> sample it is well over 2 bp!

One needs high levels of experience in sequencing mtDNA,

and reading chromatographs, then by reading the

chromatogram as a "Whole",
and not focus on a small

portion of it, the error can be ruled in or out.

In this (<I>Khoury</I>) case that has NOT occurred, nor has

<I>Chalker</I> given any evidence to show a "demonstrate

sequence concordance" for the Root & Shaft samples.

We have NO
"Whole Chromatographs" for us to read for:

a). Root Sample =<I> Basque Gaelic</I>)?

b). Shaft Sample = (<I>Chinese Mongoloid</I>)?

NO <I>BASQUE-GAELIC</I> ROOT DATA:

<I>Chalker</I> does not reference any data about a <I>Basque

Gaelic</I> Root mtDNA find, in the <I>IUR</I> article? <FONT
COLOR="#ff0000" SIZE=2 FAMILY="SANSSERIF" FACE="Arial"
LANG="0">[R2].<FONT COLOR="#000000" SIZE=2
FAMILY="SANSSERIF" FACE="Arial" LANG="0">

This is very strange? POOR & INCONSISTENCY work.

<FONT COLOR="#ff0000" SIZE=2 FAMILY="SANSSERIF"
FACE="Arial" LANG="0">CONCLUSION:<FONT COLOR="#000000"
SIZE=2 FAMILY="SANSSERIF" FACE="Arial" LANG="0">

Contamination is the most likely cause for this error.

However, using the FBI guidelines the <I>Chalker</I> sample

analysis under the presented situ are always regarded

as being in <FONT COLOR="#ff0000" SIZE=2
FAMILY="SANSSERIF" FACE="Arial" LANG="0">"Exclusion"<FONT
COLOR="#000000" SIZE=2 FAMILY="SANSSERIF" FACE="Arial" LANG="0">
(the act of excluding).

This is an error.

TEXT REFERENCE:

<FONT COLOR="#0000ff" SIZE=2 FAMILY="SANSSERIF"
FACE="Arial" LANG="0">"16,223, 16,255, 16,278 & 16,254".

REF:

http://ww
w4.tpgi.com.au/ufoesa/dna_root&shaft.JPG<FONT
COLOR="#0000ff" SIZE=2 FAMILY="SANSSERIF" FACE="Arial" LANG="0">

http://hom
etown.aol.com.au/GlenUNSW/mtDNA/RootShaft.jpg

<FONT COLOR="#0000ff" SIZE=2 FAMILY="SANSSERIF"
FACE="Arial" LANG="0">"By was of contrast, all clones as amplified

from the hair ... show 5 consistent substitutions

from the human consensus." (16108, 16129, 16162

16172 & 16304)

[illegible]

From: KRandle993@aol.com
Date: Thu, 15 Nov 2001 16:56:44 EST
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Discovery Channel (UK)

Good Afternoon -

The whole Ragsdale story was told in THE ROSWELL ENCYCLOPEDIA. I have taken that entry and condensed it to answer the questions. This might be a little disjointed as I deleted some long sections that don't really identify the discrepancies.

KRandle

On January 26, 1993, Jim Ragsdale told UFO researchers, for the first time, in some detail, how he had witnessed the crash of an alien spacecraft in July 1947, how he had watched the military begin the recovery operation, and how he, with a female companion, originally identified as Trudy Truelove, had picked up pieces of the strange metallic debris.

Don Schmitt had arranged to meet with Ragsdale and his wife at their home to discuss the story and he recorded the interview on audio tape.

Schmitt had been to the impact site north of town during the trip so he had photographs of it. Trying to make sure that Ragsdale was describing the same place, Schmitt showed Ragsdale pictures of the terrain. Looking at the photographs of the site north of Roswell, Ragsdale said, "That right there looks like the place right there."

Ragsdale then said, "But what I figure, I figure there's two spaceships and when one came down they just picked up what they could and just left the rest of them. But it was either dummies or bodies or something there. They looked like bodies. They weren't very long... over four or five foot long at most. We didn't see their faces or nothing like that there, but we had just got to the site and we heard the Army, the sirens and stuff all coming and we got into the damn jeep and taking off. We had to hold a fence up and go up under the damn fence onto another ranch to come out from there."

Schmitt asked, "How far would you say this is from outside of town here?"

Ragsdale replied, "Oh hell, what? Forty miles... It's a good thirty to forty miles."

And again in July 1993, Schmitt and Randle met with Ragsdale with the hope of a video taped interview. Ragsdale didn't want to sit down for it, but did discuss the case again.

In September 1994, the Ragsdale story took a new turn. Max Littell, of the International UFO Museum and Research Center in Roswell, had been keeping in close contact with Ragsdale since he was introduced to the Ragsdale family by

Schmitt. Now the tale told by Ragsdale was beginning to shift significantly.

But now it had changed radically. According to it, the object passed through the trees to impact less than a hundred yards from where his truck had been parked. Ragsdale and his friend took flashlights and walked over, spending "considerable time looking around."

Now, rather than seeing bodies or dummies in the distance, Ragsdale was close enough to touch them. They were dressed in silver uniforms and wore a tight helmets. Ragsdale now claimed that he tried to remove one of the helmets but couldn't. The eyes, according to Ragsdale, were large and oval and didn't resemble anything human. Later still he would suggest that the skin of the dead alien beings was gray.

In this interview Ragsdale said that it wasn't too long before they heard what they believed were trucks and heavy equipment coming. "We left and were not there when whatever it was arrived." This is, of course, in direct conflict with the detailed descriptions of the convoy offered by Ragsdale in earlier interviews.

Describing how to find the impact site, Ragsdale now said, "A sign post on the Pine Lodge Road indicates '53 miles to Roswell.' Near this sign is a road going south toward Pine Lodge... and the turn off to Arabella leads east and south. Two or 3 miles down this road towards Arabella is the site of our pickup that night and nearby is the impact site."

The changes in the tale told by Ragsdale were detailed in *The Jim Ragsdale Story*, written by Max Littell.

The statement made on January 27, 1993 said:

On a night during July, 1947, I, James Ragsdale, was in the company of a woman in an area approximately forty (40) miles northwest of Roswell, New Mexico during a severe lightning storm. I and my companion observed a bright flash and what appeared to be a bright light source moving to the southeast. Later, at sunrise, driving in that direction, I and my companion came upon a ravine near a bluff that was covered with pieces of unusual wreckage, remains of a damaged craft and a number of smaller bodied beings outside the craft. While observing the scene, I and my companion watched as a military convoy arrived and secured the scene. As a result of the convoy's appearance we quickly fled the area. I hereby swear the aforementioned account is accurate and true to the best of my knowledge and recollection.

Ragsdale signed it and Littell notarized it. He sent the original to Randle, but kept copies in the files of the International UFO Museum. They were in the process of acquiring files about the Roswell case.

After that Littell began talking with Ragsdale frequently. It was important that Ragsdale identify the exact location of the crash and Littell said he would drive him out. In the "Max Littell Meets Jim Ragsdale," chapter of *The Jim Ragsdale Story*, he is quoted as saying:

Believing the alleged impact site to be only 30 miles from Roswell, I offered to take a doctor and nurse, along with oxygen. Since the site was only 30 miles from Roswell, I thought we could be out and back in two hours. At this point, Ragsdale told me, "It is not 30 miles to the site because it is 53 mile to the turn-off." I replied, "Fifty-three miles out on Highway 285 to Albuquerque would put you two thirds of the way to Vaughn." Ragsdale responded, "It's not 285 but Highway 48, Pine Lodge Road."

The question is how did Ragsdale, who had spoken to Randle four times about the case, and who was recorded by Schmitt, get from a site thirty to forty miles north of town to one where the turn off is more than fifty? When they interviewed him, why did he insist the site was much closer to town? And why did he identify it from photographs which look nothing like the terrain around Pine Lodge that he was now describing to Littell?

This is a major change in the story. The problem comes back to Ragsdale. Not only had he identified the site from photographs shown to him by Schmitt, but he also used a map. In fact, and according to Schmitt, in an attempt to help locate the site today, he mentioned that it was close to the El Paso Natural Gas Pipeline which runs north of town today. That is not to suggest that he was working on that line, or that it existed in 1947.

The problem with Ragsdale's identification of the Pine Lodge site are the statements made by those who lived in the Pine Lodge area in 1947. Of those interviewed not a single one talked about this event. In fact, Littell suggested in June 1996 that a reporter for The Roswell Daily Record should check to see if anyone out in the Pine Lodge area remembered anything. That research failed to produce any corroboration. Littell, "Freely acknowledged that Ragsdale Production, Inc. [headed up by Littell's son-in-law] never tried to find or talk to any of these people [residents of the Pine Lodge area]. 'As far as we were concerned, this story of Ragsdale is valid,' said Littell. 'What am I trying to prove he's lying for? We're not going to do that. You go ahead and prove he's lying. I'm just telling you what the man said.'"

Littell did secure a second notarized statement for Ragsdale. That would mean that there were now two sworn statements available that seemed to contradict one another.

Barrett, in his attempt to be as thorough as possible checked all this out. He noticed that the longer, second, first-person statement contained a significant number of different details. Besides changing the site to near the Pine Lodge, Ragsdale was talking of a nocturnal visit to the crashed craft where he even tried to pull a helmet off one of the bodies.

But the important point, according to Barrett, was "In addition to the varying detail, Ragsdale's second statement had another problem. The document carried the seal of notary Kathy Weaver of Logan County, Oklahoma - home of Ragsdale's daughter, Judy Lott - along with Weaver's written declaration that

the two-page document was 'subscribed and sworn to before me this 15th day of April, 1995.' Weaver admitted that Ragsdale didn't actually sign the statement in her presence. 'I swear to God he did not,' she said."

Apparently what happened, according to Barrett's story is that Weaver added her signature and embossed notarial seal after Judy Lott, a co-employee of the same firm where Weaver worked, brought the document, already signed to Weaver's office. Because she knew Lott, she notarized the statement. Weaver agreed, again according to Barrett's story, that it's "bad practice for notaries to attest to a signature not written while they're watching but that 'we do it all the time.'"

This procedure, under legal precedent, invalidates the force of the notarization. According to Barrett, "All that remains is an unsworn statement - a mere piece of paper, really - without the added credibility that it has been rendered in the presence of Almighty God subject to the laws of false swearing and even perjury."

Judy Lott denied that Ragsdale had signed the statement without a notary present. In fact, she told Barrett, "We had the notary come to our home because Dad was too ill and couldn't leave the house." But then, confronted with the information that the notary had denied ever meeting her father and hadn't witnessed the signature, Lott acknowledged that her father had not signed it in front of the notary. In fact, according to other information, Ragsdale might not have even been in Oklahoma when the affidavit was signed.

With the second statement invalidated, it meant that the only affidavit left was the one that was based on Schmitt's tape recorded interview in early 1994. In an ironic twist it was Max Littell who was the notary on the first statement. It meant that he was the witness to the Ragsdale signature on the only valid affidavit.

In 1998, Jim Houran and Stephen Porter reviewed the Ragsdale story using a technique they labeled Statement Validity Analysis (SVA). Using the statements made by Ragsdale, specifically, those made in the second affidavit and in the text of *The Jim Ragsdale Story*, they analyzed the information using both SVA and Fact Pattern Analysis.

Under the criterion for the SVA it was concluded, "The results of this statement analysis indicate that the Ragsdales accounts were not credible according to known features of memories for true events."

The same conclusion was reached when the fact pattern analysis was applied to the Ragsdale tale. It was noted, "The results of this fact pattern analysis indicate that the Ragsdale accounts are not credible [emphasis in original] in that they exhibit major factual inconsistencies and, independent of the nature of the event itself, include potential implausible information."

In the final conclusions, Houran and Porter wrote, "SAV and fact pattern analysis by the second author [Porter] suggested that "The Jim Ragsdale

Story" is not credible. We argue, therefore, that the story represents either a deliberate fabrication or false memories of imagined experience."

Given the circumstances, that is, the change from Ragsdale's original tale to his second, and the analysis by disinterested third parties, it would seem that the Ragsdale testimony could be eliminated. It could be argued, however, that the interference of Max Littell, and the attempts by the IUFOMRC to obtain an "exclusive" story was the cause of the alteration. The first tale, told under no inducement might be closer to the truth. Houran and Porter thought that an analysis of the first tales might provide an insight into the Ragsdale story.

Houran received a copy of the first affidavit and a copy of the Schmitt interview with Ragsdale for analysis. Using the same techniques, they reviewed the material. In the "Results and Discussion" section of their report, Houran and Porter wrote, "...a free recall account is requisite followed by a series of follow-up questions to do a competent assessment.... According to S.P. the problem with Ragsdale's 1993 testimony is that it is completely non-focused, disjointed, and with no free recall account.... Consequently, conducting SVA on the present materials would not be productive or meaningful...."

That wasn't the end, however. Houran and Porter wrote, "Having said this, S.P. noted one glaring sign of non-credibility in this account, namely the complete lack of description of any interactions between Ragsdale and his companion. It is reasonable to expect that this would constitute a major part of his narrative, given the novelty and bizarreness of the shared experience. In our opinion there is also a clear "conspiratorial" air about the interview.... Given our careful scrutiny of Ragsdale's testimony from both 1993 and 1995, we conclude that there is no evidence for its validity in a forensic sense, and we recommend therefore that serious researchers discard it."

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->

Universal Inkjet Refill Kit \$29.95

Refill any ink cartridge for less!

Includes black and color ink.

<http://us.click.yahoo.com/XwUZwC/MkNDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>

-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: James Easton <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Fri, 16 Nov 2001 11:55:28 +0000 (GMT)
Subject: [UFORL] Re: 'Alien Skull'

Thanks for the feedback on this - there are now six photographs available and I've uploaded them to:

<http://www.ufoworld.co.uk/ftp/skull1.jpg>
<http://www.ufoworld.co.uk/ftp/skull2.jpg>
<http://www.ufoworld.co.uk/ftp/skull3.jpg>
<http://www.ufoworld.co.uk/ftp/skull4.jpg>
<http://www.ufoworld.co.uk/ftp/skull5.jpg>
<http://www.ufoworld.co.uk/ftp/skull6.jpg>

I'm hopeful that it will shortly be possible to definitively identify the source of our enigmatic skull.

James Easton.
E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk
www.ufoworld.co.uk

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

even inform us of this possible suspect and all the

obvious questions that needed to be resolved to eliminate

this report <FONT COLOR="#ff0000" SIZE=2
FAMILY="SANSSERIF" FACE="Arial"
LANG="0">"Inconsistency"<FONT COLOR="#000000" SIZE=2
FAMILY="SANSSERIF" FACE="Arial" LANG="0"> on the unknown Chinese man?

TEXT REFERENCE:

<FONT COLOR="#0000ff" SIZE=2 FAMILY="SANSSERIF"
FACE="Arial" LANG="0">"Because of the subsequent clarification of the

nature of the anomalous hair DNA sample, additional

controls from blood samples were obtained from the

young man, his wife, and a Chinese man who spent

some time in the same room as the alien hair

(but who never contacted it directly)."

 Ref R2 - p16, col 1, para 2, line 1-
5<FONT COLOR="#000000" SIZE=2 FAMILY="SANSSERIF"
FACE="Arial" LANG="0">

<FONT COLOR="#0000ff" SIZE=2 FAMILY="SANSSERIF"
FACE="Arial" LANG="0">"And a Chinese man who spent some time

in the room as the alien hair (but who

never contacted it directly)."

 Ref R2 - p16, col 1, para 2, line
4<FONT COLOR="#000000" SIZE=2 FAMILY="SANSSERIF"
FACE="Arial" LANG="0">

<FONT COLOR="#0000ff" SIZE=2 FAMILY="SANSSERIF"
FACE="Arial" LANG="0">"Thus, the Chinese man shows a single substitution

at 16,223 (C to T), typical of most Asians"

 Ref R2 - p16, col 1, para 3, line
1<FONT COLOR="#000000" SIZE=2 FAMILY="SANSSERIF"
FACE="Arial" LANG="0">

<FONT COLOR="#008080" SIZE=2 FAMILY="SANSSERIF"
FACE="Arial" LANG="0">[PART A]. "STRANGE EVIDENCE BY BILL
CHALKER"<FONT COLOR="#000000" SIZE=2
FAMILY="SANSSERIF" FACE="Arial" LANG="0">

 Page 3 to 13 "Chinese Man"
 = 0 words

[PART B] "MITOCHONDRIAL DNA SEQUENCE ANALYSIS

OF A SHED HAIR FROM AN ALIEN ABDUCTION CASE

Performed by the Anomaly Physical Evidence

Group (APEG), in April 1999"

 Pages 13 - 17 = "Chinese Man" = 2 words

The bizarre appearance of this man who lived

in the <I>Khoury</I> home is not fully explained.

The mtDNA from this "Chinese Man" can not

be used as a control, as he was a a

friend in the

<I>Khoury</I> home and thus a suspect in the case.

His story and history needed investigation

and to be deliberately eliminated.

With the above references at hand, one can

ask many important questions, however, the

ones that seem to be demanding a <I>Chalker</I>

answer are as follows.

=====

[16]. DATA & REPORT INCONSISTENCY.

Who is the mystery "Chinese Man"?

Why does the "Chinese Man" not rate a mention in

<I>Chalkers</I> [PART A]

article case investigation?

<I>Chalker</I> reports - (but who never contacted it

directly)"<FONT COLOR="#000000" SIZE=2
FAMILY="SANSSERIF" FACE="Arial" LANG="0"> with the hair sample. How did
<I>Chalker</I>

establish this as a fact (verification)?

For example, the "Chinese Man" could trade in art,

craft materials or furniture etc that caused any

area in the home to be contaminated by a <FONT
COLOR="#ff0000" SIZE=2 FAMILY="SANSSERIF" FACE="Arial"
LANG="0">"plant"<FONT COLOR="#000000" SIZE=2
FAMILY="SANSSERIF" FACE="Arial" LANG="0"> or

<FONT COLOR="#ff0000" SIZE=2 FAMILY="SANSSERIF"
FACE="Arial" LANG="0">"transfer"<FONT COLOR="#000000"
SIZE=2 FAMILY="SANSSERIF" FACE="Arial" LANG="0"> - for example, via
sheets on bedding etc.

=====

<FONT COLOR="#ff0000" SIZE=4 FAMILY="SANSSERIF"
FACE="Arial" LANG="0">[17]. DATA INCONSISTENCY.<FONT
COLOR="#000000" SIZE=2 FAMILY="SANSSERIF" FACE="Arial" LANG="0">

<I>Chalker </I>did not establish any evidence that the

"Chinese Man" had not <FONT COLOR="#ff0000" SIZE=2
FAMILY="SANSSERIF" FACE="Arial" LANG="0">travelled<FONT
COLOR="#000000" SIZE=2 FAMILY="SANSSERIF" FACE="Arial" LANG="0">
to Taiwan or China

in recent times?

=====

<FONT COLOR="#ff0000" SIZE=4 FAMILY="SANSSERIF"
FACE="Arial" LANG="0">[18]. DATA INCONSISTENCY.<FONT
COLOR="#000000" SIZE=2 FAMILY="SANSSERIF" FACE="Arial" LANG="0">

<I>Chalker</I> does not enquire if the "Chinese Man" has

a Taiwan or Chines <FONT COLOR="#ff0000" SIZE=2
FAMILY="SANSSERIF" FACE="Arial" LANG="0">wife or women
friend<FONT COLOR="#000000" SIZE=2 FAMILY="SANSSERIF"
FACE="Arial" LANG="0">?

=====

<FONT COLOR="#ff0000" SIZE=4 FAMILY="SANSSERIF"
FACE="Arial" LANG="0">[19]. INVESTIGATION
INCONSISTENCY.<FONT COLOR="#000000" SIZE=2
FAMILY="SANSSERIF" FACE="Arial" LANG="0">

<I>Chalker</I> does not enquire if the "Chinese Man" had engaged

[illegible]

<tt>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.</tt>
</br>

</HTML>

</x-html>

From: "Robinson, Larry" <lrobin@indiana.edu>
Date: Fri, 16 Nov 2001 13:22:11 -0500
Subject: [UFORL] Trent UFO

Apparently, my post on the Trent UFO did not post correctly, so I will try again,
this time using a link instead of an embedded photo.

I wonder if Paul Trent had one of these Dual record changers:

<http://php.indiana.edu/~lrobin/dual1940.jpg>

Pay particular attention to the balancing weight over the stack.

---- -X =@ o_ = X<= <o> o-< <-I=- -> <O-O-O< <-88 ----
Larry Robinson S/AP/EIS lrobin@indiana.edu
Indiana University (812) 855-5073 Room 42
Kinesiology Dept <http://php.indiana.edu/~lrobin>
1025 E 7th St Rm 112 HDTV and taxes killed RCA.
Bloomington IN 47405-7109

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Universal Inkjet Refill Kit \$29.95
Refill any ink cartridge for less!
Includes black and color ink.
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/Vv.L9D/MkNDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: YoungBob2@aol.com
Date: Fri, 16 Nov 2001 14:04:59 EST
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Trent UFO

In a message dated 11/16/2001 1:54:12 PM Eastern Standard Time,
lrobins@indiana.edu writes:

<< <http://php.indiana.edu/~lrobins/dual1940.jpg> >>

Larry:

That would be about the right size, according to some analyses
of the pixs.

Bob Young

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Universal Inkjet Refill Kit \$29.95
Refill any ink cartridge for less!
Includes black and color ink.
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/Vv.L9D/MkNDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Fri, 16 Nov 2001 22:10:22 -0000
Subject: Re: [UFORL] 'Alien Skull'

Regarding:

>From: "Keith J Matthews" <home@kmatthews.org.uk>
>To: <UFORL@yahoogroups.com>
>Subject: Re: [UFORL] 'Alien Skull'
>Date: 11 November 2001 22:24

Keith wrote:

>It is definitely animal skull (I recognise the honeycomb bone
>tissue that occurs), with the facial bones missing. I need to
>check against reference material at work, but I suspect that
>it's a cattle skull.

Keith,

Someone from the Smithsonian Institution also suggests it's a
cow's skull.

Could well be (last thing I have on this topic is any
expertise!), however, would that equate with the claim, "I almost
stepped on it. It is the size of a baby's head"?

There's also an example of a cattle skull on York University's
web site at:

<http://www-users.york.ac.uk/~wjh101/hedbone/Cattle/cattle.htm>

The head-on is especially notably different from:

<http://www.ufoworld.co.uk/ftp/skull1.jpg>

I've gone back to the source with some further queries and we'll
see what he says in response.

James Easton.
E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk
www.ufoworld.co.uk

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor ----->
Universal Inkjet Refill Kit \$29.95

Refill any ink cartridge for less!

Includes black and color ink.

<http://us.click.yahoo.com/bAmslD/MkNDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>

-----~>

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: TPrinty@aol.com
Date: Fri, 16 Nov 2001 17:10:44 EST
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Discovery Channel (UK)

Kevin wrote:

"About 11:27 PM on the evening of July 4, 1947."

You are pretty specific about the time and date. What do you base this upon?

Tim Printy

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Fri, 16 Nov 2001 22:18:02 -0000
Subject: [UFORL] Exploding Meteorite?

For information, from the 'Southern Reporter' [Scotland],
Thursday 15 November:

"Experts say meteorite debris may have caused a loud bang in the
Ayton/Eyemouth area which was registered at the seismology
station at Eskdalemuir, near Langholm, on Tuesday night.

Several houses shook and car alarms were activated as a result".

I would be interested to know of any comparative reports from the
UK last Tuesday night.

James Easton.
E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk
www.ufoworld.co.uk

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Universal Inkjet Refill Kit \$29.95
Refill any ink cartridge for less!
Includes black and color ink.
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/ltH6zA/MkNDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "Bill Chalker" <bill_c@bigpond.com>
Date: Sat, 17 Nov 2001 11:58:15 +1100
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Re: Alien DNA in Penis Hair? Q6-Q20. TIME TO STOP
boundary="-----_NextPart_000_0062_01C16F5F.23ACFBC0"

```
<x-html>
<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
<HTML><HEAD>
<META content="text/html; charset=iso-8859-1" http-equiv=<META
content="MSHTML 5.00.2919.6307" name=GENERATOR>
<STYLE></STYLE>
</HEAD>
<BODY bgColor=#ffffff>
<DIV><FONT face=Arial size=2>Dear List,</FONT></DIV>
<DIV>&nbsp;</DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=Arial size=2>On the grounds of:</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=Arial size=2>(1) waste of time, illogical, superficial and
dubious questioning&nbsp;</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=Arial size=2>(2) potential libel comments from Mr.
Hough</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=Arial size=2>we are of the opinion this matter has gone on far
enough.&nbsp;</FONT></DIV>
<DIV>&nbsp;</DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=Arial size=2>I'm not sure what the List thinks of Mr. Hough's
run of questions, but given the general tenor of Q1 through 20, plus the errors
he made with the first 3 "points" in his rambling initial messages I had hoped
he would raise some serious points.&nbsp;</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=Arial size=2>
<DIV><FONT face=Arial size=2><A
href="http://groups.yahoo.com/group/UFORL/message/283">http://groups.yahoo.co
m/group/UFORL/message/283</A></FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=Arial size=2><A
href="http://groups.yahoo.com/group/UFORL/message/305">http://groups.yahoo.co
m/group/UFORL/message/305</A>&nbsp;</FONT></DIV>
<DIV>&nbsp;</DIV></FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=Arial size=2>With question 19 Mr. Hough has set an appalling
new
low.&nbsp;</FONT></DIV>
```


From: KRandle993@aol.com
Date: Sat, 17 Nov 2001 10:39:06 EST
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Discovery Channel (UK)

Good Morning, Tim -

>You are pretty specific about the time and date. What do you base this upon?

A document that is, admittedly, not completely substantiated which means I have a photocopy and not the original and all I can tell you is that analysis showed that it was written on a typewriter that is old enough. Other information suggests that the crash did, in fact, take place on July 4 (which disagrees with Stan and others), and I threw in the time because I find it amusing.

Don Schmitt claimed that he had found the records of the Catholic nuns and that in one of the diaries there was a mention of a spectacular meteor that fell at about 11:30 pm. If he had been able to produce the record, as he promised, I think that we would have a very nice set of documents for this. But, alas, he claimed that although he had seen the diary, he was unable (or possibly not allowed) to make a photocopy. Then, given what we have learned about his veracity in the last few years, I have no real reason to believe this...

So, we're back to a single document without having the opportunity to review the original for the proper forensic testing, leaving us with only an interesting document that supplies a time. Without corroboration, or without additional information, it is an amusing piece of paper... But, since the questions were phrased for my opinion, I offered it.

KRandle

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Need new boots for winter? Looking for a perfect gift for your shoe loving friends?
Zappos.com is the perfect fit for all your shoe needs!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/3wM6yD/QrSDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: TPrinty@aol.com
Date: Sat, 17 Nov 2001 15:10:47 EST
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Discovery Channel (UK)

Thanks Kevin for the answer. I suppose the source is Frank Kaufmann.
Perhaps you could elaborate on the document in question.

Tim

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Universal Inkjet Refill Kit \$29.95
Refill any ink cartridge for less!
Includes black and color ink.
http://us.click.yahoo.com/1_Y1qC/MkNDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: KRandle993@aol.com
Date: Sun, 18 Nov 2001 10:32:00 EST
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Discovery Channel (UK)

Good Morning, Tim -

>Thanks Kevin for the answer. I suppose the source is Frank Kaufmann.
>Perhaps you could elaborate on the document in question.

I suppose that now that Frank is dead, I'm not violating the confidence. He provided a photocopy of the log he kept during these activities in New Mexico in 1947. He had shown it to me several years before he provided the copy and it provided the time and date. Given that it was a photocopy, the only thing I could learn from it was that the typewriter was older than 1947 so it could have been typed in that era. Certainly nothing there to rule it out as the Truman MJ-12 memo from a 1960s' typewriter.

I have but a single page, which, if Frank was inventing it, would have taken him the better part of ten or twenty minutes to create. So, the document has a provenance, which I suppose, is not particularly impressive, was created on a typewriter that is, at least old enough, and has no real supporting documentation like the rest of the log.

As evidence proving Frank's involvement, or for convincing people of the validity of the event, it is very thin. Frank himself was aware of the trouble with photocopied material, telling me once, "Xerox is as loose as a goose," meaning that if you had a Xerox machine, you could easily manipulate the documents.

KRandle

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor ----->
StartMeUp jump starts your car's battery
using only the cigarette lighter.
\$24.95 at Youcansave.com
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/k4tJlC/LTTDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
----->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: Ktperehwon@aol.com
Date: Sun, 18 Nov 2001 16:16:37 EST
Subject: [UFORL] Curse of Corso

Dear Colleagues -- On Nov. 8, I attempted to post the message below on UFO UpDates. Since then, I've retransmitted it to Errol twice--after adjusting line length to be sure it conformed with his requirements. I've also politely queried Errol, asking why he hadn't posted my message and what I might do to adjust it to make it acceptable. I've had zero response from him and, needless to say, my message remains unposted. Oddly, I receive UpDates posts and have successfully posted other messages there, but on this issue...

So now I'm posting it here and on a couple of other lists. My intention was to get the facts out on a very sleazy situation. I thought Errol to be committed to the free exchange of ideas on UpDates. It appears I was wrong. So now there's a second sleazy or at least highly questionable situation here. I urge all who are interested/concerned, and regardless of their views on Corso and Roswell in general, to re-post this anywhere they think it will do some good and, if they're so inclined, to ask Errol what gives. Of course, he has the right to exclude what he wishes from his list, but given what he HAS posted on this matter, I find it more than a little out of line that he refuses to let my message see the light of virtual day on UpDates--and a little common courtesy wouldn't hurt, even if it's to tell me to buzz off. -- Cheers, KARL

Fellow List Fiends --

In my book Roswell: Inconvenient Facts and the Will to Believe and in a 1997 MUFON Journal review of the late Lt. Col. Philip Corso's The Day After Roswell (TDAR), I exposed the fact that Corso had pulled a bait and switch on Senator Thurmond (R-SC), his former employer. Corso, who had worked for Thurmond for two brief periods in the 1960s and '70s, had given the senator an outline of his memoirs, grandly entitled I Walked With Giants (My Career in Military Intelligence), asking him to pen a foreword for the book. The senator did, and much to his chagrin, his brief, plain-vanilla comments--laudatory of Corso but containing nothing specific about the book itself--found their way into the first printing of TDAR.

When I saw the senator's name and foreword in the bound galleys of TDAR I was sent by Simon & Schuster, Corso's publisher, I contacted his office. Thurmond's staff was stunned, and in short order, the senator contacted S&S, told them what had happened, and demanded that his foreword and name be removed from further printings of the book and not be used in any way to further promote it. The embarrassed publisher quickly complied.

The Oct.-Nov. 2001 UFO magazine (U.S.) carries a fatuous attempt to rehabilitate Corso and, by implication, UFO's publisher William J. Birnes, who coauthored TDAR with Corso: "Honor Restored: The 'De-Pflocked' Facts About Philip Corso," by Don Ecker, the 'zine's director of research. Ecker recently posted the text of this gem on UpDates, conveniently not including

the illustrations. The most important of these is a Simon & Schuster release form signed by Senator Thurmond, allegedly giving his permission for Corso's publisher to use his foreword in TDAR. The form as reproduced in UFO has the words "Roswell Book" typed in the blank left in the boilerplate text of the form for a book title, with the other blanks, for "undersigned" and "author," left, well, blank.

I made a copy of Ecker's piece available to Senator Thurmond's chief of staff, and a few days ago, I received in return copies of several very interesting items. One of these is the release form in the senator's office file, on which ALL the blanks in text are, well, blank. No "undersigned," no "author," but, most important, no book title, not even the generic "Roswell Book." This clearly is the same form reproduced in UFO, as the senator's signature and date of signing match--but it is as it was when the original left the senator's office, BEFORE someone (gee, wonder who?) typed in "Roswell Book." Hmm...

Along with this revealing document, I received the complete outline for I Walked With Giants, wherein nary a word about UFOs or Roswell (incident or town) is found. A perusal of this outline and a reading of the senator's foreword leave no doubt in any reasonable mind that the latter was intended for the outlined proposed memoir, not the ufoological (NOT a typo) wet dream to which it was appropriated. Other than the inclusion of many of the non-UFO-connected tall tales and pulp-fiction prose that appeared in and animated TDAR, the only resemblance between the proposed book and what finally saw print is Corso's Walter Mittyish ego strutting across the pages.

Also included in the package were the senator's June 5, 1997, press release about the matter ("Right Foreword, Wrong Book"), and his June 11, 1997, letter to Simon & Schuster Deputy General Counsel Eric Raymond, demanding the removal of his misappropriated foreword from TDAR.

I will not engage in further debate on this matter, on this list or in any other forum, but will be glad to provide copies of any or all of these documents to anyone who's interested enough to cover the cost of copying and mailing. Those who'd like the full details of the Right Foreword, Wrong Book Caper, will find them in chapter 16 of my book. Oh, and for what should be a last laugh, don't miss the hilarious transcript of Paola Harris' July 5, 1997, interview with Corso in the Nov.-Dec. 2001 NEXUS New Times magazine (pp. 59-60; website: www.nexusmagazine.com), wherein you'll find babblings that were too goofy even for TDAR. -- Cheers, KARL PFLOCK

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor ----->

Universal Inkjet Refill Kit \$29.95

Refill any ink cartridge for less!

Includes black and color ink.

<http://us.click.yahoo.com/XwUZwC/MkNDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>

----->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Mon, 19 Nov 2001 01:27:27 -0000
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Discovery Channel (UK)

Regarding:

>From: <KRandle993@aol.com>
>To: <UFORL@yahoogroups.com>
>Subject: Re: [UFORL] Discovery Channel (UK)
>Date: 18 November 2001 16:12

Kevin wrote:

>I suppose that now that Frank is dead, I'm not violating the
>confidence. He provided a photocopy of the log he kept during
>these activities in New Mexico in 1947. He had shown it to me
>several years before he provided the copy and it provided the
>time and date. Given that it was a photocopy, the only thing I
>could learn from it was that the typewriter was older than 1947
>so it could have been typed in that era. Certainly nothing there
>to rule it out a la the Truman MJ-12 memo from a 1960s'
>typewriter.

Kevin,

As you know, I have previously cited some related background to Kaufmann's sketches - some of which were shown on the UK 'Incident at Roswell' documentary, when Kaufmann was interviewed. See:

<http://www.ufomind.com/ufo/updates/1997/mar/m05-023.shtml>
<http://www.ufomind.com/ufo/updates/1998/jun/m07-030.shtml>

To recap from the above discussions; Kaufmann's three-profile drawing of the 'Roswell craft' is strikingly similar to a three-profile drawing of the 'TR-3A', allegedly a USAF 'black project' aircraft - see the updated URLs:

<http://www.ufoworld.co.uk/ftp/kaufman1.jpg>
<http://www.ufoworld.co.uk/ftp/kaufman2.jpg>

It's an opportunity to, for the first time, publicly clarify some further details which Kevin kindly assisted with earlier this year.

I advised Kevin:

"The 'artist impression' I noted of our rumoured, yet seemingly mythical, 'TR-3A', was reproduced online by the 'Federation of American Scientists' - see:

<http://www.fas.org/irp/mystery/tr3a.htm#8>

They credit the origin as:

'America's New Secret Aircraft'
by Gregory Pope
'Popular Mechanics', December 1991
Page 34".
[END OF EXTRACT]

Was Kaufmann's comparative sketch inspired by that 'Popular Mechanics' feature?

Kevin gave me permission to mention the following in public, as necessary:

"I looked at my file and had written, on the back of the document that Kaufmann gave me a date of September 28, 1991. I also have a photograph of Kaufmann from that date that has the camera time stamp on it".

Kaufmann's sketch apparently therefore pre-dates the published article, however, as I replied to Kevin:

One source notes:

"As this article went to press, there were reports published in the 10 June 1991 issue of Aviation Week & Space Technology (p. 20) about a Northrop tactical reconnaissance stealth aircraft, the TR-3A, which is believed to have first flown in 1981. The Northrop aircraft described in this article could very well have been prototype of the TR-3A".

The question being; when did that 'TR-3A' profile drawing actually originate and was it possibly published in the above noted June 1991 issue?

Aside from which, the foremost question remains how Kaufmann was able to copy his stated 'official report' before photocopiers existed and why would he compromise security by retaining his own 'file copy'?

Perhaps Kevin is now able to also clarify whether the 'log' he refers to contained the same material which Kaufmann produced for that 1995 'Incident at Roswell' documentary.

James Easton.
E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk
www.ufoworld.co.uk

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~->
StartMeUp jump starts your car's battery
using only the cigarette lighter.
\$24.95 at Youcansave.com
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/ELP6ED/LTTDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: John Burtenshaw <jburtens@bournemouth.ac.uk>
Date: Mon, 19 Nov 2001 08:26:21 +0000
Subject: Re: [UFORL] 'Alien Skull'

At 22:10 16/11/2001 +0000, you wrote:

>Regarding:

>

>>From: "Keith J Matthews" <home@kmatthews.org.uk>

>>To: <UFORL@yahoogroups.com>

>>Subject: Re: [UFORL] 'Alien Skull'

>>Date: 11 November 2001 22:24

>

>Keith wrote:

>

>>It is definitely animal skull (I recognise the honeycomb bone

>>tissue that occurs), with the facial bones missing. I need to

>>check against reference material at work, but I suspect that

>>it's a cattle skull.

>

>Keith,

>

>Someone from the Smithsonian Institution also suggests it's a

>cow's skull.

>

>Could well be (last thing I have on this topic is any

>expertise!), however, would that equate with the claim, "I almost

>stepped on it. It is the size of a baby's head"?

My money is on some type of cat.

Cheers

John

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->

StartMeUp jump starts your car's battery

using only the cigarette lighter.

\$24.95 at Youcansave.com

<http://us.click.yahoo.com/k4tJlC/LTTDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>

-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: KRandle993@aol.com
Date: Mon, 19 Nov 2001 08:37:04 EST
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Discovery Channel (UK)

James, All -

>Aside from which, the foremost question remains how Kaufmann was
>able to copy his stated 'official report' before photocopiers
>existed and why would he compromise security by retaining his own
>'file copy'?

I thought I had made this clear. He did it the way it had always been done, which is to say, after he finished the report, he physically wrote out a second so that it looked as if he had retained an original copy. What he showed me was clearly not made with carbon paper, another way to make a copy prior to the photocopy machine.

It also strikes me that this could explain why the material he showed me seemed a little "thin." The copy he made for himself was a skeletal version of that which he sent on to his superiors. I had hoped for more detail in the information he showed.

As for why he would compromise security by retaining the copies... and here I must speculate... it could have been that he didn't believe the material he retained compromised security because it didn't contain information that he thought of as classified. The log has times and locations but little in the way of descriptive material. It's sort of a personal diary as opposed to a detailed log. Without Kaufmann to fill in the details, it really doesn't compromise the security. As I say, this is speculation.

>Perhaps Kevin is now able to also clarify whether the 'log' he
>refers to contained the same material which Kaufmann produced for
>that 1995 'Incident at Roswell' documentary.

Frank showed me lots of documents, but I believe the material to which you refer is from what he called his "Left hand sketch book." There were literally dozens of drawing in it, many of them relating to the Roswell case, all of them looking as if they had been done years ago, but once again, not being allowed to take the book for forensic testing, we're left with my admittedly untrained eye. It looked as if the sketches were old, it looked as if the book was filled with the drawings that related and it looked as if this was something that might have taken a long time to complete.

KRandle

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~->

Universal Inkjet Refill Kit \$29.95

Refill any ink cartridge for less!

Includes black and color ink.

<http://us.click.yahoo.com/ltH6zA/MkNDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>

-----~->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

From: Ktperehwon@aol.com
Date: Mon, 19 Nov 2001 16:07:38 EST
Subject: [UFORL] Did this get through?

ERROL -- The Curse of Corso continues beyond the grave!

Has this gotten thru to you at your address as well as cc's to the lists?
Your address above was copied directly out of your message. It's also the one in my address book, which I used to send the Corso-gram.

Of course, I know you posted all my other recent messages, and I'm getting the UpDates postings of others, all of which is what made the Curse of Corso problem all the more weird and disturbing to me.

YOU HAVE MY MOST SINCERE APOLOGIES!! Trust you understand why I was getting a tad suspicious and finally did what I did. Hope we can straighten out the Hacienda Erehwon - UpDates commo problem. -- Cheers, MJ-PFUME (aka KARL)

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
StartMeUp jump starts your car's battery
using only the cigarette lighter.
\$24.95 at Youcansave.com
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/20gskB/LTTDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: TPrinty@aol.com
Date: Mon, 19 Nov 2001 17:03:34 EST
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Discovery Channel (UK)

Kevin wrote:

"it could have been that he didn't believe the material he retained compromised security because it didn't contain information that he thought of as classified."

Speculation indeed. Based on the story of Kaufman, everything was cleaned up and EVERY scrap of evidence was taken away. Why would he think making copies would not compromise security? Did he state they took an oath not to tell anyone and did not see anything?

Tim Printy

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~->
StartMeUp jump starts your car's battery
using only the cigarette lighter.
\$24.95 at Youcansave.com
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/ELP6ED/LTTDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: TPrinty@aol.com
Date: Mon, 19 Nov 2001 20:21:53 EST
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Discovery Channel (UK)

Sorry, I meant to say "Didn't he state they took an oath not to tell anyone and did not see anything?" Sorry for the confusion.

Tim Printy

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
StartMeUp jump starts your car's battery
using only the cigarette lighter.
\$24.95 at Youcansave.com
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/qbucSA/LTTDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: KRandle993@aol.com
Date: Tue, 20 Nov 2001 08:47:43 EST
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Discovery Channel (UK)

Good Morning -

>Speculation indeed. Based on the story of Kaufman, everything was cleaned
up
>and EVERY scrap of evidence was taken away. Why would he think making
>copies
>would not compromise security? Did he state they took an oath not to tell
>anyone and did not see anything?

And now these questions require that I slip into speculation once again, which
will lead to additional questions that require more speculation. I'm not sure
that
this will prove to be a valuable exercise.

You see, Kaufmann would have been one of those who was cleaning up the scraps
and taking away the evidence, so he might not have counted himself as a
potential leak and I'm not sure that Kaufmann took the oath, though he was
clear, as were others such as Edwin Easley, that oaths were given.

On the other hand, Sheridan Cavitt claimed that no oaths were given and there
was no round up of materials.

So, we have some answers that contradict one another. Kaufmann said oaths
were given. Easley said he took one. Cavitt said they were not given and he
didn't take one. Kaufmann helped prepare the reports, obviously had a copy of
them... which is not to say that his copies were authentic, only that they
exist... but they weren't complete. There we are.

KRandle

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Universal Inkjet Refill Kit \$29.95
Refill any ink cartridge for less!
Includes black and color ink.
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/Vv.L9D/MkNDAA/ySSF/AA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: TPrinty@aol.com
Date: Tue, 20 Nov 2001 20:16:00 EST
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Discovery Channel (UK)

Kevin wrote:

"And now these questions require that I slip into speculation once again, which will lead to additional questions that require more speculation. I'm not sure that this will prove to be a valuable exercise."

Perhaps we can avoid speculation and address Frank's official duties at Roswell. According to Pflock/Klass, he was working in the personnel office in July 1947 (apparently as a clerk?). Can you tell us what were Frank's responsibilities when he was in uniform and afterwards(Not what he told everyone but what can be traced)? Did Frank provide a copy of his DD214 (or it's 1947 equivalent) or military service record to show he had responsibilities of an agent with the need to know about such an incredible event? Perhaps such records can end speculation and get more towards the facts of the matter.

Tim Printy

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Universal Inkjet Refill Kit \$29.95
Refill any ink cartridge for less!
Includes black and color ink.
http://us.click.yahoo.com/1_Y1qC/MkNDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: KRandle993@aol.com
Date: Wed, 21 Nov 2001 09:51:07 EST
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Discovery Channel (UK)

Good Morning, All -

>Perhaps we can avoid speculation and address Frank's official duties at
>Roswell. According to Pflock/Klass, he was working in the personnel office
>in July 1947 (apparently as a clerk?).

Clerk might be a simplification, but he did, in July, 1947, work in the
personnel
office, and prior to that, as a master sergeant, he worked in the same office,
doing, essentially, the same thing.

>Can you tell us what were Frank's
>responsibilities when he was in uniform and afterwards(Not what he told
>everyone but what can be traced)? Did Frank provide a copy of his DD214
(or
>it's 1947 equivalent) or military service record to show he had
>responsibilities of an agent with the need to know about such an incredible
>event?

He was assigned to the Roswell Army Air Field in 1943, worked their with
General Scanlon and seems to have no specialized training.

KRandle

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Universal Inkjet Refill Kit \$29.95
Refill any ink cartridge for less!
Includes black and color ink.
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/XwUZwC/MkNDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: TPrinty@aol.com
Date: Wed, 21 Nov 2001 16:50:46 EST
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Discovery Channel (UK)

Kevin wrote:

"Clerk might be a simplification, but he did, in July, 1947, work in the personnel office, and prior to that, as a master sergeant, he worked in the same office, doing, essentially, the same thing."

Which was what?

Tim Printy

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Universal Inkjet Refill Kit \$29.95
Refill any ink cartridge for less!
Includes black and color ink.
http://us.click.yahoo.com/1_Y1qC/MkNDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: Frits Westra <fwestra@hetnet.nl>
Date: Sat, 24 Nov 2001 19:53:16 +0100
Subject: [UFORL] Available: "Electric UFOs" by Albert Budden

Some may have noticed that Albert Budden's book "Electric UFOs - fireballs, electromagnetics and abnormal states" is out of print.

However, there appears to be one book/electronics shop in Blackpool, UK, that has around 100 copies of "Electric UFOs" in stock:

CHEVET BOOKS
157 Dickson Road
Blackpool
Lancs. FY1 2EU
England, UK
(01253-751858)

In "Electric UFOs" Budden has brought together a catalogue of revealing evidence, showing that electromagnetic radiation in our environment is intrinsically linked to a cluster of bizarre consciousness effects, such as hauntings, alien abduction experiences, missing time, visitations, and out-of-body experiences. "Electric UFOs" is recommended for serious investigator in this field.

Best wishes,
Frits Westra -- fwestra@despammed.com

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Need new boots for winter? Looking for a perfect gift for your shoe loving friends?
Zappos.com is the perfect fit for all your shoe needs!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/3wM6yD/QrSDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: James Easton <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Mon, 26 Nov 2001 09:36:34 +0000 (GMT)
Subject: [UFORL] Famous 'Wernher von Braun' UFO quotation

I'm sure the following will be of interest:

Date: 25 November 2001 22:51

Famous 'Wernher von Braun' UFO quotation
James Oberg

There is a widespread quotation attributed to Wernher von Braun in 1959, apparently first printed by Timothy Good, as follows:

"We find ourselves faced by powers which are far stronger than we had hitherto assumed, and whose base is at present unknown to us. More I cannot say at present. We are now engaged in entering into closer contact with those powers, and in six or nine months time it may be possible to speak with some precision on the matter." --This comment comes from "News Europa" Jan. 1959 and refers to mysterious events during the re-entry phase of the Juno 2 rocket during a test flight.

One Internet example is
<http://www.connect.net/mattvest/sciufu.htm>

The reference is to the Pioneer-IV moon probe, launched by the Army-built Jupiter IRBM with upper stages, in December 1958.

My colleague Tasillo Roemisch of SPACE SERVICE INTL. in Mittweida, Germany, went to the Deutsche Buecherei in Leipzig and found out the following:

The magazine 'NEUES EUROPA'(14 issues per year, all issues had only 8 pages.) ceased publishing at the end of the sixties. Its full title reads correctly: NEUES EUROPA. Das f hrende Weltblatt fuer alle Fragen der kommenden Entwicklung. Verlag August Kraemer GmbH, Stuttgart (New Europe. The leading World Magazine for all questions of the coming development).

Roemisch checked all issues of the years 1959 and 1960 and found out that they had been supporting a Karl Michalek who lived in Santiago de Chile at that time and who claimed to be the "President of the coming World Republic Earth". He was sure that the Venusian ET's were going to land in Berlin soon. Furthermore the writers often wrote about the well known UFO people George Adamski and his associates.

Wernher von Braun was only mentioned one time, and that was in issue no. 3 (Febr. 01, 1959) when they wrote that "Prof. Oberth,

the colleague of the German- American Wernher von Braun... believes in the existence of extraterrestrials living in the star constellation Tau-Zeta, the Uranides."

The article footnoted by Good and so widely and trustingly disseminated (issue no. 1, January 01, 1959, page 2) doesn't mention von Braun at all. It read as follows. The headline was "Sensationelle Zeichen aus dem Weltraum" (sensational signs from outer space), and this is the sub-text: "Interplanetarische Kräfte, welche die irdischen Mond- Raketen aus ihrer Bahn lenken" (interplanetary forces which direct terrestrial moon rockets out of track), followed by "Russische und amerikanische Fern-Raketen, die ihre Ziele nicht erreichen - Demonstration der Venus- Kräfte?" (Russian and American rockets which don't reach their goals - demonstration of the Venusian forces?)

The article itself: Saturday Dec 06, 1958. Fourth attempt of the USA moonrocket failed. Juno 2 was hindered in an altitude of 100,000 km to fly higher and came back. "Amerikanische Sachverständige betonen, dass es im Weltall Kräfte gibt, welche die irdischen Raketen aus ihren vorberechneten Bahnen ablenken, ihre Fluggeschwindigkeit reduzieren und sie wieder in die Lufthülle der Erde zurückdirigieren." (American specialists emphasize that there are forces in outer space to mislead terrestrial rockets out of their calculated tracks to reduce their speed and to send them back to the atmosphere of the Earth)

The author was Albert Laurent and he claimed the Russian and Indian researchers have said about the same.

As one would expect from an Adamski-ite rag, the real science and technology was hopelessly garbled. The American moon probes had followed gravitational trajectories with no outside interference suspected, even then; instead, problems with the booster rockets put them on the wrong initial courses.

This investigation of the actual rather than the distorted 'quotation' inaccurately attributed to Wernher von Braun is not expected to have any impact on the false versions now extant on the WWW.

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor ----->

Need new boots for winter? Looking for a perfect gift for your shoe loving friends?

Zappos.com is the perfect fit for all your shoe needs!

<http://us.click.yahoo.com/3wM6yD/QrSDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>

----->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "Robinson, Larry" <lrobin@indiana.edu>
Date: Mon, 26 Nov 2001 16:01:20 -0500
Subject: RE: [UFORL] Famous 'Wernher von Braun' UFO quotation

-----X=@ o_= X<= <o> o-< <-I=- -> <O-O-O< <-88 -----
Larry Robinson S/AP/EIS lrobin@indiana.edu
Indiana University (812) 855-5073 Room 42
Kinesiology Dept http://php.indiana.edu/~lrobin
1025 E 7th St Rm 112 HDTV and taxes killed RCA.
Bloomington IN 47405-7109

@ -----Original Message-----
@ From: James Easton [mailto:voyager@ufoworld.co.uk]
@ Sent: Monday, November 26, 2001 04:37
@ To: UFORL@yahooogroups.com
@ Subject: [UFORL] Famous 'Wernher von Braun' UFO quotation

@ Furthermore the writers often wrote about the well known UFO
@ people George Adamski and his associates.

That's two strikes right there!

@ "Prof. Oberth, the colleague of the German-American Wernher
@ von Braun... believes in the existence of extraterrestrials living in
@ the star constellation Tau-Zeta, the Uranides."

The above shows that the writer had no knowledge of how stars are
named, but just pasted some names from other stars into a mixture
that means absolutely nothing to an astronomer. Strike 3, your out!

@ As one would expect from an Adamski-ite rag, the real science and
@ technology was hopelessly garbled. The American moon probes had
@ followed gravitational trajectories with no outside interference
@ suspected, even then; instead, problems with the booster rockets
@ put them on the wrong initial courses.

If it doesn't work, it's Physics.
(from "The Handy Guide to Modern Science")

@ This investigation of the actual rather than the distorted
@ 'quotation' inaccurately attributed to Wernher von Braun is not
@ expected to have any impact on the false versions now extant on
@ the WWW.

After all of that, you expect rationality?

:D

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor ----->

Universal Inkjet Refill Kit \$29.95

Refill any ink cartridge for less!

Includes black and color ink.

<http://us.click.yahoo.com/E11sED/MkNDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>

-----~>

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Fri, 30 Nov 2001 00:13:57 -0000
Subject: [UFORL] Ufology and Demonology

During recent weeks I have been reading a new publication [2001 edition] of 'Letters on Demonology and Witchcraft', written by Sir Walter Scott and originally published in 1830.

Some of the material Scott references is hugely insightful and could be considered in a broader context, possibly relating to contemporary 'encounters' with 'aliens' in more recent years.

Scott cites a number of cases where the observer had a clear, sometimes lengthy, vision and how, significantly, this was demonstrated to be borne of powerful imagination.

He notes that, "Somnambulism and other nocturnal deceptions frequently lend their aid to the formation of such phantasmata as are formed in this middle state, betwixt sleeping and waking".

Parallels with some tales of 'alien abduction'?

As a sceptical, yet eminently tolerant, treatise on the subject, his writings are noteworthy to the extent I intended transcribing several pages.

Fortunately, that will not be necessary as I have discovered the entire publication is available on-line at:

<http://www.sacred-texts.com/pag/scott/lodw00.htm>

At the top of each page click on 'Next' or 'Previous'.

Although it's overall a lengthy commentary by Scott, each 'letter' is published with a preceding summary of its contents and any points of related interest are easily found.

Whilst the earlier online publication contains an introduction by Henry Morely, the latest introduction is by Dr. P. G. Maxwell-Stuart, Honorary Lecturer at the University of St Andrews - see:

<http://www.st-and.ac.uk/academic/history/modhist/staff/maxw.html>

He writes: "Scott is not just presenting his readers with a crude opposition between superstitious past and rational modernity. His attitude towards the occult was more subtle than that, and his acceptance of the nineteenth century's scientific claims was tempered by reservation. As he wrote to Lady Louisa Stuart, 'Your Ladyship cannot think me such an owl as to pay more respect to

Animal Magnetism or Scullology (I forget its learned name), or any other _ology_ of the present day".

I wonder what Scott would have made of 'UFOs' as a 'present day' _ology_.

I hope some subscribers might find Scott's letters of interest.

James Easton.

E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk

www.ufoworld.co.uk

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->

Universal Inkjet Refill Kit \$29.95

Refill any ink cartridge for less!

Includes black and color ink.

<http://us.click.yahoo.com/f00vhB/MkNDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>

-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Fri, 30 Nov 2001 00:13:57 -0000
Subject: [UFORL] Ufology and Demonology

During recent weeks I have been reading a new publication [2001 edition] of 'Letters on Demonology and Witchcraft', written by Sir Walter Scott and originally published in 1830.

Some of the material Scott references is hugely insightful and could be considered in a broader context, possibly relating to contemporary 'encounters' with 'aliens' in more recent years.

Scott cites a number of cases where the observer had a clear, sometimes lengthy, vision and how, significantly, this was demonstrated to be borne of powerful imagination.

He notes that, "Somnambulism and other nocturnal deceptions frequently lend their aid to the formation of such phantasmata as are formed in this middle state, betwixt sleeping and waking".

Parallels with some tales of 'alien abduction'?

As a sceptical, yet eminently tolerant, treatise on the subject, his writings are noteworthy to the extent I intended transcribing several pages.

Fortunately, that will not be necessary as I have discovered the entire publication is available on-line at:

<http://www.sacred-texts.com/pag/scott/lodw00.htm>

At the top of each page click on 'Next' or 'Previous'.

Although it's overall a lengthy commentary by Scott, each 'letter' is published with a preceding summary of its contents and any points of related interest are easily found.

Whilst the earlier online publication contains an introduction by Henry Morely, the latest introduction is by Dr. P. G. Maxwell-Stuart, Honorary Lecturer at the University of St Andrews - see:

<http://www.st-and.ac.uk/academic/history/modhist/staff/maxw.html>

He writes: "Scott is not just presenting his readers with a crude opposition between superstitious past and rational modernity. His attitude towards the occult was more subtle than that, and his acceptance of the nineteenth century's scientific claims was tempered by reservation. As he wrote to Lady Louisa Stuart, 'Your Ladyship cannot think me such an owl as to pay more respect to

Animal Magnetism or Scullology (I forget its learned name), or any other _ology_ of the present day".

I wonder what Scott would have made of 'UFOs' as a 'present day' _ology_.

I hope some subscribers might find Scott's letters of interest.

James Easton.

E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk

www.ufoworld.co.uk

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->

Universal Inkjet Refill Kit \$29.95

Refill any ink cartridge for less!

Includes black and color ink.

<http://us.click.yahoo.com/f00vhB/MkNDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>

-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "Luis R. Gonzalez" <lrgm@arrakis.es>
Date: Fri, 30 Nov 2001 16:15:02 +0100
Subject: [UFORL] Strange Times & BUFORA

Anybody can tell me if the British UFO magazine "Strange Times" is related to BUFORA?

Luis R. González

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~->
Universal Inkjet Refill Kit \$29.95
Refill any ink cartridge for less!
Includes black and color ink.
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/gwUrIA/MkNDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "Robinson, Larry" <lrobin@indiana.edu>
Date: Fri, 30 Nov 2001 12:43:34 -0500
Subject: RE: [UFORL] Ufology and Demonology

Would you be referring to Phrenology, the measurement of the bumps on the skull?

---- -X =@ o_ = X<= <o> o-< <-I=- -> <O-O-O< <-88 ----
Larry Robinson S/AP/EIS lrobin@indiana.edu
Indiana University (812) 855-5073 Room 42
Kinesiology Dept http://php.indiana.edu/~lrobin
1025 E 7th St Rm 112 HDTV and taxes killed RCA.
Bloomington IN 47405-7109

@ -----Original Message-----

@ From: James Easton [mailto:voyager@ufoworld.co.uk]

@ Sent: Thursday, November 29, 2001 19:14

@ To: UFORL@yahoogroups.com

@ Subject: [UFORL] Ufology and Demonology

@

@

@ During recent weeks I have been reading a new publication [2001
@ edition] of 'Letters on Demonology and Witchcraft', written by
@ Sir Walter Scott and originally published in 1830.

@

@ Some of the material Scott references is hugely insightful and
@ could be considered in a broader context, possibly relating to
@ contemporary 'encounters' with 'aliens' in more recent years.

@

@ Scott cites a number of cases where the observer had a clear,
@ sometimes lengthy, vision and how, significantly, this was
@ demonstrated to be borne of powerful imagination.

@

@ He notes that, "Somnambulism and other nocturnal deceptions
@ frequently lend their aid to the formation of such phantasmata as
@ are formed in this middle state, betwixt sleeping and waking".

@

@ Parallels with some tales of 'alien abduction'?

@

@ As a sceptical, yet eminently tolerant, treatise on the subject,
@ his writings are noteworthy to the extent I intended transcribing
@ several pages.

@

@ Fortunately, that will not be necessary as I have discovered the
@ entire publication is available on-line at:

@

@ <http://www.sacred-texts.com/pag/scott/lodw00.htm>

@

@ At the top of each page click on 'Next' or 'Previous'.
@
@
@ Although it's overall a lengthy commentary by Scott, each
@ 'letter' is published with a preceding summary of its contents
@ and any points of related interest are easily found.
@
@ Whilst the earlier online publication contains an introduction by
@ Henry Morely, the latest introduction is by Dr. P. G. Maxwell-
@ Stuart, Honorary Lecturer at the University of St Andrews - see:
@
@ <http://www.st-and.ac.uk/academic/history/modhist/staff/maxw.html>
@
@ He writes: "Scott is not just presenting his readers with a crude
@ opposition between superstitious past and rational modernity. His
@ attitude towards the occult was more subtle than that, and his
@ acceptance of the nineteenth century's scientific claims was
@ tempered by reservation. As he wrote to Lady Louisa Stuart, 'Your
@ Ladyship cannot think me such an owl as to pay more respect to
@ Animal Magnetism or Scullology (I forget its learned name), or
@ any other _ology_ of the present day".
@
@ I wonder what Scott would have made of 'UFOs' as a 'present day'
@ _ology_.
@
@
@ I hope some subscribers might find Scott's letters of interest.
@
@
@ James Easton.
@ E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk
@ www.ufoworld.co.uk
@
@
@
@ ----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
@ -----~-->
@ Universal Inkjet Refill Kit \$29.95
@ Refill any ink cartridge for less!
@ Includes black and color ink.
@ <http://us.click.yahoo.com/f00vhB/MkNDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
@ -----
@ -----~-->
@
@
@
@ Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
@ <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>
@
@

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->

Universal Inkjet Refill Kit \$29.95

Refill any ink cartridge for less!

Includes black and color ink.

<http://us.click.yahoo.com/iHh8lD/MkNDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>

-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: Tony Eccles <tonyeccles@yahoo.com>
Date: Mon, 3 Dec 2001 10:51:25 -0800 (PST)
Subject: Re: [UFORL] Strange Times & BUFORA

Yes, Strange Times is edited by Gloria Dixon who is also the Director of Investigations for BUFORA. Both the journal, and Gloria herself, are excellent contributors to the research of ufology.

Tony

--- "Luis R. Gonzalez" <lrgm@arrakis.es> wrote:
> Anybody can tell me if the British UFO magazine
> "Strange Times" is related
> to BUFORA?
>
> Luis R. González
>
>

Do You Yahoo!?
Buy the perfect holiday gifts at Yahoo! Shopping.
<http://shopping.yahoo.com>

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
See What You've Been Missing!
Amazing Wireless Video Camera.
Click here
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/75YKVC/7.PDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>

Date: Tue, 4 Dec 2001 15:09:27 -0000

Subject: [UFORL] 'Flying Saucer Pilgrimage'

Amongst some new book purchases at auction [including first editions of 'Flying Saucers Are Hostile', by Brad Steiger/Joan Whritenour, 'UFOs: The Psychic Solution', by Jacques Vallee and 'The Flying Saucer Story', by Brinsley Le Poer Trench], I have acquired an interesting historical publication:

'Flying Saucer Pilgrimage', by Bryant and Helen Reeve.

This is a "304 page illustrated account of 2 years research into UFOs in the 1950s".

From: "Robinson, Larry" <lrobins@indiana.edu>
Date: Tue, 4 Dec 2001 16:07:13 -0500
Subject: RE: [UFORL] 'Flying Saucer Pilgrimage'

---- -X =@ o_ = X<= <o> o-< <-I-= -> <O-O-O< <-88 ----
Larry Robinson S/AP/EIS lrobins@indiana.edu
Indiana University (812) 855-5073 Room 42
Kinesiology Dept http://php.indiana.edu/~lrobins
1025 E 7th St Rm 112 HDTV and taxes killed RCA.
Bloomington IN 47405-7109

@ -----Original Message-----
@ From: James Easton [mailto:voyager@ufoworld.co.uk]
@ Sent: Tuesday, December 04, 2001 10:09
@ To: UFO Research List
@ Subject: [UFORL] 'Flying Saucer Pilgrimage'
@ 'Flying Saucer Pilgrimage', by Bryant and Helen Reeve.
@
@ This is a "304 page illustrated account of 2 years research into
@ UFOs in the 1950s".
@
@

From: "staurt \ (Weird World\)" <stuart@weird-world.com>
Date: Sat, 8 Dec 2001 16:01:25 -0000
Subject: [UFORL] vague and vivid
boundary="-----_NextPart_000_01CB_01C18001.96BEE4E0"

<x-html>
<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
<HTML><HEAD>
<META http-equiv=<META content="MSHTML 5.50.4134.100"
name=GENERATOR>
<STYLE></STYLE>
</HEAD>
<BODY bgColor=#ffffff>

<DIV>
<P>I am the
priest and all is true; the experiences were dreamlike in nature because there
is no other way to describe the astral-surreal limited awareness at the time;
unfurling these vague and vivid snippets of memory revealed my knowing more than
i knew, consciously, or what it all meant; heres an excerpt from my developing
book; Relaxation techniques, slowly bought him to an altered state; under
hypnosis, a series of subconscious motor reflexes, a twitch of a different
finger cemented a conversation with the body, not the mind; the thumb, indicated
"Yes", the middle finger, "No", the pinky, "I (can't) won't answer". This
technique would confront repressed fear, avoidance, or directives to forget, and
allow direct conversation with the unconscious. By hypnotic suggestion, the body
would answer, meaningfully, even if one fell asleep.
<P>Doctor : "Let's begin; can we talk about his bad dreams?"</P>
<P>Priest: (film shows quick flash of thumb;) "Yes" </P>
<P>Doctor: "Go back to the day the two puppets floated outside the window, and,
below consciousness, recall what happened, that afternoon. Show me that you
begin by moving the "yes" finger, and the end of that recall by moving the "no"
finger."
Read on http://www.weird-
world.com/article-detail.asp?fid=200</P>
<P>Get published on Weird World
Send your articles in to stuart@weird-world.com </P>
<P>Have you got an event or meeting then get it listed o weird worlds
Dircetoy
http://www.weird-
world.com/adevent.asp
</P></DIV>

<!-- |**|begin egp html banner|**| -->

<table border=0 cellpadding=2>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFCC>
<td align=center>Yahoo! Groups
Sponsor</td>

```
</tr>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFFF>
<td align=center width=470><table border=0 cellpadding=0 cellspacing=0>
<tr>
<td align=center><font face=arial size=-2>ADVERTISEMENT</font><br><a
href="http://rd.yahoo.com/M=178320.1681224.3270152.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1
705082741:HM/A=879172/R=0/*http://www.fastweb.com/ib/yahoo-75f"></a></td>
</tr>
</table></td>
</tr>
<tr><td></td></tr>
</table>
```

```
<!-- **|end egp html banner**| -->
```

```
<br>
<tt>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the <a
href="http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/">Yahoo! Terms of Service</a>.</tt>
</br>
```

```
</BODY></HTML>
```

```
</x-html>
```

From: "staurt \ (Weird World\)" <stuart@weird-world.com>
Date: Sat, 8 Dec 2001 16:03:12 -0000
Subject: [UFORL] Clamate Changes On Mars
boundary="-----_NextPart_000_01D6_01C18001.D6C76940"

<x-html>
<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
<HTML><HEAD>
<META http-equiv=<META content="MSHTML 5.50.4134.100"
name=GENERATOR>
<STYLE></STYLE>
</HEAD>
<BODY bgColor=#ffffff>

<DIV>
<P>NASA's Mar's Global Surveyor has discovered evidence of what could be
described as 'the next step in the evolution of Mars.' </P>
<P>New observations show that the cold, dry, desert world is changing year by
year and may someday become a warmer and wetter environment, like scientists
suspect it was in its past. </P>
<P>These observations were made during a full Martian year, 687 Earth days. </P>
<P>"If the environment of Mars has really changed by as much and over as short a
time-scale as our observation implies, there should be attributes of Mars
reflecting these changes that may be measurable by landers," said Dr. Michael
Malin, principal investigator for Global Surveyor's camera system at Malin Space
Science Systems, San Diego. </P>
<P>Read On http://www.weird-
world.com/article-detail.asp?fid=95</P>
<P>Get publish on Weird World Send in your articles to
Stuart@weird-world.com</P>
<P> </P></DIV>

<!-- |**|begin egp html banner|**| -->

<table border=0 cellpadding=2>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFCC>
<td align=center>Yahoo! Groups
Sponsor</td>
</tr>
<tr bgcolor=#FFFFFF>
<td align=center width=470><table border=0 cellpadding=0 cellspacaing=0>
<tr>
<td align=center>ADVERTISEMENT
<a
href="http://rd.yahoo.com/M=178320.1681224.3270152.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1
705082741:HM/A=879173/R=0/*http://www.fastweb.com/ib/yahoo-76f"></td>

```
</tr>
</table></td>
</tr>
<tr><td></td></tr>
</table>

<!-- |**|end egp html banner|**| -->
```

```
<br>
<tt>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the <a
href="http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/">Yahoo! Terms of Service</a>.</tt>
</br>
```

```
</BODY></HTML>
```

```
</x-html>
```

From: Ed Stewart <ufoindex@lanset.com>
Date: Sat, 08 Dec 2001 08:20:17 -0800
Subject: [UFORL] Upcoming Earthgrazing Meteors

Geminid meteor showers are here. Known for its many earthgrazing meteors which are spectacular to see as they traverse the sky parallel to the atmosphere. Many of which are confused for UFOs. Full story can be found at:

http://science.nasa.gov/headlines/y2001/ast07dec_1.htm?list546962

Ed Stewart

--

Ed Stewart | So Man, who here seems principal alone,
ufoindex@lanset.com | Perhaps acts second to some sphere unknown.
,>'?'<, | Touches some wheel, or verges to some goal,
(O O) | 'Tis but a part we see, and not a whole.
-----ooOO-()-OOoo----- Alexander Pope, Essay on Man -----

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: Mendoza <Horseman@onetel.net.uk>
Date: Sun, 9 Dec 2001 00:17:32 +0100
Subject: Re: [UFORL] vague and vivid

At 17:01 +0100 08/12/01, staurt \((Weird World\)) wrote:

>
>

>I am the priest and all is true;

and so on.

This is all very fine, in its way, but I should like to know what this kind of crap is doing on an allegedly scientifically-oriented list, and

I should be inclined to trust a "publisher", even one on the mass illiteracy known as the Internet, who can not only tell the difference but stick to one choice between one spelling of his name and another. Staurt, stuart, ursatt, tutsar, ratstu, arstut or whatever his name is (and it doesn't sound English to me) doesn't seem to know. I wonder what he thinks the word "literal" means?

Time to moderate the list again, or what? Twaha? Hatw? For all I know that last one is a rude word in Welsh.

best wishes
Peert Smoothbiker
Well-known Scandinavian

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Stop Smoking Now
Nicotrol will help
http://us.click.yahoo.com/2vN8tD/_pSDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Sun, 9 Dec 2001 21:41:59 -0000
Subject: Re: [UFORL] vague and vivid

Regarding:

>From: "Mendoza" <Horseman@onetel.net.uk>
>To: <UFORL@yahoogroups.com>; <Undisclosed-
>Subject: Re: [UFORL] vague and vivid
>Date: 09 December 2001 16:27

Peter Brookesmith wrote:

>This is all very fine, in its way, but I should like to know
>what this kind of crap is doing on an allegedly scientifically-
>oriented list...

Peter,

Indeed, one would not normally expect to find tales of being
'abducted by alienz' in your UFORL mail, let alone one involving
the damnable 'regressive hypnosis' [then again, how many such
stories are not borne of 'hypnosis'].

The list isn't moderated and I'm sure this point about
scientifically founded content is sufficiently highlighted.

James Easton.
[List Moderator]
E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk
www.ufoworld.co.uk

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Quit now for Great
American Smokeout
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/0vN8tD/9pSDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Mon, 10 Dec 2001 01:28:55 -0000
Subject: Re: [UFORL] 'Flying Saucer Pilgrimage'

Regarding:

>From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
>To: "UFO Research List" <UFORL@yahoogroups.com>
>Subject: [UFORL] 'Flying Saucer Pilgrimage'
>Date: 04 December 2001 20:07

I mentioned:

>Amongst some new book purchases at auction [including first
>editions of 'Flying Saucers Are Hostile', by Brad Steiger/Joan
>Whritenour, 'UFOs: The Psychic Solution', by Jacques Vallee and
>'The Flying Saucer Story', by Brinsley Le Poer Trench], I have
>acquired an interesting historical publication:
>
>'Flying Saucer Pilgrimage', by Bryant and Helen Reeve.
>
>This is a "304 page illustrated account of 2 years research into
>UFOs in the 1950s".
>
>I had never heard of this book, or the authors, before seeing it
>for sale and was surprised to find that the front cover doesn't
>portray an archetypal 'flying saucer', instead showing Kenneth
>Arnold's circa 1952 depiction of the 'crescent-shaped' objects
>he believed had been observed.
>
>Aside from Arnold's 1952 publication, 'The Coming of the
>Saucers', I wasn't aware of any other book cover which had ever
>promoted his non-saucer 'spaceship', rather than the
>misconstrued interpretation of what he described.
>
>Is 'Flying Saucer Pilgrimage' the only example?

For information, a copy of the cover can be see at:

<http://www.ufoworld.co.uk/ftp/pilgrim.jpg>

Also regarding:

>From: "Robinson, Larry" <lrobins@indiana.edu>
>To: <UFORL@yahoogroups.com>
>Subject: RE: [UFORL] 'Flying Saucer Pilgrimage'
>Date: 05 December 2001 00:16

Larry wrote:

>I have known about the Arnold "crescent" since the late 1960s or
>early 1970s. I will have to look and see where I found out about
>it.

Larry,

The 'crescent' [similar drawing as 'Flying Saucer Pilgrimage']
was on the cover of Arnold's own 1952 publication, 'The Coming of
the Saucers'.

I would be interested to know if Arnold ever publicised this
sketch [and also who created it for Arnold] before his book was
released.

Coincidentally, 'The Coming of the Saucers' seems to have been
reissued by 'Legend Press' - see:

<http://www.bigbangco.com/ufomag/legendpress/>

Alternatively, see:

<http://members.aol.com/lgendpress/index.htm>

Previously, the AOL 'Legend Press' home page confirmed that 'the
present Ray Palmer' was involved with this company.

"A few skeptics and scientists, however, voiced their
disapproval, but their protests were drowned out in the general
enthusiasm".

It could be a report from any recent UFO conference.

This, however, originates from one of the earliest - a lecture
given by George Adamski at the 400 seater Detroit Institute of
Arts, in 1954.

Such was the subsequent interest that Adamski reportedly stayed
on to give another lecture, selling 4,700 tickets at the Detroit
Masonic Temple!

I wonder if that's a record attendance for any UFO conference?

It's part of the fascinating story told in 'Flying Saucer
Pilgrimage' [1957], which is a rare insight charting the growth
of 'flying saucer' history through the experiences of sincere
enthusiasts, Bryant and Helen Reeve.

Despite their proclaimed skepticism, they were easily seduced by Adamski's tales and those who followed in that vein.

No 'Roswell' related background - which wasn't of course 'rediscovered' until many years later - simply the first-hand experience of figures who were fundamental in establishing beliefs that not only were *saucer-shaped* spaceships visiting earth, their *human-like* occupants were regularly making contact.

I personally wasn't aware of all the contactees included therein [this is the author's own timeline]:

George Adamski
Truman Bethurum
Dr. George Hunt Williamson
Desmond Leslie
Salvador Villanueva Medina [the Mexican 'Adamski']
George Van Tassel
Daniel Fry
Meade Layne
Mark Probert
Orfeo Angelucci

It was a highly commendable 1957 undertaking to discuss and offer ostensibly scientific explanations for then key questions, such as why only certain people were contacted, how could the visitors travel for long periods through space, what was their propulsion mechanism, did they believe in Jesus Christ and, most importantly of course, could they cheat death.

Eventually, the contacts evolve into 'channelling', largely featuring Mark Probert and the Inner Circle (sounds like a 50s band).

Devoid of the scientific knowledge which only later became available, some of the answers purportedly from the 'visitors' are notable [questions from the authors]:

Q: How is it possible for beings like ourselves to live on other planets of our solar system. How is this possible given the different conditions of gravity, oxygen, pressure, etc?

A: Your scientific instruments are highly limited and do not always give reliable information.

[...]

Venus is not as hot as many people think. It has a great band of

substance around it that protects it from much of the sun's radiation. Mars is not an unhappy place to live. There is plant life and animal life there besides human life.

Q: What about things falling out of the sky?

A: In your country, in California, not long ago and not too far from this city (San Diego) huge blocks of ice fell down and smashed some of your cars in the streets. The authorities, to keep things quiet, said that this ice came from airplanes that were being defrosted (laughter). They surely must have been frozen in ice because some of the blocks weighed over 250 pounds!

My friends, where did this ice really come from? A body in space, from Mars, from Venus? No. my friends, it came from what you incorrectly call "empty space". Should space really open up, all kinds of things would come out of it...

Q: What about the so-called "fire-balls"? Some are green fire-balls.

A: The Guardians are doing all they can under cosmic law to help men of earth. They send these great balls of light into the atmosphere to help neutralize dangerous atomic radiations.
[END]

It's perhaps not unexpected that the authors and colleagues they refer to as "experienced researchers" are completely taken in by this!

An immensely enjoyable book and informative, albeit the stated beliefs are, with hindsight, naive.

One surprise was to find the authors, in 1957, frequently refer to the 'New Age' or 'Golden Age' and 'New Age young people'.

I didn't realise New Age beliefs were so old.

James Easton.
E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk
www.ufoworld.co.uk

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor ----->
Quit now for Great
American Smokeout
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/0vN8tD/9pSDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>

-----~>

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "Robinson, Larry" <lrobin@indiana.edu>
Date: Mon, 10 Dec 2001 18:03:24 -0500
Subject: RE: [UFORL] 'Flying Saucer Pilgrimage'

I have a copy of the sketch accompanying the Donald E Keyhoe article "Flying Saucers are Real". The article was originally published in True magazine in 1949, but the copy I have is from the 1967 "The True Report on Flying Saucers". I do not know whether or not the drawing also accompanied the original.

---- -X =@ o_ = X<= <o> o-< <-I=- -> <O-O-O< <-88 ----
Larry Robinson S/AP/EIS lrobin@indiana.edu
Indiana University (812) 855-5073 Room 42
Kinesiology Dept http://php.indiana.edu/~lrobin
1025 E 7th St Rm 112 HDTV and taxes killed RCA.
Bloomington IN 47405-7109

@ -----Original Message-----

@ From: James Easton [mailto:voyager@ufoworld.co.uk]

@ Sent: Sunday, December 09, 2001 20:29

@ To: UFORL@yahoogroups.com

@ Subject: Re: [UFORL] 'Flying Saucer Pilgrimage'

@

@

@ Regarding:

@

@ >From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>

@ >To: "UFO Research List" <UFORL@yahoogroups.com>

@ >Subject: [UFORL] 'Flying Saucer Pilgrimage'

@ >Date: 04 December 2001 20:07

@

@ I mentioned:

@

@ >Amongst some new book purchases at auction [including first

@ >editions of 'Flying Saucers Are Hostile', by Brad Steiger/Joan

@ >Whritenour, 'UFOs: The Psychic Solution', by Jacques Vallee and

@ >'The Flying Saucer Story', by Brinsley Le Poer Trench], I have

@ >acquired an interesting historical publication:

@ >

@ >'Flying Saucer Pilgrimage', by Bryant and Helen Reeve.

@ >

@ >This is a "304 page illustrated account of 2 years research into

@ >UFOs in the 1950s".

@ >

@ >I had never heard of this book, or the authors, before seeing it

@ >for sale and was surprised to find that the front cover doesn't

@ >portray an archetypal 'flying saucer', instead showing Kenneth

@ >Arnold's circa 1952 depiction of the 'crescent-shaped' objects

@ >he believed had been observed.
@ >
@ >Aside from Arnold's 1952 publication, 'The Coming of the
@ >Saucers', I wasn't aware of any other book cover which had ever
@ >promoted his non-saucer 'spaceship', rather than the
@ >misconstrued interpretation of what he described.
@ >
@ >Is 'Flying Saucer Pilgrimage' the only example?
@
@
@ For information, a copy of the cover can be see at:
@
@ <http://www.ufoworld.co.uk/ftp/pilgrim.jpg>
@
@
@ Also regarding:
@
@ >From: "Robinson, Larry" <lrobin@indiana.edu>
@ >To: <UFORL@yahoogroups.com>
@ >Subject: RE: [UFORL] 'Flying Saucer Pilgrimage'
@ >Date: 05 December 2001 00:16
@
@ Larry wrote:
@
@ >I have known about the Arnold "crescent" since the late 1960s or
@ >early 1970s. I will have to look and see where I found out about
@ >it.
@
@ Larry,
@
@ The 'crescent' [similar drawing as 'Flying Saucer Pilgrimage']
@ was on the cover of Arnold's own 1952 publication, 'The Coming of
@ the Saucers'.
@
@ I would be interested to know if Arnold ever publicised this
@ sketch [and also who created it for Arnold] before his book was
@ released.
@
@ Coincidentally, 'The Coming of the Saucers' seems to have been
@ reissued by 'Legend Press' - see:
@
@ <http://www.bigbangco.com/ufomag/legendpress/>
@
@ Alternatively, see:
@
@ <http://members.aol.com/lgendpress/index.htm>
@
@ Previously, the AOL 'Legend Press' home page confirmed that 'the
@ present Ray Palmer' was involved with this company.
@

@

@ "A few skeptics and scientists, however, voiced their
@ disapproval, but their protests were drowned out in the general
@ enthusiasm".

@

@ It could be a report from any recent UFO conference.

@

@ This, however, originates from one of the earliest - a lecture
@ given by George Adamski at the 400 seater Detroit Institute of
@ Arts, in 1954.

@

@ Such was the subsequent interest that Adamski reportedly stayed
@ on to give another lecture, selling 4,700 tickets at the Detroit
@ Masonic Temple!

@

@ I wonder if that's a record attendance for any UFO conference?

@

@ It's part of the fascinating story told in 'Flying Saucer
@ Pilgrimage' [1957], which is a rare insight charting the growth
@ of 'flying saucer' history through the experiences of sincere
@ enthusiasts, Bryant and Helen Reeve.

@

@ Despite their proclaimed skepticism, they were easily seduced by
@ Adamski's tales and those who followed in that vein.

@

@ No 'Roswell' related background - which wasn't of course
@ 'rediscovered' until many years later - simply the first-hand
@ experience of figures who were fundamental in establishing
@ beliefs that not only were *saucer-shaped* spaceships visiting
@ earth, their *human-like* occupants were regularly making
@ contact.

@

@ I personally wasn't aware of all the contactees included therein
@ [this is the author's own timeline]:

@

@ George Adamski

@ Truman Bethurum

@ Dr. George Hunt Williamson

@ Desmond Leslie

@ Salvador Villanueva Medina [the Mexican 'Adamski']

@ George Van Tassel

@ Daniel Fry

@ Meade Layne

@ Mark Probert

@ Orfeo Angelucci

@

@

@ It was a highly commendable 1957 undertaking to discuss and offer
@ ostensibly scientific explanations for then key questions, such
@ as why only certain people were contacted, how could the visitors

@ travel for long periods through space, what was their propulsion
@ mechanism, did they believe in Jesus Christ and, most importantly
@ of course, could they cheat death.

@
@ Eventually, the contacts evolve into 'channelling', largely
@ featuring Mark Probert and the Inner Circle (sounds like a 50s
@ band).

@
@ Devoid of the scientific knowledge which only later became
@ available, some of the answers purportedly from the 'visitors'
@ are notable [questions from the authors]:

@
@ Q: How is it possible for beings like ourselves to live on other
@ planets of our solar system. How is this possible given the
@ different conditions of gravity, oxygen, pressure, etc?

@
@ A: Your scientific instruments are highly limited and do not
@ always give reliable information.

@
@ [...]

@
@ Venus is not as hot as many people think. It has a great band of
@ substance around it that protects it from much of the sun's
@ radiation. Mars is not an unhappy place to live. There is plant
@ life and animal life there besides human life.

@
@ Q: What about things falling out of the sky?

@
@ A: In your country, in California, not long ago and not too far
@ from this city (San Diego) huge blocks of ice fell down and
@ smashed some of your cars in the streets. The authorities, to
@ keep things quiet, said that this ice came from airplanes that
@ were being defrosted (laughter). They surely must have been
@ frozen in ice because some of the blocks weighed over 250 pounds!

@
@ My friends, where did this ice really come from? A body in space,
@ from Mars, from Venus? No. my friends, it came from what you
@ incorrectly call "empty space". Should space really open up, all
@ kinds of things would come out of it...

@
@ Q: What about the so-called "fire-balls"? Some are green fire-
@ balls.

@
@ A: The Guardians are doing all they can under cosmic law to help
@ men of earth. They send these great balls of light into the
@ atmosphere to help neutralize dangerous atomic radiations.

@ [END]

@
@
@ It's perhaps not unexpected that the authors and colleagues they

@ refer to as "experienced researchers" are completely taken in by
@ this!
@
@ An immensely enjoyable book and informative, albeit the stated
@ beliefs are, with hindsight, naive.
@
@ One surprise was to find the authors, in 1957, frequently refer
@ to the 'New Age' or 'Golden Age' and 'New Age young people'.
@
@ I didn't realise New Age beliefs were so old.
@
@
@ James Easton.
@ E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk
@ www.ufoworld.co.uk
@
@
@
@ ----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
@ -----~-->
@ Quit now for Great
@ American Smokeout
@ <http://us.click.yahoo.com/0vN8tD/9pSDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
@ -----
@ -----~-->
@
@
@
@ Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
@ <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>
@
@

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Tiny Wireless Camera under \$80!
Order Now! FREE VCR Commander!
Click Here - Only 1 Day Left!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/75YKVC/7.PDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Tue, 11 Dec 2001 00:59:10 -0000
Subject: [UFORL] "Robinson Crusoe on Mars"

Does anyone have a still/photograph of the triangular-shaped
'alien' spaceship depicted in this 1964 film?

James Easton.
E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk
www.ufoworld.co.uk

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Tiny Wireless Camera under \$80!
Order Now! FREE VCR Commander!
Click Here - Only 1 Day Left!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/75YKVC/7.PDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: Ed Stewart <ufoindex@lanset.com>
Date: Mon, 10 Dec 2001 19:19:30 -0800
Subject: Re: [UFORL] "Robinson Crusoe on Mars"

I have the movie on video. The "alien" spaceship is more like the shape of a manta ray with a halfmoon shaped rounded front part with two halfmoon shaped rounded wings tapering off the front part, all three parts being of equal size.

Ed Stewart

James Easton wrote:

>
> Does anyone have a still/photograph of the triangular-shaped
> 'alien' spaceship depicted in this 1964 film?
>
> James Easton.
> E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk
> www.ufoworld.co.uk
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

--

Ed Stewart | So Man, who here seems principal alone,
ufoindex@lanset.com | Perhaps acts second to some sphere unknown.
,>'?'<, | Touches some wheel, or verges to some goal,
(O O) | 'Tis but a part we see, and not a whole.
-----ooOO-()-OOoo----- Alexander Pope, Essay on Man -----

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Quit now for Great
American Smokeout
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/0vN8tD/9pSDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: joel carpenter <ufx1947@home.com>
Date: Tue, 11 Dec 2001 11:16:21 -0500
Subject: Re: [UFORL] "Robinson Crusoe on Mars"

on 12/10/01 7:59 PM, James Easton at voyager@ufoworld.co.uk wrote:

> Does anyone have a still/photograph of the triangular-shaped
> 'alien' spaceship depicted in this 1964 film?
>

James, if I recall correctly, the ships in "Robinson Crusoe on Mars" were just re-worked Martian ships from George Pal's 1953 "War of the Worlds" film. The heat ray appendage was removed from the top and a circular bubble with hexagonal patterns was added to the belly. The plan view is sort of Manta-ray shaped, not really a crescent or boomerang.

Joel Carpenter

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Break free. Great
American Smokeout
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/3vN8tD/.pSDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Thu, 13 Dec 2001 01:06:43 -0000
Subject: Re: [UFORL] "Robinson Crusoe on Mars"

Regarding:

>From: "Ed Stewart" <ufoindex@lanset.com>
>To: <UFORL@yahoogroups.com>
>Subject: Re: [UFORL] "Robinson Crusoe on Mars"
>Date: 11 December 2001 18:32

Ed wrote:

>I have the movie on video. The "alien" spaceship is more like
>the shape of a manta ray with a halfmoon shaped rounded front
>part with two halfmoon shaped rounded wings tapering off the
>front part, all three parts being of equal size.

Ed,

Thanks for the reply. I have seen this film at least twice - many years ago!

I was interested in a photograph of the Martian spaceship, which I do recall as more manta-shaped than triangular. There was a web site which had some stills of the 'craft' and I had bookmarked same. Unfortunately, it's no longer online and I can't locate any other images.

Also, Joel wrote:

>James, if I recall correctly, the ships in "Robinson Crusoe on
>Mars" were just re-worked Martian ships from George Pal's 1953
>"War of the Worlds" film. The heat ray appendage was removed
>from the top and a circular bubble with hexagonal patterns was
>added to the belly. The plan view is sort of Manta-ray shaped,
>not really a crescent or boomerang.

Joel,

I hadn't appreciated there are indeed similarities with the earlier 'War of the Worlds' invading 'spaceships'. Perhaps not merely coincidental that both films were directed by Byron Haskin.

It's actually that glowing circle, with hexagonal cells, underneath the 'craft' that I wanted to look at again.

This was prompted by recent discussions about the late Frank

Kaufmann's 'Roswell' spaceship - Kaufmann's sketch depicts something comparative, see:

<http://www.ufoworld.co.uk/ftp/kaufman2.jpg>

I wonder if Frank ever watched 'Robinson Crusoe on Mars' at the Roswell Odeon...

Note that the film posters for 'Robinson Crusoe on Mars' portray the spaceships as archetypal 'flying saucers' - see:

<http://www.destinationspace.net/escape/biorocm.asp>

Presumably anything else was not considered to be sensible, commercial promotion!

I must mention the wonderful model collection available at:

<http://www.cshobbies.com>

Not only is 'Robinson Crusoe on Mars' featured...:

http://www.cshobbies.com/tv_movie_figures.htm

...we also have

http://www.cshobbies.com/spaceships_&_vehicles.htm

which includes a "ROSWELL DIORAMA":

Enlarged image at:

<http://www.cshobbies.com/images/roswell%20by%20lunar.jpg>

I understand this is available in about twenty different versions. ;)

James Easton.

E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk

www.ufoworld.co.uk

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor ----->

Promise to Quit
Nicotrol will help

<http://us.click.yahoo.com/5vN8tD/AqSDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>

-----~>

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Fri, 14 Dec 2001 03:25:47 -0000
Subject: [UFORL] 'Socorro' Update

In 'Flying Saucers Are Hostile', by Brad Steiger and Joan Whritenour, the authors mention an incident involving "Ten-year-old Sharon Stull of Albuquerque".

They write:

"Her case did, however, provoke an official response. Police Chief A. B. Martinez issued an unprecedented warning to Albuquerque residents, asking them to stay away from mysterious objects. The law-enforcement official declined to state his views on what the UFOs might be, but he strongly emphasized his warning that "they should be treated with respect and caution".

Little Sharon Stull's doctor stated that he had found membrane inflammation of both eyes and first-degree burns under the eyes and on the nose. The physician had asked the girl to draw a picture of the strange thing which had burned her with the painful ray emanations. Sharon, and some playmates who had witnessed the incident on April 28, 1964, told authorities that the object had been shaped like an egg and was slightly smaller than an airplane. They had seen no windows of any kind".

This was only four days after Lonnie Zamora's pivotal 'UFO' encounter with an egg-shaped object, near Socorro.

Steiger and Whritenour make no allusions to any connection with the Socorro event, possibly because of the time and distance factors.

However, we now know there were some classified hot-air balloon flights which had taken place in 1963 and extended into 1964, launched from a site on the banks of the Rio Grande, north of Albuquerque.

Although probably all merely coincidental and difficult to see how the above reported injuries could have been caused by a hot-air balloon, unless at extreme close proximity to its burner, I've never come across the Sharon Stull story before now.

This must surely have generated publicity, perhaps even investigation by APRO/NICAP and if any subscribers know more about the background, I would be interested to hear from them.

As an aside, I submitted a Freedom of Information Act request in search of the documents relating to those classified New Mexico

flights and any others which might be associated. I requested:

Any records pertaining to Office of Naval Research operations involving experimental aircraft or balloon flights during the years 1957-1964 inclusive. Specifically, those operations which were carried out in conjunction with 'Raven Industries', of Sioux Falls, South Dakota.

Instrumental in these flights was Ed Yost, one of the company's founders and the foremost pioneer of ballooning.

From: "Robinson, Larry" <lrobin@indiana.edu>
Date: Fri, 14 Dec 2001 11:57:08 -0500
Subject: RE: [UFORL] 'Socorro' Update

---- -X =@ o_ = X<= <o> o-< <-I-= -> <O-O-O< <-88 ----
Larry Robinson S/AP/EIS lrobin@indiana.edu
Indiana University (812) 855-5073 Room 42
Kinesiology Dept http://php.indiana.edu/~lrobin
1025 E 7th St Rm 112 HDTV and taxes killed RCA.
Bloomington IN 47405-7109

@ -----Original Message-----
@ From: James Easton [mailto:voyager@ufoworld.co.uk]
@ Sent: Thursday, December 13, 2001 22:26
@ To: UFO Research List
@ Subject: [UFORL] 'Socorro' Update
@
@
@ This was only four days after Lonnie Zamora's pivotal 'UFO'
@ encounter with an egg-shaped object, near Socorro.

Another case:

04/26/64 La Madera NM, witness Orlando Gallego. Marks, evidence
of fire found at site.

@ Steiger and Whritenour make no allusions to any connection with
@ the Socorro event, possibly because of the time and distance
@ factors.
@ It certainly does sound like Zamora's exploits, yet, where's the
@ documented evidence.
@
@ According to an index, compiled by hot-air balloon enthusiasts,
@ between 1963 and 1967, these are the only known and related
@ articles published:
@
@ Popular Mechanics, April 1963, 'Ride Silent, Ride High in a New
@ Hot-Air Sports Balloon: Vulcoon'
@
@ Forbes, January 1965, 'Here Comes Skyhook Logging!'
@
@ LIFE, 11 June 1965, 'Fine But Farcical British Balloon Race'
@
@ Popular Mechanics, Oct 1965, 'Old Time Balloon Race'
@
@ Popular Science, July 1966, 'Daffy Ride in a Hot Air Balloon'

@
@ LIFE, 18 November 1966, 'Riding the Wind in a Balloon'
@
@ Fortune, February 1967, 'Airlift for Logs'
@
@ Forbes, June 1967, 'Highways in the Sky'
@
@ Newsweek, 6 March 1967, 'Pop Goes the Balloon: Fire-propelled
@ Balloons'
@
@ Travel, April 1967, 'Ballooney!'
@ [END]

I have found most of these. I have the 1967 Newsweek article, which is about many reports of teenagers causing fire-balloon flying saucer reports, not about manned hot air balloons.

I also read EDN, Design News, Materials Designer, and other engineering journals which my father brought home from work. Those are never listed in periodical guides because they aren't available to the average person.

I currently receive many of this kind of trade mag free because of my position as a design engineer.

One other possibility is CQ.

I have seen one article about a balloon-assisted helicopter. I think it was either the Forbes or Fortune article.

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Sat, 15 Dec 2001 00:30:48 -0000
Subject: Re: [UFORL] I wonder if Paul Trent has a...

Regarding:

>From: "Robinson, Larry" <lrobin@indiana.edu>
>To: <UFORL@yahoogroups.com>
>Subject: [UFORL] Trent UFO
>Date: 16 November 2001 18:49

Larry wrote:

>I wonder if Paul Trent had one of these Dual record changers:
>
> <http://php.indiana.edu/~lrobin/dual1940.jpg>
>
>Pay particular attention to the balancing weight over the stack.

Larry,

As some subscribers will know, there's a useful reference for related 'Trent' material on Robert Sheaffer's web site, at:

<http://www.debunker.com/trent.html>

Perhaps most significant is that link to Joel Carpenter's web site, where Joel makes a thoroughly convincing case why this celebrated 'flying saucer' was in fact a vehicle's wing mirror.

Not only does the shape fit, this explains the noted 'reflective' qualities underneath, plus the appendage on top.

As I suggested to Bruce Maccabee before Joel's research was published, that appendage doesn't exist as an attempt to portray some kind of antennae, it's there because it was already part of the object used to 'mirror', a flying saucer.

In this case, what we see is part of the bracket used to adjust the wing-mirror.

There's another 'best evidence ever' photograph of a flying saucer with 'antenna' which features in the recently mentioned, 'Flying Saucers Are Hostile'.

It was allegedly taken by "Radio Officer T. Fogel" from the bridge of the a British steamship S.S Ramsey in December 1957. At that time, the ship was "off San Pedro, California".

Am I correct in believing this was for many years also defended as genuine and widely publicised, before Fogel admitted it was a hoax?

James Easton.

E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk

www.ufoworld.co.uk

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Unlimited calling with 3-way conferencing. Only \$1/Mo.
with CrystalVoice! FREE trial. Click Here.
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/Hb1xVB/HxbDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Sat, 15 Dec 2001 04:21:05 -0000
Subject: Re: [UFORL] 'Socorro' Update

Regarding Zamora's encounter with an object that had many characteristics of a hot-air balloon, one other candidate for an early, 1964 hot-air balloon was some connection with Langmuir Laboratory, at New Mexico Tech, Socorro.

It's certainly in the vicinity!

Coincidentally, a key figure in the laboratory's history and research programs is Professor Charles Moore, who was of course involved with those Project Mogul balloon experiments which almost certainly resulted in the Roswell 'flying saucer' debris legacy.

The Langmuir web site confirms that, "Professor Moore retired from his physics professorship at New Mexico Tech and gave up the chairmanship of Langmuir Lab in late 1985. He continues as a principal investigator of atmospheric physics at the lab".

Moore has commented on the Socorro case and I would be grateful if anyone recalls his exact statement. If I remember, it was something along the lines that he believed there were no hot-air balloon flights in Socorro until many years later.

However, I've discovered an intriguing photograph - to say the least - which has recently appeared on the New Mexico Tech web site. It's Professor Charles Moore, perhaps near the Langmuir facility, with what looks like a very early hot-air balloon - see:

<http://www.nmt.edu/~pio/StormsAbove/StormsAboveCh4.html>

There's an enlargement, at:

<http://www.nmt.edu/~pio/StormsAbove/p56.jpg>

Unfortunately, the date isn't given, although accompanying text seems related to that circa 1964 era.

Helpfully, the hot-air balloon depicted has a Raven label and it should be possible to date this design [New Mexico Tech have also been asked if they can assist].

One reason for believing it to be a possible 1960s balloon is the primitive 'basket'. It's more of a platform with metal rails - seemingly the type which Larry Robinson has previously suggested would have been part of any hot-air balloon Zamora observed in

1964.

There's a comparative photograph on my web site, at:

<http://www.ufoworld.co.uk/ftp/catalin2.jpg>

This is from the 1964 Catalina hot-air balloon race - one of the first competitions. See:

<http://www.100megspopup.com/ark/CtlnaBlnRce.html>

Note that the basket/platform in the background appears to be identical to the one Professor Moore is shown using.

As the Moore photograph caption confirms this was a balloon belonging to New Mexico Tech, it presumably was flown around the Socorro area at some time.

If nothing else, some further research should be able to eliminate this having any conceivable connection to the Zamora case.

Could anyone in contact with Professor Moore maybe clarify this definitively?

Given Moore's Roswell associations, it's somewhat 'Fortean' that the chapter which features his photograph is entitled, "The Plains Of San Agustin".

James Easton.

E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk
www.ufoworld.co.uk

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor ----->

Tiny Wireless Camera under \$80!

Order Now! FREE VCR Commander!

Click Here - Only 1 Day Left!

<http://us.click.yahoo.com/75YKVC/7.PDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>

----->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Sat, 15 Dec 2001 06:31:09 -0000
Subject: Re: [UFORL] 'Socorro' Update

I wrote:

>Could anyone in contact with Professor Moore maybe clarify this
>definitively?

Scratch that - I've been able to contact Prof. Moore directly.

Incidentally, the related question isn't if he might have had some involvement in the Socorro case - Moore is already on record as being able to offer no explanation - it's whether that New Mexico Tech/Socorro hot-air balloon existed contemporary with Zamora's escapade, perhaps flown by others from the Langmuir facility.

An answer should hopefully be available shortly.

James Easton.
E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk
www.ufoworld.co.uk

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Need new boots for winter? Looking for a perfect gift for your shoe loving friends?
Zappos.com is the perfect fit for all your shoe needs!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/ltdUpD/QrSDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Sun, 16 Dec 2001 00:50:00 -0000
Subject: Re: [UFORL] 'Socorro' Update

I wrote:

>Moore has commented on the Socorro case and I would be grateful
>if anyone recalls his exact statement. If I remember, it was
>something along the lines that he believed there were no hot-air
>balloon flights in Socorro until many years later.
>
>However, I've discovered an intriguing photograph...it's
>Professor Charles Moore, perhaps near the Langmuir facility,
>with what looks like a very early hot-air balloon...

I've located the source of Prof. Moore's aforementioned statement and believe this photograph can now be set in context. My thanks to Karl Pflock for having previously obtained related background information and making it available.

The balloon depicted is almost certainly a Raven model S-50, which was an early prototype acquired by Prof. Moore from Ed and J. R. Smith at Raven Industries in Sioux Falls.

It was used by Moore and Stirling Colgate to make test ascents from the New Mexico Tech campus in Socorro and also by Moore for some NSF-supported thunderstorm electrification experiments at Langmuir Lab.

This was in 1967 and the basis of Moore's recollection that, so far as he was aware, it was the first use of a hot-air balloon in the Socorro area.

If a prototype balloon acquired by Moore maybe 2-3 years later, it would explain why the platform design is so early - a wicker basket was common by 1965.

What the Moore photograph does therefore potentially illustrate - and the best example I've seen - is the likely type of platform used by a circa 1964 hot-air balloon which Zamora might have observed.

Bottom line; Prof. Moore has personally just reiterated: "There is no way that anyone from Langmuir Lab was involved in a balloon activity in New Mexico prior to 1965".

>As the Moore photograph caption confirms this was a balloon
>belonging to New Mexico Tech, it presumably was flown around the
>Socorro area at some time.

It was, although not apparently until 1967 [or 1965?].

>If nothing else, some further research should be able to
>eliminate this having any conceivable connection to the Zamora
>case.

That has effectively now been resolved and I'm hopeful Prof. Moore might shortly add some further comments about the 1964 Socorro incident possibly involving a hot-air balloon.

He is at least now aware of the many facts which have been clarified and myths dispelled during the past year or two - see, for example:

<http://www.ufoworld.co.uk/v18.txt>

James Easton.

E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk

www.ufoworld.co.uk

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Sun, 16 Dec 2001 03:24:29 -0000
Subject: [UFORL] The Pope... A Video Mystery

Posted with due permission from John Harney:

In the November/December 2001 issue of his UFO Magazine, (pp. 11-12) Graham Birdsall writes:

"And if further proof were needed of the Vatican's new-found empathy towards this subject [UFOs], it surely arrived at the Congreso Mundial OVNI [UFO] conference, held in Acapulco, Mexico from 8-12 December 1999. "On the eve of the new millennium, the audience were shown a video-taped message from the Pope himself, who gave his personal blessing to the organisers and all those attending. "It caused an absolute sensation among the Mexican media and spread like wildfire throughout much of South America. It was a newsworthy story of the highest magnitude, but one which, I'm afraid, received no mention whatsoever outside of that continent. But it happened and I was present to witness it."

This story struck me as being rather unlikely, so I sent an email to Diego Zuniga, a Chilean ufologist who exchanges his magazine (La Nave de los Locos) with Magonia. He made enquiries by email to various Latin American ufologists. He had never heard of this incident himself, and two Mexican ufologists who had actually attended the conference knew nothing about it. Diego assured me that if this had happened it would indeed have been a sensation and he would have known about it. So what is going on? Is Birdsall suffering from false memory syndrome? Can someone explain this?
[END]

James Easton.
E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk
www.ufoworld.co.uk

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Need new boots for winter? Looking for a perfect gift for your shoe loving friends?
Zappos.com is the perfect fit for all your shoe needs!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/ltdUpD/QrSDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Sun, 16 Dec 2001 03:39:30 -0000
Subject: [UFORL] STS-75 "UFO Video" Controversy Continues

Posted with due permission from Jim Oberg:

JimO notes: The following newsletter apparently was sent out recently from UK's UFO Magazine (www.ufomag.co.uk), where they have another 'Secret NASA Transmissions' tape for sale (they've admitted the transmissions aren't really secret, they're just labeled that way to increase sales). My own comments are inserted.

- START -

This electronic newsletter is produced monthly to coincide with the impending publication of UFO Magazine, the world's leading UFO newsstand publication. It serves to give advance notice of forthcoming feature articles and includes news and comment at the end by UFO Magazine's editor, Graham W. Birdsall.

More often than not, this newsletter is prepared on the 17th of each month - Russel Callaghan

The January 2002 issue of UFO Magazine will arrive on UK newsstands on Thursday, 20 December, and as Volume 21 No 2 across North America around 28 January.

<snip>

COMMENTARY

By Graham W. Birdsall

<snip>

As I mentioned earlier, criticism comes with the territory, but a noisy minority of people have resurfaced of late to resume taking swipes at us over The Secret NASA Transmissions: 'The Smoking Gun' and our more recent follow up, Secret NASA Transmissions 2, which contains an in-depth interview with Martyn Stubbs, the man responsible for acquiring some 2500 hours of NASA footage recorded via their space shuttle downlink.

JimO: Martyn did not acquire the video from the shuttle downlink, but from the rebroadcast over the 'NASA TV' public channel on a commercial communications satellite.

Rarely have I seen so much ill-informed nonsense espoused by individuals whose spare time hobby must be bee-keeping. Especially in light of the fact that most of them have yet to view and take on board the significance of what Martyn has to say

in Secret NASA Transmissions 2 !

JimO: Keep the phrase "ill-informed nonsense" in mind as you see the drivel that Birdsall puts forward in following paragraphs.

I note that NASA 'mission specialist', James Oberg, has emerged to have another 'pop' (again).

JimO: I've never called myself a 'Mission specialist' for NASA or anyone else.

The same James Oberg who was proven wrong about comments attributed to Guido Nigro, Director of the SETI Radio Telescope at Golden Grove Observatory;

JimO: Not so, Nigro emailed me to explain he was an amateur astronomy enthusiast who was trying to organize a hobby group that didn't even have an observatory, so the official title was bogus.

....who was proven wrong in claiming that Professor Weinberg of Vancouver's Simon Fraser University had never met with Martyn Stubbs;

JimO: I was merely quoting Dr. Weinburg, who told me he's never met with Stubbs, and whom I assumed was the authority in specifying whom he had met, and hadn't met.'

....and who was again proven wrong in claiming that no NASA astronaut had ever said that objects seen by John Glenn were 'living critters' [Scott Carpenter made the remarks - on film]. And so it goes on.

JimO: No, Carpenter didn't, and the video file cited by UFOMag clearly shows he did not, when viewed by rational people.

Yes, James was spot on in claiming that people on the ground below had seen, filmed and photographed an orbiting tethered satellite in space. His memory served him well on that count, but sadly, the fact that this was from another tethered satellite mission, which took place prior to mission STS-75 seems to have escaped him.

JimO: The people I named were observing precisely the STS-75 mission, and I was one of them, so this statement is totally

delusional.

As too is the [published] evidence that software on the stranded tethered satellite [STS-75] was found to have been re-programmed after NASA technicians had later re-established contact with it. That news was never publicised through the American media, but there was no such restriction to it being carried in Canada.

JimO: News of the resetting of data bits on the satellite was all over the US media, as was the reasonable explanation that the 'lightning bolt' which caused the tether to part had zapped the circuit (no 'reprogramming' occurred).

To be fair, James Oberg will find some empathy with the revelation that life aboard the space shuttle (and Mir) is not entirely a bed of roses (as NASA's PR people would have us believe).

JimO: This is hardly a revelation to anybody reading the space news media over the past decades.

He will doubtless be intrigued, therefore, to learn that fisticuffs and heated arguments among shuttle astronauts were common, but astonished to discover that Professor Weinberg and Bob Sutherland were recruited to tackle the problem!

JimO: Fisticuffs? I'd be astonished to 'learn' of this, but that would require some qualified expert to teach me.

Oh, and the astronauts on board the International Space Station are not entirely happy with their surroundings also - especially when unidentified visitors come knocking on the outside of their spacecraft! James should recall the time period when this took place, for he was drafted by Canadian TV to comment on the first spacewalk by a Canadian astronaut.

JimO: I have no idea what Birdsall is raving about.

If he can't remember, Martyn does mention the fact, along with how viewers were introduced to James, who was yet again given the title, 'NASA Mission Specialist' - but who failed to correct it.

JimO: I have a tape of this segment and can find no such reference.

James might also recall how 'live' transmissions of the spacewalk failed to materialise, but in their place came still-frame images.

JimO: Transmissions from the shuttle's Ku-band antenna are often blocked by station structure or by the shuttle being turned away from the TDRS relay satellites, especially when docked to the space station, so live communication is via S-band at a lower data rate, where video images come down more slowly. No engineer or other expert familiar with space operations is at all puzzled by this.

Due to the fact that no windows are built into the ISS, the astronauts on board had to rely on TV monitors to see what might be going on outside. Rather odd, don't you think?

JimO: The ISS does have windows, and it's not at all odd that Birdsall doesn't know it, but still makes up non-facts for his readers.

That aside, I really don't believe that James or anyone else can ignore what Professor Louis Frank had to say about the anomalous 'streaks' seen in the footage and which has come to be known as 'Space Phenomena Two'. We never raised the issue of CCD cameras, and neither did James for that matter. He simply followed an argument put forward by the head of an Australian planetarium that the 'streaks' were caused by an effect from using CCD cameras.

JimO: Nope, never did - since the STS PLB cameras are not CCDs, they are old-fashioned vidicon tube units with known susceptibility to cosmic ray hits, especially over the South Atlantic Anomaly.

The Belgium-based company, Lexx Systems, buried that argument, but it was irrelevant anyhow because some of the 'streaks' were also captured by non-CCD cameras!

JimO: The streaks were ONLY captured in non-CCD cameras.

So, let us look at Professor Louis Frank's CV. He is a recipient of the National Space Act Award. He worked on instrumentation for the Galileo spacecraft mission to Jupiter. He designed instrumentation to detect space-plasma (Professor Louis Frank is acknowledged to the world's leading authority in the field of

space-based plasma) for the Japanese GOKL spacecraft. And he worked on camera instrumentation to detect visible wavelengths for the Polar spacecraft.

JimO: Dr. Frank believes his instruments are recording a massive influx of small icebergs from space. It is an interpretation which few people share, but he is arguing based on scientific research so the issue is open.

Having looked at the footage along with his esteemed scientific colleagues, Professor Franks said: 'I find the contents of your video fascinating. There are several objects which may be small comets ['Space Phenomena Two']. He continued: 'Several of us have examined the video many times. Other phenomena recorded in your video are not easily explained.'

JimO: If Dr. Frank relied on technical descriptions provided by Birdsall, no wonder he would be mystified and confused.

So how do these remarks square with those who claim otherwise, and yet who don't come remotely close to matching Professor Franks' scientific credentials? It seems a fair question to put, but since when has fairness mattered to sceptics and debunkers who have their own fixed mindset and pre-fixed agenda?

JimO: Since when has reality mattered to UFO salesmen whose income depends on the gullibility of their target audience?

'Astronauts coming to blows on the space shuttle? Pah! What rubbish!' Well, it's true actually...

JimO: There's still this old-fashioned concept called 'evidence', that remains unsatisfied.

'The Tethered satellite is actually a space-based weapon? Pah! More rubbish!' Well, we have the film which proves conclusively that it certainly wasn't designed to do the things that NASA claim...

JimO: I've never heard anybody suggest that, but I wouldn't put it past some UFOMag reader to imagine it might be true.

If you want the facts, if you want the names, if you want to see and hear the evidence for yourselves, then we have delivered the means by which you can do so. Nothing more, nothing less. Happy

viewing!

JimO: Happy dreams!

Thanks for your continuing and brilliant support! Best regards,
Graham W. Birdsall (Editor), UFO Magazine [UK]

Appendix: An example of the disputes of 'fact' between UFOMag and Oberg, regarding statements attributed to Scott Carpenter:

Misrepresentation of Astronaut Carpenter Quotation

ITEM: Mistreatment of Carpenter words and opinions regarding John Glenn's "fireflies"

1. Narrator (~6 minutes into tape) refers to "curious anomalous objects in orbit around earth", continues: "John Glenn likened them to fireflies, and for a time, NASA actually believed they had stumbled across living critters, according to one of its retired astronauts, Scott Carpenter."
2. Stubbs on same tape at 44:30: "We have an astronaut making the comment, on the 25th anniversary of the moon flight. He says, it's hard to believe, but he says it's a FACT that we thought that John's fireflies -- again, Glenn's fireflies -- were living critters. Now at no time -- I've read everything -- at no time have I ever heard that before, that NASA concluded originally that the fireflies were alive."
3. Magazine article, March-April 2000, p. 8, account of Stubbs' comments: "And we have an astronaut making a comment on the 25th anniversary of the Moon flight where he says, 'It's hard to believe, but it's a fact, that we thought that John's fireflies were living critters'." [JimO: Note quotation marks]
4. Oberg's original note (March 20): refers to 'preposterous' allegation that astronaut Scott Carpenter 'admitted' that NASA for a long time thought that the 'fireflies' that Glenn reported were 'living things'. I called it "a silly idea -- that Carpenter would say such a thing", and asked for documentation outside of somebody's vivid imagination.
5. Reply from Callaghan, March 21: we will "respond with the video documentation that is absolutely proof positive that what we claimed was said is the truth, and nothing but the truth."
6. Carpenter's actual quotation, as subsequently posted at UFOMag website: "It's hard to realize this now, there were so many

unknowns in the early days, and this is a fact of the matter, we were really not sure, after John flew, whether or not there were critters, living critters up there somewhere."

7. Oberg responded that "the tape segment allegedly proving this is pitifully ambiguous, doesn't even mention the fireflies, so I suggest it was misinterpreted."

8. UFOMAG's reply: "[Oberg] challenged that we couldn't produce any evidence to support our quotation of Scott Carpenter. We did, and [Oberg] conceded the fact. Suggest [he] put that one to bed."

9. Not hardly! To repeat, Carpenter's posted quote in paragraph 3 (note that the transcript is not posted on the site, just the WAV file for playing, which is a lot harder for most visitors) does not mention 'fireflies' at all, and states NOT that people 'thought', 'believed', or 'concluded' anything, but that they were not sure one way or the other about life in space somewhere. The alleged quotation (paragraph 3) as printed in the magazine inside quotation marks is clearly fraudulent and UFOMAG's stubborn misrepresentation of it is indefensible on rational grounds.

10. As for the big picture, aside from any genuine perplexity about the phenomenon at the time it occurred, NASA very quickly realized that the dots were ice particles coming from the spacecraft's water spray boiler, which discarded waste heat from the avionics (the space shuttle has a similar unit, called a 'Flash Evaporator', mounted at the base of the tail). Carpenter himself confirmed this a few months later when on his flight he was able to create swarms of 'fireflies' by banging on the side of his capsule, where the water spray boiler was located. The observed motion of the particles, falling below and pulling ahead of the spacecraft, was exactly what is expected from high-drag low-mass ice crystals. Today there is absolutely no basis in reality to honestly portray the phenomenon as in any way mysterious.

[END]

Perhaps this requires an updated definition of the 'abject stupidity' boundaries which 'UFO' beliefs, especially commercial enterprises, have encompassed, albeit there were precedingly no limitations.

Kudos to Jim Oberg for even entertaining such purported 'scientific' garbage - or, if you prefer, "the most significant cover-up evidence since Adamski, Trent, Roswell, MJ-12, Lazar, et al...".

Every suggestion of an absurd "NASA cover-up" is so glaringly a testimony to the absence of 'UFO' evidence in reality.

James Easton.

E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk

www.ufoworld.co.uk

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->

Need new boots for winter? Looking for a perfect gift for your shoe loving friends?

Zappos.com is the perfect fit for all your shoe needs!

<http://us.click.yahoo.com/ltdUpD/QrSDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>

-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: joel carpenter <ufx1947@home.com>
Date: Sun, 16 Dec 2001 12:50:49 -0500
Subject: Re: [UFORL] I wonder if Paul Trent has a...

James -

A very brief comment on this -

- > Perhaps most significant is that link to Joel Carpenter's web
- > site, where Joel makes a thoroughly convincing case why this
- > celebrated 'flying saucer' was in fact a vehicle's wing mirror.

There are two aspects to my pages on the Trent photos. One is to point out that the camera was surprisingly close to the ground when the photos were taken. The other is to note the resemblance to the circular car mirrors.

I have been assured, and assured, and assured (oh, how I've been assured) by means of technical analysis done by various individuals, that the object cannot possibly be a car mirror suspended by a thread. They may be right. Whatever the object was, it was remarkably lumpy, bumpy, skewed and asymmetrical. Anyway, I still think the camera was awfully low.

Joel Carpenter

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Tiny Wireless Camera under \$80!
Order Now! FREE VCR Commander!
Click Here - Only 1 Day Left!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/75YKVC/7.PDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: Ed Stewart <ufoindex@lanset.com>
Date: Sun, 16 Dec 2001 17:27:19 -0800
Subject: [UFORL] Modern Electrics December 1911 Cover

Catch this item while still up on Ebay. It shows a space flyer ala
flying saucer:

<http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1046351418>

Ed Stewart

--

Ed Stewart | So Man, who here seems principal alone,
ufoindex@lanset.com | Perhaps acts second to some sphere unknown.
 |>'?'<, |Touches some wheel, or verges to some goal,
 | (O O) |'Tis but a part we see, and not a whole.
-----ooOO-()-OOoo----- Alexander Pope, Essay on Man -----

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Need new boots for winter? Looking for a perfect gift for your shoe loving friends?
Zappos.com is the perfect fit for all your shoe needs!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/ltdUpD/QrSDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Tue, 18 Dec 2001 03:19:18 -0000
Subject: [UFORL] 'Saucers Full of Secrets'

An interesting, recent article concerning the 1952 Washington
'UFO flap', spotted by Jim Oberg:

<http://www.washingtoncitypaper.com/cover/cover.html>

James Easton.
E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk
www.ufoworld.co.uk

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Win a Capcom Console Game of Your Choice Or Even a Capcom Arcade System.
Click Here to Enter.
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/tmpz8B/exbDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Tue, 18 Dec 2001 03:19:18 -0000
Subject: [UFORL] 'Saucers Full of Secrets'

An interesting, recent article concerning the 1952 Washington
'UFO flap', spotted by Jim Oberg:

<http://www.washingtoncitypaper.com/cover/cover.html>

James Easton.
E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk
www.ufoworld.co.uk

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Win a Capcom Console Game of Your Choice Or Even a Capcom Arcade System.
Click Here to Enter.
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/tmpz8B/exbDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Wed, 19 Dec 2001 00:52:18 -0000
Subject: Re: [UFORL] 'Socorro' Update

Regarding:

>From: "Robinson, Larry" <lrobin@indiana.edu>
>To: <UFORL@yahoogroups.com>
>Subject: RE: [UFORL] 'Socorro' Update
>Date: 14 December 2001 18:15

Larry wrote:

>I have found most of these. I have the 1967 Newsweek article,
>which is about many reports of teenagers causing fire-balloon
>flying saucer reports, not about manned hot air balloons.

Larry,

Duly noted.

For the record, I've discovered [not from Prof. Moore] that the New Mexico Tech hot-air balloon was slightly older in construction than surmised.

It was built in July 1966 [Serial No. S-50-116 , Reg. No. N-412R] and initially used by Raven as a company-owned demonstrator. The balloon was subsequently sold to Prof. Moore [New Mexico Institute of Mining and Technology] in July 1967.

Although not evident from the photograph, the color was green and white alternate gores.

Now, if I can ever unearth that level of detail about a certain object which Lonnie Zamora might have encountered...

James Easton.
E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk
www.ufoworld.co.uk

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Need new boots for winter? Looking for a perfect gift for your shoe loving friends?
Zappos.com is the perfect fit for all your shoe needs!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/ltdUpD/QrSDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Wed, 19 Dec 2001 00:52:18 -0000
Subject: Re: [UFORL] 'Socorro' Update

Regarding:

>From: "Robinson, Larry" <lrobin@indiana.edu>
>To: <UFORL@yahoogroups.com>
>Subject: RE: [UFORL] 'Socorro' Update
>Date: 14 December 2001 18:15

Larry wrote:

>I have found most of these. I have the 1967 Newsweek article,
>which is about many reports of teenagers causing fire-balloon
>flying saucer reports, not about manned hot air balloons.

Larry,

Duly noted.

For the record, I've discovered [not from Prof. Moore] that the New Mexico Tech hot-air balloon was slightly older in construction than surmised.

It was built in July 1966 [Serial No. S-50-116 , Reg. No. N-412R] and initially used by Raven as a company-owned demonstrator. The balloon was subsequently sold to Prof. Moore [New Mexico Institute of Mining and Technology] in July 1967.

Although not evident from the photograph, the color was green and white alternate gores.

Now, if I can ever unearth that level of detail about a certain object which Lonnie Zamora might have encountered...

James Easton.
E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk
www.ufoworld.co.uk

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Need new boots for winter? Looking for a perfect gift for your shoe loving friends?
Zappos.com is the perfect fit for all your shoe needs!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/ltdUpD/QrSDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Thu, 20 Dec 2001 00:28:58 -0000
Subject: Re: [UFORL] 'Socorro' Update

If Zamora had encountered a hot-air balloon, it was evidently all-white and there certainly weren't many of those around at the time.

Even rarer at that date was any balloon with a sizeable manufacturer's emblem, or sponsorship logo.

Consequently, Zamora's reported 'insignia' remains one of the peculiar aspects, which isn't helped by his discrepancies.

The related sketch he made, shown here:

<http://www.qtm.net/~geibdan/a1999/mar/socorro2.jpg>

...notably differs from the confirmation given to Chris Lambright some years later:

<http://www.cufon.org/contributors/chrisl/socorro.htm>

I'm sure it was Joel Carpenter who pointed out that this subsequent 'insignia' resembles the logo for Sandia National Laboratories.

Conceivably some connection with the Sandia facility at Albuquerque?

If not, there's perhaps more of a coincidence here than generally realised.

Coral Lorenzen interviewed Zamora the day afterwards and in her 1966 book, 'Flying Saucers', wrote:

"The markings were in red, consisting of a vertical line topped by two slanting lines that gave the impression of an arrow. This was surrounded by a horizontal line on the bottom, a vertical line on each side, and a semi-circle over the top of the arrow".

This is essentially as Zamora sketched for Chris Lambright, not as he depicted on that earlier drawing.

It's also different from Zamora's sketches reproduced in 'Socorro Saucer', by Ray Stanford. Again, these illustrate a semi-circular arch covering the 'arrow', not "a vertical line on each side, and a semi-circle over the top".

Did Sandia therefore have a comparative 'aerial vehicle' of some kind in operation that day [Zamora's 'UFO' came from the _general_ direction of ABQ] and was their current logo in use, maybe coloured red, in 1964.

Although any connection seemed most unlikely, especially that Sandia would, for some reason, be utilising a hot-air balloon in 1964, this was a possibility which strictly required to be eliminated.

I had made due enquiries of Rebecca Ullrich, the Research Historian at Sandia and Rebecca has been helpful in clarifying a key issue. She writes:

"The Sandia logo has always been portrayed in turquoise. It did change slightly in the early 1970s, so looked different in 1964 than it does now. The earlier version was more bird-like in appearance and looked even less like the red image you've provided than does the current logo".

I note there is in fact a copy of Sandia's original emblem on their web site, at:

<http://www.sandia.gov/recordsmgmt/oldtbird.gif>

Rebecca has offered to investigate the matter further and that's greatly appreciated.

However, it would seem we can surely rule out any link.

This returns the focus to limited options. Although some all-white Raven hot-air balloons existed contemporary with the Socorro case, there's currently no indication any of them would have borne a symbol similar to Zamora's description.

Outwith Raven, circa 1964 some early hot-air balloon enthusiasts and entrepreneurs constructed balloons for their own use, or by others. Information on these is difficult to find so many years later, although I know it does exist and there's one prime candidate for an all-white balloon which did, at least in 1965, contain a large 'bird' emblem, which isn't that dissimilar to some of Zamora's drawings.

So far as Zamora's insignia, 'Sandia', as with 'International Paper' - a logo which was also remarkably similar - certainly seems to be a 'dead end'.

No surprise and many avenues of related research await, hopefully, equally informative responses from potentially

significant sources.

James Easton.

E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk

www.ufoworld.co.uk

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~->

Need new boots for winter? Looking for a perfect gift for your shoe loving friends?

Zappos.com is the perfect fit for all your shoe needs!

<http://us.click.yahoo.com/ltdUpD/QrSDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>

-----~->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Thu, 20 Dec 2001 00:28:58 -0000
Subject: Re: [UFORL] 'Socorro' Update

If Zamora had encountered a hot-air balloon, it was evidently all-white and there certainly weren't many of those around at the time.

Even rarer at that date was any balloon with a sizeable manufacturer's emblem, or sponsorship logo.

Consequently, Zamora's reported 'insignia' remains one of the peculiar aspects, which isn't helped by his discrepancies.

The related sketch he made, shown here:

<http://www.qtm.net/~geibdan/a1999/mar/socorro2.jpg>

...notably differs from the confirmation given to Chris Lambright some years later:

<http://www.cufon.org/contributors/chrisl/socorro.htm>

I'm sure it was Joel Carpenter who pointed out that this subsequent 'insignia' resembles the logo for Sandia National Laboratories.

Conceivably some connection with the Sandia facility at Albuquerque?

If not, there's perhaps more of a coincidence here than generally realised.

Coral Lorenzen interviewed Zamora the day afterwards and in her 1966 book, 'Flying Saucers', wrote:

"The markings were in red, consisting of a vertical line topped by two slanting lines that gave the impression of an arrow. This was surrounded by a horizontal line on the bottom, a vertical line on each side, and a semi-circle over the top of the arrow".

This is essentially as Zamora sketched for Chris Lambright, not as he depicted on that earlier drawing.

It's also different from Zamora's sketches reproduced in 'Socorro Saucer', by Ray Stanford. Again, these illustrate a semi-circular arch covering the 'arrow', not "a vertical line on each side, and a semi-circle over the top".

Did Sandia therefore have a comparative 'aerial vehicle' of some kind in operation that day [Zamora's 'UFO' came from the _general_ direction of ABQ] and was their current logo in use, maybe coloured red, in 1964.

Although any connection seemed most unlikely, especially that Sandia would, for some reason, be utilising a hot-air balloon in 1964, this was a possibility which strictly required to be eliminated.

I had made due enquiries of Rebecca Ullrich, the Research Historian at Sandia and Rebecca has been helpful in clarifying a key issue. She writes:

"The Sandia logo has always been portrayed in turquoise. It did change slightly in the early 1970s, so looked different in 1964 than it does now. The earlier version was more bird-like in appearance and looked even less like the red image you've provided than does the current logo".

I note there is in fact a copy of Sandia's original emblem on their web site, at:

<http://www.sandia.gov/recordsmgmt/oldtbird.gif>

Rebecca has offered to investigate the matter further and that's greatly appreciated.

However, it would seem we can surely rule out any link.

This returns the focus to limited options. Although some all-white Raven hot-air balloons existed contemporary with the Socorro case, there's currently no indication any of them would have borne a symbol similar to Zamora's description.

Outwith Raven, circa 1964 some early hot-air balloon enthusiasts and entrepreneurs constructed balloons for their own use, or by others. Information on these is difficult to find so many years later, although I know it does exist and there's one prime candidate for an all-white balloon which did, at least in 1965, contain a large 'bird' emblem, which isn't that dissimilar to some of Zamora's drawings.

So far as Zamora's insignia, 'Sandia', as with 'International Paper' - a logo which was also remarkably similar - certainly seems to be a 'dead end'.

No surprise and many avenues of related research await, hopefully, equally informative responses from potentially

significant sources.

James Easton.

E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk

www.ufoworld.co.uk

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->

Need new boots for winter? Looking for a perfect gift for your shoe loving friends?

Zappos.com is the perfect fit for all your shoe needs!

<http://us.click.yahoo.com/ltdUpD/QrSDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>

-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: joel carpenter <ufx1947@home.com>
Date: Thu, 20 Dec 2001 09:27:41 -0500
Subject: Re: [UFORL] 'Socorro' Update

James -

- > ...notably differs from the confirmation given to Chris Lambright
- > some years later:
- >
- > <http://www.cufon.org/contributors/chrisl/socorro.htm>
- >
- > I'm sure it was Joel Carpenter who pointed out that this
- > subsequent 'insignia' resembles the logo for Sandia National
- > Laboratories.
- >
- > Conceivably some connection with the Sandia facility at
- > Albuquerque?
- >
- > If not, there's perhaps more of a coincidence here than generally
- > realised.
- >
- > Coral Lorenzen interviewed Zamora the day afterwards and in her
- > 1966 book, 'Flying Saucers', wrote:
- >
- > "The markings were in red, consisting of a vertical line topped
- > by two slanting lines that gave the impression of an arrow. This
- > was surrounded by a horizontal line on the bottom, a vertical
- > line on each side, and a semi-circle over the top of the arrow".
- >
- > This is essentially as Zamora sketched for Chris Lambright, not
- > as he depicted on that earlier drawing.
- >
- > It's also different from Zamora's sketches reproduced in 'Socorro
- > Saucer', by Ray Stanford. Again, these illustrate a semi-circular
- > arch covering the 'arrow', not "a vertical line on each side, and
- > a semi-circle over the top".
- >
- >
- > Did Sandia therefore have a comparative 'aerial vehicle' of some
- > kind in operation that day [Zamora's 'UFO' came from the
- > _general_ direction of ABQ] and was their current logo in use,
- > maybe coloured red, in 1964.

One of the things I wonder about in the Socorro case is just how representative of the object Zamora's sketches really are. I don't know what UFO investigators typically do, if anything, to make an assessment of witness sketching ability. It seems that Lambright's effort here is pretty exceptional.

I've been teaching an industrial design class for a few months at a local

school. The range of artistic talent the students exhibit is pretty broad, even if they have good creative abilities. It seems that sketches done by people without formal training are essentially lists of notable features of objects rather than exact representations.

I can't recall ever seeing a sketch of a crime suspect that was done by an actual police officer. But police sketch artists can work with a witness through verbal instructions to produce remarkably accurate sketch of a person. Look at the Unabomber drawing, for example.

A thought experiment: Zamora is in his car chasing the speeder when the Soviet Lunar Module

<http://www.friends-partners.org/mwade/craft/lk.htm>

drops out of the sky and lands near the road. He drives toward it, sees it from a distance - a cosmonaut is standing next to it - and just as he gets near it, it blasts off and zooms away.

Take a good look at it -- it would have been impossible for him (or any witness) to make an accurate sketch of something that complex under those circumstances. What you might get would be something similar to what he actually drew. You could visualize the same scenario using other manmade objects like Harrier jets, Apache helicopters, etc, and try to guess what the resulting sketch might look like.

The only way you could try to take this problem into account would be to sort of calibrate the drawing ability of the witness by asking him or her to draw something familiar. In Zamora's case, I'd say, "please draw your police car, with yourself standing beside it in scale with the car." Nothing fancy - give the witness about 5 minutes tops. If you can identify the type of car, you're doing great. If the self-portrait is more than a stick figure, it's even better. Then you might do something along other lines, like showing him photos of something like the Lunar Module, an F-14 fighter, a balloon, etc, for a few seconds at a time and asking him to quickly sketch them. This would provide some basis for assessing the abilities of the particular witness to assimilate details of complex vehicles quickly and reproduce them accurately. (You might also test color perception).

I say all this because I suspect that Zamora's sketch is highly stylized and oversimplified. For instance, there's no indication of pads on the ends of the little stick-figure legs, but they must have been there (unless they're balloon marks, but I'll get back to that). I just have a feeling that the actual object was not just a featureless white ellipsoid with a big red logo on the side. If Zamora drew his patrol car test drawing like a little kid's sketch of a car -- a small box on a big box with a star on the door and oval wheels, you'd know that this is just how he represents everything, not just UFOs. But this experiment should have been done over 35 years ago...

I think it's foolish to take witness sketches too literally -- like Barney

Hill's drawing of the Leader, with his cap and huge eyes. You could go to extremes -- looking for caps that were common in the Boston area in the early 60s, measuring them, comparing the diameter of the cap to the size of the eyes and then speculating about the refractive index of the atmosphere on their home world ... but it's really not useful, is it? The sketch is obviously a kind of symbolic representation where the eyes are huge because of their emotional significance.

I still think Zamora's object was a UFO, unidentified, not a balloon. I suspect it was a manmade vehicle, but I don't know what the culprit actually was. I've expressed my doubts about this before and I won't belabor it.

Joel

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Send FREE Holiday eCards from Yahoo! Greetings.
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/IgTaHA/ZQdDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Fri, 21 Dec 2001 01:23:48 -0000
Subject: Re: [UFORL] 'Socorro' Update

Regarding:

>From: "joel carpenter" <ufx1947@home.com>
>To: <UFORL@yahoogroups.com>
>Subject: Re: [UFORL] 'Socorro' Update
>Date: 20 December 2001 17:57

Joel wrote:

>But this experiment should have been done over 35 years ago...

Joel,

If only...

One point which stands out is that Zamora was seemingly never asked to elaborate on how large he thought the object was, especially when viewed at that later, albeit brief, sighting at closest proximity and before he dropped his spectacles.

>I think it's foolish to take witness sketches too literally --
>like Barney Hill's drawing of the Leader, with his cap and huge
>eyes...

Absolutely - I would make considerable allowances concerning any of Zamora's sketches, including his recollection of the emblem. His initial description of the latter is perhaps more significant.

Coincidentally, I've just come across something of related interest.

There's evidently at least one magazine article - and doubtless others - during that 1963-66 era which I've never seen mentioned before today.

It's a cover feature in the September 1966 edition of 'Ford Times' magazine, which I noticed for sale on eBay:

<http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1048534396>

As you might recognise, the cover photograph is similar to others previously highlighted and taken during the 1965 National Championship Air Races held in Reno, Nevada.

At top right, we can see what might be that same, all-white

balloon which participated in 1965.

This was a SEMCO balloon - not built by Raven.

It's one early all-white balloon which does contain a logo.
Although it's not too clear from the cover shot, we can see the
logo between letters 'e' and 's' in 'Times'.

There are two further photographs of what might be the same
balloon [pity they are not in colour] on my web site, at:

<http://www.ufoworld.co.uk/ftp/balloon5.jpg>

<http://www.ufoworld.co.uk/ftp/balloon6.jpg>

As I still don't know if that logo was coloured red, or whether
the larger version is on the reverse side of the same balloon, I
intend to purchase this magazine.

I'm hopeful the article will contain other photographs which
could clarify same, maybe even also some useful content.

James Easton.

E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk

www.ufoworld.co.uk

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->

Send FREE Holiday eCards from Yahoo! Greetings.

<http://us.click.yahoo.com/IgTaHA/ZQdDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>

-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: Ktperehwon@aol.com
Date: Sat, 22 Dec 2001 19:06:42 EST
Subject: [UFORL] Happy...
boundary="part1_8e.2047cd80.29567a12_boundary"

...Humbug to all our friends, colleagues, and friendly antagonists on UFORL
from me and the boys on the roof (see attached). -- Cheers, KARL

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
FREE COLLEGE MONEY
CLICK HERE to search
600,000 scholarships!
http://us.click.yahoo.com/G_L2TD/4m7CAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

Attachment Converted: "C:\Programmi\EUDORA\attach\AlienNoel1.jpg"

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Mon, 24 Dec 2001 02:54:07 -0000
Subject: Re: [UFORL] 'Socorro' Update

Regarding:

>From: "joel carpenter" <ufx1947@home.com>
>To: <UFORL@yahoogroups.com>
>Subject: Re: [UFORL] 'Socorro' Update
>Date: 20 December 2001 17:57

Joel wrote:

>I still think Zamora's object was a UFO, unidentified, not a
>balloon. I suspect it was a manmade vehicle, but I don't know
>what the culprit actually was. I've expressed my doubts about
>this before and I won't belabor it.

Joel,

This perhaps implies the reasons expressed for those doubts remain significant obstacles to a hot-air balloon being responsible.

In fact, all of the stated reasons have been duly addressed.

A main objection cited, not only by your good self, was data which confirmed the wind was blowing in an opposite direction to the object's arrival and departure.

When researched further, it turned out there evidently wasn't any such data obtained during the initial case investigations.

The background to this was explained in 'Voyager' newsletter No. 18 - see:

<http://www.ufoworld.co.uk/v18.txt>

Other doubts expressed concerned Zamora's perception of the object's size. This was also discussed in the publication and I pointed out that Chris Lambright's sketch, which Zamora confirmed as accurately representative [it's of course 'subjective' and comes back to the difficulties you raised about witness sketches], depicted an object which was larger than a hot-air balloon.

The 'ground markings' were a further apparent discrepancy, claimed to be inconsistent with a balloon's platform. The object had seemingly landed, not dragged along the ground as a hot-air

balloon would.

Yet, once again, when duly researched it was discovered otherwise, as I explained in the newsletter:

If it was a hot air balloon, then any landing would not have been a 'touchdown' and the balloon's platform is likely to have dragged along the ground, even for a short distance.

At this time, it seems that an extremely basic, rectangular wooden or metal platform with 'railings' was gradually being superseded by the more familiar wicker basket.

The 'official' case investigator was Dr J. Allen Hynek, former Chairman of the Astronomy Department at Northwestern University and who became a consultant for the US Air Force's 'Project Blue Book'.

In a private memo dated 20 May, 1964, Hynek wrote that, contrary to the landing marks being 'impressions', they were in fact, "4 rectangular scrapings as if a rectangular object had scraped along digging into the ground" and that "the gouging was done away from the center in every case".

There is a photograph, published in several books, which shows one of the 'imprints' and the rocks which had been placed around to protect this presumed 'trace evidence'. As one of Richard Hall's colleagues further commented:

"It shows several small rocks protruding in situ from the crater as if they belonged there but now are exposed -- so they could not simply have been accidentally kicked in by investigators from the surrounding soil outside of the landing print. These small rocks have obviously not been pressed down into the bowl shape of the crater by any 'great weight'. A 'great weight' would have pushed the small rocks down into the soil so that their tops would have been flush or almost flush with the contour of the crater, and they most likely would have been crushed or broken -- but they are not pushed down or broken. The imprints look like they have been dug or gouged out exactly as Hynek said, not pressed down by a massive object".

Once again, the facts are somewhat different from those published in UFO lore.

[END]

Also highlighted by yourself and others, was that Major Hector Quintanilla of Project Blue Book had thoroughly investigated the case. Why then, did he overlook this explanation?

Primarily of course because no-one had seemingly considered the object perhaps wasn't metallic, emitting a downwards jet of flame.

However, it appears there were at least two glaring omissions in that supposedly 'thorough' investigation. The first, as discussed and evidently confirmed on this list's predecessor, 'UFO Skeptics', was how no-one had contacted the nearby Socorro airport to enquire if they knew of anything flying in the area at that time.

The second is one which I've never seen mentioned before now.

There was another potential, first-hand witness and it seems he was never interviewed. Incredible, as he probably had a clearer view of the object than Zamora did as it came in to land.

This witness was the 'speeder' Zamora was pursuing when Zamora first heard that loud roar and observed a slowly descending flame. As he wrote in his official report:

About 5:45 P.M. 4/24/64 while in Socorro 2 Police Car (64 Pontiac white) started to chase a car due south from west side of Court House. Car was apparently speeding, and was about 3 blocks in front. At point on Old Rodeo Street (extension of Park St. south) near George Morillo residence (about 1/8 mile south of Spring Street, the _____ chased car was going straight ahead toward rodeo grounds. Car chased was a new black Chevrolet (it might have been _____ boy about seventeen). Chased car still about three blocks ahead. _____ alone.

At this time heard a roar and saw a flame in the sky to southwest some distance away--possibly a 1/2 mile or a mile. Came to mind that a dynamite shack in that area had blown up, decided to leave chased car go.

[...]

Flame about twice as wide at bottom as top, and about four times as high as top was wide. Did not notice any object at top, did not note if top of flame was level. Sun was to west and did not help vision. Had green sun glasses over prescription glasses. Could not see bottom of flame because it was behind the hill.

[...]

No other spectators noted--no traffic except the car in front--and car in front might have heard it but possibly did not see it because car in front was too close to hill in front, to see the

flame".
[END]

The 'speeder' may not have had a good view of the flame as it disappeared behind the hill, however, he might have clearly observed the flame and more significantly what it originated from, beforehand.

This wasn't a mystery witness who, understandably, didn't come forward or could never be traced. It's documented that Zamora knew the speeder's almost certain identity, which in 'Socorro Saucer' Ray Stanford notes wasn't that difficult in a small town.

I dare say this potential witness, if alive and especially still resident in Socorro, could yet be interviewed.

So far as I'm aware, that didn't happen during Quintilla's investigation.

Those expressed doubts are understood, however, they have never been overlooked! Surely though, some, if not all, of them are no longer valid.

Aside from Hynek's own evidence that the object "scraped along" the ground when it landed, we know its propulsion was an intermittent, roaring flame which Zamora confirmed did not impact on the ground as the vehicle departed and it did not 'take off' straight upwards, instead slowly gaining altitude at a 'shallow angle'. The 'UFO' could also still do [and escape Earth's gravity] when the initial propulsion was switched off.

Except for a hot-air balloon, I'm not aware of any other "manmade vehicle" which has these characteristics or, as Zamora radioed when said object departed in the distance, "looks like a balloon".

One factor I have personally built into the 'equation' was a remaining 'objection' - how is it conceivable Zamora could not have recognised the object was a hot-air balloon, or, eventually realised this when he, doubtless, had at least seen photographs or film of them in later years. Wouldn't Zamora subsequently have publicised the likely explanation?

So far as the latter goes, evidently not in a zillion light years.

A fascinating, informed insight into this was offered by Thomas

Guengerich, editor and publisher of local newspaper, the 'El Defensor Chieftain'.

I haven't revealed it publicly until now.

Let's just say that Guengerich, who knows Zamora - as do "several people on my staff" - set that issue, and some others, in the context of 'local knowledge'.

Is the hot-air balloon conclusion shared by those with relevant expertise *and* who are aware of the facts when comprehensively detailed...

Don Piccard, along with Ed Yost, was largely responsible for the development of hot-air ballooning. He concludes:

"I would take the position that your surmises are correct and that the case is closed. Any further investigation could be considered tilting at windmills".

Jim Winker was Vice-President of Raven Industries and worked with the company during the first 35 years of its existence. The first, modern, hot air balloon flight took place on October 22, 1960 and there's a relatively well known photograph of this event. Until recently, I didn't appreciate that Jim Winker had taken this photograph, as noted on celebrated British balloonist Julian Nott's web site:

http://www.nott.com/favorite_balloon_flights.htm,

Jim Winker concludes of 'Socorro':

"I can't do much but agree that all evidence points to a hot air balloon".

I would contend that is by any default the proverbial bottom line.

The evidence is considerable and certainly opposed to the seemingly total absence of same as regards another man-made candidate, overwhelming.

The ultimate proof, obviously subject to ongoing research, must naturally be 'out there' - is that perhaps, in fact, the only remaining challenge?

Alternatively, what is the factual basis for any lingering, 'no way'?

James Easton.
E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk
www.ufoworld.co.uk

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Send FREE Holiday eCards from Yahoo! Greetings.
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/IgTaHA/ZQdDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Sat, 29 Dec 2001 06:05:24 -0000
Subject: Re: [UFORL] 'Socorro' Update

Regarding:

>From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
>To: <UFORL@yahoogroups.com>
>Subject: Re: [UFORL] 'Socorro' Update
>Date: 24 December 2001 02:54

I wrote:

>Other doubts expressed concerned Zamora's perception of the
>object's size. This was also discussed in the publication...

Where I noted:

It's also been contended that a hot-air balloon would have to be much larger than the object Zamora witnessed.

A conspicuous omission from Zamora's official statement is any description of the object's size and such fundamental information is equally absent despite Lorenzen and Stanford's interviews with him.

It has been suggested that because Zamora reported the 'UFO' as a car, he would be uncomfortable admitting this was subsequently recognised to be a much bigger object.

Although that's speculation, what we do know is Zamora stated how during his second, fleeting sighting he, "Noted red lettering of some type. Insignia was about 2 1/2' high and about 2' wide I guess. Was in middle of object".

In his sketch of this observation, Zamora depicts an object which would, comparative to dimensions of that 'insignia', be some ten foot wide. However, it's a 'rough' drawing, especially as he shows the 'insignia' to be wider than its height, contrary to the description.

A more reliable perspective might be offered by Chris Lambright's online material at:

<http://www.cufon.org/contributors/chrisl/socorro.htm>

Here, Lambright publishes a painting (I understand it's his own artwork) which Zamora has seen and was reportedly satisfied represented in context the object he witnessed (aside from the 'legs' possibly being extended further).

If Zamora corroborated relative proportions of that 'insignia' to the object's overall size, it results in a 'craft' which, as shown, is some fifty feet in length.

The overall circumference would be greater.

By comparison, a contemporary 1964, two-man, hot-air balloon, such as the Raven S-50 model, would only have been fifty feet in diameter.

Lambright's artistic impression isn't a photograph and merely representative. However, we can only work with available evidence and if Zamora had no objections, it must be noted.

The same relative craft/insignia 'dimensions' are commonly reproduced in portrayals of Zamora's 'UFO'.
[END OF EXTRACT]

Joel,

I've discovered a later interview where Zamora is in fact quite specific about the object's perceived size, when viewed at closest proximity.

This originates from an article published in the 'Look' magazine 'Flying Saucers' 1967 special issue.

The article is entitled, 'Lonnie Zamora's Big White Egg'!

Written by "Jack Graeme, UPI Albuquerque, New Mexico", it states:

Zamora drove his car on a bumpy road to the top of a hill for a look. Slightly more than half a mile away, nested in the gully, was a white object that appeared from the distance to be a car turned on end.

Zamora also said he saw two figures beside the object. He heatedly denies he ever reported seeing 'little men.'

"All I could see from that far away was what looked like two sets of white coveralls beside the object. I couldn't see any features, just two figures in the distance. It was like two sets of coveralls hanging on a washline, that's all. They looked about four feet high."

Zamora radioed that he was going to investigate, then he drove along the bumpy road, losing sight of the object while in a dip. He stopped the car at the top of a mesa some 100 yards from the

object and got out.

In the gully about 20 feet below him, the 'thing' sat silent. The two figures had disappeared. Zamora advanced closer.

"It was egg-shaped with one end, which I figure was the front, sort of tapered," Zamora says. "It was white and smooth, with no windows or openings of any kind. It was sitting on legs about four feet tall and seemed to be about the size of a car."

[END OF EXTRACT]

That certainly 'ups the ante'!

So, there we go... Zamora did reaffirm the object was 'car-sized', as first glimpsed when he thought it was 'on end'.

I've never seen anywhere else his claim that one end was "sort of tapered".

Zamora mentions something else - as the object departed - which wasn't recorded in his statement, or, so far as I'm aware, prior to this 1967 interview:

"There was no noise," he says. "It was about 20 feet off the ground, just hovering. There were markings in red letters about a foot high on the side. It looked like a crescent with a vertical arrow pointed upward inside the crescent and a horizontal bar beneath that."

The object remained stationary for several seconds. Then it flew off in a southerly direction following the contour of the gully. Zamora raced back to the car and tried to call in to headquarters but the radio didn't work.

"That puzzled me because it had been okay," he recalls. "It finally came on and I asked the state police to send out Sergeant Chavez."

[END OF EXTRACT]

Is Zamora's recollection concerning Chavez mistaken?

According to previous evidence, he had radioed a request that Chavez come out to the site when Zamora had initially glimpsed the object and apparent occupants, not after it had 'taken off'.

This makes an immense difference to the time/speed factors and how the object was reportedly only just 'out of site' as Chavez

arrived.

Aside from which, if Zamora is only subsequently revealing the radio wasn't working when he 'raced back to the car', it affects a previous understanding, from his official report, that he _immediately_ called Nep Lopez and asked if Lopez could see the still visible object.

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Sun, 30 Dec 2001 05:15:35 -0000
Subject: Re: [UFORL] 'Socorro' Update

Regarding:

>From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
>To: <UFORL@yahoogroups.com>
>Subject: Re: [UFORL] 'Socorro' Update
>Date: 29 December 2001 06:06

I wrote:

>Zamora mentions something else - as the object departed - which
>wasn't recorded in his statement, or, so far as I'm aware, prior
>to this 1967 interview:

[...]

>"That puzzled me because it had been okay," he recalls. "It
>finally came on and I asked the state police to send out
>Sergeant Chavez."
>[END OF EXTRACT]
>
>Is Zamora's recollection concerning Chavez mistaken?
>
>According to previous evidence, he had radioed a request that
>Chavez come out to the site when Zamora had initially glimpsed
>the object and apparent occupants, not after it had 'taken off'.
>
>This makes an immense difference to the time/speed factors and
>how the object was reportedly only just 'out of site' as Chavez
>arrived.

I would now propose there has always been a significant
misunderstanding concerning this aspect of 'Socorro'.

Zamora did not, as has previously been accepted, ask Sergeant
Chavez to come out to the site after Zamora first glimpsed the
object and what appeared to be its occupants. That request was
made after our 'Socorro saucer' had departed.

The evidence:

1.

From: "James Easton" <voyager@ufoworld.co.uk>
Date: Sun, 30 Dec 2001 07:29:24 -0000
Subject: [UFORL] Jewish Judaica UFO's from the 18th Century!

Currently at:

<http://page.auctions.shopping.yahoo.com/uk/auction/48943322?aucview=search>

[this URL will 'wrap around']

It's a rare, previously uncovered 1700's historical artefact, which might indicate 'flying saucers' are seriously *real*... centuries before the media's fundamental howler concerning Kenneth Arnold's undulating objects, which resulted in those spurious and farcical 'flying saucers' - "I, at first, thought they were geese because it flew like geese" (Arnold).

The seller promotes, "If your [sic] a UFO researcher, this will make a wonderful phoney addition to your "evidence" for UFO's in bygone days No...?".

As you perceive...

James Easton.
E-mail: voyager@ufoworld.co.uk
www.ufoworld.co.uk

----- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor -----~-->
Tiny Wireless Camera under \$80!
Order Now! FREE VCR Commander!
Click Here - Only 1 Day Left!
<http://us.click.yahoo.com/WoOlB/7.PDAA/ySSFAA/19XolB/TM>
-----~-->

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>